


Comment on “Peripheral T Lymphocyte Predicts the Prognosis of Gastric Cancer Patients Undergoing Radical Gastrectomy: a Multicenter Retrospective Cohort Study” [Letter]

Zhihui Jin ^{1,2}

¹Department of Hematology and Oncology, Beilun Branch of the First Affiliated Hospital, College of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Ningbo, People's Republic of China; ²Department of Hematology and Oncology, Beilun People's Hospital, Ningbo, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Zhihui Jin, Department of Hematology and Oncology, Beilun People's Hospital, No. 1288 Lushan East Road, Beilun District, Ningbo City, 315800, People's Republic of China, Email jzh960626@163.com

Dear editor

The study by Xiao et al¹ provides valuable insights into the prognostic role of peripheral T lymphocytes in gastric cancer (GC) and highlights their potential utility in guiding adjuvant chemotherapy (AC) decisions. However, several methodological and interpretative limitations raise concerns about the validity and generalizability of the conclusions.

1. Retrospective Design and Selection Bias

The retrospective nature of the study inherently introduces selection bias, particularly in AC administration. As acknowledged, 25% of stage II/III patients did not receive AC, yet reasons for this omission (eg, patient frailty, comorbidities) are unaddressed. Such confounding factors may skew survival outcomes, as shown in Squires et al's analysis of perioperative variables in GC.² Furthermore, heterogeneity in chemotherapy regimens (SOX vs CapOx) complicates efficacy assessments. A prospective design, as advocated by the STROCSS criteria,³ is critical to mitigate these biases.

2. External Validation and Population Specificity

While external validation is a strength, the cohorts were exclusively Chinese, potentially limiting extrapolation to Western populations with distinct GC biology and treatment patterns. Previous research highlighted global disparities in GC incidence and molecular profiles, underscoring the need for multinational validation. Moreover, the median follow-up (26 months) is insufficient to assess long-term recurrence, as 20% of stage II/III GC relapses occur beyond 3 years.⁴

3. Statistical Oversimplification

Using X-tile for cutoff optimization risks overfitting, as dichotomizing continuous variables (eg, T lymphocytes) sacrifices granularity. Camp et al⁵ warned that such approaches may inflate false-positive associations. Additionally, the absence of machine learning or interaction analyses (eg, T cells × AC timing) overlooks nuanced biological relationships.

In conclusion, while Xiao et al contribute to the growing interest in immune biomarkers for GC, the study's retrospective design, narrow and methodological oversights limit its translational value. Overreliance on T lymphocytes risks oversimplifying GC prognosis, potentially misguiding clinical decisions. Future research must prioritize prospective validation in diverse cohorts, integrate multi-omics data, and address the intricate interplay between immunity and therapy. Without such advancements, the promise of precision oncology in GC remains unmet.

Disclosure

The author reports no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Xiao H, Zhang P, Zhang S, et al. Peripheral T Lymphocyte predicts the prognosis of gastric cancer patients undergoing radical gastrectomy: a multicenter retrospective cohort study. *J Inflamm Res.* 2024;17:10599–10612. doi:10.2147/JIR.S494342
2. Squires MH, Kooby DA, Poultsides GA, et al. Effect of perioperative transfusion on recurrence and survival after gastric cancer resection: a 7-institution analysis of 765 patients from the US Gastric Cancer Collaborative. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2015;221(3):767–777. doi:10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2015.06.012
3. Wang J-B, Li P, Liu X-L, et al. An immune checkpoint score system for prognostic evaluation and adjuvant chemotherapy selection in gastric cancer. *Nat Commun.* 2020;11(1):6352. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-20260-7
4. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA.* 2021;71(3):209–249. doi:10.3322/caac.21660
5. Takahashi R, Ohashi M, Kano Y, et al. Timing and site-specific trends of recurrence in patients with pathological stage II or III gastric cancer after curative gastrectomy followed by adjuvant S-1 monotherapy. *Gastric Cancer.* 2019;22(6):1256–1262. doi:10.1007/s10120-019-00953-9

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Journal of Inflammation Research 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Inflammation Research editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Journal of Inflammation Research

Publish your work in this journal

Dovepress
Taylor & Francis Group

The Journal of Inflammation Research is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings on the molecular basis, cell biology and pharmacology of inflammation including original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries on: acute/chronic inflammation; mediators of inflammation; cellular processes; molecular mechanisms; pharmacology and novel anti-inflammatory drugs; clinical conditions involving inflammation. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-inflammation-research-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/JIR.S523648>