REVIEW

19

Lung and colorectal cancer treatment and outcomes in the Veterans Affairs health care system

Leah L Zullig^{1,2} Christina D Williams^{3,4} Alice G Fortune-Britt^{1,5}

¹Center for Health Services Research and Development in Primary Care, Durham Veterans Affairs Medical Center, ²Department of Medicine, Division of General Internal Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, ³Medical Service, Division of Hematology-Oncology, Durham Veterans Affairs Medical Center, ⁴Department of Medicine, Division of Medical Oncology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, ⁵Department of Health Policy and Management, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

Correspondence: Leah L Zullig 411 West Chapel Hill Street – Suite 600, Durham, NC 27701, USA Tel +1 919 286 0411 ext 7586 Fax +1 919 416 5839 Email leah.zullig@va.gov

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com

http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S75463

Abstract: Lung cancer (LC) and colorectal cancer (CRC) are the second- and third-most commonly diagnosed cancers in the Veterans Affairs (VA) health care system. While many studies have evaluated the treatment quality and outcomes of various aspects of VA LC and CRC care, there are no known reviews synthesizing this information across studies. The purpose of this literature review was to describe LC and CRC treatment (ie, surgical and nonsurgical) and outcomes (eg, mortality, psychosocial, and other) in the VA health care system as reported in the existing peer-reviewed scientific literature. We identified potential articles through a search of published literature using the PubMed electronic database. Our search strategy identified articles containing Medical Subject Headings terms and keywords addressing veterans or veterans' health and LC and/or CRC. We limited articles to those published in the previous 11 years (January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2013). A total of 230 articles were retrieved through the search. After applying the selection criteria, we included 74 studies (34 LC, 47 CRC, and seven both LC and CRC). VA provides a full array of treatments, often with better outcomes than other health care systems. More work is needed to assess patient-reported outcomes.

Keywords: colorectal neoplasms, health services research, lung neoplasms, outcome assessment (health care), review, United States Department of Veterans Affairs

Introduction

Lung cancer (LC) and colorectal cancer (CRC) are the second- and third-most commonly diagnosed cancers among men and women in the US, together accounting for approximately 20% of all new cancer cases annually.¹ In 2014, an estimated 224,210 LC and 136,830 CRC cases along with 159,260 LC and 50,310 CRC deaths will occur.¹ Age and cigarette smoking are the predominant risk factors for LC, although other environmental and occupational exposures, such as asbestos and radon, may also increase lung cancer risk.² Well-known risk factors for CRC include age, obesity, physical inactivity, alcohol consumption, and unfavorable dietary habits, such as increased red and processed meat consumption and low fruit and vegetable intake.^{3,4} Cancer care is complex, and a number of organizations have set forth guidelines for the management of LC and CRC care.

Patients with cancer receiving care in the Veterans Affairs (VA) health care system represent about 3% of all cancer patients in the US.⁵ A national-level study using the VA Central Cancer Registry to assess cancer incidence among veterans noted that lung and bronchus cancers account for nearly 20% of all cancers among veterans, and CRC accounts for 9%.⁵ While comparisons have been made between VA and non-VA care for both LC and CRC,⁶⁻¹⁰ the VA population is unique in that most patients have

© 2015 Zullig et al. This work is published by Dove Medical Press Limited, and licensed under Creative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. Permissions beyond the scope of the License are administered by Dove Medical Press Limited. Information on how to request permission may be found at: http://www.dovepress.com/permissions.php poor health and lower education and income.^{11–14} Given the aging population, increase in military personnel exiting active duty, and potential increase in veterans seeking health care in VA, the cancer burden in VA will increase. Therefore, it is important to assess current treatment practices and impact on outcomes in the VA cancer population to identify areas for improvement.

The objective of this review was to describe LC and CRC treatment (ie, surgical and nonsurgical) and outcomes (eg, mortality, psychosocial, and other) in the VA health care system as reported in the existing peer-reviewed scientific literature. To our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive review of cancer treatment and outcomes in patients receiving care in the VA health care system.

Materials and methods

We identified potential articles through a search of published literature using the PubMed electronic database. Our search strategy identified articles containing Medical Subject Headings and keywords in the title and abstract addressing veterans or veterans' health and LC and/or CRC (Table 1). We limited articles to those published in English in the previous 11 years (January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2013).

This initial search yielded 230 unique articles. We then screened abstracts and full articles for eligibility. The following exclusion criteria were applied: non-US veterans (or inability to distinguish between veterans and nonveterans in the study population), noncancer or other cancers,

 Table I Search strategy for Veterans Affairs (VA) lung and colorectal cancer treatment and outcomes

Database	PubMed
Time frame	Articles published January I, 2003 through December 31, 2013
Search terms	(((("United States Department of Veterans Affairs" [MeSH] OR "hospitals, veterans" [MeSH] OR "veterans health" [MeSH] OR "veterans" [MeSH]) AND ("lung neoplasms" [MeSH] OR "colorectal neoplasms" [MeSH]) AND ("2003/01/01" [PDAT]: "2013/12/31" [PDAT]))) OR ((((lung cancer [title/abstract]) OR colorectal cancer [title/abstract]) OR colon cancer [title/abstract]) OR rectal cancer [title/abstract]) AND ((veteran [title/ abstract]) OR VA [title/abstract])
Exclusion criteria	 Non-English language Non-US veterans (or inability to distinguish between treatment/outcomes of veterans and nonveterans within the article) Noncancer or other cancers Nonepidemiological or not original research (eg, commentaries, feasibility studies) Described only risk or barriers to care

Abbreviations: MeSH, Medical Subject Headings; PDAT, publication date.

nonepidemiological or not original research (eg, commentaries, feasibility studies), or description of only risk or barriers to care. We included retrospective analyses, cross-sectional, and prospective studies. A full description of this process is outlined in Figure 1.

Results

A summary of published studies describing LC and CRC among veterans is presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

Lung cancer

Surgical treatment

There were eight articles that addressed receipt of surgery and/or predictors of surgical treatment. Dransfield et al reported that among 156 patients with non-small-cell LC (NSCLC), 31 underwent resection, and a majority of these (61%) were diagnosed at the time of surgery.¹⁵ In a study including all patients diagnosed with LC in 2007, the surgery rate was 69% (909 of 1,314) among those with stages I and II NSCLC.¹⁶ This was similar to the 65.4% of stage I and II NSCLC patients who received surgery in the full cohort in the VA-wide study by Landrum et al of patients diagnosed in 2003–2004.17 In another national-level cohort of VA patients, 51% of patients aged 65 years and older with localized LC had surgery.¹⁸ Zeber et al conducted a national-level study of elderly veterans comparing receipt of treatment for LC patients aged 70-84 years (n=19,010) to those 85 years and older (n=1,347), and found that 2.7% of all LC patients aged 70-84 years had surgery compared to 0.5% of those over 85 years.¹⁹ When surgical resection patterns among veterans and nonveterans were compared, it was noted that resection rates were similar for older veterans (70.2%) and nonveterans (71.2%) with early stage disease, but among younger patients the resection rate was lower in veterans (83.3%) compared to nonveterans (91.5%).²⁰ In two small studies, each done at a single VA facility, one study observed that 20% of stage I-IIIA patients got surgery,¹⁵ and the other reported a 28% surgery rate among all stages.²¹

Four of these seven studies identified factors associated with receipt of surgery. In a single-institution study, the authors noted that surgery patients were less likely to have chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and typically had better pulmonary function, while those who did not have surgery most often had advanced disease (75%), poor pulmonary function (5%), or refused (4%).¹⁵ National-level studies by Williams et al and Wang et al both reported that lower treatment rates were associated with increasing age,



Figure I Schema for article-selection process.

black race, severe comorbidity, and respiratory disease.^{16,18} For example, resection rates for local disease among patients 65–74 years old were 59.8% and 43.1% for those aged 75–84 years and 18.5% for those over age 85 years.¹⁸ Williams et al reported that blacks were significantly less likely to receive surgery compared to whites (odds ratio 0.63, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.48–0.83), and receipt of surgery also varied within the categories of marital status, histology, smoking status, and region.¹⁶ In an article by Landrum et al that identified reasons for not receiving guideline-recommended treatment, predominant reasons for nonreceipt of curative surgery for stage I/II LC included poor health (61%) and refusal (26%).¹⁷

Nonsurgical treatment

We identified two studies that evaluated receipt of nonsurgical treatment, both of which were done among elderly veterans. In an article by Wang et al, the authors used a cohort of patients aged 65 years and older to assess receipt of first-line recommended treatment according to National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines.¹⁸ The recommended treatment for regional NSCLC is surgery plus chemotherapy, with or without radiation if the patient is eligible for surgery, or chemotherapy plus radiation if the patient is not a surgical candidate. Chemotherapy is the standard of care for patients with metastatic disease. Based on these guidelines, this study

found that 35% of patients with regional disease and 27% with metastatic disease received appropriate treatment. Treatment rates varied a great deal according to age. For patients with regional disease, rates ranged from 44.7% for those aged 65–74 years to 14.0% for those over 85 years. Corresponding rates for metastatic disease were 34.3% and 9.3%. Zeber et al examined treatment modalities using a cross-sectional study of patients aged 70 years and older.¹⁹ Among LC patients aged 70–84 years, 4.8% received radiation and 9.0% received chemotherapy. For patients aged 85 years and older, 3.3% got radiation, while 2.9% got chemotherapy.

Timeliness of care

When the timeliness of LC care is considered, it is important to note that although several studies have evaluated "guideline-recommended" timeliness, these guidelines are not based on scientific evidence that shorter time periods produce better outcomes. In the period for the literature search for this review, there were seven studies published on the timeliness of LC care among veterans. Three nationallevel studies examined the timeliness of care within VA. One of these studies found that the median time between the first radiography and treatment was 71 days,²² while another study reported the median times between suspicion and diagnosis as 33 days and suspicion to treatment as 63 days.²³ A national-level study among late-stage NSCLC

Study	Study design	Study population, n	on, n	Study outcome(s)	Major findings	Single/multiple
		Total	VA LC			VA facility(ies)
Au et al ^{33,b}	Retrospective cohort	I,949	459 (349 LC, 110 LC + COPD)	Health care-resource utilization in last 6 months of life	Compared to COPD patients, fewer LC patients admitted to the ICU, but more received palliative medications	7 VAMCs
Cajipe et al ²⁹	Retrospective	16	16	Perioperative outcomes	VATS patients had shorter LOS and chest tube duration, VATS	Single facility
	cohort				associated with reduced complications	(Houston, TX)
Campling 2005 et al ^{32b}	Retrospective cohort:	48,994	862	SO	VA patients had worse OS compared to non-VA patients	VAMCs in Pennsvlvania
Capo-Ramos	Retrospective	3,669,244	82,945	Risk of LC	Age at study entry, number of hospital visits, COPD, alcohol abuse	Nationwide
et al ^{71,b}	cohort				associated with increased risk, hospitalization with mood disorder associated with reduced risk	cohort
DeArmond et al ³⁰	Retrospective study	50	50	Postoperative complications	LOS longer in VA patients compared to non-VA	Single facility
Dransfield	Retrospective	487	156 NSCLC;	Use of surgery, time to	20% underwent surgery, fewer COPD patients had surgery, time to	Single facility
et al ^{15,b}	chart review		18 SCLC	surgery, OS	diagnosis longer among surgical patients (61% diagnosed at surgery), OS in surgery patients was greater (65%) than nonsurgery (30%) patients	(Birmingham, VA)
Gould et al ^{72,b}	Retrospective cohort	375	204	Risk of LC	Age, smoking history, nodule size, years since quit smoking associated with increased LC risk	Sample of patients from 10 VA sites
Could of al2	Detroctoctive	001	001	Timoly core summed	کے مصموم میں 2 مرمد میں برخانہ کا مانام میں مرحم کے محمد المنا میں الکار	Cingle facility (Dolo
	chart review	<u>,</u>	1		additional radiographic abnormalities, metastatic symptoms additional radiographic abnormalities, metastatic symptoms associated with treatment within 84 days; hospitalization within	Alto, VA)
					7 days, tumor size $>$ 3 cm, white race associated with diagnosis within 42 days; patients with shorter time to treatment had worse survival	
Hunnibell et al ²⁶	Prospective	408	408	Timeliness of care, stage at	Patient-navigation program reduced time between suspicion and	Connecticut, VA
	study			diagnosis	treatment, and resulted in trend toward earlier stage at diagnosis	health care system
Jones et al ³⁴	Retrospective	323	323	Primary care utilization	No significant change in overall primary care-utilization rate for	Single facility in
	cohort				chronic conditions, but significant rate decrease for acute conditions	Midwest
Keating	Retrospective	Not reported	Not reported	Variation in treatment and	In the VA cohort, NSCLC not associated with area level, but the effects	Nationwide
et al ^{6,a,b}	cohort			outcomes by area-level Medicare spending	of spending on mortality may be different in the private sector	cohort
Keating et al ^{7,a,b}	Retrospective	23,327	2,915	End-of-life care	Men in VA less likely to receive chemotherapy within 14 days of	Nationwide
	cohort				death, be admitted to ICU within 30 days of death, or have >1 ER visit within 30 days of death, compared to fee-for-service Medicare	cohort
Khurana et al ^{73,b}	Retrospective nested case- control study	483,733	7,280	Risk of LC	Hispanic and unknown race, female sex, statin use, alcohol use, body mass index associated with lower risk; age, diabetes, smoking associated with increased risk	VISN16
Khurana et al ^{74,b}	Retrospective nested case- control study	783,721	7,280	Risk of LC	Hispanic and unknown race, female sex associated with lower risk; age at rheumatoid arthritis onset, tobacco exposure, asbestos exposure associated with increased risk	VISN16
Kouri et al ^{75,b}	Retrospective cohort	44,847 (VHA Medicare); 5.538 (VACCR)	13,479, 1,521	Treatment in versus out of VA	5.8% of elderly LC surgery patients had surgery outside VA; 57% of LC patients who had surgery outside VA had no VA outpatient visits in the vear prior to surgery	Nationwide cohort

Cancer Management and Research 2015:7

22				SULVIVAL LAUCS	HOIL AN INT TAJOLO, TAJOLO PARIEILIS III AN URBHOSED AL CATHEL	
t al ²²					stages than non-VA patients	
t al ²²	Retrospective	5,348 (full	2,952 (full	Underuse of recommended	African-Americans less likely than whites to be evaluated by surgeon,	Random sample
	cohort;	cohort),	cohort),	treatment	have surgery recommended, and receive surgery; older patients	of national-level
	retrospective chart review	584 (sample)	177 (sample)		less likely evaluated by surgeon; among patients not getting surgery, 60.7% had poor health and 26% refused	cohort
	Retrospective	2,463	2,463	Time between initial radiograph	Median time was 71 days, time to treatment decreased with more	National-level
	chart review			and treatment	advanced disease	cohort
Rao et al ^{76,b} F	Retrospective	1,229,902	6,923	Risk of LC	Angiotensin receptor-blocker use was not associated with increased	National-level
	cohort				risk of LC, but appeared to have a protective effect	cohort
Reed et al ^{31,b} F	Retrospective	416	211	Survival	Lobectomy was the main operative procedure (71%); 60% patients	Single facility
5	chart review				died from NSCLC, 16% from other causes, 25% unknown cause;	(Cincinnati, VA)
		346	245	Time to discussion	overali mortality was 37%, disease-specific survival was 60% Timo to disease titoito ito ito the Multidiseit lisson. The more	المنالم فالمنا
	Ketrospective chart review	040	040	l lime to diagnosis and treatment	nime to diagnosis was similar in the Pluitidisciplinary i noracic Oncology Clinic (MTOC) (48 days) and non-MTOC (47 days)	Single facility (Durham, VA)
					cohort; time to treatment was similar in the MTOC (22 days) and	
					non-MTOC (23 days) cohort	
Schultz et al ²³ F	Retrospective	2,372	2,372	Time to treatment	69% were diagnosed within weeks of abnormal chest X-ray, 63% got	National-level
5	chart review				surgery within 6 weeks of treatment; median time to treatment was	cohort
					92 days for stage I/II and 52 days for stage III/IV; institutional factors	
					did not explain much of the variation in treatment times	
Sigel et al ^{77,b} F	Retrospective	113,044	1,071	Risk of LC	HIV infection, age, smoking history, COPD, previous bacterial	National-level
5	cohort				pneumonia associated with increased risk; Hispanic and other race	cohort
					associated with lower risk	
St Julien et al ²⁸ F	Retrospective	78	78	Survival	30- and 90-day mortality 3.8% and 6.4%, 32% had at least one	Single facility
5	chart review				postoperative event, number of postoperative events associated	(Tennessee Valley,
					with worse survival	VA)
	Audio recordings	150	62	Patient-provider	Frequency of active participation was greater for LC patients than	Single facility in
Gordon ^{36,b} c	of consultations			communication	angiogram patients	TX
and	Retrospective	47	47	Discontinuation of statins	About 50% of LC patients did not discontinue statins	Single facility
Tarlov et al ^{37,a,b} F	Retrospective	21,239	17,014	Changes in ESA use for anemia	Patients with chemo after March 2007 had 65% reduced odds of anemia	National-level
				treatment	treatment compared to those treated with chemo before 2007	cohort
Wang et al ^{25,b} F	Retrospective	237	83	Time to treatment	Median time to treatment was longer for patients treated in the	Ann Arbor, VA
J	cohort				VA (67 days) than for patients treated at an academic medical center hospital (55 days)	health care system
Wang et al ¹⁸ F	Retrospective	20,511	20,511	Treatment	Age, comorbidity, histology, and tobacco-use history were	National-level
5	cohort				independent predictors of treatment; advancing age was a stronger	cohort
					negative predictor than comorbidity	
Williams et al ¹⁶ B	Retrospective	1,314	1,314	Treatment	Blacks had greater prevalence of several comorbidities and performance	National-level
5	cohort				status, effect of comorbidities on receipt of surgery did not differ by race, blacks with comorbidities more likely to refuse surgery	cohort

	anaca					
Study	Study design	Study population, n	lation, n	Study outcome(s)	Major findings	Single/multiple
		Total	VA LC			VA facility(ies)
Zeber et al ^{19,a}	Retrospective cohort	194,797	20,537	Treatment	Significantly greater proportion of patients aged 70–84 years received radiation, chemotherapy, and surgery compared to	National-level cohort
Zeliadt et al ²⁰	Retrospective cohort	9,579	1,715	Treatment, survival	VA patients more likely to be diagnosed at early stage compared to VA patients; surgery rates among younger patients were lower in VA versus non-VA patients; OS was better among VA patients, but VA patients had worse survival within each stage	Northwest VA facilities
Zullig et al ^{5,a}	Retrospective cohort	39,505	7,437	Incidence	LC represents 19% of all cancers in the VA; proportion of whites with LC (20%) greater than that for blacks (15%)	National-level cohort
Zullig et al ²⁴	Retrospective cohort	2,200	2,200	Timeliness of care, survival	No racial differences in time to initiation of treatment or palliative care/hospice referral for patients with late-stage LC; blacks had longer overall survival than whites (133 days versus 117 days) in an adjusted model	National-level cohort
Notes: ^a Address, Abbreviations: intensive care unit ESA, erythropoies	Notes: ³ Addressed both lung and colorectal cancer; ^b included both VA and non-VA health care settings. Abbreviations: NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; SCLC, small-cell LC; COPD, chronic obstructive intensive care unit; ER, emergency room; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; VA, Veterans Affairs; VA ESA, erythropoiesis-stimulating agent.	tal cancer; ^b include ung cancer; SCLC, HIV, human immur	id both VA and non-VA small-cell LC; COPD, nodeficiency virus; VA,	, health care settings. chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ' Veterans Affairs; VAMC, Veterans Affair	Notes: ³ Addressed both lung and colorectal cancer; ⁵ included both VA and non-VA health care settings. Abbreviations: NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; SCLC, small-cell LC; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; VATS, video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery; LC, lung cancer; LOS, length of stay; OS, overall survival; ICU, intensive care unit; ER, emergency room; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; VA, Veterans Affairs; VAMC, Veterans Affairs Medical Center; VHA, Veterans Health Administration; VACCR, Veterans Affairs Central Cancer Registry: ES, erythropoiesis-stimulating agent.	S, overall survival; ICU, central Cancer Registry;

patients reported 66 days as the mean time from diagnosis to initiation of treatment.²⁴ The studies including all LC stages; both reported shorter time to treatment for advanced stages,^{22,23} one of which found that patients receiving radiation or chemotherapy were treated sooner than those undergoing surgery.²² Another noted a 69% adherence rate to the recommended guideline for receipt of diagnosis within 8 weeks of suspicion.²³

Wang et al compared time to treatment in a single VA compared to a nearby academic medical center, and found that the median time to treatment was longer for patients treated in VA (67 days) than for patients treated at a hospital (55 days).²⁵ The other studies examining the timeliness of care were each conducted at a single VA facility. One facility evaluated the impact of having a cancer care coordinator to improve timeliness of care for patients potentially having LC,²⁶ and found that the time between suspicion and treatment was reduced to 55 days, compared to 136 days in previous years. On the contrary, incorporation of a multidisciplinary thoracic oncology clinic at another facility did not appear to improve times to diagnosis and treatment.²⁷ The time intervals between initial presentation to diagnosis before and after initiation of the multidisciplinary thoracic oncology clinic were 47 days and 48 days, respectively.²⁷ Corresponding times between diagnosis and treatment were 22 and 23 days, respectively.²⁷ Another single-facility study documented 84 days between suspicion and treatment (range 38-153 days).²¹ This study further determined that predictors of timely treatment included hospitalization within 7 days of the initial cancer suspicion, a tumor size greater than 3.0 cm, other abnormalities on chest radiograph, and presence of metastatic symptoms, and determinants of timely diagnosis included hospitalization within 7 days, tumor size greater than 3.0 cm, and white race.

Postoperative outcomes

In a retrospective review of 78 patients undergoing surgery for pathologic stage I LC, approximately a third of patients had at least one postoperative event, with the most common being pneumonia (17%) and reintubation (13%).²⁸ Another small study comparing video-assisted thoracoscopic (VATS) lobectomy (n=46) with open lobectomy (n=45) found that VATS patients had a shorter length of stay and shorter chesttube duration and that VATS was associated with a lower risk of complications.²⁹ DeArmond et al also examined patients undergoing VATS lobectomy, and compared outcomes of VA and non-VA patients.³⁰ They noted that average length of stay was significantly longer in VA versus non-VA patients (6.4 days versus 3.6 days, P=0.022).

Study	Study design	Study population, n	ulation, n	Study outcome(s)	Major findings	Single/multiple VA
		Total	VA CRC			facility(ies)
Abraham et al ⁴¹	Retrospective cohort	197	197	Receipt of recommended therapy	Most patients with colon cancer receive recommended therapy; rectal cancer patients who were presented at tumor board were more likely to receive recommended therapy	National-level cohort
Balentine et al ⁴⁰	Retrospective cohort	155	155	Disease-free survival	Obese patients had nonsignificantly decreased wound infection after minimally invasive surgery (MIS) compared to open surgery; MIS had faster return of bowel function and faster return home	Single facility (Houston, TX)
Battat et al ⁷⁸	Retrospective cohort	147	 47 ª	Stage at diagnosis	Increase in stage 0 cancers; overall migration to earlier-stage cancers	Single facility (Palo Alto, VA health care system)
Chiao et al ⁶¹	Retrospective cohort	470	470	Risk of death, quality of care	A diagnosis of diabetes did not impact overall survival among patients with CRC	Single facility (Houston, TX)
Davila et al ⁵⁷	Retrospective cohort	32,621	32,621	30-day postoperative mortality	Older age, being divorced/separated/widowed, and presence of distant metastases associated with increased 30-day mortality	National-level cohort
Fernandez et al ⁴⁹	Retrospective cohort	72	72	Pathologic and operative measures, postoperative morbidity	Robotic surgery patients had lower tumors, more advanced disease, higher rate of preoperative chemoradiation, longer operative times	Single facility (Houston, TX)
Fisher et al ⁷⁹	Retrospective cohort	447	447	Time to diagnosis, stage at diagnosis	Older age, having comorbidities, and Atlanta region associated with longer time to diagnosis; screen detection associated with decreased risk of late-stage cancer	15 VAMCs
Fisher et al ⁶⁴	Retrospective cohort	3,546	3,546	Risk of death	Risk of death decreased in patients who had at least 1 follow-up colonoscopy	National-level cohort
Gellad et al ⁸⁰	Prospective cohort	3,121	3,121ª	Risk of neoplasia, adenoma detection	Withdrawal time not associated with risk of interval neoplasia; at medical-center level, withdrawal time associated with baseline adenoma detection	13 VAMCs
Gonsalves et al ⁵¹	Retrospective cohort	19,240	19,240	Number of lymph nodes recovered	Later year at diagnosis, higher overall stage, higher T descriptor, age less than 65 years, poorer differentiation, right-sided tumor associated with an increased probability of retrieving 12 or more lymph nodes after surgical resection	National-level cohort
Hachem et al ⁸¹	Case control	30,400	6,080	Risk of CRC	Use of statins associated with small reduction in risk of colon cancer in patients with diabetes	National-level cohort
Hamilton et al ⁶⁵	Retrospective cohort	1,199	1,199	Use of psychosocial support services	Rectal cancer patients less likely to receive psychosocial services	27 VAMCs
Hou et al ⁸²	Retrospective cohort	20,949	20,949	Risk of CRC	African-Americans not at an increased risk for CRC compared to Caucasians	National-level cohort
Hynes et al ^{42,c}	Retrospective cohort	109	601	Receipt of surgery and chemotherapy	Older veterans with colon cancer who used both VA and non-VA services had similar odds of receiving cancer-directed surgery and chemotherapy in both systems	California
ltani et al ³⁹	Retrospective cohort	103	103	30-day postoperative mortality	22% had a delay in surgery and the use of minimally invasive surgery increased over time	118 VAMCs
Keating et al ^{6,b,c}	Retrospective cohort	Not reported	Not reported	Variation in treatment and outcomes by area-level Medicare spending	In the VA cohort, no associations of care or mortality with Medicare spending	National-level cohort

Dovepress

Teal A CRC i Constructional constructinal constructional constructional constructinal constru	Study	Study design	Study population, n	ation, n	Study outcome(s)	Major findings	Single/multiple VA
Retropective 23.37 29.15 End-oldite care Monin M kass likely to reserve recain channed with whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit whith 30 days of death, or have > ER. visit and the rest of days of death, or have > ER. visit and white a visit and so for an event erect and event whith 20 days of death, or have > ER. visit and white a visit and so for death, or have > ER. visit and visit and so for death, or have > ER. visit and visit and so for death, or have > ER. visit and visit and visit and so for death, or have > ER. visit and visit and visit and visit and so for death, or have > ER. visit and visit and visit and so for death, or have > ER. visit and visit and so for death, or have > ER. visit and visit and visit and so for death, or have > ER. visit and vi			Total	VA CRC			facility(ies)
n Recropective (all cohort) (all cohort) (all cohort) (all cohort) (anve) (all cohort) (all cohort) P Recropective 47 47 100, (bl tray, complications, anve) (all cohort) (all cohort) (all cohort) P Recropective 17 47 100, (bl tray, complications, anve) (all cohort) (all cohort) In P 100, (bl tray, complications, anve) 100, (bl tray, complications, anve) (all cohort) (all cohort) In 11 30 Cuhottante in cohort (all cohort) (all cohort) (all cohort) In 200, (bl tray, complications, cohort 117 30 Cumulative mort and contaction contaction for traction cohort (all cohort) In 200, (bl tray, complications, cohort 200, (bl tractort) (cohort) (cohort) (cohort) In 200, (bl tractort) 200, (bl tratort) (cohort)	≺eating et al ^{7,b,c}	Retrospective cohort	23,327	2,915	End-of-life care	Men in VA less likely to receive chemotherapy within 14 days of death, be admitted to ICU within 30 days of death, or have >1 ER visit within 30 days of death, compared to fee-for-service Medicare	National-level cohort
colort (ill colort), (ill colort) retment surger/night reteal rates for curative rectal career surgery anong African-Americans n Retrospective 37.6 N-curates and career-genetift, similar survival rates for curative rectal career, sarfier stage and color and rectal career, sarfier stage and career and sarrier stage and career and sarrier and sarrier and sarrier and sarrier stage and career and sarrier stage and career and sarrier sarrier and sarrier and sarrie	andrum	Retrospective	5,348	2,396	Underuse of recommended	African-Americans with rectal cancer less likely to receive rectal	Random sample of national-
Set (ample) 407 (ample) And/formation Retrospective 94.01 27.60 unviol rates Retrospective 47 10.3 27.60 unviol rates Retrospective 47 10.3 27.60 unviol rates Retrospective 16 17 10.3 05.10 taste for color and rectal cincer, advier stage Retrospective 16 16 05.10 taste for color and rectal cincer, advier stage Retrospective 11/1 30 Cumulative most advanced Anorg those with CL2.3% had family instrovy. 6% had history Retrospective 533 533 Correll inpatient cost and LOS Coreal survival family Retrospective 50 50 Overall unpatient cost and LOS Loss adoptated with provide for a family survival family Retrospective 310 130 Impact of CT scans and context and stars CAD and post state context and stars Retrospective 310 130 Impact of CT scans and context and stars CAD and post state context and stars Retrospective 310 130 Impact of CT scans and encit on state	t al ^{17,b}	cohort	(full cohort),	(full cohort),	treatment	surgery; higher refusal rates for curative rectal cancer surgery	level cohort
Neurospective 94.013 8.760 All-cause and cancer-specific Similar survival rates Recrospective 47 10 LOS/ICU stay, complications, cohort Fatients over 80 years of had interased LOS, more cohort Recrospective 16 18 Postoperative hospital LOS CAD ado photomental cohort and eccasa cancer, and the prolonged cohort Recrospective 11/1 30 Cumulative most advanced cohort And interased LOS, more cohort CAD ado photomental complications and eccasa cancer, and the prolonged LOS: COPD predictive of complications And interased LOS, more cohort CAD ado photomental complications And interased LOS, more cohort And interased LOS Fatients volt stage And interased LOS Fatients volt stage And interased LOS And interased LOS Fatients volt stage CAD ado photomental complications And interased LOS Fatients volt stage CAD ado photomental complications Fatients volt stage CAD ado photomental complications Fatients volt stage Fatients volt stage And interased CAD ado photomental complications And interased CAD ado photomental complications Fatients volt stage CAD ado photomental complications And interased CAD ado photomental complications CA			584 (sample)	407 (sample)		among African-Americans	
of digracial substrated at digracial substrated with strund laters Retrospective 47 7.05.01 Stay, romplications, and detrased during lates Retrospective 186 Postoperative hospital LOS CAD and postoperative complications, associated with prolonged cohort An operative 17.43 7.05.01 Stay, romplications, associated with prolonged cohort An operative 17.43 7.05.07 De protective of complications, associated with prolonged cohort An operative 5.823 5.823 0.00 Retrospective 5.823 5.823 0.00 Retrospective 5.823 0.00 0.00 Retrospective 5.823 0.00 0.00 Retrospective 130 Impact of CT scans and LOS 0.05 and operative times shorter among patients who had inscroy cohort Retrospective 130 130 Impact of CT scans and LOS 0.05 and operative times shorter among patients who had inscroy cohort Retrospective 130 131 Impact of CT scans and LOS 0.05 and operative times shorter among patients who had inpactent octs Retrospective 130 131 Impact of CT scans and text and the fattom shorter an	andrum	Retrospective	94,013	8,760	All-cause and cancer-specific	Similar survival rates for colon and rectal cancer; earlier stage	National-level cohort
 Retrospective 47 47 LOS, ICU stry, complications, Patients over 80 years of had increated LOS. Retrospective 186 186 Postpartive host advanced or conditions and offer saed GAS. Increased GAS. Retrospective 11/1 30 Cunditive most advanced CAD and postoperative isonplications. Retrospective 5823 5823 Coverall survival at CAD and postoperative isonplications. Retrospective 5823 5823 Coverall survival at CAD and postoperative isonplications. Retrospective 5823 5823 Coverall survival at CAD and postoperative for models associated with prolonged color. Retrospective 5823 5823 Coverall survival at CAD and postoperative inness with stage HII disease. Retrospective 50 50 Overall survival at CAD and postoperative inness who fad atta-inflammatory drass color. Retrospective 17.487 17.487 Time fron diagnosis to definitive patients with stage HII disease. Retrospective 4.53 4.533 Time fron diagnosis to definitive prospective inness sociated with overall survival for color. Retrospective 4.53 4.533 Time fron diagnosis to definitive prospective inness or and survival conditions. Retrospective 4.53 4.533 Time fron diagnosis to definitive prospective inness or angle or prospective inters. Surved the facility to color. Retrospective 4.53 4.533 Time fron diagnosis to definitive prospective inters. Surved the facility color. Retrospective 4.53 4.533 Time fron diagnosis to first treatment increased over the study to color. Retrospective 4.53 4.533 Montality. Retrospective 4.53 4.533 Montality and colorescal models. Retrospective 4.53 4.533 Montality and colorescal models. Retrospective 4.54 4.543 Montality or associated with colorescal models. Retrospective 4.544 4.544 Sinter and and prospective finess or urgery or stage or strested nest vectors. Spront to propole prospective for strandin a	t al ^{8,b,c}	cohort			survival rates	at diagnosis associated with survival	
colort 30-day mortality calcy mortality calcy mortality net prospective 16 Postoperative most advanced Among those with CC. 23% had family instroy, 67% had history of moning. T/% used nonsections associated with prolonged colort net Prospective 5823 5.833 Overall survival LOS: COPD predictive of complications Sociated with prolonged colort net Retrospective 5823 S.833 Overall survival LOS: COPD predictive of complications Sociated with prolonged colort net Retrospective 5823 S.833 Overall survival LOS: COPD predictive of complications Sociated with prolonged colort extreme 5823 S.833 Overall survival Los of the color state of modes associated with prolonged colort extreme 130 130 Impact of CT scans on CT scans on the state of modes associated with oreal survival for survival modes of the colort	ee et al ⁵⁰	Retrospective	47	47	LOS, ICU stay, complications,	Patients over 80 years old had increased LOS, more	Single facility (Houston, TX)
Retrospective 186 Postoperative hospital LOS CAD and postoperative complications associated with prolonged cohort main Prospective 1,11 30 Cumulative most advanced horogy those with CRC. 33% had finitory. 67% had history of smoking, 77% used nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs cohort mistologic finding at colonoscopy 59.3 Overall survival Prospective 5.823 Survisitologic finding at colonoscopy of smoking, 77% used nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs cohort mistologic finding at colonoscopy 50 50 Overall survival Prospective 5.823 Survisitologic finding at colonoscopy of smoking, 77% used nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs cohort vistologic finding at colonoscopy 64 and prospective 5.823 South CT ecans on US3 and operative times shorter among patients who had patroscoptic colocromy cohort Prospective of complications vistologic finding at color cost 130 Impact of CT ecans on US3 and operative times shorter among patients who had patroscoptic color cost vistologic finding at color cost 143 Time from diagnosis to surgery treatment at multiple hospital retrospective 1/36 Time from diagnosis to surgery treatment at multiple hospital Proscoptic color cost retrospective 463 463 <		cohort			30-day mortality	cardiopulmonary complications, and decreased survival rates	
man Prospective 1/11 30 Cumulative most advanced instologic finding at colonoscopy consolution Among those with CRC. 23% had family history, 67% had history of stologic modes 58.33 5.8.33 Coverall survival II Rerospective 58.33 5.8.33 Coverall survival of modes This with stage - III distance of modes This monory drugs II Rerospective 50 50 50 Coverall survival the prospective stage - III distance of stage - III distance the story store - III story store - III story store - III store - IIII store - III store - III store - IIII store - IIIII store - IIII store - IIIII store - IIII store - IIIII store - IIIII store - IIIII store - IIIIII store - IIII store - IIIII s	eung t al ⁸³	Retrospective cohort	186	186	Postoperative hospital LOS	CAD and postoperative complications associated with prolonged LOS: COPD predictive of complications	Single facility (Richmond, VA)
endert histologic finding at colonoscopy of smoking, T/% used nonstreoidal anti-inflammatory drugs II Rerospective 5823 5823 5823 5823 5823 5823 Serable number of nodes associated with overall survival for patients who had the formore or index associated with overall survival for patients II Rerospective 50 Overall inpatient cost and LOS LOS and operative times shorter among patients who had laparoscopic colectomy VP Prospective 130 130 130 Impact of CT scans on CT scans altered treatment for 16% of patients; aved the facility treatment cost VP Prospective 17.487 Time from diagnosis to definitive Time from diagnosis to surgery view Rerospective 4.53 4.635 Time from diagnosis to surgery Time from diagnosis to surgery view Rerospective 4.05 Aces sectional Time from diagnosis to surgery Time from diagnosis to surgery restored tertary Time from diagnosis to surgery Time from diagnosis to surgery Time from diagnosis to surgery restored tertary Time from diagnosis to surgery Time from diagnosis to surgery Time from diagnosis to surgery renotr 4.635 Horeatier a	ieberman	Prospective	1.171	30	Cumulative most advanced	Among those with CRC. 23% had family history. 67% had history	National-level cohort
en Retrospective 5,923 Overall survival for cohort. Age, grade, number of nodes associated with overall survival for patients with stage I-III diseases e Cohort. 50 Overall inpatient cost and LOS EOS and operative lines shorter among patients who had patients with stage I-III diseases e Cohort. 130 130 Impact of CT scans on to cohort. EOS and operative lines shorter among patients who had haproscopic colecomy w Retrospective 17,487 17,487 Time from diagnosis to definitive to cohort. ET scans altered treatment for 16% of patients; swed the facility treatment, cost. w Retrospective 4.635 4.635 Time from diagnosis to definitive to cohort. ET scans altered treatment for 16% of patients; swed the facility treatment at multiple hospitals or surgery to initiation w Retrospective 4.635 4.635 4.635 Hyperplastic polys and colorectal neoplasia Retrospective 4.05 4.05 11.09 11.09 11.09 11.09 Retrospective 2.06.3 2.06.3 as field hospital as field hospital as field hospital Retrospective 4.05 4.05 Broot veet op syotid f	t al ⁸⁴	cohort			histologic finding at colonoscopy	of smoking, 77% used nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	
Incompactive 50 Overall inpatient cost and LOS patients with stage I-III disease evonspective 130 130 Impact of CT scans on LOS and operative times shorter among patients who had parton times shorter among patients who had parton times from times shorter among patients who had patients is aved the facility cohort w Retrospective 130 130 Impact of CT scans on CT scans altered treatment for 16% of patients; saved the facility treatment cost w Retrospective 1/487 Time from diagnosis to definitive other app Time from diagnosis to definitive other app n Retrospective 1/487 Time from diagnosis to surgery to initiation Treatment at multiple hospital or surgery outside of the VA system more likely to experience delays than patients treated time short n Retrospective 405 Ris factors; polys not associated with colorectal neoplasi. cohort no single hospital Hyperplastic polys not associated with techores vargery to initiation survey retrospective 34.68 Mortality cohort 1/109 1/109 Depressive symptoms cohort 1/109 1/109 Depressive symptoms survey 31.00	lammen	Retrospective	5,823	5,823	Overall survival	Age, grade, number of nodes associated with overall survival for	National-level cohort
II Retrospective 50 50 Overall inpatient cost and LOS LOS and operative times shorter among patients who had hard cohort evolorit 130 Impact of CT scans alter de transment for 16% of patients; saved the facility transment (cost concorny) w Retrospective 17,487 Time from diagnosis to definitive transment for 16% of patients; saved the facility cohort w Retrospective 17,487 Time from diagnosis to definitive transment for 16% of patients; saved the facility cohort w Retrospective 4,535 4,635 17,487 Time from diagnosis to definitive transment for 16% of patients; saved the facility cohort n Retrospective 4,535 4,635 17,487 Time from diagnosis to surgery. restrospective 4,535 4,635 4,635 17,897 Transment a multiple hospital Retrospective 405 405* Risk dactors; sporadic hyperplastic polyps not associated with colorectal neoplastic polyps not associated with colorectal neoplastic polyps not associated with prolonged colorect cohort 0 1,109 1,109 Depressive symptoms survey 2063 2063 Anortaling sco surgery and selef-blame associated with prolonge	t al ⁶³	cohort				patients with stage I-III disease	
cohort Iaparoscopic colectomy w Prospective 130 Inpact of CT scans on treatment; cost Iaparoscopic colectomy w Retrospective 17,487 17,487 Time from diagnosis to definitive cohort US\$24,018 over 6 years n Retrospective 17,487 Time from diagnosis to definitive cohort Time from diagnosis to surgery to inform surgery to initiation Time from diagnosis to surgery streamment at multiple hospital or surgery outside of the VA system more linkly to experience delays than patients treated of chemotherapy Retrospective 405 405' Risk factors, sporadic hyperplastic polyps and colorectal neoplasti Retrospective 203 1,109 1,109 Depressive symptoms cohort 2.633 Mortality na single hospital in a single hospital Na surgery to initiation in a single hospital or experience delays than patients treated in a single hospital cohort 1,109 1,109 Depressive symptoms survey 2.633 Mortality Prospective elays than patients treated in a single hospital cohort 3.4888 Mortality Prospective elays than patients treated in a single hospital Depressive symptoms cohort <td>larshall</td> <td>Retrospective</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>Overall inpatient cost and LOS</td> <td>LOS and operative times shorter among patients who had</td> <td>Single facility (Houston, TX)</td>	larshall	Retrospective	50	50	Overall inpatient cost and LOS	LOS and operative times shorter among patients who had	Single facility (Houston, TX)
ey Prospective 130 130 Impact of CT scans on treatment; cost CT scans altered treatment for 16% of patients; saved the facility cohort w Retrospective 17,487 17,487 Time from diagnosis to definitive cohort U5\$24,018 over 6 years n Retrospective 17,487 Time from diagnosis to definitive cohort Time from diagnosis to surgery, cohort Time from diagnosis to surgery, time from diagnosis to surgery, cohort 4.635 4.635 7.835 Retrospective 4.63 4.635 4.635 7.75 Treatment at multiple hospitals or surgery outside of the VA system more likely to experience delays than patients treated in from diagnosis to surgery, cohort 11.09 1.109 1.09 1.09 cohort 1.00 1.109 1.109 1.109 1.09 1.00 cohort 2.633 Morrality Prospective single hospital and self-blame associated with colorectal neoplasis proposed risk factors for colorectal neoplasis for eveloping hyperplastic polyps cohort 1.09 1.109 1.109 1.09 sociated with colorectal neoplasis cohort 2.633 Morrality Preatenospital surgical polyps	t al ³⁴	cohort				laparoscopic colectomy	
cohort U3524,018 over 6 years v Retrospective 17,487 Time from diagnosis to definitive cohort Time from diagnosis to definitive enter-directed therapy Time from diagnosis to definitive period (1998–2008) n Retrospective 4,635 4,635 Time from diagnosis to surgery, cohort Time from diagnosis to surgery, period (1998–2008) n Retrospective 4,05 4,05 4,635 Time from diagnosi to surgery, time from surgery to initiation period (1998–2008) n Retrospective 4,05 4,05 4,05 4,05 retrospective 4,05 4,05 4,05 Hyperplastic polyps or surgery outside of the VA system more likely to experience delays than patients treated of chemotherapy retrospective 4,05 4,05 Hyperplastic polyps or associated with clorectal neoplasia, proposed risk factors for colorectal neoplasia, colort 1,09 1,09 1,09 </td <td>1auchley</td> <td>Prospective</td> <td>130</td> <td>130</td> <td>Impact of CT scans on</td> <td>CT scans altered treatment for 16% of patients; saved the facility</td> <td>Single facility (VA Puget Sound</td>	1auchley	Prospective	130	130	Impact of CT scans on	CT scans altered treatment for 16% of patients; saved the facility	Single facility (VA Puget Sound
wRetrospective17,487Time from diagnosis to definitiveTime from diagnosis to first treatment increased over the study cancer-directed therapynRetrospective4,6354,6351,7,487Time from diagnosis to surgery to initiationnRetrospective4,6354,6351,635Time from diagnosis to surgery to initiationrohortcohort405405405Time from diagnosis to surgery to initiationRetrospective405405405Risk factors, sporadic hyperplasticRetrospective405405Risk factors, sporadic hyperplasticPyperplastic polyps not associated with colorectal neoplasisRetrospective2,6332,633MortalityPreatment and signe hospiralcohort1,1091,109Depressive symptomsCancer sigma and self-blame associated with colorectal neoplasissurveysurvey2,6332,633MortalityMortalitycohort34,888MortalityGreater hospiral surgical volume associated with honogedcohort34,888MortalityOrdeveloping hyperplastic polypscohort34,888MortalityOrdeveloping hyperplastic polypscohort34,888MortalityMortalitycohort2,6332,633Mortalitycohort2,6332,633Mortalitycohort34,888MortalityMortalitycohort34,888MortalityMortalitycohort2,6332,633Mortalitycohort46,044 <t< td=""><td>t al³⁸</td><td>cohort</td><td></td><td></td><td>treatment; cost</td><td>US\$24,018 over 6 years</td><td>health care system in Seattle, WA)</td></t<>	t al ³⁸	cohort			treatment; cost	US\$24,018 over 6 years	health care system in Seattle, WA)
cohort cancer-directed therapy period (198–2008) n Retrospective 4.635 Time from diagnosis to surgery, to initiation reatment at multiple hospitals or surgery outside of the VA cohort 4.635 Time from surgery to initiation system more likely to experience delays than patients treated Retrospective 4.635 4.65 Time from surgery to initiation system more likely to experience delays than patients treated Retrospective 4.05 405* Risk factors, sponadic hyperplastic Phyperplastic polyps not associated with colorectal neoplasis cohort 1,109 1,109 1,109 2.6,533 2.2,633 Mortaling survey 2.0 2.6,33 2.2,633 Mortaling Mortaling Mortaling survey 2.6,044 46,044 6.044 34,888 Mortaling Mortaling cohort 2.6,533 2.2,633 2.2,633 Mortaling Mortaling Mortaling survey 2.6 8.6 4.6,044 4.6,044 Mortaling Mortaling cohort 2.6,33 2.4,888	lerkow	Retrospective	17,487	17,487	Time from diagnosis to definitive	Time from diagnosis to first treatment increased over the study	124 VAMCs
n Retrospective 4.635 Time from diagnosis to surgery to initiation Treatment at multiple hospitals or surgery outside of the VA cohort	: al ⁴⁶	cohort			cancer-directed therapy	period (1998–2008)	
cohorttime from surgery to initiationsystem more likely to experience delays than patients treated of chemotherapyRetrospective405405°Risk factors, sporadic hyperplasticHyperplasticHyperplasticRetrospective405405°Risk factors, sporadic hyperplasticHyperplasticHyperplasticRetrospective405405°Risk factors, sporadic hyperplasticHyperplastic polyps not associated with colorectal neoplasis,cohort1,1091,109Depressive symptomsForcer stigm and self-blame associated with colorectal neoplasis,cohort22,63322,633MortalityGreater hospital surgical volume associated with prolongedcohort34,888MortalityOlder age associated with increased short- and long-termcohort34,88834,888Mortalitycohort14,044SurvivalOlder age associated with hincreased short- and long-termcohort214214Time from diagnosis to surgery andcohort214214No radilitycohort300300Mortalitycohort300300No radial differences in tumor grade, extent of disease, receipt	aulson	Retrospective	4,635	4,635	Time from diagnosis to surgery,	Treatment at multiple hospitals or surgery outside of the VA	National-level cohort
Retrospective405405*Risk factors, sporadic hyperplasticHyperplastic polyps not associated with colorectal neoplasia; polyps and colorectal neoplasiaHyperplastic polyps not associated with colorectal neoplasia; proposed risk factors for colorectal neoplasia not risk factors for developing hyperplastic polypscohort1,1091,109Depressive symptomsCancer stigma and self-blame associated with depressive symptoms for developing hyperplastic polypsckRetrospective22,633MortalityCancer stigma and self-blame associated with prolonged long-term survival following surgerycchort23,888MortalityGreater hospital surgical volume associated with prolonged long-term survival following surgerycchort34,888MortalityOlder age associated with increased short- and long-term nortalitycchort21,421,4Time from diagnosis to surgery and nortalityonRetrospective21,421,4cohort21,421,4Time from diagnosis to surgery and nortalityochort21,421,4Time from diagnosis to surgery and time from diagnosis to surgery, or survivalchort300300Treatment, survival outcomescohort20,0300300	: al ⁴⁵	cohort			time from surgery to initiation of chemotherapy	system more likely to experience delays than patients treated in a single hospital	
cohortpolyps and colorectal neoplasiaproposed risk factors for colorectal neoplasia not risk factorsCross-sectional1,1091,109Depressive symptomsfor developing hyperplastic polypsCross-sectional1,1091,109Depressive symptomsfor developing hyperplastic polypssurveycohort22,633MortalityCarcer stigma and self-blame associated with depressive symptomssurveycohort22,633MortalityGreater hospital surgical volume associated with prolongedickRetrospective34,888MortalityOlder age associated with increased short- and long-termickRetrospective46,044SurvivalDecrease in chance of survival in blacks compared with whites;onRetrospective214214Time from diagnosis to surgery and cohortonRetrospective30300Treatment, survival outcomesNo racial fifterences in tumor grade, extent of disease, receiptNo racial differences in tumor grade, extent of disease, receipt	érez	Retrospective	405	405 ^a	Risk factors, sporadic hyperplastic	Hyperplastic polyps not associated with colorectal neoplasia;	VA Caribbean health care
Cross-sectional1,1091,109Depressive symptomsfor developing hyperplastic polypssurveyLurveyLurveyCancer stigma and self-blame associated with depressive symptomssurveysurveyCancer stigma and self-blame associated with prolongedsurveyLurveyCancer stigma and self-blame associated with prolongedsurveyCohortCancer stigma and self-blame associated with prolongedsurveyCohortCancer stigma and self-blame associated with prolongedsurveyCohortDepressive symptomssurvey34,888MortalitycohortA,888MortalitycohortOlder age associated with increased short- and long-termcohortA6,04446,044cohortDecrease in chance of survival in blacks compared with whites;cohortCohortDecrease in chance of survival in blacks compared with whites;onRetrospective214214cohortNo difference by race in stage of disease at presentation, meancohortSurvival timeNo racial differences in tumor grade, extent of disease, receiptchRetrospective300300Treatment, survival outcomesNo racial differences in tumor grade, extent of disease, receipt	: al ⁸⁵	cohort			polyps and colorectal neoplasia	proposed risk factors for colorectal neoplasia not risk factors	system
Cross-sectional 1,109 1,109 Depressive symptoms survey Cancer stigma and self-blame associated with depressive symptoms survey Survey Cancer stigma and self-blame associated with depressive symptoms survey Survey Cancer stigma and self-blame associated with prolonged survey 22,633 Mortality Greater hospital surgical volume associated with prolonged cohort 34,888 Mortality Older age associated with increased short- and long-term cohort 34,888 Mortality Older age associated with increased short- and long-term cohort astrospective 46,044 5urvival Decrease in chance of survival in blacks compared with whites; cohort cohort Decrease in chance of survival in blacks compared with whites; overall survival improved over time on Retrospective 214 Time from diagnosis to surgery and No difference by race in stage of disease at presentation, mean cohort survival time No racial differences in tumor grave, extent of disease, receipt						for developing hyperplastic polyps	
survey survey survey survey survey 22,633 Mortality cohort 22,633 22,633 Mortality cohort 23,633 Mortality Iong-term survival following surgery cohort 34,888 Mortality Iong-term survival following surgery cohort 34,888 Mortality Older age associated with increased short- and long-term cohort 46,044 Survival Decrease in chance of survival in blacks compared with whites; cohort 214 Time from diagnosis to surgery and No difference by race in stage of disease at presentation, mean on Retrospective 300 300 Treatment, survival outcomes No racial differences in tumor grade, extent of disease, receipt	helan	Cross-sectional	1,109	1,109	Depressive symptoms	Cancer stigma and self-blame associated with depressive symptoms	National-level cohort
ck Retrospective 22,633 Mortality Greater hospital surgical volume associated with prolonged cohort 22,633 22,633 Mortality Iong-term survival following surgery cchort 34,888 Mortality Iong-term survival following surgery cchort 34,888 Mortality Older age associated with increased short- and long-term cchort 34,888 Mortality Older age associated with increased short- and long-term cchort 34,044 Survival Decrease in chance of survival in blacks compared with whites; cohort 0 Orerall survival improved over time overall survival improved over time on Retrospective 214 Time from diagnosis to surgery and No difference by race in stage of disease at presentation, mean cohort survival time time from diagnosis to surgery and No racial differences in tumor grade, extent of disease, receipt	t al ⁶⁶	survey					
cohort long-term survival following surgery cch Retrospective 34,888 Mortality cchort 34,888 Mortality Older age associated with increased short- and long-term cchort mortality Older age associated with increased short- and long-term cchort nortality Decrease in chance of survival in blacks compared with whites; cohort nortality Decrease in chance of survival in blacks compared with whites; on Retrospective 214 Time from diagnosis to surgery and on Retrospective 314 No difference by race in stage of disease at presentation, mean cohort survival time No difference by race in stage of disease, receipt ch Retrospective 300 300	abeneck	Retrospective	22,633	22,633	Mortality	Greater hospital surgical volume associated with prolonged	National-level cohort
ck Retrospective 34,888 Mortality Older age associated with increased short- and long-term cohort mortality mortality mortality cohort becrease in chance of survival in blacks compared with whites; ochort cohort becrease in chance of survival in blacks compared with whites; ochort cohort becrease in chance of survival in blacks compared with whites; on Retrospective 214 Time from diagnosis to surgery and No difference by race in stage of disease at presentation, mean on Retrospective 214 Time from diagnosis to surgery and No difference by race in stage of disease at presentation, mean cohort survival time survival time time from diagnosis to surgery, or survival chi Retrospective 300 Treatment, survival outcomes No racial differences in tumor grade, extent of disease, receipt	: al ⁶²	cohort				long-term survival following surgery	
cohort mortality :ck Retrospective 46,044 Survival :cc Retrospective 46,044 Survival cohort Decrease in chance of survival in blacks compared with whites; cohort overall survival improved over time on Retrospective 214 Time from diagnosis to surgery and No difference by race in stage of disease at presentation, mean cohort survival time time from diagnosis to surgery and ichi Retrospective 300 300 Treatment, survival outcomes No racial differences in tumor grade, extent of disease, receipt	abeneck	Retrospective	34,888	34,888	Mortality	Older age associated with increased short- and long-term	National-level cohort
 Retrospective 46,044 46,044 Survival Decrease in chance of survival in blacks compared with whites; cohort 214 214 Time from diagnosis to surgery and No difference by race in stage of disease at presentation, mean cohort 214 survival time Retrospective 214 Time from diagnosis to surgery and No difference by race in stage of disease at presentation, mean cohort 300 300 Treatment, survival outcomes No racial differences in tumor grade, extent of disease, receipt 	t al ⁶⁰	cohort				mortality	
cohort overall survival improved over time on Retrospective 214 Time from diagnosis to surgery and No difference by race in stage of disease at presentation, mean cohort survival time time from diagnosis to surgery and No difference by race in stage of disease at presentation, mean cohort time from diagnosis to surgery or survival survival time ichi Retrospective 300 300	abeneck	Retrospective	46,044	46,044	Survival	Decrease in chance of survival in blacks compared with whites;	National-level cohort
on Retrospective 214 214 Time from diagnosis to surgery and No difference by race in stage of disease at presentation, mean cohort cohort 300 300 Treatment, survival outcomes No racial differences in tumor grade, extent of disease, receipt	t al ⁵⁶	cohort				overall survival improved over time	
cohort survival time to time from diagnosis to surgery, or survival orceipt Retrospective 300 300 Treatment, survival outcomes No racial differences in tumor grade, extent of disease, receipt	cobinson	Retrospective	214	214	Time from diagnosis to surgery and	No difference by race in stage of disease at presentation, mean	Single facility (Houston, TX)
nchi Retrospective 300 300 Treatment, survival outcomes No racial differences in tumor grade, extent of disease, receipt	t al ⁴⁸	cohort			survival time	time from diagnosis to surgery, or survival	
	abounchi	Retrospective	300	300	Treatment, survival outcomes	No racial differences in tumor grade, extent of disease, receipt	Single facility (Houston, TX)

Siersema et al ⁸⁶	Case-control	536	268	Risk of colorectal neoplasia	Barrett's esophagus, age, and alcohol use associated with increased risk of colorectal neoplasia	Single facility (Palo Alto Veterans Affairs health care system)
Tarlov et al%c	Retrospective cohort	3,014	3,014	Overall survival and event-free survival	Improved survival among patients who received all care in either VA or non-VA (ie, not dual users)	National-level cohort
Tarlov et al ^{37,b}	Retrospective cohort	21,239	4,225	Changes in ESA use for anemia treatment	After black-box warning, ESA use decreased 53% among colon cancer patients; odds of ESA use increased with advancing age; postperiod decline in use was much larger at younger ages and diminished throughout the age span	National-level cohort
van Roessel et al ⁵⁹	Retrospective cohort	334	334^{a}	Tumor stage, tumor location, survival	All-cause 5-year survival significantly better for VA CRC cohort compared to National Cancer Database cohort	Single facility (Palo Alto, VA health care system)
Visser et al ⁵⁸	Prospective cohort	186	186	30-day and 90-day mortality	30-day mortality underreports true risk of death after colorectal surrery. 90-day mortality rate is a herter estimation	Single facility (Palo Alto, VA health care system)
Wahls and	Retrospective	150	150	Delays or nonreceipt of CRC	Frequency (65%) of include cases missed opportunities for	Rural VA health care system in
Wilks	conort Prospective	120	60 ^a	screening LOS, postoperative outcomes,	earner diagnosis, 30% nad contributing patient ractors Laparoscopic resections associated with shorter hospital stays,	upper iriidwest Single facility (Houston, TX)
et al ⁵³	database			complications	quicker return of bowel function, fewer wounds, fewer complications requiring reoperation	
Wilks et al ⁵²	Retrospective and prospective cohorts	346	346ª	Quality of care	Quality of care (ie, complete, margin-negative resections, lymph nodes excised, multidisciplinary therapy) improved after implementation of dedicated center	Single facility (Houston, TX)
Zafar et al ^{10,c}	Retrospective cohort	682	342	Stage at diagnosis	In VA cohort, higher comorbidity associated with earlier stage at diagnosis	I5 VAMCs
Zeber et al ^{19,b}	Retrospective cohort	194,797	26,300	Treatment	Differences in rate of receipt of radiation, chemotherapy, surgery with oldest patients (\geq 85 years) receiving lower rate of treatment compared to those aged 70–84 years of age	National-level cohort
Zullig et al ^{5,b}	Retrospective cohort	39,505	3,421	Incidence in VA	Colon and rectal cancers represent 9% of all cancers in VA, proportions of CRC similar by race and sex	National-level cohort
Zullig et al ⁴⁴	Retrospective cohort	2,022	2,022	Guideline treatment	No racial differences in receipt of care, older age at diagnosis and cardiovascular comorbid conditions associated with reduced odds of medical oncology referral, older age also associated with reduced odds of surveillance colonoscopy	National-level cohort
Zullig et al ⁴⁷	Retrospective cohort	2,022	2,022	Treatment timeliness, survival outcomes	Small racial difference in timing of surveillance colonoscopy, little evidence of racial differences in CRC-care quality	National-level cohort
Notes: ªDid r Abbreviatio ı ESA, erythrop	Notes: "Did not clearly distinguish between precancerous, noninvasive, and/or i Abbreviations: CRC, colorectal cancer; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmona ESA, erythropoiesis-stimulating agent; DNR, do not resuscitate; CT, computed i	between precar ancer; COPD, ht; DNR, do not	ncerous, noninvasi chronic obstructiv t resuscitate; CT, o	Notes: "Did not clearly distinguish between precancerous, noninvasive, and/or invasive CRC; ^b addressed both lung Abbreviations: CRC, colorectal cancer; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; LOS, length of stay; IC ESA, erythropoiesis-stimulating agent; DNR, do not resuscitate; CT, computed tomography; VA, Veterans Affairs.	Notes: "Did not clearly distinguish between precancerous, noninvasive. and/or invasive CRC; ^h addressed both lung cancer and CRC; ^q included both VA and non-VA health care settings. Abbreviations: CRC, colorectal cancer; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; LOS, length of stay; ICU, intensive care unit; CAD, coronary artery disease; ER, emergency room; VAMC, Veterans Affairs Medical Center; ESA, erythropoiesis-stimulating agent; DNR, do not resuscitate; CT, computed tomography; VA, Veterans Affairs.	AMC, Veterans Affairs Medical Center;

Survival outcomes

In a study by Dransfield et al, overall survival rate at 3 years was 65% among surgical patients, 80% among stage IA surgical patients in particular, and 30% in nonsurgical patients.¹⁵ Another single-facility study of 178 resection patients reported that 102 (57%) patients died during the study period, of whom 60% died from disease-specific causes, 18% from other causes, and 25% from unknown causes.³¹ As it relates to short-term outcomes, one study found 30-day and 90-day mortality rates to be 3.8% and 6.4%, respectively, among pathologic stage IA resection patients.²⁸

In studies evaluating predictors of survival, factors associated with worse survival included an increasing number of postoperative events²⁸ and shorter times to treatment.²¹ While the correlation between shorter time to treatment and worse survival outcomes may be due to a myriad of factors, it is possible that this can also be attributed to patients with more advanced disease. One VA-wide study of patients with late-stage NSCLC found that African-Americans had longer overall survival (133 days) than whites (117).²⁴ Three of the eight studies evaluating survival in veterans with LC were done at the state or national level, and compared survival among VA versus non-VA patients. Campling et al identified new LC patients diagnosed in 1995-1999 from the Pennsylvania Cancer Registry (n=28,798) to compare patient characteristics and survival among VA and civilian patients.³² VA patients had worse overall survival, based on 5-year survival rate of 12% among VA patients and 15% among non-VA patients. When examined by race, this significant survival difference among VA and non-VA patients was observed in white patients but not blacks. A similar comparative analysis was conducted among patients in Washington state diagnosed with LC between 2000 and 2006 identified by the VA and Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) cancer registries.²⁰ Veterans had worse survival within each stage compared to non-VA patients, but better overall survival when stages were combined, because VA had a significantly greater percentage of early-stage diagnoses.

Only one national-level study was done, and showed that VA patients had improved overall and cause-specific survival rates for NSCLC compared to SEER Medicare patients (hazard ratio [HR] 0.91, 95% CI 0.88–0.95), primarily due to more early stage patients in the VA population, because this survival benefit was no longer evident in stage-adjusted analyses.⁸ There was no survival difference for small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) (HR 0.99, 95% CI 0.93–1.05). Also, survival outcomes were similar for VA and non-VA patients undergoing similar LC treatment.

Other outcomes

Two studies assessed health care utilization among LC patients. Au et al examined health care utilization in the last 6 months of life in patients with LC versus COPD who received care in one of seven VA facilities.33 Compared to those with COPD, LC patients were less likely to see their primary care provider and admitted to the intensive care unit less often, but more LC patients received palliative medications. A VA facility in the Midwest evaluated use of primary care before and after LC diagnosis, and reported no significant change in the overall primary care-utilization rate for chronic conditions (eg, hypertension), but found a significant decrease for acute conditions (eg, lower respiratory infection).³⁴ A small study among advanced LC patients found that 53.2% discontinued statin use prior to death, and this was most often those receiving chemotherapy or with a history of cerebrovascular disease.35

We identified one study each that assessed communication, cost, and symptom management in two postdiagnostic clinic contexts: postangiogram consultations and initial lung cancer visits.³⁶ Postdiagnostic patient-provider communication was compared between 88 patients during their postangiogram consultation and 62 patients during their initial LC visit.³⁶ This study noted a greater frequency of active participation for LC patients and more facilitative talk by physicians of LC patients. Keating et al conducted a retrospective study to evaluate variation in area-level Medicare spending for cancer care.⁶ They did not find NSCLC to be associated with area-level spending in VA; however, the results suggested that the effects of spending on mortality in VA may be different than in the private sector. One study evaluated treatment with erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs) for anemia among 17,014 LC patients.³⁷ In 2007, the US Food and Drug Administration issued a black-box warning for ESAs, because under certain circumstances ESAs may increase the risk of death and cancer progression. The study found that patients receiving chemotherapy after March 2007 had 65%-reduced odds of ESA treatment compared to those treated with chemotherapy before 2007.37

Colorectal cancer

Surgical and presurgical treatment

We identified four studies evaluating preparation for and/or receipt of surgery. Mauchley et al examined the clinical utility of routine preoperative computed tomography (CT) scans and their cost-effectiveness in the treatment of patients with colon cancer; CT scans provided information that was used in treatment planning (33% of patients), and sometimes altered the model of treatment (16% of patients).³⁸ Routine preoperative CT scans were also cost-effective, saving the institution in excess of US\$24,000 over a 6-year period.³⁸ A national-level study of VA medical centers (VAMCs) using National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP) data examined causes of mortality in CRC surgery and the longitudinal uptake of both cancer- and noncancer-directed laparoscopy.³⁹ Over a 3-year period, the percentage of laparoscopy, a minimally invasive surgery, gradually increased from 3.5% (2003) to 10.1% (2005). Balentine et al used a database at their facility to evaluate minimally invasive CRC surgery among obese patients over an 8-year span (2002-2009).⁴⁰ Of these obese patients, 73% (n=113) underwent open surgery, and 27% (n=42) had minimally invasive surgery. Conversion from open to minimally invasive surgery occurred in 25% of cases. At the Houston VAMC, Abraham et al examined receipt of appropriate therapy according to the National Cancer Institute's Physician Data Query (ie, stage II colon cancer patients receive surgical resection, stage III both surgery and chemotherapy).41 They found that most (87% of stage II and 71% of stage III) colon cancer patients received the recommended therapy, while fewer than half (42.5%) of rectal cancer patients did. Among patients with rectal cancer, those whose clinical case was discussed at tumor board were more likely to receive the recommended therapy.⁴¹

Hynes et al addressed issues of cancer-care coordination among dually eligible patients (ie, eligible for VA and Medicare coverage).⁴² Among veterans with CRC in California, 72% were initially diagnosed and treated in non-VA facilities.42 The authors compared surgery and adjuvant chemotherapy use between older California-based veterans with cancer receiving care in the VA system, outside of the VA system, and in both VA and non-VA systems. The odds of receiving cancer-directed surgery and chemotherapy were similar in both systems. Also, in both care settings, among stage III patients older age was associated with lower odds of receiving adjuvant chemotherapy.42 Zeber et al conducted a national-level study of elderly veterans comparing receipt of treatment for CRC patients aged 70-84 years (n=23,494) to those 85 years and older (n=2,806), and found that 5.8% of patients aged 70-84 years had surgery compared to 3.4% of those over 85 years.¹⁹ When Landrum et al examined reasons for underuse of recommended treatment, African-American veterans were less likely to receive rectal surgery (66.6% versus 80.7%, P=0.002), and curative surgery refusal rates were higher for African-Americans with stages I-III rectal cancer compared to white patients (14.6% versus 4.8%, P=0.001).¹⁷

Nonsurgical treatment

Keating et al compared area-level variations in Medicare spending, cancer care received, and outcomes between patients enrolled in fee-for-service Medicare and VA.⁶ In contrast to the fee-for-service Medicare cohort, in the VA system there was minimal variation in CRC treatment by spending.⁶ In a study by Landrum et al evaluating dual users, relative to users of one system (ie, either VA or non-VA), dual users with stage II and III were substantially less likely to receive chemotherapy.⁸

We identified two studies evaluating possible racial variation in the provision of nonsurgical VA CRC care and one study evaluating variation by age. In a single-facility study, Sabounchi et al found that use of chemotherapy was significantly higher in white than black veterans (49% versus 25%, P < 0.001); however, there was no difference in receipt of radiotherapy.⁴³ In a national-level study, Zullig et al found no racial difference for receipt of guideline-concordant CRC care, including preoperative carcinoembryonic antigen testing and surveillance colonoscopy.44 However, the authors determined that VA patients who were older at diagnosis may have had reduced odds of referral to medical oncology and surveillance colonoscopy.44 Zeber et al examined treatment modalities using a cross-sectional study of patients aged 70 years and older.¹⁹ Among CRC patients aged 70-84 years, 1.3% received radiation and 3.9% received chemotherapy. For patients 85 years and older, 1.0% got radiation, while 1.2% got chemotherapy.

Timeliness of care

We identified five studies addressing timeliness of VA CRC care. Paulson et al found that compared to colon cancer patients treated entirely within their home VAMC, patients who required referral to a different hospital (ie, outside of their home VAMC) for surgery experienced delays in surgical intervention.⁴⁵ For patients referred outside VA, there was an average delay of nearly 2 weeks. Those who then returned to VA for chemotherapy were more likely to receive initial treatment more than 8 weeks after surgery. Patients who went to another hospital to receive chemotherapy experienced delays; the average adjusted time from surgery to chemotherapy for these patients compared to those treated entirely within the VA health care system was 11.4 days (P=0.003).45 Similarly, Merkow et al examined time from CRC diagnosis to first-course therapy, and found that time to first treatment increased over the study period (1998–2008) for both colon and rectal cancers.46

We identified one national⁴⁷ and one single-facility study⁴⁸ examining racial differences in CRC-care timeliness.

Zullig et al evaluated racial differences in stage-specific timeliness measures, such as time from surgery to initiation of adjuvant chemotherapy and surgery to surveillance colonoscopy. Though there was a small (average 16 days) racial difference in time to surveillance colonoscopy, the authors concluded that there was little evidence of racial differences in CRC quality among VA health care-system users.⁴⁷ The single-study facility observed no significant racial difference in mean times from diagnosis to surgery.⁴⁸ Another VA-wide study observed a delay to perform surgeries, both cancer- and noncancerdirected surgeries, in 23 patients (22%).³⁹

Postoperative outcomes

A single-institution study reported that robotic rectal resection compared to laparoscopy was associated with longer operative times, but there was no difference in surgical outcomes, such as blood loss, conversion rates, postoperative morbidity, lymph nodes harvested, margin positivity, or specimen quality.49 A national-level study examined patient characteristics, with special emphasis on race, associated with clear surgical margins for nonmetastatic CRC resection, and determined that there were no racial differences in clear surgical margins.⁴⁴ In a study by Lee et al among surgical patients, compared to patients under 80 years old who had also had CRC-directed surgery, those 80 years and older had an increased length of stay (21.4±4.1 days for study group versus 11.1 ± 0.9 days for control group, P=0.02) and more cardiopulmonary complications (P=0.01), and 32% presented in an emergency situation, which resulted in significantly longer hospital stays and increased incidence of complications.50

We identified two studies, one local and one national, addressing lymph-node retrieval. At a national level, Gonsalves et al evaluated clinical, pathological, and patient factors associated with lymph-node retrieval.⁵¹ The authors identified patients with resected stage I-III colon cancer between 2005 and 2008. They found that the mean number of lymph nodes retrieved increased over time, and was associated with higher stage, higher tumor descriptor, age less than 65 years, poorer differentiation, and right-side tumors (P < 0.01 for all of these factors).⁵¹ Another study at the VAMC in Houston, TX reported an increased percentage of complete, margin-negative resections (P < 0.05) and number of lymph nodes removed during surgery (P < 0.01) after establishing a minimally invasive CRC program.⁵² Investigators also compared outcomes of patients undergoing minimally invasive laparoscopic resections to age-matched patients who underwent open resections.53 They determined that patients undergoing laparoscopic procedures experienced shorter hospital stays and quicker return of bowel function.⁵³ In 2009, the median inpatient cost of laparoscopic colectomy was 33% less than open colectomy ((0.000, P < 0.01)).⁵⁴ The median length of stay in the hospital and operative time were also reduced by 31% (3.5 days, P<0.05) and 37% (108 minutes, P < 0.01), respectively, among those undergoing laparoscopy.⁵⁴ Compared to those undergoing open CRC surgery, obese patients undergoing minimally invasive surgery had a significantly faster return of bowel function and returned home a median of 2 days earlier (P < 0.01).⁴⁰ In a retrospective analysis of patients undergoing resection from 2002 to 2007, the median length of stay was 8 days.⁵⁵ In this study, coronary artery disease and postoperative complications were predictive of prolonged length of stay; COPD was predictive of complications.55

Survival outcomes

We identified two studies that assessed temporal trends in CRC mortality. The first VA-wide analysis evaluated temporal trends in survival among CRC patients admitted to VA hospitals.⁵⁶ Significant survival differences were seen over time (1987–1998, P < 0.01) with longer survival times for patients diagnosed in more recent periods of time. In an adjusted analysis, there was an 18% increase in survival over time, and a small but significant decrease in the chance of surviving, in blacks compared to whites (adjusted relative survival 0.96, 95% CI 0.92-0.99).⁵⁶ The second study examined temporal changes in 30-day postoperative mortality using NSQIP data.⁵⁷ Thirty-day postoperative mortality declined from 4.7% during 1987-1988 to 3.9% during 1998-2000. Patients who received surgical resection during 1992–1994, 1995-1997, and 1998-2000 had a 14%, 14%, and 27% lower adjusted risk of 30-day mortality, respectively, compared with those resected in 1987-1988.57 In another study of all VAMCs, the 30-day postsurgery mortality rate was 5.9%. Visser et al measured both 30- and 90-day mortality following colectomy at a single facility.58 In this study, laparoscopic operations comprised 24.2% and open operations comprised 75.8% of colorectal surgery; most (60.8%) were surgeries performed for neoplasms.⁵⁸ The actual 30-day mortality rates were 4.3%, 1.4%, and 15.8% for all, elective, and emergency procedures, respectively.⁵⁸ They compared these rates to calculated NSQIP rates. The observed and calculated rates were similar. The risk-adjusted observed-to-expected ratio for 30-day mortality was 4.8%, 1.8%, and 18.2%, respectively. However, 90-day mortality increased substantially to 9.1%, 4.1%, and 28.9%, respectively.58

Numerous studies examined patient, hospital, or provider factors associated with survival. van Roessel et al determined that compared to National Cancer Database benchmarks, their VA facility had a greater percentage of early-stage cancers and better all-cause 5-year survival (VA 0.72, National Cancer Database 0.47; P<0.001).59 We identified five studies addressing the correlation between patient factors and survival risk for VA patients with CRC. Rabeneck and colleagues compared 30-day and 5-year mortality in elderly versus younger patients following CRC-directed surgical resection. In patients at least 65 years of age with rectal or colon cancer, after adjustment the 30-day mortality was 2.5 times greater and 5-year mortality was 1.5 times greater than in younger patients.⁶⁰ Lee et al also assessed the impact of age on survival by evaluating outcomes of patients aged 80 years and older who underwent surgery for CRC between 1996 and 2006. Older patients also had decreased survival rates at 1, 3, and 5 years (71%, 48%, and 31% respectively).50 After stratifying by stage, survival between diabetic and nondiabetic patients with CRC was not significantly different.⁶¹ Two single-facility studies^{43,48} and one national study⁴⁷ noted no racial differences in survival.

Landrum et al compared the survival rates of older patients with cancer receiving care in the VA health care system and fee-for-service Medicare.8 When compared to similar fee-forservice Medicare patients, patients receiving VA care had higher survival rates for colon cancer (HR 0.87, 95% CI 0.82-0.93) and similar survival rates for rectal cancer (HR 1.05, 95% CI 0.95-1.16).8 One study compared 3-year overall and cancerfree survival among patients with nonmetastatic colon cancer between patients who received substantial proportions of their care in both VA- and non-VA systems (dual users) and those who obtained care predominantly in VA or a non-VA system.9 Patients with stage I disease who received care predominantly in either VA (HR 0.40, 95% CI 0.28-0.56) or a non-VA system (HR 0.54, 95% CI: 0.38, 0.78) had reduced hazard of dying compared with dual users. This was true for all stages of disease. For event-free survival, stage II and III VA users, but not non-VA users, had improved event-free survival.9 Dual users also had fewer total provider visits for colon cancer. In a study by Keating on area-level variation, in VA there was no statistically significant association of care delivered or mortality based on the level of Medicare spending.⁶

Greater surgical volume at a facility is a predictor of survival following CRC surgery.⁶² Among newly diagnosed CRC patients who underwent surgical resection in the VA system in fiscal years 1991–2000, 5-year cumulative survival was 52.1% among patients who received surgery in high-volume

surgical hospitals, ie, those performing ≥ 25 procedures annually, compared to 48.1% in low-volume hospitals.⁶² In adjusted analyses, there was a 7% and 11% increase in 5-year survival for patients with colon and rectal cancers, respectively, compared to patients undergoing surgical resection in high- versus low-volume hospitals.⁶² Among stage II patients, overall survival increased with the higher number of lymph nodes examined and overall survival was greater with an increased number of positive lymph nodes (P < 0.001).⁶³ The ratio of examined-to-positive lymph nodes was a stronger predictor of survival, with a 5-year overall survival of 27% for the highest quartile versus 44% for the lowest.⁶³

Fisher et al⁶⁴ compared the mortality rates of patients who received at least one colonoscopy after their diagnosis with patients who had no further procedures. The adjusted risk of death at any point during 5 years of follow-up was decreased by 43% (HR 0.57, 95% CI 0.51–0.63) in the group who had a surveillance colonoscopy versus those who did not.⁶⁴ The absolute difference in 5-year mortality rate was approximately 12%.⁶⁴

Other outcomes

We identified few studies (n=3) addressing symptom management and psychosocial outcomes. In a national retrospective analysis examining ESA use for anemia management among colon cancer patients receiving chemotherapy, the odds of receiving ESA treatment decreased by 53% (OR 0.47, 95% CI 0.36–0.63) after the US Food and Drug Administration warning in 2007 compared to those treated before the warning.³⁷

Two articles focused on psychosocial aspects of health and/or palliative care. The majority (78.6%) of VA CRC patients obtain psychosocial services.⁶⁵ The most commonly accessed type of psychosocial service was chaplain services (58.9%), followed by social work (50.5%), psychiatry (7.1%), psychology (6.2%), mental health nurses (3.5%), or another type of service (4.4%).⁶⁵ Phelan et al reported results from a self-administered survey to assess stigma, perceived blame, self-blame, and depressive symptoms in men with CRC, and indicated that 31% of survey respondents agreed with at least one item in a measure of cancer stigma, and 25% reported feeling at least a little to blame for their illness.⁶⁶ Feelings of cancer stigma and self-blame were independent predictors of depressive symptoms.⁶⁶

Lung and colorectal cancer

In a study including patients with metastatic LC or CRC, but not distinguishing between the two, Keating et al found

that compared with fee-for-service Medicare, patients with metastatic cancer in the VA health care system appropriately received less aggressive end-of-life care.⁷ In particular, among matched cohorts, men in VA were less likely to receive chemotherapy within 14 days of death, be admitted to the intensive care unit within 30 days of death, or have more than one emergency room visit within 30 days of their death.⁷ This suggests that patients in VA may be receiving appropriate palliative care.

Discussion

The VA health care system is the largest integrated system in the US, and one of the largest providers of cancer care.⁵ Moreover, VA fulfills an important role by serving a unique patient population. VA patients often enter the health care system with a greater comorbidity burden, lower education and income, and lower health literacy.^{11–14} This literature review described treatment-utilization rates, factors associated with receipt of treatment, and outcomes for LC and CRC within the VA health care system.

Summary of VA LC care

Evidence from the reviewed studies on LC shows that resection rates were 65%-70%, and closer to 50% among elderly populations. Among demographic factors, besides age, black race was also associated with lower resection rates, which has been widely reported for decades.⁶⁷ Clinical predictors of nonreceipt of surgery included such conditions as COPD and poor pulmonary function, as expected, since these are related to lung disease, and severe comorbidity. Together, these findings stress the need to identify ways to reduce variation in treatment by population subgroups, understand determinants of patient decisions regarding treatment, and identify any unmet patient needs or concerns that could improve resection rates. A significant portion of patients did not get recommended treatment for advanced disease; however, studies were few and confined to elderly populations. Studies assessing timeliness of care generally noted shorter times to care for advanced stages. Although some delay in lung cancer treatment is inevitable, due to the complexity of disease, large studies should attempt to identify and reduce any avoidable delay and assess the impact of delay on long-term outcomes. Numerous studies addressed survival outcomes among LC patients, but very few examined other patient outcomes (eg, quality of life, follow-up care, recurrence), and most studies were small. This is certainly an area for much-needed research to understand the impact of lung cancer care on both patient-reported and other clinical outcomes.

Summary of VA CRC care

Earlier stage at presentation was not associated with race, but was associated with improved long-term survival. In general, CRC patients receiving care in VA received appropriate cancer treatment^{44,68,69} and palliative care.⁷ Outcomes for older patients may be worse than younger patients and/or those with fewer comorbid conditions; while older patients may be less likely to receive certain types of treatment, such as chemotherapy, care is provided to older VA CRC patients at a similar rate as in the private sector. Overall, racial variation in VA CRC care is not evident, although there is room for improvement in a few areas, such as racially equal uptake of chemotherapy. The survival rates of older men with rectal and colon cancer are equal to and better than, respectively, the survival rates of men receiving care in fee-for-service Medicare.

Summary of VA and non-VA comparisons

Studies comparing VA and non-VA health care settings found mixed results with respect to quality of care and survival between patients treated within and outside VA. In terms of cancer-care quality, several studies indicated that quality indicators, such as length of stay after LC surgery³⁰ and time to LC treatment,²⁵ were better in settings outside VA. In contrast, other studies also reported improved quality within VA compared to non-VA settings. For example, studies found that VA LC patients were diagnosed at earlier stages,^{8,20} and both LC and CRC patients received less aggressive end-oflife care.7 Additionally, compared to CRC patients seeking care in the private sector, those with higher comorbidity burden were diagnosed at an early stage.¹⁰ There were also conflicting findings in terms of survival benefit between patients treated in VA and non-VA systems. For example, one study³² found that VA LC patients had worse overall survival than non-VA patients; however, two other studies found that VA LC patients had better all-cause^{8,20} and cancer-specific survival.8 Better overall survival was also found among VA CRC patients.⁵⁹ Findings were consistent regarding the perils of receiving care in dual systems: receiving care in one system, whether VA or non-VA, leads to better wait times and survival compared to using multiple systems.9,45

Limitations

This review has several limitations. First, we synthesized the literature describing treatment and outcomes of care provided in the VA health care system. Care provided to veterans outside VA, either in nonfederal systems or through dual use of multiple systems, was not captured in this review. The extent of veterans receiving cancer care outside VA is

not known. Secondly, these studies were dissimilar in many ways; lack of congruence in study design and content makes comparisons between VA and non-VA settings, as well as across VA settings, challenging. The included studies varied widely in terms of study population (eg, one center versus nationwide), study time frames, comparison groups (eg, another integrated health care system versus national database), and sample size. Because of heterogeneity in research design, there are limitations in the extent to which conclusions can be synthesized across studies.

Future directions

Mirroring that of those in military service, the composition of the VA population is changing. The VA serves an increasingly younger population with more female patients.⁷⁰ As a result of this population shift, cancer-related treatment needs may also evolve. The VA cancer population approximately parallels that of US men;⁵ however, as more women receive care in VA, it is possible that VA may see an increase in cancers that are common among women. More research is needed to understand how and/or if VA should modify its cancer planning and services.

Relatively few studies included patient-reported outcomes and care experiences. Patient-reported information could lend additional insight into experiences of symptoms, functioning, health status, and quality of life. Understanding the patient perspective may provide critical insight into psychosocial needs, an underevaluated aspect of VA cancer treatment. Though these are important issues, future studies must extend beyond physical aspects of care and comprehensively assess patients' cancer care, including psychosocial, spiritual, mental, and palliative care needs.

Acknowledgments and disclaimer

This review was supported by the Department of Veterans Affairs, Veterans Health Administration, and Health Services Research and Development Service. LLZ was supported by a VA Health Services Research and Development (HSR&D) Career Development Award (CDA 13-025). The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

 Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2014. CA Cancer J Clin. 2014;64(1):9–29.

- 2. de Groot P, Munden RF. Lung cancer epidemiology, risk factors, and prevention. *Radiol Clin North Am.* 2012;50(5):863–876.
- 3. Johns LE, Houlston RS. A systematic review and meta-analysis of familial colorectal cancer risk. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2001;96(10):2992–3003.
- 4. Wu AH, Paganini-Hill A, Ross RK, Henderson BE. Alcohol, physical activity and other risk factors for colorectal cancer: a prospective study. *Br J Cancer*. 1987;55(6):687–694.
- Zullig LL, Jackson GL, Dorn RA, et al. Cancer incidence among patients of the US Veterans Affairs Health Care System. *Mil Med.* 2012;177(6): 693–701.
- Keating NL, Landrum MB, Lamont EB, Bozeman SR, McNeil BJ. Arealevel variations in cancer care and outcomes. *Med Care*. 2012;50(5): 366–373.
- Keating NL, Landrum MB, Lamont EB, Earle CC, Bozeman SR, McNeil BJ. End-of-life care for older cancer patients in the Veterans Health Administration versus the private sector. *Cancer*. 2010;116(15): 3732–3739.
- Landrum MB, Keating NL, Lamont EB, et al. Survival of older patients with cancer in the Veterans Health Administration versus fee-for-service Medicare. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30(10):1072–1079.
- Tarlov E, Lee TA, Weichle TW, et al. Reduced overall and event-free survival among colon cancer patients using dual system care. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2012;21(12):2231–2241.
- Zafar SY, Abernethy AP, Abbott DH, et al. Comorbidity, age, race and stage at diagnosis in colorectal cancer: a retrospective, parallel analysis of two health systems. *BMC Cancer*. 2008;8:345.
- Agha Z, Lofgren RP, VanRuiswyk JV, Layde PM. Are patients at Veterans Affairs medical centers sicker? A comparative analysis of health status and medical resource use. *Arch Intern Med.* 2000;160(21):3252–3257.
- Kazis LE, Miller DR, Clark J, et al. Health-related quality of life in patients served by the Department of Veterans Affairs: results from the Veterans Health Study. *Arch Intern Med.* 1998;158(6):626–632.
- Kazis LE, Miller DR, Skinner KM, et al. Patient-reported measures of health: the Veterans Health Study. *J Ambul Care Manage*. 2004;27(1): 70–83.
- Liu CF, Maciejewski ML, Sales AE. Changes in characteristics of veterans using the VHA health care system between 1996 and 1999. *Health Res Policy Syst.* 2005;3(1):5.
- Dransfield MT, Lock BJ, Garver RI Jr. Improving the lung cancer resection rate in the US Department of Veterans Affairs Health System. *Clin Lung Cancer*. 2006;7(4):268–272.
- Williams CD, Stechuchak KM, Zullig LL, Provenzale D, Kelley MJ. Influence of comorbidity on racial differences in receipt of surgery among US veterans with early-stage non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31(4):475–481.
- Landrum MB, Keating NL, Lamont EB, Bozeman SR, McNeil BJ. Reasons for underuse of recommended therapies for colorectal and lung cancer in the Veterans Health Administration. *Cancer*. 2012;118(13): 3345–3355.
- Wang S, Wong ML, Hamilton N, Davoren JB, Jahan TM, Walter LC. Impact of age and comorbidity on non-small-cell lung cancer treatment in older veterans. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012;30(13):1447–1455.
- Zeber JE, Copeland LA, Hosek BJ, Karnad AB, Lawrence VA, Sanchez-Reilly SE. Cancer rates, medical comorbidities, and treatment modalities in the oldest patients. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* 2008;67(3):237–242.
- Zeliadt SB, Sekaran NK, Hu EY, et al. Comparison of demographic characteristics, surgical resection patterns, and survival outcomes for veterans and nonveterans with non-small cell lung cancer in the Pacific Northwest. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2011;6(10):1726–1732.
- Gould MK, Ghaus SJ, Olsson JK, Schultz EM. Timeliness of care in veterans with non-small cell lung cancer. *Chest.* 2008;133(5):1167–1173.
- Powell AA, Schultz EM, Ordin DL, et al. Timeliness across the continuum of care in veterans with lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2008; 3(9):951–957.
- Schultz EM, Powell AA, McMillan A, et al. Hospital characteristics associated with timeliness of care in veterans with lung cancer. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2009;179(7):595–600.

- Zullig LL, Carpenter WR, Provenzale DT, et al. The association of race with timeliness of care and survival among Veterans Affairs health care system patients with late-stage non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Manag Res.* 2013;5:157–163.
- Wang L, Correa CR, Hayman JA, et al. Time to treatment in patients with stage III non-small cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2009;74(3):790–795.
- Hunnibell LS, Rose MG, Connery DM, et al. Using nurse navigation to improve timeliness of lung cancer care at a veterans hospital. *Clin J Oncol Nurs*. 2012;16(1):29–36.
- Riedel RF, Wang X, McCormack M, et al. Impact of a multidisciplinary thoracic oncology clinic on the timeliness of care. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2006;1(7):692–696.
- St Julien JB, Pinkerman R, Aldrich MC, et al. Poor survival for veterans with pathologic stage I non-small-cell lung cancer. *Am J Surg.* 2012;204(5):637–642.
- Cajipe MD, Chu D, Bakaeen FG, et al. Video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy is associated with better perioperative outcomes than open lobectomy in a veteran population. *Am J Surg.* 2012;204(5):607–612.
- DeArmond DT, Simmons JD, Cline AM, Zarzabal LA, Johnson SB, Baisden CE. Feasibility of video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery lobectomy in Veterans Administration patients. *Am J Surg.* 2012;204(5): e15–e20.
- Reed MF, Molloy M, Dalton EL, Howington JA. Survival after resection for lung cancer is the outcome that matters. *Am J Surg.* 2004;188(5): 598–602.
- Campling BG, Hwang WT, Zhang J, et al. A population-based study of lung carcinoma in Pennsylvania: comparison of Veterans Administration and civilian populations. *Cancer.* 2005;104(4):833–840.
- Au DH, Udris EM, Fihn SD, McDonell MB, Curtis JR. Differences in health care utilization at the end of life among patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and patients with lung cancer. *Arch Intern Med.* 2006;166(3):326–331.
- Jones LE, Carney Doebbeling C. Primary care utilization patterns before and after lung cancer diagnosis. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)*. 2009;18(2): 165–173.
- Tanvetyanon T, Choudhury AM. Physician practice in the discontinuation of statins among patients with advanced lung cancer. J Palliat Care. 2006;22(4):281–285.
- Street RL Jr, Gordon HS. The clinical context and patient participation in post-diagnostic consultations. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2006;64(1–3): 217–224.
- 37. Tarlov E, Stroupe KT, Lee TA, et al. Trends in anemia management in lung and colon cancer patients in the US Department of Veterans Affairs, 2002–2008. *Support Care Cancer*. 2012;20(8):1649–1657.
- Mauchley DC, Lynge DC, Langdale LA, Stelzner MG, Mock CN, Billingsley KG. Clinical utility and cost-effectiveness of routine preoperative computed tomography scanning in patients with colon cancer. *Am J Surg.* 2005;189(5):512–517; discussion 517.
- Itani KM, Denwood R, Schifftner T, et al. Causes of high mortality in colorectal surgery: a review of episodes of care in Veterans Affairs hospitals. *Am J Surg.* 2007;194(5):639–645.
- Balentine CJ, Marshall C, Robinson C, et al. Obese patients benefit from minimally invasive colorectal cancer surgery. *J Surg Res.* 2010;163(1): 29–34.
- Abraham NS, Gossey JT, Davila JA, Al-Oudat S, Kramer JK. Receipt of recommended therapy by patients with advanced colorectal cancer. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2006;101(6):1320–1328.
- Hynes DM, Tarlov E, Durazo-Arvizu R, et al. Surgery and adjuvant chemotherapy use among veterans with colon cancer: insights from a California study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(15):2571–2576.
- Sabounchi S, Keihanian S, Anand BS. Impact of race on colorectal cancer. *Clin Colorectal Cancer*. 2012;11(1):66–70.
- Zullig LL, Carpenter WR, Provenzale D, Weinberger M, Reeve BB, Jackson GL. Examining potential colorectal cancer care disparities in the Veterans Affairs health care system. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31(28): 3579–3584.

- Paulson EC, Fu X, Epstein AJ. Location and timing of care for colon cancer patients in the VA Health System. J Surg Res. 2013;183(2): 639–644.
- 46. Merkow RP, Bilimoria KY, Sherman KL, McCarter MD, Gordon HS, Bentrem DJ. Efficiency of colorectal cancer care among veterans: analysis of treatment wait times at Veterans Affairs Medical Centers. *J Oncol Pract.* 2013;9(4):e154–e163.
- 47. Zullig LL, Jackson GL, Weinberger M, Provenzale D, Reeve BB, Carpenter WR. An examination of racial differences in process and outcome of colorectal cancer care quality among users of the veterans affairs health care system. *Clin Colorectal Cancer*. 2013;12(4): 255–260.
- Robinson CN, Balentine CJ, Marshall CL, et al. Ethnic disparities are reduced in VA colon cancer patients. *Am J Surg.* 2010;200(5): 636–639.
- Fernandez R, Anaya DA, Li LT, et al. Laparoscopic versus robotic rectal resection for rectal cancer in a veteran population. *Am J Surg.* 2013;206(4):509–517.
- Lee L, Jannapureddy M, Albo D, et al. Outcomes of Veterans Affairs patients older than age 80 after surgical procedures for colon malignancies. *Am J Surg.* 2007;194(5):646–651.
- 51. Gonsalves WI, Kanuri S, Tashi T, et al. Clinicopathologic factors associated with lymph node retrieval in resectable colon cancer: a Veterans' Affairs Central Cancer Registry (VACCR) database analysis. J Surg Oncol. 2011;104(6):667–671.
- Wilks JA, Liebig C, Tasleem SH, et al. Rectal cancer patients benefit from implementation of a dedicated colorectal cancer center in a Veterans Affairs Medical Center. *Am J Surg.* 2009;198(1):100–104.
- Wilks JA, Balentine CJ, Berger DH, et al. Establishment of a minimally invasive program at a Veterans' Affairs Medical Center leads to improved care in colorectal cancer patients. *Am J Surg.* 2009;198(5):685–692.
- Marshall CL, Chen GJ, Robinson CN, et al. Establishment of a minimally invasive surgery program leads to decreased inpatient cost of care in veterans with colon cancer. *Am J Surg.* 2010;200(5):632–635.
- 55. Leung JW, Mann SK, Siao-Salera R, et al. A randomized, controlled comparison of warm water infusion in lieu of air insufflation versus air insufflation for aiding colonoscopy insertion in sedated patients undergoing colorectal cancer screening and surveillance. *Gastrointest Endosc.* 2009;70(3):505–510.
- Rabeneck L, Souchek J, El-Serag HB. Survival of colorectal cancer patients hospitalized in the Veterans Affairs Health Care System. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2003;98(5):1186–1192.
- 57. Davila JA, Rabeneck L, Berger DH, El-Serag HB. Postoperative 30-day mortality following surgical resection for colorectal cancer in veterans: changes in the right direction. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2005;50(9):1722–1728.
- Visser BC, Keegan H, Martin M, Wren SM. Death after colectomy: it's later than we think. *Arch Surg.* 2009;144(11):1021–1027.
- van Roessel P, Rouse RV, Wren SM. Care within a veterans hospital: earlier detection of colon cancer. Surg Endosc. 2007;21(8):1434–1440.
- Rabeneck L, Davila JA, Thompson M, El-Serag HB. Outcomes in elderly patients following surgery for colorectal cancer in the Veterans Affairs health care system. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2004;20(10): 1115–1124.
- Chiao EY, Nambi PV, Naik AD. The impact of diabetes process and outcome quality measures on overall survival in patients with co-morbid colorectal cancer. *J Cancer Surviv.* 2010;4(4):381–387.
- Rabeneck L, Davila JA, Thompson M, El-Serag HB. Surgical volume and long-term survival following surgery for colorectal cancer in the Veterans Affairs Health-Care System. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2004;99(4): 668–675.
- Mammen JM, James LE, Molloy M, Williams A, Wray CJ, Sussman JJ. The relationship of lymph node dissection and colon cancer survival in the Veterans Affairs Central Cancer Registry. *Am J Surg.* 2007;194(3): 349–354.
- Fisher DA, Jeffreys A, Grambow SC, Provenzale D. Mortality and follow-up colonoscopy after colorectal cancer. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2003;98(4):901–906.

- Hamilton NS, Jackson GL, Abbott DH, Zullig LL, Provenzale D. Use of psychosocial support services among male Veterans Affairs colorectal cancer patients. *J Psychosoc Oncol*. 2011;29(3):242–253.
- Phelan SM, Griffin JM, Jackson GL, et al. Stigma, perceived blame, self-blame, and depressive symptoms in men with colorectal cancer. *Psychooncology*. 2013;22(1):65–73.
- Hardy D, Liu CC, Xia R, et al. Racial disparities and treatment trends in a large cohort of elderly black and white patients with nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Cancer*. 2009;115(10):2199–2211.
- Jackson GL, Melton LD, Abbott DH, et al. Quality of nonmetastatic colorectal cancer care in the Department of Veterans Affairs. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(19):3176–3181.
- Jackson GL, Zullig LL, Zafar SY, et al. Using NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology to measure the quality of colorectal cancer care in the Veterans Health Administration. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* 2013;11(4):431–441.
- Meehan S. Improving health care for women veterans. J Gen Intern Med. 2006;21(S3):S1–S2.
- Capo-Ramos DE, Gao Y, Lubin JH, et al. Mood disorders and risk of lung cancer in the EAGLE case-control study and in the US Veterans Affairs inpatient cohort. *PloS One*. 2012;7(8):e42945.
- Gould MK, Ananth L, Barnett PG. A clinical model to estimate the pretest probability of lung cancer in patients with solitary pulmonary nodules. *Chest*. 2007;131(2):383–388.
- Khurana V, Bejjanki HR, Caldito G, Owens MW. Statins reduce the risk of lung cancer in humans: a large case-control study of US veterans. *Chest.* 2007;131(5):1282–1288.
- 74. Khurana R, Wolf R, Berney S, Caldito G, Hayat S, Berney SM. Risk of development of lung cancer is increased in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a large case control study in US veterans. *J Rheumatol.* 2008;35(9):1704–1708.
- Kouri EM, Landrum MB, Lamont EB, Bozeman S, McNeil BJ, Keating NL. Location of cancer surgery for older veterans with cancer. *Health Serv Res.* 2012;47(2):783–793.

- Rao GA, Mann JR, Shoaibi A, et al. Angiotensin receptor blockers: are they related to lung cancer? *J Hypertens*. 2013;31(8):1669–1675.
- Sigel K, Wisnivesky J, Gordon K, et al. HIV as an independent risk factor for incident lung cancer. *AIDS*. 2012;26(8):1017–1025.
- Battat AC, Rouse RV, Dempsey L, Safadi BY, Wren SM. Institutional commitment to rectal cancer screening results in earlier-stage cancers on diagnosis. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2004;11(11):970–976.
- Fisher DA, Zullig LL, Grambow SC, et al. Determinants of medical system delay in the diagnosis of colorectal cancer within the Veteran Affairs Health System. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2010;55(5):1434–1441.
- Gellad ZF, Weiss DG, Ahnen DJ, Lieberman DA, Jackson GL, Provenzale D. Colonoscopy withdrawal time and risk of neoplasia at 5 years: results from VA Cooperative Studies Program 380. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2010;105(8):1746–1752.
- Hachem C, Morgan R, Johnson M, Kuebeler M, El-Serag H. Statins and the risk of colorectal carcinoma: a nested case-control study in veterans with diabetes. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2009;104(5):1241–1248.
- Hou JK, Kramer JR, Richardson P, Mei M, El-Serag HB. Risk of colorectal cancer among Caucasian and African American veterans with ulcerative colitis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2012;18(6):1011–1017.
- Leung AM, Gibbons RL, Vu HN. Predictors of length of stay following colorectal resection for neoplasms in 183 Veterans Affairs patients. *World J Surg.* 2009;33(10):2183–2188.
- Lieberman DA, Weiss DG, Harford WV, et al. Five-year colon surveillance after screening colonoscopy. *Gastroenterology*. 2007;133(4): 1077–1085.
- Pérez R, Lasa A, Toro DH, Cruz-Correa M, Martinez-Souss J. Relationship between sporadic hyperplastic polyps and colorectal neoplasia in Hispanic veterans. *P R Health Sci J.* 2010;29(4):372–376.
- Siersema PD, Yu S, Sahbaie P, et al. Colorectal neoplasia in veterans is associated with Barrett's esophagus but not with proton-pump inhibitor or aspirin/NSAID use. *Gastrointest Endosc.* 2006;63(4):581–586.
- Wahls TL, Peleg I. Patient- and system-related barriers for the earlier diagnosis of colorectal cancer. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2009;10:65.

Cancer Management and Research

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Cancer Management and Research is an international, peer-reviewed open access journal focusing on cancer research and the optimal use of preventative and integrated treatment interventions to achieve improved outcomes, enhanced survival and quality of life for the cancer patient. The journal welcomes original research, clinical & epidemiological studies, reviews & evaluations, guidelines, expert opinion & commentary, case reports & extended reports. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peerreview system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/cancer-management-and-research-journal