

Attachment styles in children affected by migraine without aura

Maria Esposito¹
Lucia Parisi²
Beatrice Gallai³
Rosa Marotta⁴
Anna Di Dona¹
Serena Marianna Lavano²
Michele Roccella⁴
Marco Carotenuto¹

¹Center for Childhood Headache, Clinic of Child and Adolescent Neuropsychiatry, Department of Mental Health, Physical and Preventive Medicine, Second University of Naples, Naples, Italy; ²Child Neuropsychiatry, Department of Psychology, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy; ³Unit of Child and Adolescent Neuropsychiatry, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy; ⁴Department of Psychiatry, The Magna Graecia University of Catanzaro, Catanzaro, Italy

Background: In recent years, great attention has been given to the presence of psychological problems and psychiatric comorbidity that are also present in children affected by primary headaches. The relationship between pain and attachment has been identified, and it may be that pain perception may change in relation with specific attachment styles. The aim of the present study was to assess the prevalent attachment style and verify its putative relationship and correlation with the main characteristics of migraine attacks, in school-aged children affected by migraine without aura (MoA).

Materials and methods: The study population consisted of 219 children (103 males, 116 females) aged between 6 and 11 years (mean 8.96 ± 2.14 years), consecutively referred for MoA compared with 381 healthy controls (174 males, 207 females; mean age 9.01 ± 1.75 years) randomly selected from schools. All the children were classified according to the attachment typologies of the Italian modified version of the Separation Anxiety Test; monthly headache frequency and mean headache duration were assessed from daily headache diaries kept by all the children. Headache intensity was assessed on a visual analog scale. The chi-square test and *t*-test, where appropriate, were applied, and the Spearman rank correlation test was applied to explore the relationship between the types of attachment style and clinical aspects of MoA.

Results: The MoA group showed a significantly higher prevalence of type A (avoidant) attachment ($P < 0.001$) and a significantly lower prevalence of type B (secure) attachment ($P < 0.001$) compared with the control group. Moreover, the Spearman rank correlation analysis showed a significant relationship between MoA characteristics and the attachment style of MoA children.

Conclusion: The main findings of the present study were the higher prevalence among MoA children of the avoidant attachment style (type A) and the significantly lower prevalence of the secure style attachment (type B) compared with the normal controls, suggesting that the study of psychiatric comorbidity in pediatric headache may be enriched by this new aspect of analysis.

Keywords: migraine without aura, children, attachment style, Separation Anxiety Test

Introduction

Currently, the World Health Organization recognizes migraine as a high priority public health problem.^{1,2}

In fact, the disability associated with migraine appears to be closely related to its severity, affecting areas of functioning such as communication, mobility, self-care, emotional functioning, academic performance and cognitive functioning,^{3,4} motor coordination,⁵ sleep habits,⁶⁻¹¹ socialization, and relationships with peers¹² and with family members.^{13,14} In clinical pediatric practice, many alternative therapies, different from the classical pharmacological treatment,¹⁵ have been explored, such as weight

Correspondence: Marco Carotenuto
Center for Childhood Headache, Clinic
of Child and Adolescent Neuropsychiatry,
Department of Mental Health, Physical
and Preventive Medicine, Via Sergio
Pansini 5 PAD XI, 80131 Naples, Italy
Tel +39 815 666 988
Fax +39 815 666 694
Email marco.carotenuto@unina2.it

loss,¹⁶ nutraceuticals,^{17–19} sleep hygiene,^{20,21} psychotherapy, and generic psychological interventions, and some have shown promise in the treatment of headache symptoms and/or comorbidities in affected children.^{22–24}

Moreover, in the last 20 years great attention has been given to the presence of psychological problems and psychiatric comorbidity that are also present in children affected by primary headaches.^{25–32}

The relationship between pain and attachment has been identified,^{33,34} including whether the pain perception might change in relation with specific attachment styles.^{35,36}

Bowlby's attachment theory was formulated to account for human infants' attraction to and dependence on others and to specify how early experiences with significant others, particularly adult caregivers, are carried forward in development.^{37–40} According to this theory, the early mother–child relationship lays the groundwork for the child's understanding of and participation in subsequent familial and extrafamilial relationships. The quality of infants' attachments with their mothers can be different, as can the child's later social outlook and success with peers.^{41,42}

Conversely, when parental insensitivity contributes to the development of an insecure primary attachment, children are thought to develop an internal working model of relationships that stresses their unpredictable nature and to develop images of themselves as unworthy and ineffectual. In fact, an attachment relationship that provides neither comfort nor support is likely to arouse anxiety and anger, leading the insecure child to behave in the peer group – “by shrinking from it or doing battle with it.”³⁹

Other important contributions have also been derived from developmental psychopathology, in particular, the analysis of the relationship between attachment and clinical disturbances.

In fact, investigations have clearly and repeatedly shown that infants' relationships with their parents can be characterized as secure as opposed to insecure, using the Strange Situation paradigm.⁴³ The Strange Situation procedure proposed by Ainsworth⁴³ highlights the individual differences observed when the child is forced to separate from the “secure base” (identified as the mother figure). Three patterns of attachment were initially identified through the Strange Situation procedure, “secure,” “insecure–avoidant,” and “insecure–anxiety/ambivalence,” and a fourth pattern, “insecure–disorganized/disoriented,” has been subsequently identified and developed by Main et al.^{44,45}

In fact, the different styles of attachment can be considered to be the result of the different interaction modalities

between the mother figure and the child, and they do not reflect the temperament or instinct of the child. The behavioral models at the reunion phase in the Strange Situation are considered to represent the differences in the internal representation of the attachment relationship of the child with a particular caregiver. From this perspective, in the attachment paradigm, the internal representations are classified, instead of different children.⁴³

In 1976, Klagsbrun and Bowlby proposed a promising tool for measuring the internal representations of attachment security in middle childhood, named the Separation Anxiety Test (SAT), now used worldwide in school-aged populations.⁴⁶

In children and adults, attachment styles may be related to many comorbidities, such as sleep disorders,⁴⁷ pain threshold alteration,⁴⁸ and academic difficulties.⁴⁹ To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports about attachment style evaluation in subjects affected by primary headache. Thus, the aim of the present study was to assess the prevalent attachment style and to verify its putative relationship and correlation with the main characteristics of migraine attacks, in school-aged children affected by migraine without aura (MoA).

Materials and methods

Study population

The study population consisted of 219 children (103 males, 116 females) aged between 6 and 11 years (mean 8.96 ± 2.14 years) consecutively referred for MoA to various facilities: the Clinic for Headache, Clinic of Child and Adolescent Neuropsychiatry, Second University of Naples; to the Unit of Child and Adolescent Neuropsychiatry, Perugia University; to the local health unit (Azienda Sanitaria Locale), Terni; to the Department of Psychiatry, University of Catanzaro; and to the Child Neuropsychiatry, University of Palermo, Italy. The diagnosis of MoA was made according to the pediatric criteria of the International Headache Society Classification 2013.⁵⁰

The exclusion criteria were: allergies, endocrinological problems (ie, diabetes), preterm birth,^{51,52} neurological (ie, epilepsy and all types of headache other than MoA) or psychiatric (attention deficit hyperactivity disorder [ADHD], depression, or behavioral problems) symptoms, mental retardation (intelligence quotient [IQ] ≤ 70), borderline intellectual functioning (IQ ranging from 71 to 84),^{53,54} overweight (body mass index [BMI] ≥ 85 th percentile) or obesity (BMI ≥ 95 th percentile),^{55,56} sleep disorders,^{6,21,57–60} primary nocturnal enuresis,^{10,61,62} and anticonvulsant^{63,64} or psychoactive drug administration.

Following recruitment, there was a 4-month run-in period to verify the migraine characteristics.

At the end of the run-in, the monthly headache frequency and mean headache duration were assessed from daily headache diaries kept by all the children. Headache intensity was assessed on a visual analog (VAS) scale, as previously reported.^{17–19}

For admission in this study subjects had to have experienced headaches for 8 months, with a minimum of four attacks monthly, each lasting for a duration of one hour, according to the *International Classification of Headache Disorders* (ICHD)-3 criteria.⁵⁰

The results were compared with the findings obtained in a sample of 381 healthy controls (174 males, 207 female; mean age 9.01 ± 1.75 years) randomly selected from schools in the Campania, Umbria, Sicilia, and Calabria regions.

The subjects in both groups were recruited from the same urban area; the participants were all Caucasian and of middle-class socioeconomic status (between class 2 or class 3, corresponding to 28,000–55,000 Euros/year to 55,000–75,000 Euros/year, respectively, according to current Italian economic parameters), as previously reported.⁵ All parents gave their written, informed consent. The Departmental Ethics Committee of the Second University of Naples approved the study. The study was conducted according to the criteria of the Declaration of Helsinki.⁶⁵

Main outcome measures

Modified Italian version of the Separation Anxiety Test (SAT)

The children were classified according the attachment typologies of the Italian modified version of the SAT.⁶⁶ The SAT is a semiprojective test, used to measure the personality characteristics and the possible psychopathological aspects associated with the separation from the attachment figure or other figure responsible for the child's protection and care.

In the SAT, two types of scenes are presented alternately, in order to not overload the subject with negative and anxiety-inducing emotions.

The answers provided by each subject were transcribed to response card tables, of which there were two versions: one for a hypothetical subject and one for the actual subject. Once the responses were collected and recorded on the appropriate response cards, the next step was to classify the emotional reactions in one of seventeen categories.

The SAT scoring process is based on the attachment categories identified by the Strange Situation observation procedure proposed by Ainsworth and takes into account all the attachment categories of response: that is related to

emotions reported by the subject and their justification, both to indicate the mode of coping (coping strategies) in the indicated situation. Thus, a score of -2 is assigned to all those responses that refer to attachments of the disorganized or avoidant type; a score of -1 is assigned to all the answers that may be due to an ambivalent attachment type; and a score of $+1$ is assigned to all the emotions that are normally expected to be raised by a separation. However, when a child responds to more than two tables with anxiety responses, the response may be indicative of potentially pathological anxiety; in this case, the score $+1$ will be replaced with the score -1 for the third and fourth anxious response, score -2 for the fifth and sixth. Finally, a score of $+2$ is awarded to responses that can be traced to a secure attachment type.

Summarizing, according to the total score, the attachment style is determined as follows:

Score: $+4$ and above shows secure attachment (type B);

Score: $+1$ to $+3$ shows insecure attachment/anxious ambivalent attachment (type C);

Score: -2 to 0 shows insecure attachment/anxious avoidant attachment (type A); and

Score: -3 and below shows disorganized “at risk” attachment/confused attachment (type D).

Statistical analysis

In order to compare the characteristics (age and sex) and the SAT results between the MoA children and controls, the chi-square test and *t*-test, where appropriate, were applied.

Then, to explore the relationship between the types of attachment style and the clinical aspects of MoA, such as frequency, duration, and severity of attacks, the Spearman rank correlation test was applied.

For all statistical analysis, *P*-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

All data were coded and analyzed using the STATISTICA 6.0 package for Windows (StatSoft®, Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA).

Results

The two study groups were not significantly different for age, (8.96 ± 2.14 in the MoA group vs 9.01 ± 1.75 in the control group [$P=0.751$]) or sex (ratio male/female was 103/116 in the MoA group vs 174/207 in the control group [$P=0.812$]).

Among the MoA clinical characteristics in the MoA group, the attacks occurred with a mean frequency of 7.14 ± 1.50 per month, with a mean duration of 6.02 ± 1.34 hours and a mean intensity of 8.39 ± 0.69 , according to the VAS parameters.

The MoA group showed a significantly higher prevalence of type A (avoidant) attachment ($P < 0.001$) and a significantly lower prevalence of type B (secure) attachment ($P < 0.001$) with respect to the control group (Table 1).

Moreover, the Spearman rank correlation analysis showed a significant relationship between MoA characteristics and the attachment style of MoA children; in particular, type A attachment was related positively with the frequency ($r = 0.392$, $P < 0.001$), intensity ($r = 0.375$, $P < 0.001$), and duration ($r = 0.184$, $P = 0.006$) of migraine attacks, while type C attachment was negatively related with the frequency ($r = -0.348$, $P < 0.001$) and intensity ($r = -0.305$, $P < 0.001$) of migraine attacks. Moreover, the secure style of attachment (type B) was negatively related with the duration ($r = -0.229$, $P < 0.001$) of MoA attacks.

Discussion

The main findings of the present study were the higher prevalence among MoA children of the avoidant attachment style (type A) and the significantly lower prevalence of the secure style attachment (type B) with respect to normal controls. Moreover, our findings identified an intriguing positive correlation for the type A with the frequency, intensity, and duration of migraine attacks.

In general, attachment can be defined as the child's need to seek proximity to a favorite protective caregiver in times of stress (eg, illness or danger) and to derive comfort from the attachment figure in stressful settings.⁶⁷

On the other hand, the formation of an attachment relationship, essential for offspring survival³⁸ has been found to be influenced by the history of interactions between an infant and its caregiver, by sensitive parenting, and, to a lesser extent, by sociodemographic factors and parental psychosocial characteristics.⁶⁸ In this light, we could speculate that our findings about the higher prevalence of the insecure

attachment in the study group could be related to the already identified and well known psychological features in parents of migraine children.^{13,14,27}

In general, according to the Bowlby/Ainsworth theories of attachment,^{38–40} during the early years of life, the child's internal representation may be considered to occur at the sensorimotor level.

In fact, adult patients affected by MoA have demonstrated an abnormal sensorimotor plasticity, probably due to altered thalamic control,⁶⁹ while in affected children, many reports about the cortical brain alterations have suggested a putative correlation between the neurophysiological abnormality and emotional symptomatology in migraine.⁷⁰

Moreover, recent contributions from neuroscience have been offered to support Bowlby's assertions and consider attachment to be an instinctive behavior with a biological function, consider that emotional processes lie at the foundation of a model of instinctive behavior, and consider that a biological control system in the brain regulates affectively driven instinctive behavior – this control system may reside in the orbitofrontal system, in its cortical and subcortical connections.⁷¹

Moreover, the lateral tegmental limbic forebrain–midbrain circuit has been found to be involved in the negative regulation of affect and to be associated with avoidance behavior and with the passive coping style.^{72,73}

In this light, attachment behaviors cannot be considered as a behavioral phenomenon exclusively because of the relationship with specific neurotransmitter pattern, such as the serotonergic system,⁷⁴ in fact a significant association have been found between attachment disorganization and the short polymorphism of the serotonin transporter gene.⁷⁵

Serotonin is known to play an important role in the pathogenesis of migraine, although individual genetic association studies that have examined the relationship between polymorphisms of the serotonin transporter (5-HTT) gene and migraine have yielded inconsistent results;⁷⁶ other neurotransmitters⁷⁷ and the dopaminergic system disequilibrium may also be involved.⁵⁷

In fact, it has been suggested that an individual's unique narrow or broad optimal orbitofrontal ventral tegmental dopaminergic profile is set up, during its critical period of development, through positively valenced attachment transactions.^{78–80} Recently, the dopamine D4 receptor (DRD4) gene and the serotonin transporter (5-HTT) gene were found to be candidate genes for infant attachment disorganization.⁷⁷

Table 1 The attachment styles of the study subjects

	MoA (N=219) (%)	Controls (N=381) (%)	Chi-square	P-value
Type A	36.07	14.96	34.168	<0.001
Type B	22.37	48.82	39.714	<0.001
Type C	25.11	23.09	0.210	0.646
Type D	16.44	13.12	0.989	0.320

Notes: The table shows the differences in the prevalence of each attachment style between the MoA children and typical developing children (Controls); specifically, according to Bowlby's attachment theory,^{38–40} four attachment style (types) are possible: insecure/avoidant (type A); secure (type B); insecure/ambivalent (type C); and disorganized/confused (type D). The chi-square test was applied; P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Abbreviation: MoA, migraine without aura.

The attachment theory is one of the most influential models proposed to explain the relationship between early experience and adult personality. According to attachment theory, infants develop expectations about their caregivers' availability and responsiveness, based on the quality of parental care they receive. These expectations then serve as the basis for the development of mental representations of the self and of the other (the so-called "internal working models"), which can influence later psychosocial functioning. In fact, infants with emotionally available caregivers develop a model of the self as loved and valued, and a model of the other as loving. When instead, infants have experiences that lead them to expect caregivers to be rejecting or undependable, they develop a model of the self as unloved or rejected, and a model of the other as unloving or rejecting.^{81,82}

In this light, we could hypothesize that the prevalent insecure attachment style in MoA children could be derived from a high level of parental distress, dysfunctional parent-child interaction, and the perception of these subjects as difficult children by their mothers, as shown in a previous study.¹⁴ Moreover, we could suggest that the fact that MoA children tend to show low levels of self-esteem⁸³ could be considered reasonably to be the long-term effect of the insecure attachment style.

As adults, the children with insecure attachment style do not expect that significant others will be available when needed, and they develop insecure strategies for coping with their distress; in fact even if the attachment style of a person is a trait characteristic, it is most evident during stressful circumstances. Specifically, attachment style has been associated with a range of health-related variables, including health complaints, health care utilization, and responses to pain.^{84,85} For this reason, we could speculate that the relationship between the presence of an avoidant attachment style (type A) and the intensity and duration of MoA attacks could be considered as a consequence of an alteration in the coping strategies of children with MoA symptomatology.

This hypothesis may be supported by Ciechanowski et al⁸⁶ who found, in a group of adults affected by migraine, a significant association between attachment style and somatic symptom reporting and in particular, a significantly greater number of physical symptoms reported by insecure subjects compared with secure ones.

Finally, we must distinguish nature from nurture, and we have to limit the strength of our preliminary findings because we have to take into account that the nature of style attachment could evolve under the effect of a familiar environment and also under the effect of being affected by migraine, and

we cannot exclude the role of the temperamental characteristics of children with MoA⁸⁷ and of maternal personality traits.⁸⁸

In conclusion, our results may open a new perspective in the management of childhood MoA; further, studies of psychiatric comorbidity in pediatric headache may also be enriched by these new aspects of analysis, although further longitudinal research is also needed on the other headache types.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Leonardi M, Steiner TJ, Scher AT, Lipton RB. The global burden of migraine: measuring disability in headache disorders with WHO's Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF). *J Headache Pain*. 2005;6(6):429–440.
- Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9859):2163–2196.
- Kernick D, Campbell J. Measuring the impact of headache in children: a critical review of the literature. *Cephalalgia*. 2009;29(1):3–16.
- Esposito M, Pascotto A, Gallai B, et al. Can headache impair intellectual abilities in children? An observational study. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2012;8:509–513.
- Esposito M, Verrotti A, Gimigliano F, et al. Motor coordination impairment and migraine in children: a new comorbidity? *Eur J Pediatr*. 2012; 171(11):1599–1604.
- Carotenuto M, Guidetti V, Ruju F, Galli F, Tagliente FR, Pascotto A. Headache disorders as risk factors for sleep disturbances in school aged children. *J Headache Pain*. 2005;6(4):268–270.
- Vendrame M, Kaleyias J, Valencia I, Legido A, Kothare SV. Polysomnographic findings in children with headaches. *Pediatr Neurol*. 2008;39(1):6–11.
- Carotenuto M, Esposito M, Precenzano F, Castaldo L, Roccella M. Cosleeping in childhood migraine. *Minerva Pediatr*. 2011;63(2): 105–109.
- Carotenuto M, Esposito M, Pascotto A. Migraine and enuresis in children: An unusual correlation? *Med Hypotheses*. 2010;75(1):120–122.
- Esposito M, Gallai B, Parisi L, et al. Primary nocturnal enuresis as a risk factor for sleep disorders: an observational questionnaire-based multicenter study. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2013;9:437–443.
- Esposito M, Roccella M, Parisi L, Gallai B, Carotenuto M. Hypersomnia in children affected by migraine without aura: a questionnaire-based case-control study. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2013;9:289–294.
- Leonardi M, Raggi A, Bussone G, D'Amico D. Health-related quality of life, disability and severity of disease in patients with migraine attending to a specialty headache center. *Headache*. 2010;50(10):1576–1586.
- Lipton RB, Bigal ME, Kolodner K, Stewart WF, Liberman JN, Steiner TJ. The family impact of migraine: population-based studies in the USA and UK. *Cephalalgia*. 2003;23(6):429–440.
- Esposito M, Gallai B, Parisi L, et al. Maternal stress and childhood migraine: a new perspective on management. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2013;9:351–355.
- Gallelli L, Avenoso T, Falcone D, et al. Effects of acetaminophen and ibuprofen in children with migraine receiving preventive treatment with magnesium. *Headache*. Epub June 28, 2013. DOI:10.1111/head.12162.
- Verrotti A, Agostinelli S, D'Egidio C, et al. Impact of a weight loss program on migraine in obese adolescents. *Eur J Neurol*. 2013;20(2): 394–397.

17. Esposito M, Ruberto M, Pascotto A, Carotenuto M. Nutraceutical preparations in childhood migraine prophylaxis: effects on headache outcomes including disability and behaviour. *Neurol Sci.* 2012;33(6):1365–1368.
18. Esposito M, Carotenuto M, Ginkgolide B complex efficacy for brief prophylaxis of migraine in school-aged children: an open-label study. *Neurol Sci.* 2011;32(1):79–81.
19. Carotenuto M, Esposito M. Nutraceuticals safety and efficacy in migraine without aura in a population of children affected by neurofibromatosis type I. *Neurol Sci.* 2013. DOI: 10.1007/s10072-013-1403-z. In press.
20. Bruni O, Galli F, Guidetti V. Sleep hygiene and migraine in children and adolescents. *Cephalalgia.* 1999;19 Suppl 25:S57–S59.
21. Carotenuto M, Gallai B, Parisi L, Rocella M, Esposito M. Acupressure therapy for insomnia in adolescents: a polysomnographic study. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat.* 2013;9:157–162.
22. Chopra R, Robert T, Watson DB. Non-pharmacological and pharmacological prevention of episodic migraine and chronic daily headache. *W V Med J.* 2012;108(3):88–91.
23. Kröner-Herwig B, Gassmann J. Headache disorders in children and adolescents: their association with psychological, behavioral, and socio-environmental factors. *Headache.* 2012;52(9):1387–1401.
24. Sieberg CB, Huguet A, von Baeyer CL, Seshia S. Psychological interventions for headache in children and adolescents. *Can J Neurol Sci.* 2012;39(1):26–34.
25. Arruda MA, Guidetti V, Galli F, Albuquerque RC, Bigal ME. Migraine, tension-type headache, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in childhood: a population-based study. *Postgrad Med.* 2010;122(5):18–26.
26. Guidetti V, Galli F, Sheftell F. Headache attributed to psychiatric disorders. *Handb Clin Neurol.* 2010;97:657–662.
27. Galli F, Canzano L, Scalisi TG, Guidetti V. Psychiatric disorders and headache familial recurrence: a study on 200 children and their parents. *J Headache Pain.* 2009;10(3):187–197.
28. Bruni O, Russo PM, Ferri R, Novelli L, Galli F, Guidetti V. Relationships between headache and sleep in a non-clinical population of children and adolescents. *Sleep Med.* 2008;9(5):542–548.
29. Galli F, D'Antuono G, Tarantino S, et al. Headache and recurrent abdominal pain: a controlled study by the means of the Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL). *Cephalalgia.* 2007;27(3):211–219.
30. Guidetti V, Galli F. Psychiatric comorbidity in chronic daily headache: pathophysiology, etiology, and diagnosis. *Curr Pain Headache Rep.* 2002;6(6):492–497.
31. Guidetti V, Galli F, Cerutti R, Fortugno S. "From 0 to 18": what happens to the child and his headache? *Funct Neurol.* 2000;15 Suppl 3: S122–S129.
32. Guidetti V, Galli F, Fabrizi P, et al. Headache and psychiatric comorbidity: clinical aspects and outcome in an 8-year follow-up study. *Cephalalgia.* 1998;18(7):455–462.
33. Ciechanowski P, Sullivan M, Jensen M, Romano J, Summers H. The relationship of attachment style to depression, catastrophizing and health care utilization in patients with chronic pain. *Pain.* 2003;104(3):627–637.
34. McWilliams LA, Cox BJ, Enns MW. Impact of adult attachment styles on pain and disability associated with arthritis in a nationally representative sample. *Clin J Pain.* 2000;16(4):360–364.
35. Drummond PD, Holroyd KA. Psychological modulation of pain. In: Olesen J, Tfelt-Hansen P, Welch KMA, editors. *The Headaches.* 2nd ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia; 1994.
36. Savi L, Buccheri R, Tambornini A, De Martino P, Albasi C, Pinessi L. Attachment styles and headache. *J Headache Pain.* 2005;6(4): 254–257.
37. Ainsworth MD. Object relations, dependency, and attachment: a theoretical review of the infant-mother relationship. *Child Dev.* 1969;40(4):969–1025.
38. Bowlby J. *Attachment and Loss: Volume 1. Attachment.* New York, NY: Basic Books; 1969.
39. Bowlby J. *Attachment and Loss: Volume 2. Separation, Anger and Anxiety.* New York, NY: Basic Books; 1973.
40. Bowlby J. *Attachment and Loss: Volume 3. Loss, Sadness and Depression.* New York, NY: Basic Books; 1980.
41. Hawk BN, McCall RB. Perceived relationship quality in adolescents following early social-emotional deprivation. *Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry.* Epub June 19, 2013.
42. Vinall J, Miller SP, Synnes AR, Grunau RE. Parent behaviors moderate the relationship between neonatal pain and internalizing behaviors at 18 months corrected age in children born very prematurely. *Pain.* 2013;154(9):1831–1839.
43. Ainsworth MD, Bell SM. Attachment, exploration, and separation: illustrated by the behavior of one-year-olds in a strange situation. *Child Dev.* 1970;41(1):49–67.
44. Main M, Solomon J. Discovery of a new, insecure-disorganized/disoriented attachment pattern. In: Brazelton TB, Yogman M, editors. *Affective Development in Infancy.* Norwood, NJ: Ablex; 1986:95–124.
45. Main M, Solomon J. Procedures for identifying infants as disorganized/disoriented during the Ainsworth Strange Situation. In: Greenberg MT, Cicchetti D, Cummings EM, editors. *Attachment in the Preschool Years: Theory, Research and Intervention.* Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press; 1990:121–160.
46. Klagsbrun M, Bowlby J. Responses to separation from parents: A clinical test for young children. *British Journal of Projective Psychology and Personality Study.* 1976;21(2):7–27.
47. Benoit D, Zeanah CH, Boucher C, Minde KK. Sleep disorders in early childhood: association with insecure maternal attachment. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 1992;31(1):86–93.
48. Meredith PJ. A review of the evidence regarding associations between attachment theory and experimentally induced pain. *Curr Pain Headache Rep.* 2013;17(4):326.
49. O'Connor EE, Collins BA, Supplee L. Behavior problems in late childhood: the roles of early maternal attachment and teacher-child relationship trajectories. *Attach Hum Dev.* 2012;14(3):265–288.
50. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS). *The International Classification of Headache Disorders.* 3rd ed (beta version). *Cephalalgia.* 2013;33(9):629–808.
51. Guzzetta A, Pizzardi A, Belmonti V, et al. Hand movements at 3 months predict later hemiplegia in term infants with neonatal cerebral infarction. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2010;52(8):767–772.
52. Guzzetta A, D'Acunto MG, Carotenuto M, et al. The effects of preterm infant massage on brain electrical activity. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2011;53 Suppl 4:S46–S51.
53. Esposito M, Carotenuto M. Intellectual disabilities and power spectra analysis during sleep: a new perspective on borderline intellectual functioning. *J Intellect Disabil Res.* DOI: 10.1111/jir.12036. In press.
54. Esposito M, Carotenuto M. Borderline intellectual functioning and sleep: the role of cyclic alternating pattern. *Neurosci Lett.* 2010;485(2): 89–93.
55. Carotenuto M, Santoro N, Grandone A, et al. The insulin gene variable number of tandem repeats (INS VNTR) genotype and sleep disordered breathing in childhood obesity. *J Endocrinol Invest.* 2009;32(9):752–755.
56. Carotenuto M, Bruni O, Santoro N, Del Giudice EM, Perrone L, Pascotto A. Waist circumference predicts the occurrence of sleep-disordered breathing in obese children and adolescents: a questionnaire-based study. *Sleep Med.* 2006;7(4):357–361.
57. Esposito M, Parisi P, Miano S, Carotenuto M. Migraine and periodic limb movement disorders in sleep in children: a preliminary case-control study. *J Headache Pain.* 2013;14(1):57.
58. Carotenuto M, Gimigliano F, Fiordelisi G, Ruberto M, Esposito M. Positional abnormalities during sleep in children affected by obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: the putative role of kinetic muscular chains. *Med Hypotheses.* 2013;81(2):306–308.
59. Carotenuto M, Esposito M, Parisi L, et al. Depressive symptoms and childhood sleep apnea syndrome. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat.* 2012;8: 369–373.

60. Carotenuto M, Esposito M, Pascotto A. Facial patterns and primary nocturnal enuresis in children. *Sleep Breath*. 2011;15(2):221–227.
61. Esposito M, Carotenuto M, Roccella M. Primary nocturnal enuresis and learning disability. *Minerva Pediatr*. 2011;63(2):99–104.
62. Esposito M, Gallai B, Parisi L, et al. Visuomotor competencies and primary monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis in prepubertal aged children. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2013;9:921–926.
63. Coppola G, Auricchio G, Federico R, Carotenuto M, Pascotto A. Lamotrigine versus valproic acid as first-line monotherapy in newly diagnosed typical absence seizures: an open-label, randomized, parallel-group study. *Epilepsia*. 2004;45(9):1049–1053.
64. Coppola G, Licciardi F, Sciscio N, Russo F, Carotenuto M, Pascotto A. Lamotrigine as first-line drug in childhood absence epilepsy: a clinical and neurophysiological study. *Brain Dev*. 2004;26(1):26–29.
65. World Medical Association (WMA). *WMA Declaration of Helsinki – Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects*. Ferney-Voltaire: World Medical Association; 2008. Available from: <http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/>. Accessed April 25, 2013.
66. Attili G. *Separation Anxiety Test*. Milan: Unicopli; 2001. Italian.
67. Cassidy J. The nature of the child's ties. In: Cassidy J, Shaver PR, editors. *Handbook of Attachment: Theory, Research, and Clinical Applications*. 2nd ed. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 2010:3–22.
68. Belsky J, Fearon RMP. Precursors of attachment security. In: Cassidy J, Shaver PR, editors. *Handbook of Attachment: Theory, Research, and Clinical Applications*. 2nd ed. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 2010:295–316.
69. Pierelli F, Iacovelli E, Bracaglia M, Serrao M, Coppola G. Abnormal sensorimotor plasticity in migraine without aura patients. *Pain*. 2013;154(9):1738–1742.
70. Valeriani M, Galli F, Tarantino S, et al. Correlation between abnormal brain excitability and emotional symptomatology in paediatric migraine. *Cephalalgia*. 2009;29(2):204–213.
71. Schore AN. Attachment and the regulation of the right brain. *Attach Hum Dev*. 2000;2(1):23–47.
72. Schore AN. Relational trauma and the developing right brain: an interface of psychoanalytic self psychology and neuroscience. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2009;1159:189–203.
73. Schore AN. Back to basics: attachment, affect regulation, and the developing right brain: linking developmental neuroscience to pediatrics. *Pediatr Rev*. 2005;26(6):204–217.
74. Salo J, Jokela M, Lehtimäki T, Keltikangas-Järvinen L. Serotonin receptor 2A gene moderates the effect of childhood maternal nurturance on adulthood social attachment. *Genes Brain Behav*. 2011;10(7):702–709.
75. Spangler G, Johann M, Ronai Z, Zimmermann P. Genetic and environmental influence on attachment disorganization. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2009;50(8):952–961.
76. Liu H, Liu M, Wang Y, et al. Association of 5-HTT gene polymorphisms with migraine: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Neurol Sci*. 2011;305(1–2):57–66.
77. Luijk MP, Roisman GI, Haltigan JD, et al. Dopaminergic, serotonergic, and oxytonergic candidate genes associated with infant attachment security and disorganization? In search of main and interaction effects. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2011;52(12):1295–1307.
78. Cascio CJ, Foss-Feig JH, Heacock JL, et al. Response of neural reward regions to food cues in autism spectrum disorders. *J Neurodev Disord*. 2012;4(1):9.
79. Minagawa-Kawai Y, Matsuoka S, Dan I, Naoi N, Nakamura K, Kojima S. Prefrontal activation associated with social attachment: facial-emotion recognition in mothers and infants. *Cereb Cortex*. 2009;19(2):284–292.
80. Nitschke JB, Nelson EE, Rusch BD, Fox AS, Oakes TR, Davidson RJ. Orbitofrontal cortex tracks positive mood in mothers viewing pictures of their newborn infants. *Neuroimage*. 2004;21(2):583–592.
81. Ursache A, Blair C, Stifter C, Voegtline K; Family Life Project Investigators. Emotional reactivity and regulation in infancy interact to predict executive functioning in early childhood. *Dev Psychol*. 2013;49(1):127–137.
82. Zeanah CH, Keyes A, Settles L. Attachment relationship experiences and childhood psychopathology. *Ann NY Acad Sci*. 2003;1008:22–30.
83. Esposito M, Gallai B, Parisi L, et al. Self-concept evaluation and migraine without aura in childhood. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2013;9:1061–1066.
84. Feeney JA. Implications of attachment style for patterns of health and illness. *Child Care Health Dev*. 2000;26(4):277–288.
85. Hunter JJ, Maunder RG. Using attachment theory to understand illness behavior. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2001;23(4):177–182.
86. Ciechanowski PS, Walker EA, Katon WJ, Russo JE. Attachment theory: a model for health care utilization and somatization. *Psychosom Med*. 2002;64(4):660–667.
87. Esposito M, Marotta R, Gallai B, et al. Temperamental characteristics in childhood migraine without aura: a multicenter study. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2013;9:1187–1192.
88. Esposito M, Roccella M, Gallai B, et al. Maternal personality profile of children affected by migraine. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2013;9:1351–1358.

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment

Publish your work in this journal

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment is an international, peer-reviewed journal of clinical therapeutics and pharmacology focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical or pre-clinical studies on a range of neuropsychiatric and neurological disorders. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, the 'PsycINFO' database and CAS.

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/neuropsychiatric-disease-and-treatment-journal>

Dovepress

The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.