LETTER

Effect of Adding Nalbuphine to Ropivacaine on Postoperative Analgesia of Erector Spinae Plane Block [Letter]

Dan-Feng Wang, Yan-Hua Guo, Fu-Shan Xue 🝺

Department of Anesthesiology, Shengli Clinical Medical College of Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou University Affiliated Provincial Hospital, Fujian Provincial Hospital, Fuzhou, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Fu-Shan Xue, Department of Anesthesiology, Shengli Clinical Medical College of Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou University Affiliated Provincial Hospital, Fujian Provincial Hospital, No. 134 Dongjie, Fuzhou, 350001, People's Republic of China, Tel +86 13911177655, Fax +86 0591 88217841, Email fushanxue@outlook.com, xuefushan@mail.ccmu.edu.cn

Dear editor

The recent article by Zhang and colleagues¹ demonstrated that, compared with ropivacaine alone, addition of nalbuphine to ropivacaine for erector spinae plane block (ESPB) provided a prolonged duration of analgesia and a reduced opioid consumption after surgery. Their findings are very interesting, but we have several questions about the design, methods, and results on this study and would appreciate the authors' answers.

First, their postoperative analgesic regimen included intravenous flurbiprofen 50 before skin suturing and patientcontrolled intravenous sufentanil analgesia with background infusion. Furthermore, mean cumulative sufentanil consumption in 24 h postoperatively was up to 74.38–81.32 µg in two groups, which is equivalent to 74.38–81.32 mg intravenous morphine. This is a typically opioid-dominated postoperative analgesic regimen and does not meet the recommendations of the current enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) practices for spinal surgery.² In fact, a key part of current ERAS protocols for spinal surgery is actually the multimodal opioid-sparing analgesic regimen containing different non-opioid analgesics, such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, acetaminophen, ketamine and others.³ Furthermore, it is required that these non-opioid analgesics are regularly administered perioperatively for patients without contraindications, while opioids should be used sparingly as rescue analgesics only.⁴ It has been shown that scheduled administration of these non-opioid analgesics alone or their combination can very effectively decrease postoperative pain and opioid consumption after spinal surgery.^{3,4} Thus, we argue that different results about influences of adding nalbuphine to ropivacaine on postoperative analgesia of ESPB would have been obtained if the perioperative analgesic regimen of this study had included regular use of paracetamol and any non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug, as recommended by current ERAS protocols.^{2–4}

Second, this study showed that addition of nalbuphine to ropivacaine significantly reduced pain scores at rest and during movement at some time-points postoperatively. We noted that the between-group differences of median pain scores at rest and during movement at these time-points was only 1 or less, which does not exceed the recommended clinically important difference of 2 points.⁵ Especially, the median pain scores at rest and during movement at all time-points within 48 postoperatively were 3 or less in the two groups, indicating that most patients only have mild postoperative pain and achieve the clinically accepted analgesic target in the current ERAS practices.³ In addition, patient satisfaction with postoperative pain management was not significantly different between groups. In these cases, we cannot determine whether improved postoperative pain control with addition of nalbuphine is clinically significant.

Finally, addition of nalbuphine to ropivacaine significantly decreased the mean cumulative sufentanil consumption in 24 h postoperatively, but the between-group difference was 6.94 μ g sufentanil, which is equivalent to 6.94 mg intravenous morphine and is less than the recommended clinically important difference of 9 mg intravenous morphine

in 24 h.⁵ As 1 mg intravenous nalbuphine is equivalent to 1 mg intravenous morphine, addition of 10 mg intravenous nalbuphine to ropivacaine actually results in a larger perioperative opioid consumption than ropivacaine alone. Thus, we question the clinical significance of opioid-sparing with addition of nalbuphine to ropivacaine for ESPB.

Disclosure

All authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

- Zhang J, Zhang F, Zhang H, Yu L, Chen J, Wang S. Effect of ropivacaine combined with nalbuphine in erector spinae plane block on postoperative analgesia in lumbar trauma surgery: a single-center randomized controlled trial. J Pain Res. 2025;18:11–19. doi:10.2147/JPR.S493518
- 2. Bansal T, Sharan AD, Garg B. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol in spine surgery. J Clin Orthop Trauma. 2022;31:101944. doi:10.1016/j.jcot.2022.101944
- 3. Bullock WM, Kumar AH, Manning E, Jones J. Perioperative analgesia in spine surgery: a review of current data supporting future direction. Orthop Clin North Am. 2023;54(4):495–506. doi:10.1016/j.ocl.2023.05.007
- Devin CJ, McGirt MJ. Best evidence in multimodal pain management in spine surgery and means of assessing postoperative pain and functional outcomes. J Clin Neurosci. 2015;22(6):930–938. doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2015.01.003
- Sorenson S, Flyger SSB, Pingel L, et al. Primary outcomes and anticipated effect sizes in randomised clinical trials assessing peripheral and truncal nerve blocks: a systematic scoping review. Br J Anaesth. 2025;134(2):535–544. doi:10.1016/j.bja.2024.09.029

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The contentTxt of the Journal of Pain Research 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Pain Research editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the contentTxt of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the contentTxt of any letter, nor is it responsible for the contentTxt and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Journal of Pain Research



Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Pain Research is an international, peer reviewed, open access, online journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of pain research and the prevention and management of pain. Original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-pain-research-journal

https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S522137