

From Brain to Insomnia: Can Neurotrophic Factors Unlock the Sleep Puzzle After Stroke? [Response To Letter]

Guomei Shi^{1,2}, Xiaorong Wang^{2,3}, Rujuan Zhou^{2,3}

¹Department of Neurology, Taixing Clinical College of Bengbu Medical College, Taixing, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China; ²Stroke Center, Taixing People's Hospital, Taixing, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China; ³Department of Neurology, Taixing People's Hospital, Taixing, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Rujuan Zhou, Taixing People's Hospital, No. 1 Changzheng Road, Taixing, Jiangsu, 225400, People's Republic of China, Tel +86 13951158499, Email zhourujuan123@163.com

Dear editor

We are grateful for the attention to our recent study published in *Nature and Science of Sleep*¹ and the insightful feedback by Yang, Pan and Hong.² Their letter raised three key points: (1) In early neurological deterioration (END) patients, impaired consciousness (eg, drowsiness, coma) may confound early-onset insomnia (EOI) assessment. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), as a self-report tool, might not be suitable for patients with acute neurological deterioration. (2) The DeLong test was not used to confirm the statistical significance of AUC differences between biomarkers (mBDNF/proBDNF ratio vs mBDNF alone). (3) Subgroup analyses for comorbidities (eg, depression, anxiety) were lacking, potentially confounding the BDNF-EOI association.

We recognize the potential challenge of distinguishing EOI from acute neurological impairments, such as altered consciousness, in patients with END. To address this, our exclusion criteria rigorously excluded individuals with severe aphasia or cognitive deficits that could compromise self-reported sleep assessments (see Materials and Methods). All included participants were clinically evaluated to ensure sufficient cognitive capacity to complete PSQI. Additionally, given the potential impact of specific infarction sites (eg, thalamus, brainstem) on sleep, we meticulously identified lesion locations, including telencephalon, diencephalon, cerebellum, and brainstem. Statistical analysis revealed no significant association between these infarction sites and the occurrence of EOI.

While acknowledging the limitations of relying on subjective sleep measures, objective tools like polysomnography (PSG) were limited to 11 patients due to technical and financial constraints. This limitation is explicitly discussed in the revised manuscript (see Discussion), where we emphasize the need for future studies to incorporate actigraphy or simplified PSG protocols for broader applicability. Importantly, our diagnosis of EOI adhered strictly to ICSD-3 criteria, requiring new-onset sleep disturbances (eg, sleep initiation, maintenance difficulties) in patients without pre-stroke insomnia, thereby differentiating EOI from transient arousal deficits caused by acute brain injury.

We concur with Yang et al's observation regarding the need to statistically validate differences in AUC values among biomarkers. Reanalysis using the DeLong test confirmed that the mBDNF/proBDNF ratio (AUC = 0.778) significantly outperformed mBDNF alone (AUC = 0.686; $Z = 2.128$; DeLong test: $p = 0.033$), indicating the ratio's superior predictive capability for EOI.

We agree that comorbidities may influence neurotrophin levels. In our multivariate regression model, HAMD (depression) scores were identified as an independent predictor of EOI (OR = 1.429, $p < 0.001$), while HAMA (anxiety) scores showed borderline significance ($p = 0.081$). Subgroup analyses stratified by HAMD scores revealed no significant interaction between neurotrophin levels and depressive status on EOI risk ($p > 0.05$), suggesting partial control of confounding. Future studies should conduct subgroup analyses stratified by comorbidities (eg, anxiety, hypertension, diabetes) or employ mediation models to clarify whether BDNF-EOI associations are independent of comorbidities.

Finally, we sincerely appreciate Yang et al for their rigorous review and will incorporate these recommendations into our future research endeavours. We look forward to validating these findings through multicenter longitudinal studies and mechanistic investigations.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Shi G, Yu P, Wang Z, et al. The role of mature brain-derived neurotrophic factor and its precursor in predicting early-onset insomnia in stroke patients experiencing early neurological deterioration. *Nat Sci Sleep*. 2025;17:315–327. doi:10.2147/NSS.S500052
2. Yang S, Pan H, Hong P. From brain to insomnia: can neurotrophic factors unlock the sleep puzzle after stroke? [Letter]. *Nat Sci Sleep*. 2025;17:435–436. doi:10.2147/NSS.S524829

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Nature and Science of Sleep 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Nature and Science of Sleep editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Nature and Science of Sleep

Publish your work in this journal

Nature and Science of Sleep is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal covering all aspects of sleep science and sleep medicine, including the neurophysiology and functions of sleep, the genetics of sleep, sleep and society, biological rhythms, dreaming, sleep disorders and therapy, and strategies to optimize healthy sleep. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/nature-and-science-of-sleep-journal>

Dovepress
Taylor & Francis Group