

Determining Opioid-Sparing Efficacy of Intraoperative Esketamine After Laparoscopic Gynecological Surgery [Letter]

Xiao-Ying Chen, Yan-Hua Guo, Fu-Shan Xue 

Department of Anesthesiology, Shengli Clinical Medical College of Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou University Affiliated Provincial Hospital, Fujian Provincial Hospital, Fuzhou, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Fu-Shan Xue, Department of Anesthesiology, Shengli Clinical Medical College of Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou University Affiliated Provincial Hospital, Fujian Provincial Hospital, No. 134 Dongjie, Fuzhou, 350001, People's Republic of China, Tel +86-13911177655, Fax +86-0591-88217841, Email fushanxue@outlook.com; xuefushan@mail.ccmu.edu.cn

Dear editor

By performing a randomized controlled clinical trial in 120 participants who underwent laparoscopic gynecological surgery under general anesthesia, Huan et al¹ showed that intraoperative esketamine at both low and high doses significantly decreased postoperative opioid consumption without increased risk of adverse events. Their findings are very interesting, but we had several questions about the design, methods and results of this study and would appreciate the authors' answers.

First, as an important component of designing a randomized controlled trial, Huan et al¹ calculated the sample size of this study based on the findings of Ithnin et al's previous work. Because Huan et al did not provide this reference, we had no access to the data about surgical technique, study design, anesthesia management and perioperative analgesia regimen in Ithnin et al's work. Thus, it cannot be determined if 24-hour morphine milligram equivalents (MME) of 21.0 (SD, 11.4) mg in Ithnin et al's work is the suitable effect size for sample size calculation of Huan et al's study. Even if it is appropriate, using an expected reduction of 30% in sufentanil consumption with patient-controlled analgesia during 24 hours postoperatively only means a between-group difference of 6.3 mmE, which is less than the recommended effect size of 9 mg intravenous MME for 24-h opioid consumption in the randomized clinical trials.² Most important, Huan et al¹ did not clearly describe that the MME reported in this study were oral or intravenous morphine, though 1 mg intravenous morphine is equivalent to 3 mg oral morphine.³ Thus, we argue that clarifying above issues is important for improving the transparency of research design and understanding clinical significance of opioid-sparing effects with intraoperative esketamine.

Second, 24-hour postoperative opioid consumption was significantly lower in both esketamine groups compared to the control group, but the mean opioid consumption within 48 hours postoperatively was still up to 77.2–85.9 mmE in both esketamine groups. Such high postoperative opioid consumptions cannot be accepted in the current context of enhanced recovery after surgery for gynecological surgery practices, which require that multimodal opioid-reduction analgesia strategies are used to avoid or minimize the use of opioids and their side effects.⁴ Furthermore, simple opioid consumption is not a patient-centred outcome measure and cannot indicate any benefit to the patient, unless it is associated with the improved outcomes or the decreased adverse reactions.⁵ This study showed that the incidences of postoperative opioid-related adverse effects and length of hospital stay were not significantly different between esketamine and control groups. More importantly, other than postoperative nausea and vomiting, and pain, this study did not determine other standardized endpoint of clinical trials assessing postoperative pain and patient comfort which are recommended by the perioperative medicine initiative, such as the quality of recovery, the time to gastrointestinal recovery, the time to mobilization and sleep quality.⁵ In these cases, it is difficult for the readers to determine if decreased postoperative opioid consumptions with intraoperative esketamine can really be transformed into the clinical benefits of patients undergoing gynecological surgery.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Huan C, Zhang T, Jiang Y, He S, Jin J. Intraoperative Administration of Esketamine is Associated with Reduced Opioid Consumption After Laparoscopic Gynecological Surgery: a Randomized Controlled Trial. *Drug Des Devel Ther.* 2025;19:229–238. doi:10.2147/DDDT.S502938
2. Sorenson S, Flyger SSB, Pingel L, et al. Primary outcomes and anticipated effect sizes in randomised clinical trials assessing peripheral and truncal nerve blocks: a systematic scoping review. *Br J Anaesth.* 2025;134(2):535–544. doi:10.1016/j.bja.2024.09.029
3. Calculation of Oral Morphine Equivalents (OME). Pain management education at UCSF. Available from: <https://pain.ucsf.edu/opioid-analgesics/calculation-oral-morphine-equivalents-ome>. Accessed 9, February 2025.
4. Nelson G, Fotopoulou C, Taylor J, et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS®) society guidelines for gynecologic oncology: addressing implementation challenges-2023 update. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2023;173:58–67. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2023.04.009
5. Myles PS, Boney O, Botti M, et al. Systematic review and consensus definitions for the standardised endpoints in perioperative medicine (StEP) initiative: patient comfort. *Br J Anaesth.* 2018;120(4):705–711. doi:10.1016/j.bja.2017.12.037

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Drug Design, Development and Therapy 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Drug Design, Development and Therapy editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Drug Design, Development and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are a feature of the journal, which has also been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal>

Dovepress
Taylor & Francis Group