


Analysis of Acupoint Selection Rules for Guasha Treatment of Primary Headaches Based on Data Mining [Letter]

Tianai Shi, Zili Liu 

Department of Acupuncture and Moxibustion, The Second Affiliated Hospital to Yunnan University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Kunming, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Zili Liu, Department of Acupuncture and Moxibustion, The Second Affiliated Hospital to Yunnan University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 161 Dongjiao Street, Guandu District, Kunming, Yunnan, 650000, People's Republic of China, Email 2395818725@qq.com

Dear editor

We express our keen interest in the manuscript by Zhang et al,¹ which explores the application of data mining techniques in Guasha therapy for primary headaches. The study provides valuable insights into acupoint selection, potentially enhancing the effectiveness of Guasha treatments. While the work commendably bridges data science and traditional Chinese medicine, we propose several improvements to enhance its precision and impact.

Firstly, while the authors have utilized multiple databases in both Chinese and English for their literature retrieval, we recommend the inclusion of the Chongqing VIP database. This database is a major repository that contains a wealth of Guasha-related reports, which could provide additional relevant studies and enhance the comprehensiveness of the research.

Secondly, the authors incorporated “primary headache” as a English search term. However, it is important to recognize that primary headaches include various subtypes such as tension headache, cluster headache, and trigeminal autonomic headache.² If these specific terms are included in the search strategy, the research results can be more accurate and comprehensive.

Thirdly, regarding the exclusion criteria, the study did not exclude Guasha studies that showed poor outcomes compared to the control group. If the aim of the research is to comprehensively evaluate the overall efficacy of Guasha therapy, it is not necessary to exclude studies with poor outcomes. However, the author's research objective is to analyze Guasha acupoints demonstrating therapeutic benefits. Therefore, only acupoints of Guasha showing therapeutic effects should be analyzed and patterned. Figure 2 (the title might be misspelled, it should be “flow”, instead of “fow”) indicates that studies with a quality rating of C or lower were excluded, yet the specific criteria for exclusion were not explicitly stated in the paper. Moreover, the author excluded studies lacking explicit detail on Guasha acupoints. Since Guasha researches often focus on meridian pathways, all acupoints along these pathways should be considered in the analysis, even if not directly mentioned in these researches.

For the inclusion criteria, the author only indicated that the clinical studies were included for analysis, but Figure 2 also included pilot studies, empirical reports and case reports, which did not strictly meet the definition of clinical studies. Therefore, the inclusion criteria may need to be modified.

Finally, if the included studies combine main acupoints with secondary acupoints for various TCM syndromes or associated conditions, the authors should detail the extraction process for acupoint data more explicitly.

In conclusion, the manuscript by Zhang et al is a valuable contribution to the field of Guasha research. By enhancing the literature screening, refining data analysis, and focusing on future research priorities could boost its scholarly impact. The author's comprehensive discussion combining traditional Chinese and Western medicine perspectives is undoubtedly commendable. We look forward to more high-quality research results in this field.

Funding

This work was supported by the Yunnan Provincial Heritage Studio Project for Distinguished Senior Traditional Chinese Medicine Experts: Prof. Liu Zili's Inheritance Program (Grant No: Yun Wei Ren Fa[2024]7). TS has received funding from the Science and Technology Program of Yunnan Provincial Department of Science and Technology (Grant No: 202301AZ070001-126) and the University-Hospital Joint Research Fund of Yunnan University of Traditional Chinese Medicine (Grant No: XYLH2023105).

Disclosure

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare for this communication.

References

1. Zhang X, Huang X, Ni F, Mao X, Yang D, Xu M. Analysis of acupoint selection rules for Guasha treatment of primary headaches based on data mining. *J Pain Res.* 2024;17:1393–1400. doi:10.2147/JPR.S453671
2. Onofri A, Pensato U, Rosignoli C, et al. Primary headache epidemiology in children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Headache Pain.* 2023;24:8. doi:10.1186/s10194-023-01541-0

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Journal of Pain Research 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Pain Research editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Journal of Pain Research

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Pain Research is an international, peer reviewed, open access, online journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of pain research and the prevention and management of pain. Original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-pain-research-journal>

Dovepress
Taylor & Francis Group

<https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S522743>