

# Exploration of the Application Rules and Clinical Significance of Acupoints in Acupuncture Treatment of Migraine Based on Data Mining [Letter]

Zhe Shen<sup>1</sup>, Chuanlong Zhou<sup>2</sup>, Xianming Lin<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Zhejiang Chinese Medical University Third Clinical College, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310053, People's Republic of China; <sup>2</sup>The Third Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310005, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Xianming Lin, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310005, People's Republic of China, Email [linxianming1966@163.com](mailto:linxianming1966@163.com)

## Dear editor

We read with great interest the paper entitled “Exploration of the Application Rules and Clinical Significance of Acupoints in Acupuncture Treatment of Migraine Based on Data Mining” by He et al.<sup>1</sup> The study integrated four data mining methods, to conduct an in-depth analysis of 1610 acupuncture prescriptions, and for the first time, comprehensively revealed the core acupoint combinations (GB20, EX-HN5, and GB8) and meridian usage patterns in the treatment of migraine, which lays a solid evidence-based foundation for the standardization of acupuncture. However, we believe that the following aspects deserve further in-depth exploration and improvement.

## Limitations of Factor Analysis Methodology

In the present study, the factor analysis was judged to be “applicable” (KMO = 0.551,  $p < 0.001$ ), and according to Kaiser, a KMO value in the range of 0.50–0.59 was only a “Mediocre” grade, which did not meet the minimum standard ( $\geq 0.60$ ) recommended for factor analysis,<sup>2</sup> and posed a methodological risk. This meant that the current findings may lead to unstable factor loadings, and the 15 male factors can only explain 59.78% of the variance, which indicated that there was a potential loss of information and that the model's explanatory power is insufficient.<sup>3</sup>

## Sample Heterogeneity and Deficiencies in Diagnostic Criteria

In terms of inclusion criteria, the requirement of “at least 10 patients per group or study” is a relatively small sample size, which may amplify the law of chance. Also, the inclusion of the literature spanned a long period (from the beginning of the database to 2025), and while there were inconsistencies in disease diagnostic criteria across time, the study did not clearly articulate how to reconcile these differences in criteria. In addition, studies did not analyze migraine by subtype (for example, without aura, with aura, chronic migraine), and the efficacy of acupuncture may vary among patients with different subtypes.<sup>4</sup> Based on the above issues, we recommend performing sensitivity analyses, excluding studies with sample sizes less than 30, and reporting results stratified according to the ICHD version, with further subgroup analyses specific to migraine subtypes if conditions permit.

## Inconsistencies in Raw Data

When the distribution of acupoints was analyzed, there were 67 acupoints in the head and neck region, accounting for 37.02% of the total number of acupoints. The value in the original text was correct on the first occurrence, but the value on the second occurrence was 17.68%, which was contradictory.

Overall, the study by He et al has made an outstanding contribution to the treatment of migraine with acupuncture. We make the above recommendations to further optimize the results of this outstanding research, and we look forward to

more high-quality academic results in this field of research, which will jointly promote the in-depth development of the treatment of migraine with acupuncture.

## Disclosure

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in this communication.

## References

1. He Y, Wang X, Liu M, et al. Exploration of the application rules and clinical significance of acupoints in acupuncture treatment of migraine based on data mining. *J Pain Res.* 2025;18:3049–3067. doi:10.2147/JPR.S527987
2. Kaiser HF, Rice J. Little Jiffy, Mark Iv. *Educ Psychol Meas.* 1974;34(1):111–117. doi:10.1177/001316447403400115
3. Field A. *Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics*. 4th ed. London: Sage Publications; 2013.
4. Wang Y, Xue C, Wang L, et al. Acupuncture for chronic migraine: a randomized clinical trial based on ICHD-3 diagnostic criteria. *J Headache Pain.* 2021;22(1):102. doi:10.1186/s10194-021-01315-6

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Journal of Pain Research 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Pain Research editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

**Journal of Pain Research**

**Publish your work in this journal**

The Journal of Pain Research is an international, peer reviewed, open access, online journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of pain research and the prevention and management of pain. Original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-pain-research-journal>

**Dovepress**  
Taylor & Francis Group