REVIEW

A Comprehensive Review on the Pharmacokinetics and Drug–Drug Interactions of Approved GLP-I Receptor Agonists and a Dual GLP-I/GIP Receptor Agonist

Jee Sun Min¹, Seong Jun Jo^{1,2}, Sangyoung Lee¹, Duk Yeon Kim¹, Da Hyun Kim¹, Chae Bin Lee³, Soo Kyung Bae¹

¹College of Pharmacy and Integrated Research Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, The Catholic University of Korea, Bucheon, 14662, Republic of Korea; ²Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY, 14214, USA; ³Johns Hopkins Drug Discovery, Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, 21205, USA

Correspondence: Soo Kyung Bae, College of Pharmacy and Integrated Research Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, The Catholic University of Korea, Bucheon, 14662, Republic of Korea, Email baesk@catholic.ac.kr

Abstract: Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RAs) are peptide-derived analogs that were initially investigated to treat type 2 diabetes. Recently, a drug targeting the receptors of both GLP-1 and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) (tirzepatide) has been introduced to the market, and its indications have expanded to include treating obesity. Here, we review the pharmacokinetics, pharmacokinetic drug-drug interactions (DDIs), and pharmacokinetic modeling approaches of four currently available GLP-1 RAs (exenatide, liraglutide, dulaglutide, and semaglutide) and tirzepatide. To address the extremely short half-life (2 min) of native human GLP-1, structural modifications have been applied to GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA. These include amino acid sequence substitutions, fatty acid conjugation using a linker, and fusion with albumin or the IgG fragment crystallizable (Fc) region, resulting in minimal metabolism and renal excretion. Due to their diverse structures, the pharmacokinetic profiles vary, and a prolonged half-life may be associated with an increased risk of adverse events. Clinically significant drug-metabolizing enzyme- and transporter-mediated DDIs are vet to be reported. Mechanism-of-action-mediated DDIs are currently limited to those involving delayed gastric emptying, and most studies have found them to be clinically insignificant. However, significant changes in exposure were observed for oral contraceptives and levothyroxine following the administration of tirzepatide and oral semaglutide, respectively, indicating the need for close monitoring in these instances. Thirty models have been developed to predict pharmacokinetics and physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling can be useful for assessing mechanism-of-action-mediated DDIs. Alterations in the volume of distribution and clearance resulting from other mechanisms of action (eg, reduced fat mass, changes in cytochrome P450 activity, and glomerular filtration rate) are key factors in determining pharmacokinetics. However, the DDIs mediated by these factors remain poorly understood and require further investigation to ensure that GLP-1 RAs can be safely used with concomitant medications.

Keywords: GLP-1, GIP, pharmacokinetics, drug-drug interactions, physiologically based pharmacokinetic model

Introduction

Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RAs) are peptide hormone-derived analogs classified as incretin mimetic drugs (Figure 1).^{1–5} Incretins are gut hormones released into the bloodstream following food consumption that enhances insulin secretion in pancreatic β -cells and help decrease high blood glucose levels.⁶ Therefore, GLP-1 RAs were first investigated as treatments for type 2 diabetes.⁷ Compared to other antidiabetic drugs, GLP-1 RAs have the advantage of only being pharmacologically active when blood glucose levels are high.⁸ This significantly reduces the incidence of hypoglycemia—a frequently reported adverse drug reaction associated with other antidiabetic drugs like sulfonylureas or insulin.^{9,10} Additionally, GLP-1 RAs suppress glucagon secretion from the α -cells in the pancreas, leading to decreased blood glucose levels.¹¹

you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).



Figure I Molecular weights and amino acid sequences of human GLP-1 (**A**), exendin-4 (**B**), exenatide (**C**), liraglutide (**D**), dulaglutide (**E**), semaglutide (**F**), human GIP (**G**), and tirzepatide (**H**), with substituted amino acids marked in different colors. Created in BioRender. Min, J. (2025) <u>https://BioRender.com/o27r742</u>. **Abbreviations**: hGLP-1, human glucagon-like peptide-1; DPP-4, dipeptidyl peptidase-4; lgG4-Fc, immunoglobulin G4 fragment crystallizable; Aib, α -aminoisobutyric acid; OEG, oligoethylene glycol; hGIP, human glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide.

However, a drawback of the native human GLP-1 (Figure 1A) is its extremely short in vivo half-life (2 min) due to fast clearance by dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4).¹ Thus, numerous studies have used biotechnology to extend the half-life of GLP-1 RAs, with strategies including amino acid sequence substitution, fatty acid conjugation with a linker, fusion of albumin or the IgG fragment crystallizable (Fc) region (Figure 1).^{5,12,13} These efforts have yielded six GLP-1 RAs–starting with the development of exendin-4 (Figure 1B)-based exenatide (Figure 1C), followed by liraglutide (Figure 1D), albiglutide, dulaglutide (Figure 1E), lixisenatide, and semaglutide (Figure 1F)–that have been approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) to treat type 2 diabetes.¹⁴ Among these, albiglutide was pulled from the market in 2017 because of declining sales;¹⁵ likewise, lixisenatide was withdrawn from the US market as of January 1, 2023, for commercial reasons, rather than because of any safety or efficacy issues.¹⁴

Furthermore, GLP-1 RAs reportedly induce weight loss by reducing appetite and this satiety-promoting effect has recently attracted significant interest.^{16,17} The appetite-regulating effects of GLP-1 RAs are primarily mediated by both peripheral (vagal) and central nervous system pathways.^{1,18} Food intake causes the stomach to stretch, activating gastromechanoreceptors in the intestinal wall, which then transmit satiety signals via the vagus nerve.^{19,20} GLP-1 RAs delay gastric emptying and reduce stomach motility in obese patients, contributing to their satiating effect.²¹ In addition, intracerebro ventricular administration of GLP-1 decreased food intake in rats, suggesting GLP-1 RAs are involved in a central nervous system pathway.²² Eight obese women reported reduced hunger following subcutaneous injections of exenatide and it was related with an enhanced functional connectivity of the nucleus tractus solitarius with the thalamus and hypothalamus.²³ Clinical trials also indicated that GLP-1 RA treatment for obese patients presented superior weight loss efficacy compared to other anti-obesity medications.²⁴ Based on these findings, two GLP-1 RAs were approved by the US FDA to treat obesity so far: liraglutide and semaglutide.

Recently, the newly developed drug tirzepatide, which targets both the GLP-1 and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) receptors, was introduced to the market to treat type 2 diabetes and obesity in 2022 and 2023, respectively (Table 1).^{25,26} GIP (Figure 1G) is another incretin hormone that triggers insulin secretion when a meal is ingested.²⁷ Tirzepatide (Figure 1H) was designed to bind GLP-1/GIP receptors by modifying the native amino acid sequence of GIP.^{3,28} The combined activation of GLP-1 and GIP receptors more effectively reduces glucose levels and stimulates weight loss compared to the placebo, semaglutide and dulaglutide, or insulin.^{29–31}

Several clinical trials and reviews have been conducted to clarify the safety and effectiveness of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA.^{32,33} However, given the lack of comprehensive reviews in the existing literature on the pharmacokinetics and drug-drug interactions (DDIs) of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA, we produced this review to consolidate current knowledge and provide a thorough analysis of key considerations in clinical practice. The main objective of this review is to examine the pharmacokinetics of five peptide-derived incretin mimetic medications—exenatide, liraglutide, dulaglutide, semaglutide, and tirzepatide—including GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA are outlined. Second, their pharmacokinetics, efficacy, and relationship between the pharmacokinetics and adverse events are summarized. Third, the pharmacokinetic DDIs of the medications are examined. Fourth, pharmacokinetic modeling approaches and the applications of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA are described. Finally, future perspectives of these therapies are briefly discussed.

Structures, Indications, and Dosages of GLP-1 RAs and a Dual GLP-1/GIP RA

Exenatide

Exenatide is the first-in-class incretin mimetic drug.³⁴ It is a 39 amino acid (aa)-long synthetic peptide drug (Figure 1C), derived from exendin-4 (Figure 1B), a peptide isolated from the saliva of the lizard *Heloderma suspectum*.^{4,35} The immediate-release (IR) formulation of exenatide (Byetta[®], Amylin Pharmaceuticals, San Diego, CA, USA) was first developed in 2005 to treat type 2 diabetes (Table 1).³⁴ Initial dosing regimen of Byetta[®] is 5 µg subcutaneously twice daily and after one month, the dose is increased to 10 µg twice daily based on clinical response (Table 1).³⁶ In 2012, the extended-release (ER) formulation of exenatide (Bydureon[®], Amylin Pharmaceuticals) was approved by the US FDA to

Drug	Brand Name	Approval Date	Indications	Administration Route	Dosage
GLP-1 RAs					
Exenatide	Byetta [®] (IR)	2005	• T2DM in adults	SC	5,10 μg BID
	Bydureon BCise [®] (ER)	2017	• T2DM in adults and pediatric patients aged 10 years and older	SC	2 mg QW
Liraglutide	Victoza [®]	2010	 T2DM in adults and pediatric patients aged 10 years and older Reduce the risk of MACE in adults with T2DM and established CVD 	SC	0.6, 1.2, or 1.8 mg QD
	Saxenda [®]	2014	 Chronic weight management in adults and pediatric patients aged 12 years and older with obesity^a Chronic weight management in adults with overweight^b in the presence of at least one weight-related comorbid condition 	sc	0.6, 1.2, 1.8, 2.4, or 3 mg QD
Dulaglutide	Trulicity®	2014	 T2DM in adults and pediatric patients 10 years of age and older Reduce the risk of MACE in adults with T2DM and established CVD 	SC	0.75, 1.5, 3, or 4.5 mg QW
Semaglutide	Ozempic [®]	2017	 T2DM in adults Reduce the risk of MACE in adults with T2DM and established CVD 	SC	0.25, 0.5, I, or 2 mg QW
	Rybelsus [®]	2019	• T2DM in adults	Oral	3, 7, or 14 mg QD
	Wegovy [®]	2021	 Reduce the risk of MACE in adults with established CVD and either obesity^a or overweight^b Chronic weight management in adults and pediatric patients aged 12 years and older with obesity^a Chronic weight management in adults with overweight^b in the presence of at least one weight-related comorbid condition 	sc	0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.7, or 2.4 mg QW
Dual GLP-1/GI	P RA				
Tirzepatide	Mounjaro [®]	2022	T2DM in adults	SC	2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 12.5, or 15 mg QW
	Zepbound®	2023	 Chronic weight management in adults with obesity Chronic weight management in adults with overweight^b in the presence of at least one weight-related comorbid condition 	SC	2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 12.5, or 15 mg QW

Table I Information on Currently Available US FDA-Approved GLP-I RAs and a Dual GLP-I/GIP RA

Notes: ^aObesity, body mass index (BMI) of 30 kg/m² or greater; ^bOverweight, body mass index (BMI) of 27 kg/m² or greater. Abbreviations: IR, immediate-release; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; SC, subcutaneous; BID, twice a day; ER, extended-release; QW, once a week; CVD, cardio-vascular disease; QD, once a day; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events.

treat type 2 diabetes mellitus.³⁷ Bydureon Pen[®] (AstraZeneca, Wilmington, DE, USA) and Bydureon BCise[®] (AstraZeneca) were developed later, but currently, only the latter, an enhanced injector pen formulation, is prescribed.³⁸ The dosing regimen of Bydureon BCise[®] is 2 mg once weekly subcutaneously (Table 1).³⁸

Liraglutide

Liraglutide is a 31 aa-long synthetic peptide drug attached to 16 carbon fatty acids (MW: 3751 g/mol) (Figure 1D).³⁹ It was approved by the US FDA in 2014 to treat obesity (Saxenda[®], Novo Nordisk, Plainsboro, NJ, USA), after first being approved as a type 2 diabetes medication (Victoza[®], Novo Nordisk) in 2010 (Table 1). The available doses of liraglutide are 0.6 mg, 1.2 mg, or 1.8 mg for type 2 diabetes, and 0.6 mg, 1.2 mg, 1.8 mg, 2.4 mg, or 3 mg for obesity, respectively. The initial dosing regimen of liraglutide is 0.6 mg subcutaneously daily, with the dose increased to up to 3 mg for Saxenda[®] and 1.8 mg for Victoza[®], usually after one week at the previous dose.^{40,41}

Dulaglutide

Dulaglutide is a recombinant fusion protein consisting of two identical 31-aa chains, each covalently linked to a single Fc fragment derived from a modified human IgG4 heavy chain (MW: 59,669.8 g/mol) (Figure 1E).^{42,43} Dulaglutide (Trulicity[®], Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN, USA) was as a treatment for type 2 diabetes in 2014. It is administered as a subcutaneous injection once weekly, with available doses of 0.75 mg, 1.5 mg, 3 mg, and 4.5 mg (Table 1). The initial dosing regimen of dulaglutide is 0.75 mg subcutaneously weekly, with the dose increased to 4.5 mg, usually one month after the previous dose.⁴³

Semaglutide

Semaglutide is a peptide drug composed of 31 amino acids linked with 18 carbon fatty acids (MW: 4113.6 g/mol) (Figure 1F).⁴⁴ It was first used to treat type 2 diabetes (Ozempic[®], Novo Nordisk) as a subcutaneous injection given once weekly. Subsequently, an oral formulation for once-daily administration (Rybelsus[®], Novo Nordisk) was developed in 2019 to treat type 2 diabetes.⁴⁵ Oral semaglutide is combined with sodium N-(8-[2-hydroxybenzoyl] amino) caprylate (salcaprozate sodium;SNAC), an absorption enhancer that improves semaglutide's absorption across the gastric mucosa by transcellular mechanisms.⁴⁶ By 2021, semaglutide (Wegovy[®], Novo Nordisk) administered by subcutaneous injection was also approved by the US FDA as an obesity treatment (Table 1).⁴⁷ The doses of semaglutide available for subcutaneous injection are 0.25 mg, 0.5 mg, 1 mg, or 2 mg for type 2 diabetes, and 0.25 mg, 0.5 mg, 1 mg, 1.7 mg, or 2.4 mg for obesity, respectively. The initial dosing regimen of semaglutide subcutaneous injection is 0.25 mg weekly, with the dose increased up to 2 mg for Ozempic[®] and 2.4 mg for Wegovy[®], respectively, usually after one month at the previous dose.^{48,49} The available doses of oral semaglutide are 3 mg, 7 mg, and 14 mg (Table 1). The initial dosing regimen of oral semaglutide is 3 mg daily, with the dose increasing to 14 mg daily usually after one month of the previous dose.⁴⁵

Tirzepatide

Tirzepatide is a 39-aa peptide conjugated with C20 fatty acids (MW: 4813 g/mol) (Figure 1H).³ It was approved by the US FDA in 2023 to treat obesity (Zepbound[®], Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN, USA) which was initially approved to treat type 2 diabetes in 2022 (Mounjaro[®], Eli Lilly and Company) (Table 1). Tirzepatide is available in weekly subcutaneous doses of 2.5 mg, 5 mg, 7.5 mg, 10 mg, 12.5 mg, and 15 mg (Table 1).^{50,51} The initial dosing regimen of tirzepatide is 2.5 mg weekly, with the dose increased to up to 15 mg weekly usually after one month at the previous dose.^{50,51}

Pharmacokinetics of GLP-I RAs and a Dual GLP-I/GIP RA

Numerous studies have reported the pharmacokinetics of these drugs, with each study showing varying pharmacokinetic parameters based on differences in the clinical study design, pharmacokinetic parameter calculation approaches, or analytical methods. The pharmacokinetic parameters and profiles discussed in this review were primarily derived from clinical pharmacology and biopharmaceutics reviews and prescribing information released electronically by the US FDA.

The pharmacokinetic profiles of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA vary owing to their diverse structures (Figures 1 and 2).^{39,52–58} Due to their large molecular weights and high polarity compared to small-molecule drugs, peptide drugs have low membrane permeability, resulting in a relatively small Vd in general.⁵⁹ Compared to human GLP-1 (Figure 1A), the alanine residue at the second position of the N-terminus in GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA (except for liraglutide) has been substituted to prevent metabolism by DPP-4 (Figure 1). Exenatide (Figure 1C) exhibits relatively fast renal clearance and a short half-life (Figure 2A). The ER formulation of exenatide achieves sustained drug concentrations in plasma over time (Figure 2B). Liraglutide (Figure 1D), dulaglutide (Figure 1E), semaglutide (Figure 1F), and tirzepatide (Figure 1H), which incorporate fatty acid conjugation using a linker or an IgG fragment crystallizable (Fc) region, display minimal renal clearance and prolonged half-lives (Figure 2C–G).⁶⁰ Detailed information on their pharmacokinetic characteristics is provided below.

Exenatide

Exenatide IR is absorbed rapidly, with maximum plasma concentrations (C_{max}) usually attained around 2 h following subcutaneous injection (Figure 2A and H).^{36,61} However, exenatide ER is encapsulated in microspheres of medical-grade poly-(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide), which ensures the gradual and extended release of the drug (Figure 2B).⁶² In the first few hours, <1% of the surface-bound exenatide is released. During the subsequent gradual-release phase, which lasted for





(H)

	Exen	atide	Liraqlutide ⁶³	Dulaglutide	Sema	Tirzepatide	
	IR ³⁶	ER ⁵⁴		43,193	Oral ^{57,68}	SC ^{49,56}	51,73
Population	T2DM	T2DM	Healthy, T2DM	T2DM	Healthy, T2DM	T2DM	Healthy, T2DM
Cmax	less than dose proportional	-	dose	less than dose	dose	dose	dose
AUC	dose proportional	dose proportional	proportional proportional		proportional	proportional	proportional
T _{max} (h)	2.1	2.1–5.1, 2 and 7 weeks	12 (7–14)	48 (24–72)	2–2.5	36–59.8	8–72
t _{1/2} (h)	2.4	-	13 (11–15)	112.8	153–161	149–150	120
Vd(L)	-	-	11–24.7	-	8	-	-
CL (L/h)	-	-	0.6–1.2	-	0.04	-	-
F (%)	-	-	55	47–65	0.8	89	80
CL/F (L/h)	9.1	-	-	0.107	-	0.05	0.061
Vd/F (L)	28.3	-	-	17.4–19.2	-	12.5	10.3

Figure 2 Mean plasma concentration-time profiles (**A**–**G**) and pharmacokinetic parameters (**H**) of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA. (**A**) Mean + standard deviation (SD) plasma concentration-time profile of exenatide IR following a single dose of 10 µg in healthy participants (n = 39). Data obtained from the US FDA clinical pharmacology biopharmaceutics review of Byetta^{®, 52} (**B**) Mean + standard deviation (SD) plasma concentration-time profile of exenatide ER following a single dose of 2.5 mg in type 2 diabetes participants (n = 14). Adapted from Fineman M, Flanagan S, Taylor K et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of exenatide extended-release after single and multiple dosing. *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 2011;50(1):65–74, with permission of Springer Nature.⁵⁴ Permission conveyed through copyright clearance center, inc. (**C**) Mean plasma concentration-time profile of liraglutide following 1.8 mg and 3 mg doses at steady state in obese participants (n = 30 and 32, respectively). Data obtained from US FDA clinical pharmacology biopharmaceutics review of fluaglutide.³⁹ (**D**) Mean plasma concentration-time profile of dulaglutide following a single dose of 0.1–12 mg in healthy participants. Data obtained from US FDA clinical pharmacoulogy biopharmaceutics review of dulaglutide.⁵⁵ (**E**) Mean + standard deviation (SD) plasma concentration-time profile of semaglutide following 0.5 mg and 1 mg doses at steady state in healthy participants (n = 8). Data obtained from US FDA clinical pharmacology biopharmaceutics review of fluaglutide.⁵⁶ (**G**) Mean + standard deviation (SD) plasma concentration-time profile of semaglutide following 0.5 mg and 1 mg doses at steady state in healthy participants (n = 8). Data obtained from US FDA clinical pharmacology biopharmaceutics review of tirzepatide.⁵⁶ (**H**) Pharmacology biopharmaceutics review of algendee of 0.25–8 mg in healthy participants. Data obtained from US FDA clinical pharmacology biopharmaceutics review of dirzepatide.⁵⁸ (**H**) Pharmacokinetic parameters o

Abbreviations: IR, immediate-release; ER, extended-release; SC, subcutaneous; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; C_{max} , maximum plasma concentration; AUC, area under the curve; T_{max} , time to reach maximum plasma concentration; $t_{1/2}$, terminal half-life; Vd, volume of distribution; CL, total body clearance; *F*, absolute bioavailability; CL/*F*, apparent clearance; Vd/*F*, apparent volume of distribution.

approximately 10 weeks, exenatide diffused from the deeper parts of the microspheres as the polymer degraded into smaller fragments. This is followed by the final erosion release when the polymer fully hydrolyzes into lactic acid and glycolic acid.^{54,62} Plasma concentrations of exenatide after ER formulation injection increased in a dose-dependent manner, but not dose-proportionally, across the 2.5–10 mg dose range.⁵⁴ The mean apparent volume of distribution for exenatide after a single dose administered twice daily is 28.3 L, and it is expected to remain the same for exenatide ER (Figure 2H).³⁸ In nonclinical studies, exenatide was mainly cleared by glomerular filtration, with subsequent proteolytic inactivation occurring in the renal tubules. In humans, exenatide has a dose-independent mean apparent clearance of 9.1 L/h.³⁸

Liraglutide

The pharmacokinetics of liraglutide have been well documented in other reviews.⁶³ In brief, the absolute bioavailability (*F*) of liraglutide is about 55%; furthermore, it has slow absorption (T_{max} : approximately 12 h) (Figure 2C and H).^{63,64} The volume of distribution (Vd) and CL estimates from a population pharmacokinetic study ranged between 11.0–24.7 L and 0.6–1.2 L/h, respectively, showing consistency across various populations, including healthy individuals and those with type 2 diabetes, as well as dosage levels.⁶³ Its half-life ($t_{1/2}$) is approximately 13h (11–15h) (Figure 2H). This prolonged half-life (Figure 2C) can be explained by its structure, being composed of human GLP-1 conjugated with 16-carbon fatty acids, which causes noncovalent binding to serum albumin, reducing renal clearance (Figure 1D).⁶⁵ The metabolism of liraglutide is mainly mediated by DPP-4 and neutral endopeptidase.⁶⁶ Moreover, unchanged liraglutide was not detected in both urine and feces, implying that it is completely degraded within the body.⁶⁶

Dulaglutide

Dulaglutide is absorbed slowly, with a median T_{max} of 48 h (Figure 2D and H).⁴³ After a single subcutaneous dose of dulaglutide at 0.75 mg or 1.5 mg, the mean absolute bioavailability values (*F*) were 65% and 47%, respectively.⁴³ Systemic exposure to dulaglutide did not increase proportionally with doses ranging from 0.05 to 8 mg.⁶⁷ The steady state volume of distribution (Vd_{ss}) were 19.2 L and 17.4 L, following the administration of 0.75 mg and 1.5 mg dulaglutide, respectively (Figure 2H).⁴³ Dulaglutide is expected to be primarily metabolized by general protein catabolism, in which it is broken down into its constituent amino acids. The apparent clearance of dulaglutide at steady state was approximately 0.1 L/h for both the 0.75 mg and 1.5 mg once-weekly doses. The half-life (t_{1/2}) of dulaglutide for the 0.75 mg and 1.5 mg once-weekly doses is approximately 5 d, which supports its once-weekly administration (Figure 2D).⁴³

Semaglutide

The absolute bioavailability (*F*) of subcutaneously administered semaglutide is 89% (Figure 2H).^{48,49} Despite being coformulated with an absorption enhancer, the absolute bioavailability of orally administered semaglutide was relatively low, at 0.8%.⁶⁸ This limited absorption is likely due to semaglutide's large molecular size.⁶⁹ Orally administered semaglutide is primarily absorbed in the stomach and is facilitated by an absorption enhancer, with a T_{max} of 2–2.5 h (Figure 2E and H).⁵⁷ Conversely, semaglutide administered subcutaneously is absorbed more slowly, with a T_{max} ranging from 36 to 59.8 h. It has a half-life (t_{1/2}) of approximately 7 d, which supports its once-weekly administration (Figure 2F).⁴⁸ Semaglutide possess a longer fatty acid chain (C-18 fatty acids) (Figure 1F) than liraglutide (C-16 fatty acids) (Figure 1D), which leads to increased albumin binding of the drug.⁷⁰ In addition, alanine at the 8th position is substituted with 2-amino isobutyric acid in semaglutide, which increases the drug's resistance to DPP-4 (Figure 1F).⁷¹ Such structure differences between liraglutide and semaglutide may result in a longer half-life and less frequent dosing of semaglutide. The apparent clearance and volume of distribution of semaglutide are 0.05 L/h and 12.5 L, respectively (Figure 2H). Semaglutide is metabolized by proteolysis and fatty acid oxidation and 3.1% of unchanged semaglutide is detected in urine.⁷²

Tirzepatide

Tirzepatide has a mean absolute bioavailability (*F*) of 80% and is absorbed slowly, with a T_{max} of 8–72 h in patients with type 2 diabetes (Figure 2G and H).^{51,73} The systemic exposure to tirzepatide increased dose-proportionally with doses ranging from 0.25 to 15 mg.⁷⁴ The mean apparent steady-state volume of distribution (Vd_{ss}) of tirzepatide in patients with type 2 diabetes is approximately 10.3 L. Metabolism of tirzepatide is primarily mediated by hydrolysis of the peptide and beta-oxidation of the fatty acid (Figure 2H).⁵¹ The apparent clearance (CL/F) of tirzepatide is 0.061 L/h, and its half-life (t_{1/2}) is approximately 5 d, which supports once-weekly dosing (Figure 2G).^{51,75} The metabolites are mainly excreted in urine and feces, while intact tirzepatide is not detected in either.⁷⁶ The pharmacokinetics of the drugs were found to be similar between healthy individuals and patients with type 2 diabetes.⁷⁷

Pharmacological Effects and Adverse Events of GLP-I RAs and a Dual GLP-I/GIP RA

After being absorbed into the bloodstream, both GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA exert their pharmacological effects by interacting with their respective target cell membrane receptors.⁷⁸ GLP-1 receptors, class B of seven transmembrane G protein-coupled receptors, are expressed in various organs, including the brain, gastrointestinal tract, pancreas, kidneys, lungs, breasts, and thyroid gland.^{79–81} GLP-1 receptors are also found in blood vessels within specific organs, particularly the kidneys and lungs.⁷⁹ Recent studies have also reported the presence of GLP-1 receptor mRNA transcripts in both heart chambers and heart muscle cells.^{82,83} Similarly, GIP receptors are class B-seven-transmembrane G protein-coupled receptors that are also expressed in various organs. However, their binding affinities and specificities differ from those of GLP-1 receptors because of differences in their amino acid sequences.^{84,85}

Their interactions activate various cell signaling pathways, which have been extensively reviewed in previous studies.^{86,87} Based on this information, numerous clinical trials have been conducted, and the efficacy and adverse

events of pivotal clinical trials related to the US FDA approval of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA for the treatment of type 2 diabetes and obesity are summarized in Table 2.^{88–96} Among the hitherto developed GLP-1 RAs, semaglutide has shown the highest efficacy with changes in HbA1c (%) and body weight of -1.45-1.55 and -14.85%, respectively, while tirzepatide has demonstrated relatively more effective blood glucose-lowering effects (-1.87-2.07) and weight loss (-15-20.9%) (Table 2).

In addition to the glucose-lowering effect mediated by the insulin secretion of pancreatic β -cells, and the central nervous-mediated delayed gastric emptying and satiety effects, some GLP-1 RAs also reportedly have cardioprotective, renoprotective, and hepatoprotective effects.^{97–102} Evidence indicates that GLP-1 RAs either reduce the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) or have no effect on cardiac safety in patients with type 2 diabetes, based on findings from cardiovascular outcome trials.^{97,98} Therefore, the indications for liraglutide, dulaglutide, and semaglutide have been expanded to treat patients with type 2 diabetes at risk of MACEs (Table 1). The pharmacological actions mediated by GLP-1 RAs, such as glucose-lowering effects, weight loss, reduced blood pressure, and improved lipid profile, may partially contribute to these cardiovascular outcomes.^{87,99}

Table 2 also summarizes the most frequently reported adverse events from the pivotal clinical trials. GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA administration-associated adverse events are primarily related to the gastrointestinal system, with nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea being the most common issues (Table 2).¹⁰³ To mitigate these adverse events, the doses of some GLP-1 RAs are carefully titrated (Table 1).¹⁰⁴ The administration of GLP-1 RAs to obese patients has also led to

Drug	Half-Life (h)	Clinical Trial				
		Dose	Endpoint	Adverse Events		
			Changes	(% of Number of Patient)		
Exenatide (IR)	2.4 h ³⁶		DURATION-5 (n = 252, 2	24 wks) ⁸⁸		
		5 µg (4 wks)	HbAIc (%)	Nausea (35.0)		
		→10 µg (20wks) BID, SC	-0.9	Vomiting (8.9) Headache (8.1)		
Exenatide (ER)	-		DURATION-5 (n = 252, 2	24 wks) ⁸⁸		
		2 mg QW, SC	HbAIc (%)	Nausea (14)		
			-1.6	Diarrhea (9.3) Respiratory tract infection (7)		
Liraglutide	13 h ⁶³		LEAD-6 (n = 464, 26 v	wks) ⁸⁹		
		1.8 mg QD, SC	HbAIc (%)	Nausea (25.5)		
			-1.12	Diarrhea (12.3) Nasopharyngitis (11.5)		
			SCALE (n = 3731, 56	wks) ⁹⁰		
		3.0 mg QD, SC	Change in body weight (%)	Nausea (40.2)		
			-8.0	Diarrhea (20.9) Constipation (20.0)		
Dulaglutide	112.8 h ⁴³		AWARD-6 (n = 599, 26	wks) ⁹¹		
		1.5 mg QW, SC	HbAIc (%)	Nausea (20)		
			-1.42	Diarrhea (12) Dyspepsia (8)		

Table 2 Half-Life, Efficacy, and Adverse Events of Approved GLP-I RAs and a Dual GLP-I/GIP RA

(Continued)

Table 2 (Continued)	
---------------------	--

Drug	Half-Life (h)			
			Endpoint	Adverse Events
			Changes	(% of Number of Patient)
Semaglutide	149-161 h ^{49,56,57,68}		SUSTAIN-1 (n = 388, 30) wks) ⁹²
			HbAIc (%)	
		0.5 mg QW, SC	-1.45	Nausea (20–24) Diarrhea (11–13)
		I mg QW, SC	-1.55	Headache (7–12)
			PIONEER-1 (n = 703, 26	5 wks) ⁹³
			HbAIc (%)	
		3 mg QD, Oral	-0.6	Nausea (5.1–16) Diarrhea (5.1–8.6)
		7 mg QD, Oral	-0.9	Vomiting (2.9–6.9)
		14 mg QD, Oral	-1.1	
			STEP-1 (n = 1961, 68	wks) ⁹⁴
		2.4 mg QW, SC	Change in body weight (%)	Nausea (44.2)
			-14.85	Diarrhea (31.5) Vomiting (24.8)
Tirzepatide	120 h ^{51,73}		SURPASS-1 (n = 705, 40) wks) ⁹⁵
			HbAIc (%)	
		5 mg QW, SC	-1.87	Nausea (12–18) Diarrhea (12–14)
		10 mg QW, SC	-1.89	Dyspepsia (6–9)
		15 mg QW, SC	-2.07	
			SURMOUNT (n = 2539, 1	72 wks) ⁹⁶
			Change in body weight (%)	
		5 mg QW, SC	-15	Nausea (24.6–33.3) Diarrhea (18.7–23.0)
		10 mg QW, SC	-19.5	Constipation (11.7–17.1)
		15 mg QW, SC	-20.9	1

Note: -, not determined.

Abbreviations: IR, immediate-release; BID, twice a day; SC, subcutaneous; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; ER, extended-release; QW, once a week; QD, once a day.

significantly higher risks of pancreatitis, bowel obstruction, and gastroparesis compared to those treated with bupropionnaltrexone.¹⁰⁵ Increased risk of gallbladder disease was also observed in patients with type 2 diabetes.¹⁰⁶ Recent studies have highlighted the association between diabetic retinopathy and GLP-1 RA treatment, indicating the need for further investigation into the underlying mechanisms and more comprehensive evidence.¹⁰⁷

For GLP-1 RA, it appears that the incidence of adverse events increased as a drug remains in the body longer (Table 2). For example, exenatide IR, which has a short half-life ($t_{1/2} = 2.4$ h), exhibits relatively fewer adverse events, with the percentages of patients experiencing nausea and vomiting being 35.0% and 8.9%, respectively. In contrast, semaglutide, which has a longer half-life ($t_{1/2} = 149-161$ h), shows a higher incidence of adverse events (44.2, 31.5, and

24.8% for nausea, diarrhea, and vomiting, respectively) compared to liraglutide ($t_{1/2} = 13$ h; 40.2, 20.9, and 20.0% for nausea, diarrhea, and constipation, respectively) (Table 2). The ongoing pharmacovigilance studies also report an increased incidence of gastrointestinal or metabolism and nutrition-related disorders as the half-life of GLP-1 RAs increases.^{108,109} Traditionally, the relationship between pharmacokinetics and adverse events has been described based on the drug concentration in plasma.^{110,111} Therefore, the longer the drug concentration is maintained in the body, the more likely it is to have a pronounced and longer-lasting impact on the affected organs.¹¹² However, there is evidence showing that GLP-1 RA-induced delayed gastric emptying experiences rapid tachyphylaxis, attenuated over time, and this effect must be taken into account for long-term use.¹¹³ Therefore, findings from real-world evidence studies evaluating the long-term safety of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA should be carefully considered. There have been emerging reports of an elevated risk of adverse events, such as gastrointestinal and ocular disorders, acute pancreatitis, and thyroid cancer, associated with GLP-1 RA treatment.^{108,109,114–116} In the future, large-scale data from these pharmacovigilance studies may enable more comprehensive discussions.

Moreover, exenatide (Figure 1C), which shares only 53% amino acid sequence homology with human GLP-1 (Figure 1A), has been reported to be associated with increased antibody development and exhibited a broad spectrum of adverse events.^{117–119} Therefore, relationship between amino acid sequence of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA and the incidence of adverse events also need to be considered.

Pharmacokinetic DDIs of Peptide Drugs

Recently, the US FDA has released draft guidance addressing clinical considerations for peptide drugs.¹²⁰ The guidance defines a "peptide" as a molecule consisting of 40 or fewer amino acids. It recommends conducting in vitro studies related to cytochrome P450s (CYPs) and transporters if hepatic and/or biliary excretion constitutes >20% of the drug's total elimination or if the drug's primary target organ is the liver. Additionally, if the mechanism of action of the peptide drug can alter the pharmacokinetics of other co-administered drugs, it is recommended that the sponsor evaluate the peptide drug as a perpetrator.

Peptide drugs are mainly eliminated by protease enzymes, while liver uptake is minimal. This allows them to bypass metabolism by hepatic enzymes such as CYPs or UDP-glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs).^{121–123} Consequently, drug-metabolizing enzyme-mediated DDIs, typically involving competition for the same CYP binding site with another drug, are uncommon in peptide drug-related DDIs.¹²⁴ Moreover, many peptide drugs are not known to be substrates or inhibitors of transporters at present, indicating that transporter-mediated biliary or renal excretion may not be a major pathway for their elimination.¹²⁴ Therefore, it was speculated that peptide drugs are unlikely to be involved in the occurrence of transporter-mediated DDIs.^{122,124}

However, increasing evidence is reporting the involvement of peptide drugs in the occurrence of CYP or transportermediated DDIs.¹²³ The native glucagon peptide stimulates cAMP production, and elevated cAMP levels in rat hepatocytes indirectly reduce CYP2C11 expression.¹²⁵ Moreover, research indicates that growth hormone enhances CYP3A4 expression in human hepatocytes, while synthetic somatostatin analogs like octreotide, which inhibit endogenous growth hormone, may decrease CYP3A4 activity.¹²⁶ Co-administration of warfarin (a substrate of CYP1A2, CYP2C9, and CYP3A4) and octreotide led to high INR levels in humans, which may be attributed to the increased warfarin exposure caused by octreotide's inhibitory effect on CYP3A4.¹²⁷ Additionally, cyclosporine is an 11 aa-long lipophilic cyclic polypeptide used as an immunosuppressant.¹²⁸ Cyclosporine has been identified as a substrate for CYP3A4, P-glycoprotein (P-gp), and organic anion transporting polypeptides (OATPs), while also acting as a potent inhibitor of CYP3A4, multidrug resistance-associated protein 2 (MRP2), OATPs, P-gp, and breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP).^{129–131} Cyclosporine was found to inhibit CYP3A4 with a K_i of 1.42 µM in human liver microsomes, and systemic exposure of atorvastatin increased 15-fold in humans with the co-administration of cyclosporine.^{132,133}

A recent in vitro DDI study of ATSP-7041, a stapled α -helical peptide being developed as an anticancer agent, revealed minimal CYP inhibition. However, uptake transporter assays indicated that ATSP-7041 acts as both a substrate for OATPs and a potent inhibitor of OATP1B1 (IC₅₀: 0.81 μ M), suggesting the potential for clinically relevant DDIs. Furthermore, ATSP-7041 inhibited the P-gp and BCRP-mediated efflux of the substrate.¹³⁴ In addition, a clinically significant DDI was reported in a Phase I study of ALRN-6924, an analog of ATSP-7041 and an inhibitor of

OATP1B3.¹³⁵ A patient co-administered with telmisartan (an OATP1B3 substrate) presented with hypotension, which may have been caused by increased exposure of telmisartan mediated by ATSP-7041.^{135,136} Based on these findings, CYP- and transporter-mediated DDIs involving peptide drugs are not negligible and should be carefully evaluated.

Pharmacokinetic DDIs of GLP-I RAs and a Dual GLP-I/GIP RA Drug-Metabolizing Enzyme-and Transporter-Mediated DDIs

GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA are now approved for the treatment of type 2 diabetes and obesity, with patients frequently managing coexisting metabolic diseases that require additional medications.¹³⁷ Consequently, using multiple medications can result in DDIs, often leading to adverse drug reactions, making it crucial to assess the DDI potential.¹³⁸ To date, there are limited data on clinically significant metabolic enzyme- or transporter-mediated DDIs involving GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA. In vitro DDI studies detailed in clinical pharmacology and biopharmaceutics reviews were not included for exenatide and dulaglutide, but were included for liraglutide, semaglutide, and tirzepatide (Table 3).^{39,56–58,139} All the investigated GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA exhibited minimal inhibition or induction of CYP enzymes. However, semaglutide and tirzepatide inhibited OATP1B1/3 activity, despite their relatively large molecular size, in the absence of bovine serum albumin (BSA). In addition, P-gp- and BCRP-mediated efflux was inhibited by tirzepatide. However, plasma concentrations of semaglutide at steady state are estimated to be about 100 times lower than the IC₅₀ values for OATP1B1/3 (3.50 µM and 2.95 µM, respectively).⁵⁶ Moreover, when BSA was

	Enzyme		Transporter		
Drug	Mediator	Mechanism	Mediator	Mechanism	
		Results		Results	
		Potential Clinical		Potential Clinical	
		Outcome		Outcome	
Exenatide			-		
Liraglutide ³⁹	CYP 1A2, 2A6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19,	Inhibition	_		
	2D6, 2E1, and 3A4	IC ₅₀ > 100 μM			
		Low			
Dulaglutide			-	-	
Semaglutide ^{56,57,139}	CYPIA2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19,	Inhibition	P-gp, and BCRP	Inhibition	
	2D6, and 3A4/5	*		*	
		Low		Low	
	CYP1A2, 2B6, and 3A4/5	Induction	OATPIBI, OATPIB3, OATI, OAT3,	Inhibition	
		*	and OCT2	OATPIBI IC ₅₀ : 3.50 μM OATPIB3 IC ₅₀ : 2.95 μM (Without BSA)	
		Low		Low	

Table 3 Overview of in vitro Drug-Metabolizing Enzyme and Transporter-Mediated DDI Studies by Approved GLP-1 RAs and a DualGLP-1/GIP RA

(Continued)

Table 3 (Continued).

	Enzyme		Transporter		
Drug	Mediator	Mechanism	Mediator	Mechanism	
		Results		Results	
		Potential Clinical Outcome		Potential Clinical Outcome	
Tirzepatide ⁵⁸	CYPIA2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19,	Inhibition	P-gp, and BCRP	Inhibition	
	2D6, and 3A4/5	IC ₅₀ > 100 μM		IC ₅₀ > 100 μM	
		Low		Low	
	CYPIA2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, CI9, 2D6,	Induction	OCTI, OCT2, OATI, OAT3	Inhibition	
	and 3A4/5	*	OATPIBI and OATPIB3	OATPIBI IC ₅₀ : 15.65 μM OATPIB3 IC ₅₀ : 2.81 μM (Without BSA)	
		Low		Low	
			MATEI and MATE2-K	Inhibition	
				*	
				Low	

Notes: -, not determined; *, weak or no inhibition/induction.

Abbreviations: DDI, drug-drug interaction; CYP, cytochrome P450; IC_{50} , half maximal inhibitory concentration; P-gp, P-glycoprotein; BCRP, breast cancer resistance protein; OATP, organic anion transporting polypeptide; OAT, organic anion transporter; OCT, organic cation transporter; BSA, bovine serum albumin; UGT, uridine diphosphate-glucuronosyltransferase; MATE, multidrug and toxin extrusion protein.

added, the inhibitory effect disappeared or was diminished, probably due to the binding of the fatty acid residues of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA to BSA.⁷⁰

In addition, a clinical DDI study was conducted to investigate the inhibitory effect of the absorption enhancer for oral semaglutide (SNAC) on BCRP, OAT1/3, and OATP1B1 based on in vitro data.¹⁴⁰ However, the administration of SNAC alone did not alter the plasma concentrations of furosemide (an OAT1 and OAT3 substrate) or rosuvastatin (a BCRP and OATP1B1 substrate). Therefore, the sponsors concluded that clinically significant DDIs mediated by direct inhibition of CYP enzymes or transporters are unlikely to occur with the administration of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA.

Mechanisms of Action-Mediated DDIs

Furthermore, assessing DDIs for GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA requires additional considerations, including the possible occurrence of DDIs mediated by mechanisms of action that remain poorly understood (Figure 3).¹²⁰ Long-acting GLP-1 RAs remain in the body for an extended period (eg, with a mean residence time [MRT] of 224 hours at a steady state after administering 14 mg of oral semaglutide).¹⁴¹ This prolonged duration may be driven by albumin binding to the fatty acid residues of GLP-1 RAs and their ability to escape protease metabolism due to amino acid substitutions (Figure 1).¹⁴¹ They remain in the body, interacting with GLP-1 receptors in various organs, which could lead to DDIs through mechanisms of action such as slowing gastric emptying, reducing fat mass and inflammation, and increasing glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and renal plasma flow, consequently altering the pharmacokinetics of the victim drug (Figure 3).^{124,142} Investigations into mechanism of action-mediated pharmacokinetic DDIs are currently limited to those mediated by the slowing of gastric emptying, with examples summarized in other reviews.^{124,143}



Figure 3 Flowchart illustrating the process of pharmacokinetic DDIs mediated by the mechanisms of action of approved GLP-I RAs and a dual GLP-I/GIP RA; 1) GLP-I RAs or a dual GLP-I/GIP RA (perpetrator) administration triggers receptor activation in GLP-I receptor-expressing organs, leading to drug efficacy \rightarrow 2) Physiological changes in the human body due to the drug effect \rightarrow 3) Pharmacokinetic changes of small-molecule drugs (victim) influenced by these physiological changes. Created in BioRender. Min, J. (2025) https://BioRender.com/g44y018.



The pharmacokinetic DDI are commonly expressed in terms of "victim drug" and "perpetrator drug". The perpetrator drug is the drug which affects the pharmacokinetics of the other drug; the victim drug is the drug affected by the DDI.¹⁴⁴ GLP-1 RAs or a dual GLP-1/GIP RA as perpetrator drugs in combination with a victim drug, a number of clinical trials have been conducted to evaluate DDI potentials (Table 4 and Table 5). The regulatory guidance for DDI studies emphasizes that "perpetrators" must attain systemic exposure consistent with the highest therapeutic dose administered under steady-state conditions.^{144,145} Therefore, the effect of GLP-1 RAs or a dual GLP-1/GIP RA at steady-state conditions on the victim drug was generally investigated in the studied DDIs. Currently, an exact standard for determining pharmacokinetic changes caused by DDIs that may have a clinically significant impact has not been established. However, regulatory guidance states that when the ratio of the area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) of the victim drug with and without the perpetrator drug falls outside the range of 0.8–1.25, there is a potential risk of a clinically significant impact, necessitating further investigation.¹⁴⁶ The rationale for selecting the victim drugs was based on their being commonly used or a narrow therapeutic index, with different Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS) classifications.¹²⁴ The list of victim drugs that have been investigated to confirm the magnitude of DDIs caused by delayed gastric emptying is as follows: acetaminophen, metoprolol and oral contraceptives (BCS Class I); statins and griseofulvin (BCS Class II); levothyroxine, lisinopril, metformin, and sitagliptin (BCS Class III); digoxin and furosemide (BCS Class IV). 124,140,143,147-163

In general, the administration of GLP-1 RAs delayed the absorption of the tested drugs by decreasing C_{max} and/or delaying t_{max} . However, the AUC of the victim drugs were not altered by GLP-1 RA administration in most cases, suggesting that clinically significant DDIs are unlikely to occur.¹²⁴ Conversely, both the C_{max} and AUC of statins decreased by 28–70% and 0–40%, respectively, after GLP-1 RA subcutaneous administration.^{140,147,153,155,159} However, statins are known to exhibit high interindividual variability in terms of pharmacokinetics, and GLP-1 RA administration did not affect the lipid-lowering effect of statins in clinical studies, indicating minimal clinical significance.^{147,164} Moreover, the AUC of metformin increased by 32%, while the C_{max} of metformin remained unchanged following oral semaglutide administration.¹⁵⁸ In addition, the C_{max} and AUC of furosemide were decreased by 34% and increased by 28%, respectively.¹⁴⁰ Due to metformin and furosemide's wide therapeutic index, the risk of clinically significant DDIs is low.^{140,158}

Administration of SNAC, the absorption enhancer for oral semaglutide, did not alone alter exposure to lisinopril, warfarin, digoxin, or metformin. The AUC and C_{max} ratios with or without SNAC administration remained within the 0.8–1.25 range.¹⁵⁸

DDIs with Oral Contraceptives

Some GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA altered the exposure of oral contraceptives, implying the potential for clinically significant DDIs (Table 4).^{55,57,148,155,157,161,163} About 25% of women between the ages of 15 and 44 use oral contraceptives, highlighting the importance of studying their potential DDIs.^{165,166} The C_{max} and AUC values of ethinylestradiol and levonorgestrel were not affected by the administration of 14 mg oral or 1 mg subcutaneous semaglutide, indicating that it is not clinically relevant (Table 4).^{56,157} Notable changes in the C_{max} ratios of oral contraceptives after the administration of 10 µg exenatide twice daily seem to be caused by their administration 30 min after exenatide, when the delayed gastric emptying effect was at its peak. Therefore, it was concluded that clinically significant pharmacokinetic changes are unlikely to occur.^{148,167} Similarly, alterations in the pharmacokinetics after 1.8 mg liraglutide and 1.5 mg dulaglutide administration have also been shown to have minimal clinical significance.^{161,168}

The most significant pharmacokinetic alterations were reported following the administration of a single 5 mg dose of tirzepatide, with the C_{max} and AUC values of ethinylestradiol decreasing by 59% and 21%, respectively, and those of norelgestromin by 55% and 22%, respectively (Table 4).¹⁶¹ However, the pharmacokinetic /pharmacodynamic relationship of oral contraceptives is not yet fully defined; therefore, additional studies are required to explore how changes in exposure influence their effectiveness.¹⁶⁶ Moreover, this implies that tirzepatide more strongly affects the absorption of oral contraceptives and that this increased impact may be driven by rapid dose escalation.¹⁶¹ Unlike other pharmacodynamic effects, such as lowering blood glucose or reducing appetite, the delay in gastric emptying shows tachyphylaxis, with the effect gradually decreasing over time.¹⁶⁹ Therefore, the investigators have suggested switching to a non-oral

Victim ^a			Perpetrator ^b						
			Exenatide ¹⁴⁸	Liraglutide ¹⁶³	Dulaglutide ^{55,155}	Semaglutide ¹⁴⁰	Tirzepatide ^{51,161}		
Ethinylestradiol	T _{max} difference (h)		+ 4.5	+	+ 0.3	-	+ 4.99		
	C _{max} (ratio)	w/wo	↓ (0.55)	↔ (0.88)	↔ (0.87)	↔ (0.97)	↓ (0.41)		
	AUC (ratio)		↔ (0.96)	↔ (1.06)	↔ (1.03)	↔ (1.06)	↓ (0.79)		
Levonorgestrel	T _{max} difference (h)		+ 3.75	+	ND	-	ND		
	C _{max} (ratio) w/wo		↓ (0.73)	↔ (0.87)		↔ (0.95)			
	AUC (ratio)		↔ (1.05)	↔ (1.15)		↔ (1.06)			
Norelgestromin	T _{max} difference (h)		ND	ND	+ 2	ND	+ 4.5		
	C _{max} (ratio)	w/wo]		↓ (0.74)		↓ (0.45)		
	AUC (ratio)	1			↔ (0.97)		↓ (0.78)		

Table 4 Changes in Oral Contraceptive Pharmacokinetics without and with Treatment with Approved GLP-1 RAs or a Dual GL	-P-
I/GIP RA	

Notes: ^aVictim, the drug altered by the DDIs; ^bPerpetrator, the drug that influences the pharmacokinetics of another drug; +, delayed; –, no difference; \downarrow , decreased (ratio<0.8); \leftrightarrow , no change (ratio 0.8–1.25).

Abbreviations: T_{max}, time to reach maximum plasma concentration; C_{max}, maximum plasma concentration; AUC, area under the curve; w/wo, with/without; ND, not determined.

contraceptive option or adding a barrier method of contraception for 4 weeks after tirzepatide administration is initiated or if the dose is substantially increased.^{50,51}

DDIs with Narrow Therapeutic Index Drugs

Other clinical studies on DDIs have investigated the delayed gastric emptying effect of GLP-1 RA on the pharmacokinetics of drugs with narrow therapeutic index (Table 5). It was concluded that the C_{max} and AUC changes of digoxin after GLP-1 RA administration may not be clinically significant.^{55,56,58,150,153} Likewise, the pharmacokinetics of warfarin were not altered by semaglutide administration.^{158,159} The AUC of S- and R-warfarin was not affected by dulaglutide and tirzepatide administration.^{55,58} However, postmarketing data on exenatide indicate an increase in INR, which is sometimes associated with bleeding events, highlighting the need for close INR monitoring after GLP-1 RA treatment.³⁶

Furthermore, DDIs between levothyroxine and oral semaglutide, caused by delayed gastric emptying, have been explored (Table 5).¹⁶⁰ Levothyroxine, a synthetic form of the thyroid hormone thyroxine, is an orally administered narrow therapeutic index drug used to treat hypothyroidism.¹⁷⁰ It has been reported that gastrointestinal motility may affect its bioavailability, and reduced absorption is a leading contributor to refractory hypothyroidism.^{171,172} Therefore, it is recommended to administer levothyroxine at least 1 h before meals.¹⁷³ Based on this information, the influence of gastric emptying effect by oral semaglutide administration on pharmacokinetics of levothyroxine was investigated.¹⁶⁰ After multiple oral doses of 14 mg oral semaglutide, the T_{max} of levothyroxine was delayed by 2 h, with a 12% decrease in the C_{max} and a 33% increase in the AUC, indicating potential clinical relevance (Table 5). Therefore, monitoring thyroid parameters is advised when oral semaglutide is co-administered.^{45,160}

Victim ^a			Perpetrator							
			Exenatide ^{149,151}	Liraglutide ¹⁵³	Dulaglutide ^{55,155}	Semaglutide ^{158–160}	Tirzepatide ^{c58}			
Digoxin	T _{max} difference (h)		T _{max} + 2.5 + 1.12 + 0.5 difference (h)		+ 0.5	_	ND			
	C _{max} (ratio)	w/wo	↔ (0.82)	↓ (0.69)	↓ (0.78)	↔ (0.98)	↓ (0.78)			
	AUC (ratio)		↔ (0.95)	↔ (0.84)	↔ (0.96)	↔ (1.03)	↔ (1.0)			
Levothyroxine	T _{max} difference (h)		ND	ND	ND	+ 2	ND			
	C _{max} (ratio)	w/wo				↔ (0.88)				
	AUC (ratio)					↑ (1.33)				
R-Warfarin	T _{max} difference (h)		+	ND	+ 5.5	+ 2	ND			
	C _{max} (ratio)	w/wo	↔ (1.05)		↔ (0.86)	↔ (0.93)				
	AUC (ratio)		↔ (1.11)		↔ (0.99)	↔ (1.04)				
S-Warfarin	T _{max} difference (h)		+ 2	ND	+ 4.02	+ 2	ND			
	C _{max} (ratio)	w/wo	↔ (0.97)]	↓ (0.78)	↔ (0.91)	↔ (0.82)			
	AUC (ratio)]	↔ (1.06)]	↔ (0.99)	↔ (1.05)	↔ (1.0)			

Table 5 Changes in Narrow	Therapeutic Index	Drug Pharn	nacokinetics	without and	with Tre	atment wit	h Approved	GLP-1	RAs or
a Dual GLP-1/GIP RA									

Notes: ^aVictim, the drug altered by the DDIs; ^bPerpetrator, the drug that influences the pharmacokinetics of another drug; ^cPredicted by physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling; +, delayed; -, no difference; \leftrightarrow , no change (ratio 0.8–1.25); \downarrow , decreased (ratio<0.8); \uparrow , increased (ratio<1.25).

Abbreviations: T_{max} , time to reach maximum plasma concentration; C_{max} , maximum plasma concentration; AUC, area under the curve; w/wo, with/without; ND, not determined.

In summary, most of the studied drugs did not show clinically significant DDIs related to delayed gastric emptying caused by GLP-1 RAs or a dual GLP-1/GIP RA administration. However, oral contraceptives and levothyroxine, showed notable changes in AUC following the administration of tirzepatide and oral semaglutide, respectively, implying a potential risk of a clinically significant DDIs (Table 4 and Table 5). This indicates the need for close monitoring when GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA are co-administered, especially when starting treatment or increasing the dose. For a more accurate assessment, large-scale pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) studies need to be conducted. Delayed gastric emptying may undergo tachyphylaxis, potentially reducing the impact of DDIs with repeated dosing.^{169,174} Moreover, delayed absorption of the concomitant drug due to delayed gastric emptying can be minimized by administering the drug 1 h before GLP-1 RAs or a dual GLP-1/GIP RA administration.¹⁶⁸ However, the possibility of DDIs related to delayed gastric emptying cannot be excluded,¹⁷⁵ so investigators recommend monitoring when oral drugs are co-administered with GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA.

The Developed Pharmacokinetic Models for GLP-1 RAs and a Dual GLP-1/ GIP RA

Pharmacokinetic models of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA have been developed as clinical support tools, enabling the prediction of their pharmacokinetic behavior across different clinical scenarios (Table 6). The developed pharmacokinetic models of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA were searched in the PubMed electronic database. The search terms were "exenatide", "liraglutide", "dulaglutide", "semaglutide", or "tirzepatide", along with "pharmacokinetic model". A total of 26 articles were identified as of October 8, 2024. Clinical pharmacology and biopharmaceutics reviews released electronically by the US FDA were also examined for information on the pharmacokinetic models of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA.^{39,52,55–58,139,176} Thirty pharmacokinetic models were developed to predict the pharmacokinetics of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA. Among the GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA, exenatide has the most developed pharmacokinetic model (9 of 30),^{61,177–184} followed by semaglutide (8 of 30),^{68,162,182,185–189} liraglutide and dulaglutide (each 5 of 30),^{156,177,182,189–194} and tirzepatide (3 of 30).^{58,73,195} The developed pharmacokinetic models were used either

Drug	Model Type	Software	Application
Exenatide IR	Рор РК	NONMEM	: PKs of exenatide IR formulation across various concentration levels and different population ⁶¹
		NONMEM	: PKs of twice daily exenatide in subjects with T2DM ¹⁷⁷
	TMDD	MATLAB [®] R2009a	: Interspecies scaling ¹⁷⁸
	PK/PD	IQM Tools, MATLAB [®] R2013b	: Exposure-response relationship; gastric emptying rate, glucose rate of appearance in plasma ¹⁷⁹
Exenatide ER	РВРК	Simcyp [™]	: PKs of exenatide with once-monthly dosing ¹⁸⁰
	Рор РК	NONMEM	: PKs of exenatide ER formulation ¹⁸¹
	Pop PK PK/PD	NONMEM	: Exposure-response relationship; HbA1c reduction and weight loss ¹⁸²
	TMDD/PD	Monolix [®]	: Exposure-response relationship; FPG and HbA1c reduction ¹⁸³
Exenatide (IR, ER)	Pop PK PK/PD	NONMEM	: Exposure-response relationship; QT interval change ¹⁸⁴

Table 6 The Developed Pharmacokinetic (PK) and Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) Models of Approved GLP-I RAs and a Dual GLP-I/GIP RA

(Continued)

Table 6 (Continued).

Drug	Model Type	Software	Application
Liraglutide	Рор РК	NONMEM	: PKs in pediatric and adult with T2DM ¹⁹⁰
		NONMEM	: PKs in subjects with overweight and obese ¹⁹¹
		NONMEM	: PKs in subjects with T2DM ¹⁷⁷
	Pop PK/PD	NONMEM	: Exposure-response relationship; weight loss ¹⁹²
	Pop PK PK/PD	NONMEM	: Exposure-response relationship; HbA1c reduction and weight loss ¹⁸²
Dulaglutide	РВРК	Simcyp [™]	: DDI; assess the effects of delayed gastric emptying caused by dulaglutide on the PKs of atorvastatin ¹⁵⁶
	Рор РК	NONMEM	: PKs in subjects with T2DM ¹⁹³
	Pop PK PK/PD	NONMEM	: Exposure-response relationship; nausea and vomiting events ¹⁹⁴
		NONMEM	: Exposure-response relationship; HbA1c reduction and weight loss ¹⁸⁹
		NONMEM	: Exposure-response relationship; HbA1c reduction and weight loss ¹⁸²
Semaglutide	РВРК	Gastroplus [®]	: PKs in pediatrics with normal and obese body weights ¹⁸⁵
	Рор РК	Phoenix NLME TM	: DDIs; assess the effects of delayed gastric emptying caused by semaglutide on the PKs of acetaminophen and atorvastatin ¹⁶²
		NONMEM	: PKs after oral administration and in subjects with T2DM ⁶⁸
		NONMEM	: PKs in subjects with T2DM ¹⁸⁶
		NONMEM	: PKs in subjects with T2DM ¹⁸⁷
	Pop PK PK/PD	NONMEM	: Exposure-response; weight loss ¹⁸⁸
		NONMEM	: Exposure-response; HbA1c reduction and weight loss ¹⁸²
		NONMEM	: Exposure-response; HbA1c reduction and weight loss ¹⁸⁹
Tirzepatide	РВРК	PK-Sim [®] and MoBi [®]	: Pediatric dose ¹⁹⁵
		Simcyp [™]	: DDIs; assess the effects of delayed gastric emptying caused by tirzepatide on PKs of atorvastatin, digoxin, lisinopril, metformin, metoprolol, sitagliptin, S-warfarin ⁵⁸
	Рор РК	NONMEM	: PKs in in different population ⁷³

Abbreviations: IR, immediate-release; Pop PK, population pharmacokinetics; NONMEM, NONlinear mixed-effects modeling; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; TMDD, target-mediated drug disposition; PD, pharmacodynamics; ER, extended-release; PBPK, physiologically based pharmacokinetics; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; DDI, drug-drug interaction.

solely to predict pharmacokinetics (18 of 30) or integrated with pharmacodynamic models to evaluate responses (12 of 30). Most of the developed pharmacokinetic models were population pharmacokinetic models utilizing nonlinear mixed effect modeling (22 of 30). The models have been used to predict pharmacokinetics in special populations, including those with hepatic or renal impairment, as well as in disease states such as type 2 diabetes and obesity. Additionally, pharmacokinetic differences were predicted in subjects based on factors such as age, body weight, sex, race, ethnicity, and injection site, with most GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA exhibiting only minor variations.

Physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) models have been developed for exenatide (1 of 30), dulaglutide (1 of 30), semaglutide (1 of 30), and tirzepatide (2 of 30). The developed models were used to investigate the initial dose for

pediatric patients (2 of 30), the potential for DDIs caused by delayed gastric emptying (2 of 30), and the pharmacokinetics of once-monthly dosing (1 of 30) (Table 6).

Delayed gastric emptying-mediated DDIs have been investigated using both PBPK models (2 of 30) and population pharmacokinetic models (1 of 30). For example, increased gastric emptying time due to the mechanism of action of dulaglutide was described in the developed PBPK model by modulating the default gastric MRT.¹⁵⁶ Administering 1.5 mg of dulaglutide reportedly decreased gastric emptying by roughly threefold, with the initial MRT (0.27 h) increasing to 0.84 h.¹⁹⁶ The refined model successfully described the pharmacokinetic profiles of the affected drug, atorvastatin acid and lactone, after dulaglutide administration in SimcypTM (Certara UK Limited, Sheffield, United Kingdom).¹⁵⁶ It appears that clinically significant DDIs caused by delayed gastric emptying affecting the pharmacokinetics of the victim drugs are unlikely to occur.^{58,156,162}

Future Perspectives

GLP-I RAs Currently Under Development

Based on previous findings, dosages that enable longer durations and less frequent administrations have been investigated. For example, efpeglenatide, a long-acting GLP-1 RA and an exendin analog linked to an IgG4 Fc fragment, has been explored for once-monthly administration to treat type 2 diabetes.^{197,198} Additionally, efforts have been made to develop oral formulations with improved bioavailability (*F*). Orforglipron, a nonpeptide GLP-1 RA administered once daily, showed dose-dependent efficacy on weight loss in a Phase II trial.¹⁹⁹

Currently, investigations into GLP-1 RAs and similar drugs are underway to obtain approval for additional indications based on their diverse pharmacological effects. Liraglutide and semaglutide have beneficial effects on non-alcoholic steatohepatitis.^{200,201} Additionally, incretin mimetic drugs targeting multiple receptors for greater efficacy, including glucagon and GIP receptors, are under development.²⁰² A Phase 2a study of efocipegtrutide (HM15211), a new long-acting triple GLP-1/GIP/glucagon RA is currently being conducted to assess its use to treat non-alcoholic steatohepatitis.²⁰³ Survodutide, a novel dual GLP-1/ glucagon RA, significantly reduced body weight and improved metabolic dysfunction-associated steatohepatitis compared to the placebo in phase II trials.²⁰⁴ Mazdutide, a dual GLP-1/ glucagon RA, and retatrutide, a triple GLP-1/GIP/glucagon RA, also have both shown significant weight loss effects in Phase 2 trials.^{205,206}

Among the drugs discussed, including US FDA-approved GLP-1 RAs, tirzepatide was reported to achieve the most significant reduction in blood glucose levels. This weight loss effect was most potent in the CagriSema (cagrilintide and semaglutide combination therapy)-treated group, followed by tirzepatide and retatrutide.⁹⁷ These new GLP-1 RAs, including dual and triple agonists, are now in Phase 3 trials, and the clinical use of more potent GLP-1 RAs with additional indications is expected.

Drug-Metabolizing Enzyme- and Transporter- Mediated DDIs of GLP-1 RAs and a Dual GLP-1/GIP RA

To date, no clinically relevant drug-metabolizing enzyme- or transporter-mediated DDIs involving GLP-1 RAs or a dual GLP-1/GIP RA have been reported (Table 3). However, some transporters, including OATP1B1/3 and OAT3, demonstrated inhibition following treatment with GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA in vitro, suggesting that the assessment of transporter-mediated DDI by GLP-1 RAs may be necessary (Table 3). Moreover, glucagon treatment in human hepatocytes leads to the downregulation of CYP gene expression, and the underlying mechanism may be driven by the activation of glucagon receptors expressed in the liver.^{125,207,208} Drugs targeting both GLP-1 and glucagon receptors are currently under development, and it is vital to examine their indirect effects on CYP gene expression. Currently, in vitro studies on peptide-mediated DDIs, which would provide better in vitro to in vivo extrapolation, remain poorly established.²⁰⁷ A recent study introduced new experiment designs using HepatoPac[®] (Hepregen Corporation, Medford, MA, USA), spheroids or liver-on-a-chip models to assess the modulation of CYPs and transporter gene expression by peptides, but further large-scale experiments are still needed.²⁰⁹

Pharmacokinetic DDIs Caused by Mechanisms of Actions Following GLP-1 RAs or a Dual GLP-1/GIP RA Treatment

GLP-1 RAs are currently attracting significant attention because of their remarkable weight loss-promoting effects. From a pharmacokinetic perspective, besides increased gastric emptying, obesity has been suggested to modulate CYP gene expression, and increased fat mass may result in an increased Vd of lipophilic drugs.^{210,211} The weight loss effects from GLP-1 RA treatment may reverse these changes and alter the pharmacokinetics of co-administered lipophilic drugs by decreasing the Vd (Figure 3). For example, the Vd of diazepam, lorazepam, and nitrazepam decreased by 3.2-, 1.7-, and 2.1-fold, respectively, in non-obese participants, and their CL and $t_{1/2}$ were altered, indicating the need for dose adjustment.²¹²

Furthermore, the anti-inflammatory properties of GLP-1 RAs constitute another notable effect. They can reduce levels of inflammatory biomarkers, such as C-reactive protein and inflammatory cytokines (eg, tumor necrosis factor-alpha and interleukin-6).^{100,101} This positively impacts various pathophysiological conditions, including type 2 diabetes, obesity, and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.^{100–102} In addition, inflammatory cytokines are reportedly involved in CYP gene expression, with the reduced cytokine levels-mediated by GLP-1 RA administration may alter CYP gene expression, potentially leading to changes in the pharmacokinetics of co-administered drugs (Figure 3).²¹³

Finally, cardiovascular outcome trials have demonstrated that GLP-1 RAs offer beneficial effects on kidney function in type 2 diabetes and decrease the risk of major adverse kidney events in patients with type 2 diabetes and acute kidney disease.^{99,214} These renoprotective effects are expected to be driven by both direct and indirect mechanisms including reducing albuminuria, reducing inflammation, natriuresis and diuresis induction, and antioxidative effects.²¹⁵ Moreover, GLP-1 RA treatment reportedly increased renal plasma flow and GFR in rats, leading to natriuresis and diuresis.²¹⁶ It is known that changes in GFR can affect the elimination of many drugs including narrow therapeutic index drugs (aminoglycosides, lithium, digoxin), diuretics, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.^{217–221} Therefore, pharmacokinetic changes in drugs mediated by increased GFR and renal plasma flow following GLP-1 RA administration may occur, and the impact of its mechanism of action need to be investigated in the future. Moreover, as these mechanisms of action may occur concurrently, it is important to investigate alterations in drug exposure when multiple mechanisms are considered simultaneously.

Utilization of PBPK Modeling Approaches to Assess DDIs Caused by Mechanisms of Actions

Evaluating the mechanism of action (eg, delayed gastric emptying, reduced fat mass and inflammation, and changes in GFR)-mediated DDIs of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA is often challenging to control for and clinical studies are costly. Therefore, modeling approaches can be valuable for examining the extent of pharmacokinetic DDIs driven by these mechanisms. Among various modeling techniques, PBPK modeling appears to be particularly promising. Notably, the US FDA submission documents for tirzepatide include predictions of DDIs mediated by delayed gastric emptying using PBPK modeling, rather than conducting clinical DDI studies for certain drugs (Table 6).⁵⁸ PBPK modeling is a mathematical approach that uses differential equations to predict pharmacokinetics in humans and other species. It has become a valuable tool in various stages of drug development, including first-in-human dose calculation, predicting DDI potential, and assessing pharmacokinetic profiles in special populations such as pediatrics and patients with renal or hepatic impairment.^{222,223} In particular, it is widely used to predict potential pharmacokinetic DDIs of small molecules.²²⁴ Physiological properties of the body, including organ mass and volume, blood flow rate, plasma protein levels, and the abundance of enzyme and transporter expression, are mathematically described within the model.^{225,226} Therefore, the mechanism of action of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA can be characterized by modulating physiological properties within the model, enabling the prediction of altered pharmacokinetics for the affected drug. To effectively develop a PBPK model for studying mechanism-of-action-mediated DDIs, it is essential to carefully assess the extent to which these mechanisms impact pharmacokinetics. After the validation process, the developed PBPK model can be used to assess the impact of the mechanism of action on the pharmacokinetics of various victim drugs.^{58,156}

Conclusion

In this review, pharmacokinetics, pharmacokinetic drug-drug interactions (DDIs), and pharmacokinetic modeling approaches of four currently available GLP-1 RAs (exenatide, liraglutide, dulaglutide, and semaglutide) and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA (tirzepatide), are discussed. Substitutions in amino acid sequences, fatty acid conjugation using a linker, and fusion with albumin or the IgG fragment crystallizable (Fc) region in GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA have minimized metabolism and renal excretion. The pharmacokinetic profiles vary due to their diverse structures and prolonged half-life appears to be associated with an increased risk of adverse events. To date, there have been no reports of pharmacokinetic DDIs involving metabolic enzymes or transporters. However, there is the potential for DDIs of GLP-1 RAs to occur through their mechanisms of action. Among the possible mechanisms underlying DDIs, those related to delayed gastric emptying have been most extensively studied. The pharmacokinetics of most victim drugs were not affected by GLP-1 RAs. However, clinically significant DDIs were observed with oral contraceptives after tirzepatide administration and with levothyroxine after oral semaglutide administration. Therefore, close monitoring is recommended when oral drugs are co-administered with GLP-1 RAs or a dual GLP-1/GIP RA. Drug-metabolizing enzyme- and transporter-mediated DDIs involving GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA require careful evaluation. Thirty pharmacokinetic models were developed to predict the pharmacokinetics of GLP-1 RAs and a dual GLP-1/GIP RA. Among these models, PBPK modeling can be useful for assessing DDIs caused by different mechanisms of action. Alterations in Vd resulting from reduced fat mass and alterations in clearance resulting from changes in CYP activity and GFR are key factors in determining pharmacokinetics. These mechanisms may accelerate the pharmacokinetic changes in the affected drug and lead to clinically relevant DDIs, particularly in drugs with a narrow therapeutic window. However, the DDIs mediated by these factors remain poorly understood and will require further investigation to ensure the safe use of GLP-1 RAs with concomitant medications.

Author Contributions

All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, or in all these areas; took part in drafting, revising or critically reviewing the article; gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

This study was supported by a grant of Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare (HF20C0002), The Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea, funded by The Ministry of Education (2018R1A6A1A03025108), and the Research Fund of The Catholic University of Korea (2023).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Crane J, McGowan B. The GLP-1 agonist, liraglutide, as a pharmacotherapy for obesity. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis.* 2016;7(2):92–107. doi:10.1177/2040622315620180
- 2. Lucey M, Ashik T, Marzook A, et al. Acylation of the incretin peptide exendin-4 directly impacts glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor signaling and trafficking. *Mol Pharmacol.* 2021;100(4):319–334. doi:10.1124/molpharm.121.000270
- 3. Gallwitz B. Clinical perspectives on the use of the GIP/GLP-1 receptor agonist tirzepatide for the treatment of type-2 diabetes and obesity. *Front Endocrinol.* 2022;13:1004044. doi:10.3389/fendo.2022.1004044
- 4. Doyle ME, Theodorakis MJ, Holloway HW, Bernier M, Greig NH, Egan JM. The importance of the nine-amino acid C-terminal sequence of exendin-4 for binding to the GLP-1 receptor and for biological activity. *Regul Pept*. 2003;114(2-3):153-158. doi:10.1016/s0167-0115(03)00120-4
- 5. Yu M, Benjamin MM, Srinivasan S, et al. Battle of GLP-1 delivery technologies. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2018;130:113-130. doi:10.1016/j. addr.2018.07.009
- 6. Faillie JL, Yin H, OHY Y, et al. Incretin-based drugs and risk of intestinal obstruction among patients with type 2 diabetes. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2022;111(1):272–282. doi:10.1002/cpt.2430
- 7. Parks M, Rosebraugh C. Weighing risks and benefits of liraglutide-the FDA's review of a new antidiabetic therapy. N Engl J Med. 2010;362 (9):774-777. doi:10.1056/NEJMp1001578

- Meloni AR, DeYoung MB, Lowe C, Parkes DG. GLP-1 receptor activated insulin secretion from pancreatic beta-cells: mechanism and glucose dependence. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2013;15(1):15–27. doi:10.1111/j.1463-1326.2012.01663.x
- 9. Cryer PE, Davis SN, Shamoon H. Hypoglycemia in diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2003;26(6):1902-1912. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.6.1902
- Schopman JE, Simon AC, Hoefnagel SJ, Hoekstra JB, Scholten RJ, Holleman F. The incidence of mild and severe hypoglycaemia in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus treated with sulfonylureas: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2014;30(1):11–22. doi:10.1002/dmrr.2470
- 11. Zhang Y, Parajuli KR, Fava GE, et al. GLP-1 receptor in pancreatic alpha-cells regulates glucagon secretion in a glucose-dependent bidirectional manner. *Diabetes*. 2019;68(1):34-44. doi:10.2337/db18-0317
- 12. Tan H, Su W, Zhang W, Wang P, Sattler M, Zou P. Recent advances in half-life extension strategies for therapeutic peptides and proteins. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2018;24(41):4932–4946. doi:10.2174/1381612825666190206105232
- 13. Scheen AJ. New therapeutic approaches in type 2 diabetes. Acta Clin Belg. 2008;63(6):402-407. doi:10.1179/acb.2008.083
- 14. Latif W, Lambrinos KJ, Patel P, Rodriguez R. Compare and Contrast the Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 Receptor Agonists (GLP1RAs). StatPearls; 2024.
- Chudleigh RA, Platts J, Bain SC. Comparative effectiveness of long-acting GLP-1 receptor agonists in type 2 diabetes: a short review on the emerging data. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes*. 2020;13:433–438. doi:10.2147/DMSO.S193693
- Flint A, Raben A, Astrup A, Holst JJ. Glucagon-like peptide 1 promotes satiety and suppresses energy intake in humans. J Clin Invest. 1998;101 (3):515–520. doi:10.1172/JC1990
- Friedrichsen M, Breitschaft A, Tadayon S, Wizert A, Skovgaard D. The effect of semaglutide 2.4 mg once weekly on energy intake, appetite, control of eating, and gastric emptying in adults with obesity. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2021;23(3):754–762. doi:10.1111/dom.14280
- Alruwaili H, Dehestani B, le Roux CW. Clinical impact of liraglutide as a treatment of obesity. *Clin Pharmacol.* 2021;13:53–60. doi:10.2147/ CPAA.S276085
- Cork SC. The role of the vagus nerve in appetite control: implications for the pathogenesis of obesity. J Neuroendocrinol. 2018;30(11):e12643. doi:10.1111/jne.12643
- 20. Shah M, Vella A. Effects of GLP-1 on appetite and weight. Rev Endocr Metab Disord. 2014;15(3):181-187. doi:10.1007/s11154-014-9289-5
- 21. Nauck MA, Niedereichholz U, Ettler R, et al. Glucagon-like peptide 1 inhibition of gastric emptying outweighs its insulinotropic effects in healthy humans. *Am J Physiol*. 1997;273(5):E981–8. doi:10.1152/ajpendo.1997.273.5.E981
- 22. Turton MD, O'Shea D, Gunn I, et al. A role for glucagon-like peptide-1 in the central regulation of feeding. *Nature*. 1996;379(6560):69-72. doi:10.1038/379069a0
- 23. Coveleskie K, Kilpatrick LA, Gupta A, et al. The effect of the GLP-1 analogue Exenatide on functional connectivity within an NTS-based network in women with and without obesity. *Obes Sci Pract.* 2017;3(4):434–445. doi:10.1002/osp4.124
- 24. Wang JY, Wang QW, Yang XY, et al. GLP-1 receptor agonists for the treatment of obesity: role as a promising approach. *Front Endocrinol*. 2023;14:1085799. doi:10.3389/fendo.2023.1085799
- Nauck MA, D'Alessio DA. Tirzepatide, a dual GIP/GLP-1 receptor co-agonist for the treatment of type 2 diabetes with unmatched effectiveness regrading glycaemic control and body weight reduction. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2022;21(1):169. doi:10.1186/s12933-022-01604-7
- Abbasi J. FDA Green-Lights Tirzepatide, Marketed as Zepbound, for chronic weight management. JAMA. 2023;330(22):2143–2144. doi:10.1001/jama.2023.24539
- 27. Seino Y, Fukushima M, Yabe D. GIP and GLP-1, the two incretin hormones: similarities and differences. J Diabetes Investig. 2010;1 (1-2):8-23. doi:10.1111/j.2040-1124.2010.00022.x
- Scheen AJ. Dual GIP/GLP-1 receptor agonists: new advances for treating type-2 diabetes. Ann Endocrinol. 2023;84(2):316–321. doi:10.1016/j. ando.2022.12.423
- 29. Frias JP, Davies MJ, Rosenstock J, et al. Tirzepatide versus semaglutide once weekly in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;385 (6):503–515. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2107519
- 30. Frias JP, Nauck MA, Van J, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of tirzepatide, a dual glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist in patients with type 2 diabetes: a 12-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to evaluate different dose-escalation regimens. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2020;22(6):938–946. doi:10.1111/dom.13979
- Frias JP, Nauck MA, Van J, et al. Efficacy and safety of LY3298176, a novel dual GIP and GLP-1 receptor agonist, in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised, placebo-controlled and active comparator-controlled phase 2 trial. *Lancet.* 2018;392(10160):2180–2193. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32260-8
- 32. Trujillo JM, Nuffer W, Smith BA. GLP-1 receptor agonists: an updated review of head-to-head clinical studies. *Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab.* 2021;12:2042018821997320. doi:10.1177/2042018821997320
- 33. Nauck MA, Quast DR, Wefers J, Meier JJ. GLP-1 receptor agonists in the treatment of type 2 diabetes state-of-the-art. *Mol Metab*. 2021;46:101102. doi:10.1016/j.molmet.2020.101102
- 34. Davidson MB, Bate G, Kirkpatrick P. Exenatide. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2005;4(9):713-714. doi:10.1038/nrd1828
- 35. Gentilella R, Bianchi C, Rossi A, Rotella CM. Exenatide: a review from pharmacology to clinical practice. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2009;11 (6):544–556. doi:10.1111/j.1463-1326.2008.01018.x
- AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP. Byetta (exenatide) injection, for subcutaneous use: prescribing information. Wilmington, DE: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP; 2022. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/021773s048lbl.pdf. Accessed September 24, 2024.
- AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP. Bydureon (exenatide extended-release) for injectable suspension: prescribing information. Wilmington, DE: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP; 2022. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/022200s034lbl.pdf. Accessed September 24, 2024.
- AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP. Bydureon BCise (exenatide extended-release) injection, for subcutaneous use: prescribing information. Wilmington, DE: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP; 2022. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/ 209210s023lbl.pdf. Accessed September 24, 2024.
- 39. United State Food and Drug Administration. Clinical pharmacology biopharmaceutics review: liraglutide. 2013. Available from: https://www. accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2014/206321Orig1s000ClinPharmR.pdf. Accessed June 24, 2024.

- 40. Novo Nordisk. Saxenda (liraglutide) injection, for subcutaneous use: prescribing information. Bagsvaerd, Denmark: Novo Nordisk; 2023. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/206321s016lbl.pdf. Accessed September 24, 2024.
- Novo Nordisk. Victoza (liraglutide) injection, for subcutaneous use: prescribing information. Bagsværd, Denmark: Novo Nordisk; 2023. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/022341s039lbl.pdf. Accessed September 24, 2024.
- Glaesner W, Vick AM, Millican R, et al. Engineering and characterization of the long-acting glucagon-like peptide-1 analogue LY2189265, an Fc fusion protein. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2010;26(4):287–296. doi:10.1002/dmrr.1080
- Eli Lilly and Company. Trulicity (dulaglutide) injection, for subcutaneous use: prescribing information. Indianapolis, IN: Eli Lilly and Company; 2022. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/125469s051lbl.pdf. Accessed September 24, 2024.
- Mahapatra MK, Karuppasamy M, Sahoo BM. Semaglutide, a glucagon like peptide-1 receptor agonist with cardiovascular benefits for management of type 2 diabetes. *Rev Endocr Metab Disord*. 2022;23(3):521–539. doi:10.1007/s11154-021-09699-1
- Novo Nordisk. Rybelsus (semaglutide) tablets, for oral use: prescribing information. Bagsværd, Denmark: Novo Nordisk; 2024. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/213051s018lbl.pdf. Accessed September 24, 2024.
- Buckley ST, Baekdal TA, Vegge A, et al. Transcellular stomach absorption of a derivatized glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist. *Sci Transl Med.* 2018;10(467). doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aar7047
- 47. Singh G, Krauthamer M, Bjalme-Evans M. Wegovy (semaglutide): a new weight loss drug for chronic weight management. J Investig Med. 2022;70(1):5–13. doi:10.1136/jim-2021-001952
- Novo Nordisk. Wegovy (semaglutide) injection, for subcutaneous use: prescribing information. Bagsværd, Denmark: Novo Nordisk; 2024. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/215256s011lbl.pdf. Accessed September 24, 2024.
- Novo Nordisk. Ozempic (semaglutide) injection, for subcutaneous use: prescribing information. Bagsværd, Denmark: Novo Nordisk; 2023. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/209637s020s021lbl.pdf. Accessed September 24, 2024.
- Eli Lilly and Company. Zepbound (tirzepatide) injection, for subcutaneous use: prescribing information. Indianapolis, IN: Eli Lilly and Company; 2024. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/217806s003lbl.pdf. Accessed September 24, 2024.
- Eli Lilly and Company. Mounjaro (tirzepatide) injection, for subcutaneous use: prescribing information. Indianapolis, IN: Eli Lilly and Company; 2023. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/215866Orig1s002s006lbl.pdf. Accessed September 24, 2024.
- United State Food and Drug Administration. Clinical pharmacology biopharmaceutics review: Byetta[®]. 2003. Available from: https://www. accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2005/021773_Byetta_biopharmr.PDF. Accessed June 24, 2024.
- Linnebjerg H, Kothare PA, Park S, et al. Effect of renal impairment on the pharmacokinetics of exenatide. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2007;64 (3):317–327. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2125.2007.02890.x
- Fineman M, Flanagan S, Taylor K, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of exenatide extended-release after single and multiple dosing. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2011;50(1):65–74. doi:10.2165/11585880-000000000-00000
- United State Food and Drug Administration. Clinical pharmacology biopharmaceutics review: Dulaglutide. 2014. Available from: https://www. accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2014/125469Orig1s000ClinPharmR.pdf. Accessed June 24, 2024.
- United State Food and Drug Administration. Clinical pharmacology biopharmaceutics review: Ozempic[®]. 2016. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2017/209637Orig1s000ClinPharmR.pdf. Accessed June 24, 2024.
- United State Food and Drug Administration. Clinical pharmacology biopharmaceutics review: Rybelsus[®]. 2019. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2019/213051Orig1s000ClinPharmR.pdf. Accessed June 24, 2024.
- United State Food and Drug Administration. Clinical pharmacology biopharmaceutics review: Mounjaro[®]. 2021. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov. Accessed June 24, 2024.
- 59. Collins L, Costello RA. Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 Receptor Agonists. StatPearls; 2024.
- Gentilella R, Pechtner V, Corcos A, Consoli A. Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in type 2 diabetes treatment: are they all the same? Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2019;35(1):e3070. doi:10.1002/dmrr.3070
- 61. Cirincione B, Mager DE. Population pharmacokinetics of exenatide. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2017;83(3):517-526. doi:10.1111/bcp.13135
- DeYoung MB, MacConell L, Sarin V, Trautmann M, Herbert P. Encapsulation of exenatide in poly-(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) microspheres produced an investigational long-acting once-weekly formulation for type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. 2011;13(11):1145–1154. doi:10.1089/dia.2011.0050
- Jacobsen LV, Flint A, Olsen AK, Ingwersen SH. Liraglutide in type 2 diabetes mellitus: clinical pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2016;55(6):657–672. doi:10.1007/s40262-015-0343-6
- 64. Elbrond B, Jakobsen G, Larsen S, et al. Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, safety, and tolerability of a single-dose of NN2211, a long-acting glucagon-like peptide 1 derivative, in healthy male subjects. *Diabetes Care*. 2002;25(8):1398–1404. doi:10.2337/diacare.25.8.1398
- Plum A, Jensen LB, Kristensen JB. In vitro protein binding of liraglutide in human plasma determined by reiterated stepwise equilibrium dialysis. J Pharm Sci. 2013;102(8):2882–2888. doi:10.1002/jps.23648
- 66. Malm-Erjefalt M, Bjornsdottir I, Vanggaard J, et al. Metabolism and excretion of the once-daily human glucagon-like peptide-1 analog liraglutide in healthy male subjects and its in vitro degradation by dipeptidyl peptidase IV and neutral endopeptidase. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2010;38(11):1944–1953. doi:10.1124/dmd.110.034066
- 67. Burness CB, Scott LJ. Dulaglutide: a review in type 2 diabetes. BioDrugs. 2015;29(6):407-418. doi:10.1007/s40259-015-0143-4
- Overgaard RV, Navarria A, Ingwersen SH, Baekdal TA, Kildemoes RJ. Clinical pharmacokinetics of oral semaglutide: analyses of data from clinical pharmacology trials. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2021;60(10):1335–1348. doi:10.1007/s40262-021-01025-x
- Mahato RI, Narang AS, Thoma L, Miller DD. Emerging trends in oral delivery of peptide and protein drugs. Crit Rev Ther Drug Carrier Syst. 2003;20(2–3):153–214. doi:10.1615/critrevtherdrugcarriersyst.v20.i23.30
- Lau J, Bloch P, Schaffer L, et al. Discovery of the once-weekly Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 (GLP-1) analogue semaglutide. J Med Chem. 2015;58 (18):7370–7380. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00726
- Deacon CF, Knudsen LB, Madsen K, Wiberg FC, Jacobsen O, Holst JJ. Dipeptidyl peptidase IV resistant analogues of glucagon-like peptide-1 which have extended metabolic stability and improved biological activity. *Diabetologia*. 1998;41(3):271–278. doi:10.1007/s001250050903

- Jensen L, Helleberg H, Roffel A, et al. Absorption, metabolism and excretion of the GLP-1 analogue semaglutide in humans and nonclinical species. Eur J Pharm Sci. 2017;104:31–41. doi:10.1016/j.ejps.2017.03.020
- Schneck K, Urva S. Population pharmacokinetics of the GIP/GLP receptor agonist tirzepatide. CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol. 2024;13 (3):494–503. doi:10.1002/psp4.13099
- 74. Coskun T, Sloop KW, Loghin C, et al. LY3298176, a novel dual GIP and GLP-1 receptor agonist for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus: from discovery to clinical proof of concept. *Mol Metab.* 2018;18:3–14. doi:10.1016/j.molmet.2018.09.009
- 75. Furihata K, Mimura H, Urva S, Oura T, Ohwaki K, Imaoka T. A Phase 1 multiple-ascending dose study of tirzepatide in Japanese participants with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2022;24(2):239–246. doi:10.1111/dom.14572
- 76. Syed YY. Tirzepatide: first Approval. Drugs. 2022;82(11):1213-1220. doi:10.1007/s40265-022-01746-8
- 77. Al-Horani RA, Chedid M. Tirzepatide: a new generation therapeutic for diabetes type 2. Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets. 2023;23 (8):1046–1050. doi:10.2174/1871530322666221004151212
- 78. Mayo KE, Miller LJ, Bataille D, et al. International Union of Pharmacology. XXXV. The glucagon receptor family. *Pharmacol Rev.* 2003;55 (1):167–194. doi:10.1124/pr.55.1.6
- Korner M, Stockli M, Waser B, Reubi JC. GLP-1 receptor expression in human tumors and human normal tissues: potential for in vivo targeting. J Nucl Med. 2007;48(5):736–743. doi:10.2967/jnumed.106.038679
- 80. Oh YS, Jun HS. Effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 on oxidative stress and Nrf2 signaling. Int J Mol Sci. 2017;19(1). doi:10.3390/ijms19010026
- Song G, Yang D, Wang Y, et al. Human GLP-1 receptor transmembrane domain structure in complex with allosteric modulators. *Nature*. 2017;546(7657):312–315. doi:10.1038/nature22378
- Baggio LL, Yusta B, Mulvihill EE, et al. GLP-1 receptor expression within the human heart. *Endocrinology*. 2018;159(4):1570–1584. doi:10.1210/en.2018-00004
- Wallner M, Kolesnik E, Ablasser K, et al. Exenatide exerts a PKA-dependent positive inotropic effect in human atrial myocardium: GLP-1R mediated effects in human myocardium. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2015;89(Pt B):365–375. doi:10.1016/j.yjmcc.2015.09.018
- Smit FX, van der Velden WJC, Kizilkaya HS, et al. Investigating GIPR (ant)agonism: a structural analysis of GIP and its receptor. *Structure*. 2021;29(7):679–693e6. doi:10.1016/j.str.2021.04.001
- Usdin TB, Mezey E, Button DC, Brownstein MJ, Bonner TI. Gastric inhibitory polypeptide receptor, a member of the secretin-vasoactive intestinal peptide receptor family, is widely distributed in peripheral organs and the brain. *Endocrinology*. 1993;133(6):2861–2870. doi:10.1210/ endo.133.6.8243312
- Drucker DJ. Mechanisms of action and therapeutic application of Glucagon-like peptide-1. Cell Metab. 2018;27(4):740–756. doi:10.1016/j. cmet.2018.03.001
- Cornell S. A review of GLP-1 receptor agonists in type 2 diabetes: a focus on the mechanism of action of once-weekly agents. J Clin Pharm Ther. 2020;45(Suppl 1):17–27. doi:10.1111/jcpt.13230
- Blevins T, Pullman J, Malloy J, et al. DURATION-5: exenatide once weekly resulted in greater improvements in glycemic control compared with exenatide twice daily in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;96(5):1301–1310. doi:10.1210/jc.2010-2081
- 89. Buse JB, Rosenstock J, Sesti G, et al. Liraglutide once a day versus exenatide twice a day for type 2 diabetes: a 26-week randomised, parallel-group, multinational, open-label trial (LEAD-6). Lancet. 2009;374(9683):39–47. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60659-0
- 90. Pi-Sunyer X, Astrup A, Fujioka K, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of 3.0 mg of liraglutide in weight management. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;373 (1):11–22. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1411892
- Dungan KM, Povedano ST, Forst T, et al. Once-weekly dulaglutide versus once-daily liraglutide in metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes (AWARD-6): a randomised, open-label, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet.* 2014;384(9951):1349–1357. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60976-4
- 92. Sorli C, Harashima SI, Tsoukas GM, et al. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide monotherapy versus placebo in patients with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 1): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, multinational, multicentre phase 3a trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2017;5(4):251–260. doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(17)30013-X
- 93. Aroda VR, Rosenstock J, Terauchi Y, et al. PIONEER 1: randomized clinical trial of the efficacy and safety of oral semaglutide monotherapy in comparison with placebo in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2019;42(9):1724–1732. doi:10.2337/dc19-0749
- 94. Wilding JPH, Batterham RL, Calanna S, et al. Once-weekly semaglutide in adults with overweight or obesity. N Engl J Med. 2021;384 (11):989-1002. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2032183
- 95. Rosenstock J, Wysham C, Frias JP, et al. Efficacy and safety of a novel dual GIP and GLP-1 receptor agonist tirzepatide in patients with type 2 diabetes (SURPASS-1): a double-blind, randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* 2021;398(10295):143–155. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01324-6
- 96. Jastreboff AM, Aronne LJ, Ahmad NN, et al. Tirzepatide once weekly for the treatment of obesity. N Engl J Med. 2022;387(3):205-216. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2206038
- 97. Marso SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, et al. Liraglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2016;375 (4):311-322. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1603827
- Holman RR, Bethel MA, Mentz RJ, et al. Effects of once-weekly exenatide on cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2017;377(13):1228–1239. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1612917
- 99. Mosterd CM, Bjornstad P, van Raalte DH. Nephroprotective effects of GLP-1 receptor agonists: where do we stand? J Nephrol. 2020;33 (5):965–975. doi:10.1007/s40620-020-00738-9
- Bendotti G, Montefusco L, Lunati ME, et al. The anti-inflammatory and immunological properties of GLP-1 Receptor Agonists. *Pharmacol Res.* 2022;182:106320. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2022.106320
- Mehdi SF, Pusapati S, Anwar MS, et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1: a multi-faceted anti-inflammatory agent. Front Immunol. 2023;14:1148209. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2023.1148209
- 102. Hotamisligil GS. Inflammation and metabolic disorders. Nature. 2006;444(7121):860-867. doi:10.1038/nature05485
- 103. Drucker DJ, Nauck MA. The incretin system: glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors in type 2 diabetes. Lancet. 2006;368(9548):1696–1705. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69705-5

- 104. Klein KR, Clemmensen KKB, Fong E, Olsen S, Abrahamsen T, Lingvay I. Occurrence of gastrointestinal adverse events upon GLP-1 receptor agonist initiation with concomitant metformin use: a post hoc analysis of LEADER, STEP 2, SUSTAIN-6, and PIONEER 6. *Diabetes Care*. 2024;47(2):280–284. doi:10.2337/dc23-1791
- Sodhi M, Rezaeianzadeh R, Kezouh A, Etminan M. Risk of gastrointestinal adverse events associated with glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists for weight loss. JAMA. 2023;330(18):1795–1797. doi:10.1001/jama.2023.19574
- 106. Rubino DM, Greenway FL, Khalid U, et al. Effect of weekly subcutaneous semaglutide vs daily liraglutide on body weight in adults with overweight or obesity without diabetes: the STEP 8 randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2022;327(2):138–150. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.23619
- 107. Kapoor I, Sarvepalli SM, D'Alessio D, Grewal DS, Hadziahmetovic M. GLP-1 receptor agonists and diabetic retinopathy: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Surv Ophthalmol. 2023;68(6):1071–1083. doi:10.1016/j.survophthal.2023.07.002
- Liu L, Chen J, Wang L, Chen C, Chen L. Association between different GLP-1 receptor agonists and gastrointestinal adverse reactions: a real-world disproportionality study based on FDA adverse event reporting system database. *Front Endocrinol.* 2022;13:1043789. doi:10.3389/ fendo.2022.1043789
- 109. He L, Li Q, Yang Y, et al. Pharmacovigilance study of GLP-1 receptor agonists for metabolic and nutritional adverse events. Front Pharmacol. 2024;15:1416985. doi:10.3389/fphar.2024.1416985
- 110. Walker DK. The use of pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data in the assessment of drug safety in early drug development. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2004;58(6):601–608. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2125.2004.02194.x
- 111. United State Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Guidance for industry: exposure-response relationship-study design, data analysis, and regulatory applications. 2003.
- 112. Zhou Y, Chen M, Liu L, Chen Z. Difference in gastrointestinal risk associated with use of GLP-1 receptor agonists: a real-world pharmacovigilance study. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes*. 2022;15:155–163. doi:10.2147/DMSO.S348025
- Nauck MA, Kemmeries G, Holst JJ, Meier JJ. Rapid tachyphylaxis of the glucagon-like peptide 1-induced deceleration of gastric emptying in humans. *Diabetes*. 2011;60(5):1561–1565. doi:10.2337/db10-0474
- 114. Luo ZY, Li X, Chen CT, et al. Ocular adverse events associated with GLP-1 receptor agonists: a real-world study based on the FAERS database and network pharmacology. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* 2024:1–10. doi:10.1080/14740338.2024.2419989
- 115. Guo H, Guo Q, Li Z, Wang Z. Association between different GLP-1 receptor agonists and acute pancreatitis: case series and real-world pharmacovigilance analysis. Front Pharmacol. 2024;15:1461398. doi:10.3389/fphar.2024.1461398
- Makunts T, Joulfayan H, Abagyan R. Thyroid hyperplasia and neoplasm adverse events associated with GLP-1 receptor agonists in FDA adverse event reporting system. *medRxiv*. 2023. doi:10.1101/2023.11.19.23298750
- 117. Wu T, Zhang Y, Shi Y, et al. Safety of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists: a real-world study based on the US FDA adverse event reporting system database. *Clin Drug Investig*. 2022;42(11):965–975. doi:10.1007/s40261-022-01202-1
- 118. Holst JJ. From the incretin concept and the discovery of GLP-1 to today's diabetes therapy. Front Endocrinol. 2019;10:260. doi:10.3389/ fendo.2019.00260
- Garber AJ. Long-acting glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists: a review of their efficacy and tolerability. *Diabetes Care*. 2011;34(Suppl 2): S279–84. doi:10.2337/dc11-s231
- 120. United State Food and Drug Administration, center for drug evaluation and research. Guidance for Industry: clinical Pharmacology considerations for peptide drug products. 2023. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/media/171901/download. Accessed March 3, 2024.
- 121. Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 (GLP-1) Analogues. LiverTox: clinical and research information on drug-induced liver injury. 2012.
- 122. Neumiller JJ. Clinical pharmacology of incretin therapies for type 2 diabetes mellitus: implications for treatment. *Clin Ther*. 2011;33 (5):528–576. doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2011.04.024
- 123. Jyrkas J, Tolonen A. Hepatic in vitro metabolism of peptides; Comparison of human liver S9, hepatocytes and Upcyte hepatocytes with cyclosporine A, leuprorelin, desmopressin and cetrorelix as model compounds. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2021;196:113921. doi:10.1016/j. jpba.2021.113921
- Calvarysky B, Dotan I, Shepshelovich D, Leader A, Cohen TD. Drug-drug interactions between glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists and oral medications: a systematic review. Drug Saf. 2024;47(5):439–451. doi:10.1007/s40264-023-01392-3
- Iber H, Li-Masters T, Chen Q, Yu S, Morgan ET. Regulation of hepatic cytochrome P450 2C11 via cAMP: implications for down-regulation in diabetes, fasting, and inflammation. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2001;297(1):174–180.
- 126. Liddle C, Goodwin BJ, George J, Tapner M, Farrell GC. Separate and interactive regulation of cytochrome P450 3A4 by triiodothyronine, dexamethasone, and growth hormone in cultured hepatocytes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1998;83(7):2411–2416. doi:10.1210/jcem.83.7.4877
- 127. Yilmaz B, Kemal Y, Teker F. Be careful before prescribing warfarin and octreotide together: a new drug-drug interaction report. *Hippokratia*. 2014;18(4):377.
- 128. Stevenson W, Chauhan SK, Dana R. Dry eye disease: an immune-mediated ocular surface disorder. Arch Ophthalmol. 2012;130(1):90–100. doi:10.1001/archophthalmol.2011.364
- Lechner C, Reichel V, Moenning U, Reichel A, Fricker G. Development of a fluorescence-based assay for drug interactions with human Multidrug Resistance Related Protein (MRP2; ABCC2) in MDCKII-MRP2 membrane vesicles. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm*. 2010;75(2):284–290. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2010.03.008
- 130. Shitara Y. Clinical importance of OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 in drug-drug interactions. Drug Metab Pharmacokinet. 2011;26(3):220-227. doi:10.2133/dmpk.DMPK-10-RV-094
- Qadir M, O'Loughlin KL, Fricke SM, et al. Cyclosporin A is a broad-spectrum multidrug resistance modulator. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2005;11 (6):2320–2326. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-1725
- 132. Niwa T, Yamamoto S, Saito M, Shiraga T, Takagi A. Effect of cyclosporine and tacrolimus on cytochrome p450 activities in human liver microsomes. *Yakugaku Zasshi*. 2007;127(1):209–216. doi:10.1248/yakushi.127.209
- 133. Lemahieu WP, Hermann M, Asberg A, et al. Combined therapy with atorvastatin and calcineurin inhibitors: no interactions with tacrolimus. *Am J Transplant*. 2005;5(9):2236–2243. doi:10.1111/j.1600-6143.2005.01005.x
- 134. Ishikawa R, Saito K, Misawa T, Demizu Y, Saito Y. Identification of the stapled alpha-helical peptide ATSP-7041 as a substrate and strong inhibitor of OATP1B1 in vitro. *Biomolecules*. 2023;13(6). doi:10.3390/biom13061002

- 135. Saleh MN, Patel MR, Bauer TM, et al. Phase 1 trial of ALRN-6924, a dual inhibitor of MDMX and MDM2, in patients with solid tumors and lymphomas bearing wild-type TP53. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2021;27(19):5236–5247. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-0715
- Ishiguro N, Maeda K, Kishimoto W, et al. Predominant contribution of OATP1B3 to the hepatic uptake of telmisartan, an angiotensin II receptor antagonist, in humans. Drug Metab Dispos. 2006;34(7):1109–1115. doi:10.1124/dmd.105.009175
- 137. Indu R, Adhikari A, Maisnam I, Basak P, Sur TK, Das AK. Polypharmacy and comorbidity status in the treatment of type 2 diabetic patients attending a tertiary care hospital: an observational and questionnaire-based study. *Perspect Clin Res.* 2018;9(3):139–144. doi:10.4103/picr.PICR_81_17
- 138. Hamilton RA, Briceland LL, Andritz MH. Frequency of hospitalization after exposure to known drug-drug interactions in a Medicaid population. *Pharmacotherapy*. 1998;18(5):1112–1120.
- United State Food and Drug Administration. Clinical pharmacology biopharmaceutics review: Wegovy[®]. 2020. Available from: https://www. accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2021/215256Orig1s000ClinPharmR.pdf. Accessed June 24, 2024.
- 140. Jordy AB, Albayaty M, Breitschaft A, et al. Effect of oral semaglutide on the pharmacokinetics of levonorgestrel and ethinylestradiol in healthy postmenopausal women and furosemide and rosuvastatin in healthy subjects. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2021;60(9):1171–1185. doi:10.1007/s40262-020-00976-x
- 141. Xie P, Abildlund MT, Baekdal TA, et al. A phase 1, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial investigating the pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, safety and tolerability of oral semaglutide in healthy Chinese subjects. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2024;26(8):3068–3077. doi:10.1111/dom.15624
- 142. Maselli DB, Camilleri M. Effects of GLP-1 and its analogs on gastric physiology in diabetes mellitus and obesity. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2021;1307:171–192. doi:10.1007/5584_2020_496
- Hurren KM, Pinelli NR. Drug-drug interactions with glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists. Ann Pharmacother. 2012;46(5):710–717. doi:10.1345/aph.1Q583
- 144. European Medicines Agency. Guideline on the investigation of drug interactions. 2012. Available from: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/ documents/scientific-guideline/guideline-investigation-drug-interactions-revision-1_en.pdf. Accessed Feb 2, 2025.
- 145. United State Food and Drug Administration, center for drug evaluation and research. Guidance for Industry: drug interaction studies-study design, data analysis, implications for dosing, and labeling recommendations. 2012. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/media/171901/download. Accessed March 3, 2024.
- 146. United State Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. M12 drug interaction studies guidance for industry. 2024. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/media/161199/download. Accessed March 10, 2025.
- 147. Kothare PA, Linnebjerg H, Skrivanek Z, et al. Exenatide effects on statin pharmacokinetics and lipid response. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2007;45(2):114–120. doi:10.5414/cpp45114
- 148. Kothare PA, Seger ME, Northrup J, Mace K, Mitchell MI, Linnebjerg H. Effect of exenatide on the pharmacokinetics of a combination oral contraceptive in healthy women: an open-label, randomised, crossover trial. *BMC Clin Pharmacol.* 2012;12:8. doi:10.1186/1472-6904-12-8
- 149. Kothare PA, Soon DK, Linnebjerg H, et al. Effect of exenatide on the steady-state pharmacokinetics of digoxin. J Clin Pharmacol. 2005;45 (9):1032–1037. doi:10.1177/0091270005278806
- Blase E, Taylor K, Gao HY, Wintle M, Fineman M. Pharmacokinetics of an oral drug (Acetaminophen) administered at various times in relation to subcutaneous injection of exenatide (exendin-4) in healthy subjects. J Clin Pharmacol. 2005;45(5):570–577. doi:10.1177/0091270004274432
- 151. Soon D, Kothare PA, Linnebjerg H, et al. Effect of exenatide on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of warfarin in healthy Asian men. J Clin Pharmacol. 2006;46(10):1179–1187. doi:10.1177/0091270006291622
- Linnebjerg H, Kothare P, Park S, Mace K, Mitchell M. The effect of exenatide on lisinopril pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics in patients with hypertension. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2009;47(11):651–658. doi:10.5414/cpp47651
- 153. Malm-Erjefalt M, Ekblom M, Vouis J, Zdravkovic M, Lennernas H. Effect on the gastrointestinal absorption of drugs from different classes in the biopharmaceutics classification system, when treating with liraglutide. *Mol Pharm.* 2015;12(11):4166–4173. doi:10.1021/acs. molpharmaceut.5b00278
- 154. Kapitza C, Zdravkovic M, Hindsberger C, Flint A. The effect of the once-daily human glucagon-like peptide 1 analog liraglutide on the pharmacokinetics of Acetaminophen. *Adv Ther.* 2011;28(8):650–660. doi:10.1007/s12325-011-0044-y
- 155. de la Pena A, Cui X, Geiser J, Loghin C. Dose adjustment is recommended for digoxin, warfarin, atorvastatin or a combination oral contraceptive when coadministered with dulaglutide. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2017;56(11):1415–1427. doi:10.1007/s40262-017-0531-7
- 156. Morse BL, Alberts JJ, Posada MM, et al. Physiologically-based pharmacokinetic modeling of atorvastatin incorporating delayed gastric emptying and acid-to-lactone conversion. *CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol.* 2019;8(9):664–675. doi:10.1002/psp4.12447
- 157. Kapitza C, Nosek L, Jensen L, Hartvig H, Jensen CB, Flint A. Semaglutide, a once-weekly human GLP-1 analog, does not reduce the bioavailability of the combined oral contraceptive, ethinylestradiol/levonorgestrel. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2015;55(5):497–504. doi:10.1002/jcph.443
- 158. Baekdal TA, Borregaard J, Hansen CW, Thomsen M, Anderson TW. Effect of oral semaglutide on the pharmacokinetics of lisinopril, warfarin, digoxin, and metformin in healthy subjects. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2019;58(9):1193–1203. doi:10.1007/s40262-019-00756-2
- 159. Hausner H, Derving Karsbol J, Holst AG, et al. Effect of semaglutide on the pharmacokinetics of metformin, warfarin, atorvastatin and digoxin in healthy subjects. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2017;56(11):1391–1401. doi:10.1007/s40262-017-0532-6
- 160. Hauge C, Breitschaft A, Hartoft-Nielsen ML, Jensen S, Baekdal TA. Effect of oral semaglutide on the pharmacokinetics of thyroxine after dosing of levothyroxine and the influence of co-administered tablets on the pharmacokinetics of oral semaglutide in healthy subjects: an open-label, one-sequence crossover, single-center, multiple-dose, two-part trial. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol*. 2021;17(9):1139–1148. doi:10.1080/17425255.2021.1955856
- 161. Skelley JW, Swearengin K, York AL, Glover LH. The impact of tirzepatide and glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists on oral hormonal contraception. J Am Pharm Assoc. 2024;64(1):204–211e4. doi:10.1016/j.japh.2023.10.037
- Langeskov EK, Kristensen K. Population pharmacokinetic of paracetamol and atorvastatin with co-administration of semaglutide. *Pharmacol Res Perspect*. 2022;10(4):e00962. doi:10.1002/prp2.962
- 163. Jacobsen LV, Vouis J, Hindsberger C, Zdravkovic M. Treatment with liraglutide--a once-daily GLP-1 analog--does not reduce the bioavailability of ethinyl estradiol/levonorgestrel taken as an oral combination contraceptive drug. J Clin Pharmacol. 2011;51(12):1696–1703. doi:10.1177/ 0091270010389471

- 164. Shitara Y, Sugiyama Y. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic alterations of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors: drug-drug interactions and interindividual differences in transporter and metabolic enzyme functions. *Pharmacol Ther.* 2006;112 (1):71–105. doi:10.1016/j.pharmthera.2006.03.003
- 165. Cooper DB, Patel P. Oral Contraceptive Pills. StatPearls; 2024.
- 166. Li L, Yang X, Tran D, Seo SK, Lu Y. Combined oral contraceptives as victims of drug interactions. Drug Metab Dispos. 2023;51(6):718–732. doi:10.1124/dmd.122.000854
- 167. Sun H, Sivasubramanian R, Vaidya S, Barve A, Jarugula V. Drug-drug interaction studies with oral contraceptives: pharmacokinetic/ pharmacodynamic and study design considerations. J Clin Pharmacol. 2020;60(Suppl 2):S49–S62. doi:10.1002/jcph.1765
- 168. Maideen NMP. Pharmacologically relevant drug interactions of Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists. J Anal Pharm Res. 2019;8(2):51–53.
- 169. Urva S, Coskun T, Loghin C, et al. The novel dual glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonist tirzepatide transiently delays gastric emptying similarly to selective long-acting GLP-1 receptor agonists. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2020;22(10):1886–1891. doi:10.1111/dom.14110
- Wartofsky L. Levothyroxine: therapeutic use and regulatory issues related to bioequivalence. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2002;3(6):727–732. doi:10.1517/14656566.3.6.727
- 171. Benvenga S, Bartolone L, Squadrito S, Lo Giudice F, Trimarchi F. Delayed intestinal absorption of levothyroxine. *Thyroid*. 1995;5(4):249–253. doi:10.1089/thy.1995.5.249
- 172. Virili C, Antonelli A, Santaguida MG, Benvenga S, Centanni M. Gastrointestinal Malabsorption of Thyroxine. *Endocr Rev.* 2019;40 (1):118–136. doi:10.1210/er.2018-00168
- 173. Jonklaas J, Bianco AC, Bauer AJ, et al. Guidelines for the treatment of hypothyroidism: prepared by the American thyroid association task force on thyroid hormone replacement. *Thyroid*. 2014;24(12):1670–1751. doi:10.1089/thy.2014.0028
- 174. De Block C, Bailey C, Wysham C, Hemmingway A, Allen SE, Peleshok J. Tirzepatide for the treatment of adults with type 2 diabetes: an endocrine perspective. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2023;25(1):3–17. doi:10.1111/dom.14831
- 175. Raven LM, Stoita A, Feller RB, Brown C, Greenfield JR. Delayed gastric emptying with perioperative use of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists. *Am J Med*. 2023;136(12):e233–e234. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2023.07.016
- 176. United State Food and Drug Administration. Clinical pharmacology biopharmaceutics review: exenatide extended-release. 2017. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2005/021773_Byetta_biopharmr.PDF. Accessed June 24, 2024.
- 177. Watson E, Jonker DM, Jacobsen LV, Ingwersen SH. Population pharmacokinetics of liraglutide, a once-daily human glucagon-like peptide-1 analog, in healthy volunteers and subjects with type 2 diabetes, and comparison to twice-daily exenatide. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 2010;50 (8):886–894. doi:10.1177/0091270009354996
- 178. Chen T, Mager DE, Kagan L. Interspecies modeling and prediction of human exenatide pharmacokinetics. *Pharm Res.* 2013;30(3):751–760. doi:10.1007/s11095-012-0917-z
- 179. Voronova V, Zhudenkov K, Penland RC, Boulton DW, Helmlinger G, Peskov K. Exenatide effects on gastric emptying rate and the glucose rate of appearance in plasma: a quantitative assessment using an integrative systems pharmacology model. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2018;20 (8):2034–2038. doi:10.1111/dom.13326
- Elkeeb R, Eid T, Yu J, Nguyen H, Atef E. Can a monthly exenatide extended release regimen provide a therapeutic and cost benefit? *Biopharm Drug Dispos*. 2021;42(6):245–251. doi:10.1002/bdd.2279
- Cirincione B, Edwards J, Mager DE. Population pharmacokinetics of an extended-release formulation of exenatide following single- and multiple-dose administration. AAPS J. 2017;19(2):487–496. doi:10.1208/s12248-016-9975-1
- Overgaard RV, Lindberg SO, Thielke D. Impact on HbA1c and body weight of switching from other GLP-1 receptor agonists to semaglutide: a model-based approach. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2019;21(1):43–51. doi:10.1111/dom.13479
- 183. Li H, Xu J, Fan X. Target-mediated pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic model based meta-analysis and dosing regimen optimization of a long-acting release formulation of exenatide in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Pharmacol Sci. 2015;127(2):170–180. doi:10.1016/j. jphs.2014.12.004
- Cirincione B, LaCreta F, Sager P, Mager DE. Model-based evaluation of exenatide effects on the QT interval in healthy subjects following continuous IV infusion. J Clin Pharmacol. 2017;57(8):956–965. doi:10.1002/jcph.882
- 185. Machado TR, Honorio T, Souza Domingos TF, et al. Physiologically based pharmacokinetic modelling of semaglutide in children and adolescents with healthy and obese body weights. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2023;89(10):3175–3194. doi:10.1111/bcp.15816
- 186. Petri KCC, Ingwersen SH, Flint A, Zacho J, Overgaard RV. Exposure-response analysis for evaluation of semaglutide dose levels in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2018;20(9):2238–2245. doi:10.1111/dom.13358
- Overgaard RV, Delff PH, Petri KCC, Anderson TW, Flint A, Ingwersen SH. Population pharmacokinetics of semaglutide for type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Ther. 2019;10(2):649–662. doi:10.1007/s13300-019-0581-y
- Strathe A, Horn DB, Larsen MS, et al. A model-based approach to predict individual weight loss with semaglutide in people with overweight or obesity. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2023;25(11):3171–3180. doi:10.1111/dom.15211
- 189. Tham LS, Pantalone KM, Dungan K, et al. A model-based simulation of glycaemic control and body weight when switching from semaglutide to 3.0- and 4.5-mg doses of once-weekly dulaglutide. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2022;24(2):302–311. doi:10.1111/dom.14582
- Petri KC, Jacobsen LV, Klein DJ. Comparable liraglutide pharmacokinetics in pediatric and adult populations with type 2 diabetes: a population pharmacokinetic analysis. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2015;54(6):663–670. doi:10.1007/s40262-014-0229-z
- Overgaard RV, Petri KC, Jacobsen LV, Jensen CB. Liraglutide 3.0 mg for weight management: a population pharmacokinetic analysis. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2016;55(11):1413–1422. doi:10.1007/s40262-016-0410-7
- 192. Papathanasiou T, Strathe A, Agerso H, Lund TM, Overgaard RV. Impact of dose-escalation schemes and drug discontinuation on weight loss outcomes with liraglutide 3.0 mg: a model-based approach. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2020;22(6):969–977. doi:10.1111/dom.13985
- 193. Geiser JS, Heathman MA, Cui X, et al. Clinical pharmacokinetics of dulaglutide in patients with type 2 diabetes: analyses of data from clinical trials. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2016;55(5):625–634. doi:10.1007/s40262-015-0338-3
- 194. Tang CC, Lim J, Loo LS, Jung H, Konig M, Tham LS. Practical applications of a nausea and vomiting model in the clinical development of additional doses of dulaglutide. J Clin Pharmacol. 2024;64(2):215–226. doi:10.1002/jcph.2373

- 195. Guan R, Li X, Ma G. Prediction of pediatric dose of tirzepatide from the reference adult dose using physiologically based pharmacokinetic modelling. *Front Pharmacol.* 2023;14:1326373. doi:10.3389/fphar.2023.1326373
- 196. Tham LSSK, Geiser JS, Posada MM, Dickinson GL. Integration of population exposure-response and physiological based pharmacokinetic modeling approaches to evaluate gastric-emptying induced drug interaction risks for dulaglutide. 2018.
- 197. Yoon KH, Kang J, Kwon SC, et al. Pharmacokinetic and dose-finding studies on efpeglenatide in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2020;22(8):1292–1301. doi:10.1111/dom.14032
- 198. Sharma D, Verma S, Vaidya S, Kalia K, Tiwari V. Recent updates on GLP-1 agonists: current advancements & challenges. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2018;108:952–962. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2018.08.088
- 199. Wharton S, Blevins T, Connery L, et al. Daily oral GLP-1 receptor agonist orforglipron for adults with obesity. N Engl J Med. 2023;389 (10):877–888. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2302392
- 200. Newsome PN, Buchholtz K, Cusi K, et al. A placebo-controlled trial of subcutaneous semaglutide in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. N Engl J Med. 2021;384(12):1113–1124. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2028395
- 201. Armstrong MJ, Barton D, Gaunt P, et al. Liraglutide efficacy and action in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (LEAN): study protocol for a phase II multicentre, double-blinded, randomised, controlled trial. *BMJ Open.* 2013;3(11):e003995. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013-003995
- 202. Knerr PJ, Mowery SA, Douros JD, et al. Next generation GLP-1/GIP/glucagon triple agonists normalize body weight in obese mice. *Mol Metab.* 2022;63:101533. doi:10.1016/j.molmet.2022.101533
- 203. Abdelmalek MF, Suzuki A, Sanchez W, et al. A phase 2, adaptive randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter, 52-week study of HM15211 in patients with biopsy-confirmed non-alcoholic steatohepatitis - Study design and rationale of HM-TRIA-201 study. *Contemp Clin Trials*. 2023;130:107176. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2023.107176
- 204. Sanyal AJ, Bedossa P, Fraessdorf M, et al. A Phase 2 Randomized Trial of Survodutide in MASH and Fibrosis. N Engl J Med. 2024;391 (4):311–319. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2401755
- 205. Jastreboff AM, Kaplan LM, Frias JP, et al. Triple-hormone-receptor agonist retatrutide for obesity—a phase 2 trial. N Engl J Med. 2023;389 (6):514–526. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2301972
- 206. Ji L, Jiang H, Cheng Z, et al. A phase 2 randomised controlled trial of mazdutide in Chinese overweight adults or adults with obesity. *Nat Commun.* 2023;14(1):8289. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-44067-4
- 207. Sall C, Alifrangis L, Dahl K, Friedrichsen MH, Nygard SB, Kristensen K. In vitro CYP450 enzyme down-regulation by GLP-1/glucagon co-agonist does not translate to observed drug-drug interactions in the clinic. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2022. doi:10.1124/dmd.122.000865
- 208. Simonsen L, Lau J, Kruse T, et al. Preclinical evaluation of a protracted GLP-1/glucagon receptor co-agonist: translational difficulties and pitfalls. *PLoS One*. 2022;17(3):e0264974. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0264974
- 209. Norgaard RA, Bhatt DK, Jarvinen E, et al. Evaluating drug-drug interaction risk associated with peptide analogues using advanced in vitro systems. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2023. doi:10.1124/dmd.123.001441
- Gouju J, Legeay S. Pharmacokinetics of obese adults: not only an increase in weight. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2023;166:115281. doi:10.1016/j. biopha.2023.115281
- 211. Kvitne KE, Robertsen I, Skovlund E, et al. Short- and long-term effects of body weight loss following calorie restriction and gastric bypass on CYP3A-activity a non-randomized three-armed controlled trial. *Clin Transl Sci.* 2022;15(1):221–233. doi:10.1111/cts.13142
- 212. Cheymol G. Clinical pharmacokinetics of drugs in obesity. An update. Clin Pharmacokinet. 1993;25(2):103-114. doi:10.2165/00003088-199325020-00003
- 213. Aitken AE, Morgan ET. Gene-specific effects of inflammatory cytokines on cytochrome P450 2C, 2B6 and 3A4 mRNA levels in human hepatocytes. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2007;35(9):1687–1693. doi:10.1124/dmd.107.015511
- Pan HC, Chen JY, Chen HY, et al. GLP-1 receptor agonists' impact on cardio-renal outcomes and mortality in T2D with acute kidney disease. Nat Commun. 2024;15(1):5912. doi:10.1038/s41467-024-50199-y
- Greco EV, Russo G, Giandalia A, Viazzi F, Pontremoli R, De Cosmo S. GLP-1 receptor agonists and kidney protection. *Medicina*. 2019;55(6). doi:10.3390/medicina55060233
- Crajoinas RO, Oricchio FT, Pessoa TD, et al. Mechanisms mediating the diuretic and natriuretic actions of the incretin hormone glucagon-like peptide-1. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2011;301(2):F355–63. doi:10.1152/ajprenal.00729.2010
- 217. Lumholtz B, Kampmann TJ, Siersbaek-Nielsen K, Hansen JM. Dose regimen of kanamycin and gentamicin. Acta Med Scand. 1974;196 (6):521–524. doi:10.1111/j.0954-6820.1974.tb01054.x
- Triggs EJ, Johnson JM, Learoyd B. Absorption and disposition of ampicillin in the elderly. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1980;18(2):195–198. doi:10.1007/BF00561590
- Somogyi A, Hewson D, Muirhead M, Bochner F. Amiloride disposition in geriatric patients: importance of renal function. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 1990;29(1):1–8. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2125.1990.tb03595.x
- 220. Portnoi VA. Digitalis delirium in elderly patients. J Clin Pharmacol. 1979;19(11-12):747-750. doi:10.1002/j.1552-4604.1979.tb01646.x
- 221. Oberbauer R, Krivanek P, Turnheim K. Pharmacokinetics of indomethacin in the elderly. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 1993;24(5):428-434. doi:10.2165/00003088-199324050-00007
- 222. Sager JE, Yu J, Ragueneau-Majlessi I, Isoherranen N. Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modeling and simulation approaches: a systematic review of published models, applications, and model verification. *Drug Metab Dispos.* 2015;43(11):1823–1837. doi:10.1124/ dmd.115.065920
- 223. Jones HM, Chen Y, Gibson C, et al. Physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling in drug discovery and development: a pharmaceutical industry perspective. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2015;97(3):247–262. doi:10.1002/cpt.37
- 224. Min JS, Bae SK. Prediction of drug-drug interaction potential using physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling. *Arch Pharm Res.* 2017;40 (12):1356–1379. doi:10.1007/s12272-017-0976-0
- 225. Jamei M, Dickinson GL, Rostami-Hodjegan A. A framework for assessing inter-individual variability in pharmacokinetics using virtual human populations and integrating general knowledge of physical chemistry, biology, anatomy, physiology and genetics: a tale of 'bottom-up' vs 'top-down' recognition of covariates. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet*. 2009;24(1):53–75. doi:10.2133/dmpk.24.53
- 226. Rowland M, Peck C, Tucker G. Physiologically-based pharmacokinetics in drug development and regulatory science. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2011;51:45–73. doi:10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010510-100540

Drug Design, Development and Therapy

Dovepress Taylor & Francis Group

Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are a feature of the journal, which has also been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal