#### **Open Access Full Text Article**

#### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

# Co-existence of BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> and TERT promoter mutations in papillary thyroid carcinoma is associated with tumor aggressiveness, but not with lymph node metastasis

**Background:** Mutations of  $BRAF^{V600E}$  and *TERT* promoters are associated with thyroid cancer development. This study further investigated association of these mutations with clinicopathological characteristics from patients with papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC).

**Methods:** Tumor tissues from 342 PTC patients were obtained for DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction amplification to detect the *BRAF*<sup>V600E</sup> mutation using amplification-refractory mutation system-polymerase chain reaction. *TERT* promoter mutations were assessed using Sanger DNA sequencing. The association of these gene mutations with clinicopathological characteristics was then statistically analyzed.

**Results:** Two hundred and seventy of 342 (78.9%) PTC patients harbored the *BRAF*<sup>v600E</sup> mutation, which was associated with older age male patients. Moreover, *TERT* promoter mutations occurred in 12 of 342 (3.5%) PTC patients, all of whom also had the *BRAF* mutation. One hundred thirty-three patients with papillary thyroid microcarcinoma (PTMC) had no *TERT* mutations. Statistically, the coexistence of *BRAF* and *TERT* promoter mutations were significantly associated with older age, larger tumor size, extrathyroidal extension, and advanced tumor stage, but not with central lymph node metastasis, lateral lymph node metastasis, numbers of lymph node metastasis >5, and numbers of involved/harvested lymph nodes (No. of LNs involved or harvested). The multivariate analyses showed older age (odds ratio [OR], 2.194; 95% CI: 1.117–4.311; *p*=0.023), larger tumor size (OR, 4.100; 95% CI: 2.257–7.450; *p*<0.001), and multiplicity (OR, 2.240; 95% CI: 1.309–3.831; *p*=0.003) were all independent predictors for high prevalence of extrathyroidal extension. However, there was no statistical association with any clinicopathological characteristics except for Hashimoto thyroiditis in PTMC.

**Conclusion:** The current study demonstrated that the coexistence of *BRAF* and *TERT* promoter mutations were associated with the PTC aggressiveness, although these mutations were not associated with PTC lymph node metastasis or with PTMC.

**Keywords:** papillary thyroid carcinoma, *TERT* promoter mutation, *BRAF* mutation, clinicopathological features

#### Introduction

Thyroid cancer is the most frequently occurring endocrine malignancy, with an increasing rate of incidence over the last 3 decades.<sup>1</sup> Histological classification of thyroid cancer mainly includes papillary, follicular, Hürthle cell, medullary, and anaplastic carcinomas. Papillary, follicular, and Hürthle cell carcinomas are derived from the

Cancer Management and Research 2018:10 1005-1013

1005

Cancer Management and Research downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ For personal use only.

Yifan Shen<sup>2</sup> Daixing Hu<sup>1</sup> Wei He<sup>1</sup> Jing Zhou<sup>1</sup> Yijia Cao<sup>1</sup> Yu Mao<sup>1</sup> Yi Dou<sup>1</sup> Wei Xiong<sup>1</sup> Qi Xiao<sup>1</sup> Yuhong Zhang<sup>2</sup> Xinliang Su<sup>1</sup>

Haoyu Ren<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Endocrine and Breast Surgery, <sup>2</sup>The Center for Clinical Molecular Medical Detection, The First Affiliated Hospital, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China

Correspondence: Xinliang Su Department of Endocrine and Breast Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital, Chongqing Medical University, I Friendship Road, Yuzhong District, Chongqing 400016, China Tel +86 135 0830 9161 Fax +86 23 8901 1463 Email suxinliang@21cn.com



Construction of the set of the se

follicular epithelium and produce thyroglobulin, and are considered as well-differentiated thyroid carcinomas.<sup>2</sup> Papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) accounts for up to 85% of all thyroid cancers.<sup>3</sup> Generally, PTC has an excellent prognosis with a relatively low mortality rate, but a small portion of PTC patients suffers from an aggressive form of the disease with tumor invasion and metastasis.<sup>4</sup> Accurate identification of this group of PTC patients is of crucial importance to optimizing individualized PTC treatment. However, current PTC risk stratification is based on conventional clinicopathological factors, which are often insufficient to accurately identify the high-risk PTC patients at an early stage. Thus, better biomarkers could help identify patients with aggressive PTC for more aggressive treatment options.

Toward this end, accumulating evidence indicates that gene mutations are involved in the development and progression of PTC.<sup>5,6</sup> Mutation of the proto-oncogene BRAF at V600E, is the most prevalent mutation in PTC, being present in ~29%-83% of PTC patients.<sup>5</sup> BRAF protein is a member of the rapidly accelerated fibrosarcoma kinase family of growth signal transduction protein kinases and plays a role in regulating activity of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)/extracellular signal-regulated kinase signaling pathway to promote cell growth, differentiation, and anti-apoptosis. Thus, the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation was shown to be a potent MAPK activator and occurs in many human cancers, including thyroid cancer.7 Previous studies showed that the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation was associated with 1 or more aggressive PTC clinical characteristics, such as older age, extrathyroidal extension (ETE), lymph node metastasis, aggressive histological subtypes, impaired iodine uptake, or tumor recurrence.<sup>8-10</sup> However, other studies reported no statistical association of the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation with these high-risk clinicopathological characteristics.11-13

Additionally, *TERT* is the catalytic subunit of the enzyme telomerase, which maintains telomere ends by addition of the telomere repeat TTAGGG. TERT plays a role in cell senescence, aberrant expression of which is associated with human cancer development.<sup>14</sup> Mutations of the *TERT* promoter arise in certain types of human cancer, including melanoma, bladder cancer, glioblastoma, and thyroid cancer, and frequently occur as 2 recurrent somatic mutation hotspots, that is, chr5:1,295,228 C > T (C228T) and chr5:1,295,250 C > T (C250T).<sup>15–18</sup> Mutations of the *TERT* promoter were shown to be a promising indicator of PTC aggressiveness and poor prognosis, and were consistently validated in several previous studies.<sup>15,19</sup> In addition, Liu et al first reported a link between

mutations of the *TERT* promoter and *BRAF*<sup>V600E</sup> in PTC, and subsequent studies further demonstrated the coexistence of *TERT* promoter and *BRAF*<sup>V600E</sup> mutations in thyroid cancers and their association with the most aggressive PTC clinical factors and worse prognosis.<sup>16,20–23</sup>

In this study, we further investigated the frequency of  $BRAF^{V600E}$  and TERT promoter mutations for association with clinicopathological characteristics in PTC patients. We expected to provide more insightful information for association of BRAF and TERT promoter mutations with the most aggressive PTC phenotypes.

#### Materials and methods Patients and tissue samples

This study included 342 consecutive patients who underwent surgical PTC resection between August 2016 and August 2017 at The Department of Endocrine and Breast Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital, Chongqing Medical University (Chongqing, China). However, patients who refused to be included for genetic testing or for whom there was a lack of clinical and pathological data were excluded from the study. Among these 342 patients, 251 were clinical lymph node-negative (cN0) PTC patients and 91 were clinical lymph node-positive (cN1) patients, confirmed by fine-needle aspiration and neck ultrasound. Two hundred ninety patients (84.8%) received a total thyroidectomy. The remaining patients underwent lobectomy with isthmectomy. All patients also received central lymph node dissections (CLND), while 322 patients (94.1%) also received lateral lymph node dissections (LLND), including therapeutic (91 of 322) and prophylactic (231 of 322) dissections. Patients were histologically diagnosed with PTC according to the World Health Organization for the diagnosis of PTC.<sup>2</sup> However, the PTC subtypes were not routinely identified in our institution. The tumor/node/metastasis (TNM) staging was defined according to the 8th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer.<sup>24</sup> The fresh tissue samples were collected during surgery, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C.

In this study, paraffin-embedded tissue sections were obtained and re-evaluated to confirm histological diagnosis by 2 pathologists. Their clinicopathological information, including age, sex, tumor size, multifocality, Hashimoto thyroiditis (HT), ETE, central lymph node metastasis (CLNM), lateral lymph node metastasis (LLNM), numbers of lymph node metastasis (LNMN) >5, numbers of involved and harvested lymph nodes (No. of LNs involved or harvested), and TNM stage were collected for data analyses.

# Ethics approval

This study was approved by the ethics committee of The First Affiliated Hospital, Chongqing Medical University. The written informed consent, including genetic test and medical record reviews, was obtained from each patient or their next of kin. The data were anonymized for analysis to protect patients' confidentiality.

### DNA extraction and mutation analysis

Tumor tissue samples from all PTC patients underwent extraction of genomic DNA using a kit from Amoy Diagnostics Co. Ltd. (Catalog #ADx-TI01, Xiamen, China), according to the manufacturer's protocol. The DNA samples were diluted in dithioerythritol solution and the concentration of the DNA samples was measured using a Nano-100 spectrophotometer (Allsheng Co. Ltd, Hangzhou, China). The final concentration was ~0.4–1.0 ng/ $\mu$ L.

To assess gene mutations in tumor samples of these patients, we first used a human BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> amplificationrefractory mutation system-polymerase chain reaction (PCR) kit (Amoy Diagnostics Co. Ltd.). In brief, we amplified the genomic DNA using PCR, conditions of which included 3 phases, that is, 95°C for 5 min, 15 cycles of 95°C for 25 s, 64°C for 20 s, 72°C for 20 s, and then 31 cycles of 93°C for 25 s, 60°C for 35 s, 72°C for 20 s. The 5-carboxyfuorescein (FAM) and 5-hexachloro-fuorescein (HEX) signals were collected at 60°C and the cycle threshold (Ct) values (the number of cycles in each reaction tube when the fluorescent signal reaches the set threshold) of FAM and HEX signals were reached to determine the mutation spot according to the Ct value. The Ct value of the sample >28 was considered as negative, whereas the Ct value of the sample <28 was positive. Furthermore, the TERT promoter known as C228T and C250T was also examined by using PCR followed by the direct DNA sequencing. The TERT promoter region was amplified with primer pairs according to a previous study.<sup>25</sup> The PCR products were then separated by gel electrophoresis and a targeting DNA band was sequenced using the Big Dye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and analyzed using the ABI-Prism 3500DX genetic analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

### Statistical analysis

The data for the continuous variables were summarized as mean  $\pm$  SD, while the categorical data were summarized with frequencies and percentage. We performed the  $\chi^2$ -test or independent *t*-test to compare the clinicopathological characteristics of PTC patients with tumor DNA mutations

and Pearson  $\chi^2$ -test with the Bonferroni correction or the one-way analysis of variance with least significant difference post hoc test to analyze continuous variables or categorical data in multiple comparisons, respectively. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed to calculate the odds ratios for ETE and variables. All statistical analyses were assessed using SPSS software version 18.0.0.0 for IBM computers (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and *P*-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### Results

# Characteristics of PTC patients and BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> and TERT promoter mutations

A total of 342 PTC patients were enrolled in this study, which included 99 males and 243 females with the mean age  $\pm$  SD of 42.4 $\pm$ 13.2 years (ranged between 13 and 81 years old). The mean tumor size was 14.8±9.2 mm (ranged between 2.0 and 55.0 mm), resulting in 133 patients (38.8%) being diagnosed with microcarcinoma (tumor maximum diameter ≤10 mm) according to the World Health Organization criteria.<sup>2</sup> All tumor samples from these patients were successfully genotyped for BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> and TERT promoter mutations (Figure 1). The data showed that 270 (78.9%) and 12 (3.5%) patients carried the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> and TERT promoter mutations, respectively, while 72 (21.0%) cases had no mutations for either gene. We also found that the TERT C228T mutation (10 of 12) was more prevalent than C250T mutation (2 of 12), and that these mutations were mutually exclusive. Patients carrying TERT promoter mutations also had the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation.

# Association of BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> or TERT promoter mutations with clinicopathological data from PTC patients

We then associated  $BRAF^{V600E}$  and TERT promoter mutations with clinical features from PTC patients and found that the presence of the  $BRAF^{V600E}$  mutation was associated with older patient age (p=0.018), male patients (p=0.010), and HT (p=0.002; Table 1). However, we did not observe an association with tumor size, ETE, CLNM, LLNM, No. of LNs involved and harvested, and TNM stages (Table 1). The presence of *TERT* promoter mutations were associated with older patient age (p<0.001), larger tumor size (p<0.001), ETE (p<0.001), and advanced disease stages (p<0.001), but not associated with CLNM, LLNM, LNMN >5, or No. of LNs involved and harvested (Table 1).



Figure I Illustration of polymerase chain reaction data on mutations of BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> and TERT promoters.

Notes: (A) Amplification plot of PTC with BRAF<sup>vecce</sup> mutation. (B) TERT promoter C228T mutation identified in a case of PTC. (C) TERT promoter C250T mutation identified in a case of PTC.

Abbreviation: PTC, papillary thyroid carcinoma.

Variables	BRAF mutation, n	(%)	p-value	TERT promoter mutations, n (%)		p-value	
	Wild-type, n=72	V600E mutation, n=270		Wild-type, n=330	Mutation, n=12		
Age, years (mean $\pm$ SD)	39.1±11.9	43.2±13.4	0.018	41.5±12.6	65.1±9.7	<0.001	
Sex (F/M, % male)	60/12 (16.6)	183/87 (32.2)	0.010	232/98 (29.6)	/  (8.3)	0.109	
Tumor size (mean $\pm$ SD)	4.6±9.	15.4±9.7	0.51	14.4±8.8	26.2±12.6	<0.001	
Microcarcinoma	25 (34.7)	108 (40.0)	0.51	133 (40.3)	0 (0)	0.005	
Multifocality	14 (19.4)	83 (30.7)	0.059	92 (27.8)	5 (41.6)	0.29	
HT	22 (30.5)	40 (14.8)	0.002	61 (18.4)	I (8.3)	0.37	
ETE	19 (26.3)	83 (30.7)	0.47	92 (27.8)	10 (83.3)	<0.001	
CLNM	50 (69.4)	175 (64.8)	0.46	215 (65.1)	10 (83.3)	0.19	
LLNM <sup>ª</sup>	40 (59.7)	121 (47.4)	0.074	152 (49.0)	9 (75.0)	0.078	
LNMN >5	27 (55.2)	85 (33.3)	0.33	106 (31.8)	6 (50.0)	0.19	
No. of LNs harvested	34.9±15.6	36.3±18.5	0.577	35.7±17.7	44.3±24.1	0.104	
No. of LNs involved	6.2±8.4	4.9±6.2	0.130	5.1±6.7	6.6±7.4	0.447	
TNM stage <sup>b</sup>							
1	67	232		296	3		
II	6	26		28	4		
111	0	7		4	3		
IV	0	5	0.069	2	3	<0.001	

Table I Association of BRAFV600E or the TERT promoter mutations with clinicopathological characteristics of PTC patients

Notes: <sup>a</sup>The percentage is only calculated in patients with lateral neck dissection; <sup>b</sup>calculations for stage (I + II) vs (III + IV).

Abbreviations: CLNM, central lymph node metastasis; ETE, extrathyroidal extension; HT, Hashimoto thyroiditis; LLNM, lateral lymph node metastasis; LNMN, numbers of lymph node metastases >5; LN, lymph nodes; PTC, papillary thyroid carcinoma; TNM, tumor/node/metastasis.

Characteristics	BRAF-/TERT- (I)	BRAF+/TERT- (2)	BRAF <sup>+</sup> /TERT <sup>+</sup> (3)	p-value	p-value	p-value	
	n=72	n=258	n=12	l vs 2	l vs 3	2 vs 3	
Age, years (mean $\pm$ SD)	39.1±11.9	42.2±12.7	65.0±9.7	0.060 <sup>b</sup>	<0.001 <sup>b</sup>	<0.001b	
Sex (F/M, % male)	60/12 (16.6)	172/86 (33.3)	1/11 (8.3)	0.006°	0.460°	0.070 <sup>c</sup>	
Tumor size (mean $\pm$ SD)	15.4±9.7	14.4±8.6	26.2±12.6	0.26 <sup>b</sup>	<0.001b	<0.001b	
Multifocality	14 (19.4)	78 (30.2)	5 (41.6)	0.076°	0.088 <sup>c</sup>	0.40°	
HT	22 (30.5)	39 (15.1)	l (8.3)	0.003°	0.11°	0.51°	
ETE	19 (26.3)	73 (28.2)	10 (83.3)	0.75°	<0.001°	<0.001°	
CLNM	50 (69.4)	165 (63.9)	10 (83.3)	0.38 <sup>c</sup>	0.32°	0.16°	
LLNM <sup>a</sup>	40 (59.7)	112 (46.1)	9 (75.0)	0.068°	0.20 <sup>c</sup>	0.031°	
LNMN >5	27 (37.5)	79 (30.6)	6 (50.0)	0.26 <sup>c</sup>	0.41°	0.15°	
No. of LNs harvested	34.9±15.6	35.9±18.2	44.3±24.1	0.688 <sup>♭</sup>	0.096 <sup>b</sup>	0.115	
No. of LNs involved	6.2±8.4	4.8±6.2	6.6±7.4	0.11 <sup>b</sup>	0.854 <sup>b</sup>	0.36 <sup>b</sup>	
TNM stage <sup>d</sup>							
I	27	230	2				
Ш	6	22	4				
III	0	4	3				
IV	0	2	3	0.19°	<0.001°	<0.001°	

Table 2 Association of BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>/TERT promoter mutations with clinical characteristics of PTC patients

**Notes:** <sup>a</sup>The percentage is only calculated in patients with lateral neck dissection; <sup>b</sup>the one-way analysis of variance test was performed followed by post hoc least significant difference for multiple comparisons; <sup>c</sup>Pearson  $\chi^2$ -test with Bonferroni correction; the Bonferroni correction compensates for that increase by testing each individual hypothesis at a significance level of a\m, where a is the desired overall alpha level and m is the number of hypotheses. In this table, the trial is testing m=3 hypotheses with a desired a=0.05, then the Bonferroni correction would test each individual hypothesis at a=0.05/3=0.16. <sup>d</sup>calculations for stage (I + II) vs (III + IV).

Abbreviations: CLNM, central lymph node metastasis; ETE, extrathyroidal extension; HT, Hashimoto thyroiditis; LLNM, lateral lymph node metastasis; LNMN, numbers of lymph node metastases >5; LNs, lymph nodes; PTC, papillary thyroid carcinoma; TNM, tumor/node/metastasis.

# Association of both $BRAF^{V600E}$ and TERT promoter mutations with clinical data from PTC patients

Since all *TERT* mutation-positive patients also had the *BRAF* mutation, we divided the 342 PTC patients into

3 groups:  $BRAF/TERT^-$  (no any BRAF or TERT promoter mutations),  $BRAF^+/TERT^-$  (positive BRAF mutation, but negative for TERT promoter mutations), and  $BRAF^+/TERT^+$ (positive for both BRAF and TERT promoter mutations). As shown in Table 2, male patients without HT were more common in the  $BRAF^+/TERT^-$  group compared with the  $BRAF^-/TERT^-$  group, while the coexistence of  $BRAF^{\vee 600E}$  and TERT promoter mutations were associated with older patient age (p<0.001), larger tumor size (p<0.001), ETE (p<0.001), and advanced disease stage (p<0.001), compared with the other 2 groups. However, no statistically significant difference was found in lymph node metastasis among the 3 groups, including CLNM, LLNM, LNMN >5, or No. of LNs involved and harvested. Interestingly, we found that the  $BRAF^+/TERT^+$  group had a significant association with LLNM (p=0.031) compared with the  $BRAF^+/TERT^-$  group, although this factor was nonsignificant in the corrected analyses for multiple comparisons.

# Association between ETE and clinicopathological characteristics and BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>/TERT promoter mutations in PTC

As shown in Table 3, our univariate analyses showed that the presence of ETE was significantly associated with older age, larger tumor size, multifocality, and  $BRAF^+/TERT^+$ , while our multivariate analysis revealed that older age (odds ratio [OR], 2.194; 95% CI: 1.117–4.311; p=0.023), larger tumor size (OR, 4.100; 95% CI: 2.257–7.450; p<0.001), and multiplicity (OR, 2.240; 95% CI: 1.309–3.831; p=0.003) were all independent predictors for high prevalence of ETE. Compared with  $BRAF^-/TERT^-$  group, the patients harboring both BRAF and TERT promoter mutations tended to have a higher ETE risk, although it was not statistically significant (p=0.069).

# Association of $BRAF^{V600E}/TERT$ promoter mutations with clinical data from papillary thyroid microcarcinoma (PTMC)

As shown in Table 4, there were 108 of 133 (81.2%) cases of PTMC carrying the  $BRAF^{V600E}$  mutation, corresponding to

**Table 4** Association of the  $BRAF^{V600E}$  mutation with clinicalcharacteristics of patients with papillary thyroid microcarcinoma

Characteristics	BRAF status	p-value		
	Wild-type, n=25	V600E mutation, n=108	_	
Age, years (mean $\pm$ SD)	42.2±10.5	42.0±10.9	0.92	
Sex (F/M)	21/4	75/33	0.14	
Tumor size (mean $\pm$ SD)	7.9±1.3	7.7±1.7	0.69	
Multifocality	3 (12.0)	27 (25.0)	0.18	
НТ	7 (28.0)	10 (9.2)	0.01	
ETE	3 (12.0)	14 (12.9)	0.89	
CLNM	15 (60.0)	58 (53.7)	0.56	
LLNMª	8 (38.1)	30 (31.9)	0.67	
LNMN >5	4 (16.0)	17 (15.7)	0.97	
No. of LNs harvested	30.5±17.9	30.2±15.8	0.58	
No. of LNs involved	2.7±3.1	3.3±5.4	0.94	
TNM stage <sup>b</sup>				
I	24	97		
II	I	8		
III	0	2		
IV	0	1	0.39	

Notes: <sup>a</sup>The percentage is only calculated in patients with lateral neck dissection; <sup>b</sup>calculations for stage (I + II) vs (III + IV).

**Abbreviations:** CLNM, central lymph node metastasis; ETE, extrathyroidal extension; HT, Hashimoto thyroiditis; LLNM, lateral lymph node metastasis; LNMN, numbers of lymph node metastases >5; LN, lymph nodes; TNM, tumor/ node/metastasis.

78.9 % of the overall cohort. There was no statistical association with any clinicopathological characteristics except HT. Similarly, in contrast to patients without HT, the prevalence of the *BRAF* mutation in PTMC was significantly lower in patients with HT.

#### Discussion

In the current study, we confirmed that the  $BRAF^{V600E}$  mutation was associated with older age male PTC patients. Furthermore, the coexistence of BRAF and TERT promoter mutations were significantly associated with high-risk clinicopathological characteristics from PTC patients. In

Table 3	<b>B</b> Univariate and	l multivariate an	alvsis of th	e clinical	characteristics and	gene mutation	s that could	t be associated	with ETE
i abic i		i manufatiate and	1,515 01 01	e ennieai	characteristics and	a gene matation.	s chat could	a be associated	

Characteristics	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		
	OR (95% CI) for ETE	p-value	OR (95% CI) for ETE	p-value	
Gender (female vs male)	0.840 (0.500–1.412)	0.510	NA	NA	
Age (≥55 vs <55 years)	3.008 (1.678–5.391)	<0.001	2.194 (1.117–4.311)	0.023	
Tumor size (>I vs ≤I cm)	4.762 (2.667-8.474)	<0.001	4.100 (2.257–7.450)	<0.001	
Multifocality (yes vs no)	2.510 (1.528-4.123)	<0.001	2.240 (1.309–3.831)	0.003	
HT (yes vs no)	1.150 (0.636–2.077)	0.643	NA	NA	
BRAF+/TERT <sup>-a</sup>	1.101 (0.610–1.986)	0.750	NA	NA	
BRAF+/TERT+a	13.947 (2.799–69.504)	<0.001	4.831 (0.886–26.332)	0.069	

**Note:** <sup>a</sup>Comparisons were performed between the BRAF<sup>-</sup>/TERT<sup>-</sup> group and each of other two groups (BRAF<sup>+</sup>/TERT<sup>-</sup>, BRAF<sup>+</sup>/TERT<sup>-</sup>). **Abbreviations:** ETE, extrathyroidal extension; HT, Hashimoto thyroiditis; OR, odds ratio; NA, not available. particular, the prevalence of the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation occurred in 78.9% of the 345 patients, which was relatively higher than that of patients from Western countries, but similar to that of Asian patients, including Japanese, Korean, and Chinese.<sup>8,22,26,27</sup> Our data further showed that the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation was not associated with other aggressive clinicopathological features. To the best of our knowledge, several previous studies suggested that BRAF mutations were associated with certain clinicopathological features, such as ETE,<sup>28,29</sup> older age,<sup>25</sup> and tumor size,<sup>26</sup> whereas other studies have shown that presence of the BRAF mutation was not associated with any clinicopathological features.<sup>17,18,30</sup> These inconsistent data indicate that additional research on the BRAF mutation in combination with other gene mutations could be needed to predict aggressive PTC phenotypes. For example, the finding of intra-tumor heterogeneity of the BRAF mutation and percentage of mutant BRAF alleles in PTC might partially explain this inconsistency.<sup>31</sup> In our current study, we found that the presence of the BRAF mutation was highly associated with a low rate of the concurrent HT, which is consistent with previous studies.<sup>32,33</sup> The concurrent HT could antagonize PTC progression.34,35 Kim et al also showed HT as an independent predictor for less aggressive BRAF-negative and BRAF-positive PTCs,<sup>36</sup> although the underlying mechanism remains to be elucidated.

Furthermore, our current study showed that the overall prevalence of TERT promoter mutations was 3.5% in these PTC patients, which was lower than the average range between 4.2% and 25%.37 Two previous Chinese and Korean studies showed a remarkably lower mutation rate of the TERT promoter than that of studies from Western countries.<sup>25,26</sup> This difference in prevalence might be due to a larger size of the PTC lesion, and the relatively smaller tumor size might result in the low frequency of the TERT promoter mutations in our current study, although exceptions do occur. For instance, Lee et al reported a noticeably higher rate (14.5%) of TERT promoter mutation in 207 Korean PTC patients.<sup>20</sup> However, the patients from that study and our current one that used the same methodology to detect the TERT promoter mutations did have similar age and tumor size, which did not support the association of the TERT promoter mutation prevalence with tumor size. One possible reason is because TERT promoter mutation rate is different in different subtypes of PTC. Specifically, the prevalence of TERT promoter mutations was highest in tall-cell PTC compared with that of conventional PTC and follicular variant PTC.<sup>23</sup> In our current study, we did not have data on the PTC variants, which could be one of the limitations to our current work. Our current data did

show that PTC patients with TERT promoter mutations were significantly associated with aggressive clinical features and advanced TNM stage, which is consistent with previous studies.<sup>15,16,18</sup> In addition, we did not detect any BRAF<sup>-/</sup> TERT<sup>+</sup> PTCs. Such a combination of gene mutations is rare in PTC patients.<sup>25,27,38</sup> Previous studies, including a number of meta-analyses, demonstrated that concurrent BRAF and TERT promoter mutations enhanced PTC aggressiveness.<sup>16,20–22,25,26,38,39</sup> Our current work also showed a similar result, which confirmed a strong incremental effect of the combined BRAF and TERT promoter mutations on promotion of PTC progression. Indeed, activation of the TERT promoter can generate the binding motifs for the E-twenty six (ETS) transcription factors, which could, in turn, activate the MAPK signaling pathway.<sup>40</sup> The MAPK pathway activated by  $BRAF^{V600E}$  was able to upregulate the ETS and led to TERT overexpression.<sup>41</sup> These studies could help us to explain why mutations of both genes had synergistic or additive effects on PTCs, although further study is needed to elucidate this.

In the current study, we performed more extensive lymph node dissection in most patients compared with previous studies to further assess whether the association of these 2 gene mutations with PTC lymph node metastasis.<sup>16,22,25,27</sup> This is because occult lymph node metastasis to the central or lateral neck compartment occurs in 42.9%-55% of cN0 PTC patients. Thus, the extent of lymph node resection is critical to discover such a metastasis.42,43 Xing et al observed that BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> and the TERT promoter mutations were both significantly associated with PTC lymph node metastasis with therapeutic CLND and LLND.<sup>16</sup> In contrast, other studies have failed to reproduce such data, although these data were used for prophylactic CLND and therapeutic LLND.<sup>22,30</sup> The most important value of our current study is that 92.0% (231 of 251) of cN0 patients received prophylactic CLND + LLND, and as many subclinical metastatic lymph nodes as possible were dissected to accurately assess the relationship between lymph node metastasis and genetic mutations. Although there was a higher incidence of lymph node metastasis in BRAF<sup>+</sup>/TERT<sup>+</sup> group, there was no association between gene mutations and lymph node metastasis. Thus, the coexistence of BRAF and TERT promoter mutations cannot be used as a useful predictor of PTC lymph node metastasis. Nevertheless, a larger sample size is needed to verify our current finding due to the low prevalence of TERT promoter mutations.

The definition of ETE refers to tumor extension beyond the thyroid capsule into the adjacent tissues, which is an important negative prognostic factor and closely associated with tumor recurrence and patients' mortality. Previous studies reported that BRAF+/TERT+ PTC patients had a higher prevalence of ETE, but none of these studies conducted multivariate analysis.16,21,25 Interestingly, our current study showed that BRAF+/TERT+ was not an independent influencing factor for ETE, and the possible reason may be because association of BRAF+/TERT+ with older age and larger tumor size weaken the influence of gene mutation on ETE in multivariate analysis. In addition, we analyzed the association of the BRAF and TERT promoter mutations with PTMCs, which was prevalent according to a previous study.44 We found that the presence of the  $BRAF^{V600E}$  mutation was not associated with any high-risk PTMC clinicopathological features. However, we did not detect any TERT promoter mutations in PTMC, which was different from the previous study in a European cohort (4.7%).<sup>45</sup> The reason for this discrepancy is unknown, but may be due to differences in ethnicity, region, and methodologies used to detect the TERT promoter mutations. The previous studies and our current one did show that mutations of these genes were not associated with any aggressive PTMC behaviors.

Our current study does have some limitations. For example, we do not have follow-up data on these patients, since all patients were recently admitted to our hospital. Moreover, our current study was unable to provide data on association of more extensive surgical approaches (e.g., prophylactic lymph node dissection) with the traditional surgical approaches (e.g., therapeutic lymph node dissection), or prognosis of patients stratified by these 2 gene mutations. In addition, due to the smaller PTMC sample size, such a conclusion may lack the credibility. There is a selection bias due to not all cN0 patients undergoing prophylactic LLND, which might neglect occult lymph node metastasis.

### Conclusion

In summary, we demonstrated the coexistence of  $BRAF^{V600E}$ and *TERT* promoter mutations were associated with PTC clinicopathological aggressiveness, but did not associate with lymph node metastasis, and were not useful to identify the aggressive PTMCs. These results provide evidence to settle the dispute over the roles of  $BRAF^{V600E}$  and *TERT* promoter mutations in lymph node metastasis of PTC and tailor the individual treatment of PTC patients.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Huili Bai and Yangli Zhang of Clinical Molecular Medicine Assessment Center, The First Affiliated Hospital, Chongqing Medical University (Chongqing, China), for technical support and Medjaden Bioscience Limited (Hong Kong, China) for editing the manuscript.

#### Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

#### References

- 1. Pellegriti G, Frasca F, Regalbuto C, Squatrito S, Vigneri R. Worldwide increasing incidence of thyroid cancer: update on epidemiology and risk factors. *J Cancer Epidemiol.* 2013;2013:965212.
- Lloyd RV OR, Klöppel G, Rosai J. WHO Classification of Tumours of Endocrine Organs. 4th ed. Lyon, France: IARC Press; 2017.
- Davies L, Welch HG. Increasing incidence of thyroid cancer in the United States, 1973–2002. JAMA. 2006;295(18):2164–2167.
- Cho SW, Choi HS, Yeom GJ, et al. Long-term prognosis of differentiated thyroid cancer with lung metastasis in Korea and its prognostic factors. *Thyroid*. 2014;24(2):277–286.
- 5. Xing M. BRAF mutation in thyroid cancer. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. 2005;12(2):245–262.
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research N. Integrated genomic characterization of papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Cell.* 2014;159(3):676–690.
- Davies H, Bignell GR, Cox C, et al. Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer. *Nature*. 2002;417(6892):949–954.
- Xing M, Alzahrani AS, Carson KA, et al. Association between BRAF V600E mutation and mortality in patients with papillary thyroid cancer. *JAMA*. 2013;309(14):1493–1501.
- Xing M. BRAF Mutation and Thyroid Cancer Recurrence. J Clin Oncol. 2015;33(22):2482–2483.
- Tufano RP, Teixeira GV, Bishop J, Carson KA, Xing M. BRAF mutation in papillary thyroid cancer and its value in tailoring initial treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine*. 2012;91(5):274–286.
- Trovisco V, Soares P, Preto A, et al. Type and prevalence of BRAF mutations are closely associated with papillary thyroid carcinoma histotype and patients' age but not with tumour aggressiveness. *Virchows Arch.* 2005;446(6):589–595.
- Fugazzola L, Puxeddu E, Avenia N, et al. Correlation between B-RAFV600E mutation and clinico-pathologic parameters in papillary thyroid carcinoma: data from a multicentric Italian study and review of the literature. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. 2006;13(2):455–464.
- Nam JK, Jung CK, Song BJ, et al. Is the BRAF(V600E) mutation useful as a predictor of preoperative risk in papillary thyroid cancer? *Am J Surg.* 2012;203(4):436–441.
- Kirkpatrick KL, Mokbel K. The significance of human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) in cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol.* 2001;27(8):754–760.
- Melo M, da Rocha AG, Vinagre J, et al. TERT promoter mutations are a major indicator of poor outcome in differentiated thyroid carcinomas. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2014;99(5):E754–E765.
- Xing M, Liu R, Liu X, et al. BRAF V600E and TERT promoter mutations cooperatively identify the most aggressive papillary thyroid cancer with highest recurrence. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32(25):2718–2726.
- George JR, Henderson YC, Williams MD, et al. Association of TERT promoter mutation, but not BRAF mutation, with increased mortality in PTC. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2015;100(12):E1550–E1559.
- Nasirden A, Saito T, Fukumura Y, et al. In Japanese patients with papillary thyroid carcinoma, TERT promoter mutation is associated with poor prognosis, in contrast to BRAF V600E mutation. *Virchows Arch.* 2016;469(6):687–696.
- Liu T, Wang N, Cao J, et al. The age- and shorter telomere-dependent TERT promoter mutation in follicular thyroid cell-derived carcinomas. *Oncogene*. 2014;33(42):4978–4984.
- Lee SE, Hwang TS, Choi YL, et al. Prognostic significance of TERT promoter mutations in papillary thyroid carcinomas in a BRAF(V600E) mutation-prevalent population. *Thyroid*. 2016;26(7):901–910.

- Liu X, Qu S, Liu R, et al. TERT promoter mutations and their association with BRAF V600E mutation and aggressive clinicopathological characteristics of thyroid cancer. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2014;99(6):E1130–E1136.
- 22. Jin L. BRAF and TERT promoter mutations in the aggressiveness of papillary thyroid carcinoma: a study of 653 patients. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(14):18346–18355.
- Liu X, Bishop J, Shan Y, et al. Highly prevalent TERT promoter mutations in aggressive thyroid cancers. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. 2013;20(4):603–610.
- Amin MB ES, Greene FL, Byrd DR, Brookland RK, Washington MK. *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual.* 8th ed. New York: Springer International; 2017.
- Asmann YW, Sun J, Zhang J, et al. BRAF V600E and TERT promoter mutations in papillary thyroid carcinoma in Chinese patients. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(4):e0153319.
- 26. Song YS, Lim JA, Choi H, et al. Prognostic effects of TERT promoter mutations are enhanced by coexistence with BRAF or RAS mutations and strengthen the risk prediction by the ATA or TNM staging system in differentiated thyroid cancer patients. *Cancer*: 2016;122(9):1370–1379.
- Matsuse M, Yabuta T, Saenko V, et al. TERT promoter mutations and Ki-67 labeling index as a prognostic marker of papillary thyroid carcinomas: combination of two independent factors. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7:41752.
- Hong AR, Lim JA, Kim TH, et al. The frequency and clinical implications of the BRAF(V600E) mutation in papillary thyroid cancer patients in Korea over the past two decades. *Endocrinol Metab (Seoul)*. 2014;29(4):505–513.
- Liu S, Zhang B, Zhao Y, et al. Association of BRAFV600E mutation with clinicopathological features of papillary thyroid carcinoma: a study on a Chinese population. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol.* 2014;7(10):6922–6928.
- Ito Y, Yoshida H, Maruo R, et al. BRAF mutation in papillary thyroid carcinoma in a Japanese population: its lack of correlation with highrisk clinicopathological features and disease-free survival of patients. *Endocr J.* 2009;56(1):89–97.
- Guerra A, Fugazzola L, Marotta V, et al. A high percentage of BRAFV600E alleles in papillary thyroid carcinoma predicts a poorer outcome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2012;97(7):2333–2340.
- Zeng RC, Jin LP, Chen ED, et al. Potential relationship between Hashimoto's thyroiditis and BRAF(V600E) mutation status in papillary thyroid cancer. *Head Eck.* 2016;38(Suppl 1):E1019–E1025.

- Kim SJ, Myong JP, Jee HG, et al. Combined effect of Hashimoto's thyroiditis and BRAF(V600E) mutation status on aggressiveness in papillary thyroid cancer. *Head Neck*. 2016;38(1):95–101.
- 34. Kim SK, Song KH, Lim SD, et al. Clinical and pathological features and the BRAF(V600E) mutation in patients with papillary thyroid carcinoma with and without concurrent Hashimoto thyroiditis. *Thyroid.* 2009;19(2):137–141.
- Marotta V, Guerra A, Zatelli MC, et al. BRAF mutation positive papillary thyroid carcinoma is less advanced when Hashimoto's thyroiditis lymphocytic infiltration is present. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2013;79(5):733–738.
- Kim SK, Woo JW, Lee JH, et al. Chronic lymphocytic thyroiditis and BRAF V600E in papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. 2016;23(1):27–34.
- Song YS, Lim JA, Park YJ. Mutation profile of well-differentiated thyroid cancer in Asians. *Endocrinol Metab (Seoul)*. 2015;30(3):252–262.
- Vuong HG, Altibi AMA, Duong UNP, Hassell L. Prognostic implication of BRAF and TERT promoter mutation combination in papillary thyroid carcinoma-A meta-analysis. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2017;87(5):411–417.
- Moon S, Song YS, Kim YA, et al. Effects of coexistent BRAFV600E and TERT promoter mutations on poor clinical outcomes in papillary thyroid cancer: a meta-analysis. *Thyroid*. 2017;27(5):651–660.
- Horn S, Figl A, Rachakonda PS, et al. TERT promoter mutations in familial and sporadic melanoma. *Science*. 2013;339(6122):959–961.
- 41. Vinagre J, Almeida A, Populo H, et al. Frequency of TERT promoter mutations in human cancers. *Nature commun.* 2013;4:2185.
- Roh JL, Kim JM, Park CI. Central lymph node metastasis of unilateral papillary thyroid carcinoma: patterns and factors predictive of nodal metastasis, morbidity, and recurrence. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2011;18(8):2245–2250.
- Lim YS, Lee JC, Lee YS, et al. Lateral cervical lymph node metastases from papillary thyroid carcinoma: predictive factors of nodal metastasis. *Surgery*. 2011;150(1):116–121.
- Yoon JH, Lee HS, Kim EK, et al. Short-term follow-up US leads to higher false-positive results without detection of structural recurrences in PTMC. *Medicine*. 2016;95(1):e2435.
- de Biase D, Gandolfi G, Ragazzi M, et al. TERT promoter mutations in papillary thyroid microcarcinomas. *Thyroid*. 2015;25(9):1013–1019.

#### **Cancer Management and Research**

Publish your work in this journal

Cancer Management and Research is an international, peer-reviewed open access journal focusing on cancer research and the optimal use of preventative and integrated treatment interventions to achieve improved outcomes, enhanced survival and quality of life for the cancer patient. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from

published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/cancer-management-and-research-journal

**Dove**press