ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Differentiation Between Dementia With Lewy Bodies And Alzheimer's Disease Using Voxel-Based Morphometry Of Structural MRI: A Multicenter Study

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment

Hiroshi Matsuda, ¹⁰ Kota Yokoyama,² Noriko Sato,² Kengo Ito,³ Kiyotaka Nemoto, ¹⁰ ⁴ Hiroshi Oba,⁵ Haruo Hanyu,⁶ Hidekazu Kanetaka,⁶ Sunao Mizumura,⁷ Shin Kitamura,⁸ Hitoshi Shinotoh,⁹ Hitoshi Shimada,⁹ Tetsuya Suhara,⁹ Hitoshi Terada,¹⁰ Tomoya Nakatsuka,¹⁰ Shinobu Kawakatsu, ¹⁰ Hiroshi Hayashi, ¹⁰ ¹² Takashi Asada,¹³ Tetsutaro Ono,¹⁴ Tomoaki Goto,¹⁴ Keiko Shigemori¹⁴

¹Integrative Brain Imaging Center, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, Kodaira, Tokyo, Japan; ²Department of Radiology, National Center Hospital of Neurology and Psychiatry, Kodaira, Tokyo, Japan; ³Innovation Center for Clinical Research, National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology, Obu, Japan; ⁴Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tsukuba Hospital, Tsukuba, Japan; ⁵Department of Radiology, Teikyo University Hospital, Itabashi-ku, Tokyo, Japan; ⁶Department of Geriatric Medicine, Tokyo Medical University Hospital, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, Japan; ⁷Department of Radiology, Toho University Omori Medical Center, Oota-ku, Tokyo, Japan; ⁸Department of Internal Medicine, Nippon Medical School Musashi Kosugi Hospital, Kawasaki, Japan; ⁹Department of Functional Brain Imaging Research, Clinical Research Cluster, National Institute of Radiological Sciences, National Institutes for Quantum and Radiological Science and Technology, Chiba, Japan; ¹⁰Department of Radiology, Toho University Sakura Medical Center, Sakura, Japan; ¹¹Department of Neuropsychiatry, Aizu Medical Center, Fukushima Medical University, Aizuwakamatsu, Japan; ¹²Department of Psychiatry, Yamagata University School of Medicine, Yamagata, Japan; ¹³Section of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. Tokyo Medical and Dental University Graduate School, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo Japan; ¹⁴2nd Group, 2nd Planning Department, 1st Integrated Communication Division, Communication and Information Center Information Innovation Operations, Dai Nippon Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Correspondence: Hiroshi Matsuda Integrative Brain Imaging Center, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, 4-1-1, Ogawahigashi, Kodaira, Tokyo 187-8551, Japan Tel +81 42 341 2711 Email matsudah@ncnp.go.jp



Background: The differential diagnosis of dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) and Alzheimer's disease (AD) is particularly important because DLB patients respond better to cholinesterase inhibitors but sometimes exhibit sensitivity to neuroleptics, which may cause worsening of clinical status. Antemortem voxel-based morphometry (VBM) using structural MRI has previously revealed that patients with DLB have normal hippocampal volume, but atrophy in the dorsal mesopontine area.

Objectives: The aim of this multicenter study was to determine whether VBM of the brain stem in addition to that of medial temporal lobe structures improves the differential diagnosis of AD and DLB.

Methods: We retrospectively chose 624 patients who were clinically diagnosed with either DLB (239 patients) or AD (385 patients) from 10 institutes using different MR scanners with different magnetic field strengths. In all cases, VBM was performed on 3D T1-weighted images. The degree of local atrophy was calculated using Z-score by comparison with a database of normal volumes of interest (VOIs) in medial temporal lobe (MTL) and the dorsal brain stem (DBS). The discrimination of DLB and AD was evaluated using Z-score values in these two VOIs. MRI data from 414 patients were used as the training data set to determine the classification criteria, with the MRI data from the remaining 210 patients used as the test data set.

Results: The DLB and AD patients did not differ with respect to mean age or Mini-Mental State Examination scores. Z-index scores showed that there was significantly more atrophy in MTL of AD patients, compared to DLB patients and in DBS of DLB patients, compared to AD patients. The discrimination accuracies of VBM were 63.3% in the test data set and 73.4% in the training data set.

Conclusion: VBM of DBS in addition to that of MTL improves the differentiation of DLB and AD.

Keywords: dementia with Lewy bodies, Alzheimer's disease, MRI, voxel-based morphometry

Introduction

Dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) is one of the main etiologies of neurodegenerative dementia after Alzheimer's disease (AD).¹ Distinguishing DLB from AD is difficult because of their overlapping clinical and pathological features.^{2–4} Patients with clinically defined DLB may also have AD-type pathological changes as well as the characteristic Lewy bodies. However, the differential diagnosis is particularly important because DLB respond better to cholinesterase inhibitors but are sensitive to neuroleptics, which cause worsening of clinical status.

© 2019 Matsuda et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms. work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission form Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial uses of this work, please see paragraphs A2 and 5 of our Terms (https://tww.dovepress.com/terms.php).

Volumetric MRI has been extensively used to characterize the patterns of cerebral atrophy in AD, demonstrating involvement of the medial temporal lobe (MTL) and temporoparietal association cortices.⁵ However, less is known about the patterns of atrophy in DLB. Although voxel-based morphometry (VBM) explores the local volume loss of gray and white matter throughout the whole brain, there has been considerable variation in terms of volume changes among the published studies, probably due to small and heterogeneous samples of participants. Compared with age-matched normal controls, gray matter volume loss has been observed in the cerebral cortex,^{6–11} such as the frontal, parietal, occipital, temporal, and insula, and in the subcortical structures,^{12,13} such as the substantia innominata and midbrain. White matter volume loss has been observed in subcortical areas and the brain stem.^{11,14,15}

In patients with pathologically confirmed DLB,¹⁶ antemortem VBM studies revealed gray matter volume loss in the MTL that was much less extensive than the changes seen in patients with AD, and also revealed gray matter volume loss in the dorsal mesopontine area in patients with low- to intermediate- to high-likelihood DLB according to the third report of the DLB Consortium. Patients with highlikelihood DLB typically have normal hippocampal volumes but have atrophy in the dorsal mesopontine gray matter nuclei. This atrophy in the dorsal mesopontine area was also observed in our previous VBM study,¹⁵ but in the white matter not in the gray matter.

In the fourth report of the DLB Consortium,¹⁷ "relative preservation of medial temporal lobe structures on CT/MRI scan" is listed as one of the supportive biomarkers of DLB. This preservation of MTL structures has been consistently observed in most VBM studies of DLB.^{16,18–21} The aim of the present multicenter study was to determine whether additional VBM of the brain stem to MTL structures improves the differential diagnosis of AD and DLB.

Methods

Patients

This retrospective study used data obtained at 10 institutes from 624 patients who were clinically diagnosed with DLB (239 patients) or AD (385 patients) based on the criteria proposed in the third Consortium on DLB International Workshop¹ and the National Institute on Aging and Alzheimer's Association (NIA-AA) diagnostic criteria,²² respectively. The demographics of the study population are shown in Table 1. All patients underwent three-dimensional T1-weighted MRI using 1.5-T or 3.0-T MRI scanners. MRI data from 414 patients obtained at institutes in Tokyo (Shinjuku, Sakura, and Yamagata) and from 210 patients obtained at 7 other institutes were used as training and test data sets, respectively.

All procedures were performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Approval covering the whole study was obtained at ethical committee of National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry (A2015-075). Then, this retrospective study was approved by the ethical committees at other institutes (Supplementary data). The need for informed patient consent was waived because of the retrospective design using secured confidentiality of patient data from daily medical practice.

Voxel-Based Morphometry

We performed VBM on MRI data using the original standalone software program running on Windows, Voxel-based Specific Analysis System for Alzheimer's Disease (VSRAD®).²³ First, MRI data were anatomically standardized with only 12-parameter affine transformation to the statistical parametric mapping template to correct for differences in brain size. Then, MRI data were segmented into gray matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid images using the unified tissue segmentation procedure after image intensity nonuniformity correction. These linearly transformed and segmented images were nonlinearly transformed by diffeomorphic anatomical registration using exponentiated Lie algebra (DARTEL) procedures and then modulated to the customized template for DARTEL followed by smoothing using an 8-mm Gaussian kernel. Each processed segmented image was compared with the mean and standard deviation of gray matter or white matter images segmented from 1.5-T structural MRI of 80 healthy volunteers using voxel-byvoxel Z-score analysis with voxel normalization to global mean intensities (global normalization): Z-score =([control mean]-[individual value])/(control standard deviation). These Z-score maps were displayed by overlay on tomographic sections and surface rendering of the standardized brain. We registered target volumes of interest (VOIs) in the MTL and dorsal brain stem (DBS) as specifically atrophied areas in AD (Figure 1A) and DLB (Figure 1B), respectively, according to our previous studies.^{15,23}

ars) MMSE Men Women Age (Years) 20.6±6.0 8 19 78.8±5.3 21.6±3.8 6 19 78.8±5.3 21.6±3.8 6 19 78.8±5.3 21.6±3.8 6 19 78.8±5.3 21.6±3.8 6 19 78.8±5.3 17.7±5.2 43 167 80.5±5.4 18.2±5.7 9 15 75.3±7.8 20.1±7.1 12 17 79.1±6.6 20.1±7.1 12 73.0±8.1 73.0±8.1 20.6±6.3 2 1 83.3±4.5 20.6±6.3 8 21 83.3±4.5 20.0±5.3 8 21 77.8±7.7 20.0±5.3 8 21 77.8±7.7 20.0±5.3 8 22.6±1.9 4 22.6±1.9 9 286 79.3±6.5 19.8±5.4 99 286 79.3±6.5	Center	Number Of Patients	DLB				AD				MR Scanner
(Shinjuku)60151878.5±5.820.6±6.081978.8±5.3a 84 30 29 75.9 ± 6.5 21.6 ± 3.8 619 80.4 ± 3.8 ata 270 24 30 29 75.9 ± 6.5 21.6 ± 3.8 619 80.5 ± 5.4 ata 270 24 36 81.5 ± 6.4 17.7 ± 5.2 43 167 80.5 ± 5.4 $(Kodaira)$ 51 11 16 76.4 ± 6.6 18.2 ± 5.7 9 15 75.3 ± 7.8 45 7 9 76.4 ± 6.6 18.2 ± 5.7 9 15 75.3 ± 7.8 $2a$ 7 9 76.4 ± 6.6 18.2 ± 5.7 9 15 75.3 ± 7.8 51 7 9 76.4 ± 6.6 18.2 ± 5.7 9 15 75.3 ± 7.8 $2a$ 7 9 76.2 ± 4.6 18.2 ± 5.7 9 15 77.3 ± 7.8 51 7 3 0 76.0 ± 8.9 24.0 ± 1.7 1 3 73.0 ± 8.1 $(tabashi)$ 8 2 7 3 78.2 ± 4.6 20.6 ± 6.3 2 17 77.8 ± 7.7 51 27 4 1 7 75.2 ± 7.3 20.0 ± 5.3 8 21 77.8 ± 7.7 51 27 4 1 77.8 ± 7.4 20.1 ± 5.7 21 8 21 77.8 ± 7.7 51 27 4 1 77.8 ± 7.4 $20.2\pm5.4\pm1.9$ 6 12 69.9 ± 24.4 51 27 4 1			Men	Women	Age (Years)	MMSE	Men	Women	Age (Years)	MMSE	
84302975,9±6.521,6±3.861980,4±3.8ata2702436 $81,5\pm6.4$ $17,7\pm5.2$ 43 167 80.5 ± 5.4 (Kodaira)511116 $76,4\pm6.6$ $18,2\pm5.7$ 9 15 $75,3\pm7.8$ 45 7978,2\pm7.420,1\pm7.112 17 79,1\pm6.6 $2a$ 7978,2\pm7.420,1\pm7.112 17 79,1\pm6.6 $2a$ 7978,2\pm7.420,1\pm7.112 17 79,1\pm6.6 a 73076,0\pm8.924,0±1.71373,0±8.1 a 73076,0±8.924,0±1.71373,0±8.1 a 73075,5±7.320,0±5.382177,8±7.7 a 5171577,5±7.320,0±5.382177,8±7.7 a 274173,0±6.222,6±1.9412 $69,9\pm9.4$ a 10713278,0±6.7199,2±6.49928679,3±6.5	Tokyo (Shinjuku)	60	15	18	78.5±5.8	20.6±6.0	8	19	78.8±5.3	21.3±3.8	Siemens Avanto 1.5T
ata 270 24 36 81.5 ± 6.4 17.7 ± 5.2 43 167 80.5 ± 5.4 $(Kodaira)$ 51 11 16 76.4 ± 6.6 18.2 ± 5.7 9 15 75.3 ± 7.8 45 7 9 78.2 ± 7.4 20.1 ± 7.1 12 17 79.1 ± 6.6 $2a$ 7 9 78.2 ± 7.4 20.1 ± 7.1 12 17 79.1 ± 6.6 $2a$ 7 3 0 76.0 ± 8.9 24.0 ± 1.7 1 3 73.0 ± 8.1 a 7 3 0 76.0 ± 8.9 24.0 ± 1.7 1 3 73.0 ± 8.1 a 7 3 0 76.0 ± 8.9 24.0 ± 1.7 1 3 73.0 ± 8.1 a 7 3 0 76.2 ± 8.4 20.0 ± 5.3 8 21 77.8 ± 7.7 a 27 4 5 75.8 ± 4.6 20.8 ± 5.4 6 12 81.8 ± 7.3 a 27 4 5 75.8 ± 4.6 20.8 ± 5.4 6 12 81.8 ± 7.3 a 21 4 1 73.0 ± 6.2 22.6 ± 1.9 4 12 69.9 ± 9.4 a 107 132 78.0 ± 6.7 199 286 79.3 ± 6.5	Sakura	84	30	29	75.9±6.5	21.6±3.8	6	61	80.4±3.8	I 9.2±3.4	Philips Gyroscan 1.5T
(Kodaira) 51 11 16 76,4±6.6 18.2±5.7 9 15 75,3±7.8 45 7 9 78,2±7.4 20.1±7.1 12 17 79.1±6.6 5a 7 9 78,2±7.4 20.1±7.1 12 17 79.1±6.6 5a 7 3 0 76,0±8.9 24,0±1.7 1 3 73.0±8.1 (tabashi) 8 2 3 0 76,0±8.9 24,0±1.7 1 3 73.0±8.1 (tabashi) 8 2 3 0 76,2±4.0 20.6±6.3 2 1 83.3±4.5 (Cota) 51 7 15 77.5±7.3 20.0±5.3 8 21 77.8±7.7 aki 27 4 1 73.0±6.2 22.6±1.9 4 12 69.9±9.4 21 132 78.0±6.7 198±5.4 99 286 79.3±6.5	Yamagata	270	24	36	81.5±6.4	17.7±5.2	43	167	80.5±5.4	I8.I±4.7	Siemens Symphony 1.5T
45 7 9 78.2±7.4 201.1±7.1 12 17 79.1±6.6 7 3 0 76.0±89 24.0±1.7 1 3 73.0±8.1 (tabashi) 8 2 3 0 76.0±89 24.0±1.7 1 3 73.0±8.1 (tabashi) 8 2 3 78.2±4.0 20.6±6.3 2 1 83.3±4.5 (Oota) 51 7 15 77.5±7.3 20.0±5.3 8 21 77.8±7.7 aki 27 4 5 75.8±4.6 20.8±5.4 6 12 81.8±7.3 aki 21 73.0±6.2 22.6±1.9 4 12 69.9±9.4 624 107 132 78.0±6.7 199 286 79.3±6.5	Tokyo (Kodaira)	51	=	16	76.4±6.6	18.2±5.7	6	15	75.3±7.8	22.0±3.8	Philips Achieva 3.0T, Siemens Verio 3.0T
Data 7 3 0 76,0±89 24,0±1.7 1 3 73,0±8.1 (tabashi) 8 2 3 78,2±4.0 20.6±6.3 2 1 83.3±4.5 (tabashi) 8 2 3 78,2±4.0 20.6±6.3 2 1 83.3±4.5 (Oota) 51 7 15 77.5±7.3 20.0±5.3 8 21 77.8±7.7 aki 27 4 5 75.8±4.6 20.8±5.4 6 12 81.8±7.3 aki 21 4 1 73.0±6.2 22.6±1.9 4 12 69.9±9.4 624 107 132 78.0±6.7 198±5.4 99 286 79.3±6.5	Obu	45	7	6	78.2±7.4	20.I±7.I	12	17	79.I±6.6	I 7.9±5.4	Philips Ingenia 1.5T
(Itabashi) 8 2 3 78.244.0 20.6±6.3 2 1 83.3±4.5 (Oota) 51 7 15 77.5±7.3 20.0±5.3 8 21 77.8±7.7 aki 27 4 5 75.8±4.6 20.8±5.4 6 12 81.8±7.3 aki 27 4 5 75.8±4.6 20.8±5.4 6 12 81.8±7.3 21 27 4 1 73.0±6.2 22.6±1.9 4 12 69.9±9.4 624 107 132 78.0±6.7 19.8±5.4 99 286 79.3±6.5	Tsukuba	7	ĸ	0	76.0±8.9	24.0±1.7	_	e	73.0±8.1	I 3.5±6.0	Siemens Avanto 1.5T
(Oota) 51 7 15 77.5±7.3 20.0±5.3 8 21 aki 27 4 5 75.8±4.6 20.8±5.4 6 12 21 4 1 73.0±6.2 22.6±1.9 4 12 21 4 1 73.0±6.2 22.6±1.9 4 12 624 107 132 78.0±6.7 19.8±5.4 99 286	Tokyo (Itabashi)	8	2	e	78.2±4.0	20.6±6.3	2	_	83.3±4.5	23.0±1.7	GE Signa HDxt 3.0T, GE Genesis Signa 1.5T
aki 27 4 5 75.8±4.6 20.8±5.4 6 12 21 4 1 73.0±6.2 22.6±1.9 4 12 624 107 132 78.0±6.7 19.8±5.4 99 286	Tokyo (Oota)	51	7	15	77.5±7.3	20.0±5.3	80	21	77.8±7.7	19.2±5.0	TOSHIBA Excelart Vantage 1.5T
21 4 1 73.0±6.2 22.6±1.9 4 12 624 107 132 78.0±6.7 19.8±5.4 99 286	Kawasaki	27	4	5	75.8±4.6	20.8±5.4	9	12	81.8±7.3	I 8.6±5.2	Philips Gyroscan Intera 1.5T
624 107 132 780±6.7 19.8±5.4 99 286	Chiba	21	4	_	73.0±6.2	22.6±1.9	4	12	69.9±9.4	18.2±5.7	Philips Gyroscan Intera 1.5T
	Total	624	107	132	78.0±6.7	I 9.8±5.4	66	286	79.3±6.5	I8.7±4.8	

The following five indices for characterizing atrophy in the target VOIs were used:

MTL_Z: Severity of gray matter atrophy obtained from the averaged positive Z-score in the MTL VOI.

DBS_Z_gray: Severity of gray matter atrophy obtained from the averaged positive Z-score in the DBS VOI.

DBS_Z_white: Severity of white matter atrophy obtained from the averaged positive Z-score in the DBS VOI.

DBS_Z_gray_ratio: Ratio of DBS_Z_gray to MTL_Z. DBS_Z_white_ratio: Ratio of DBS_Z_white to MTL_Z.

Discrimination Of AD And DLB Using VSRAD

For both single and multiple use of the above five indices for the target VOIs of VSRAD, the training data set was utilized to determine the classification criteria for DLB and AD. Then, the discrimination performance of DLB and AD was evaluated in both the training and test data sets.

For single indices, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was used to define the diagnostic accuracy for DLB when the cutoff was taken as the point at which [sensitivity +specificity] was maximal. JMP software (ver. 7.0.1; SAS Institute Inc., NC, USA) was used for ROC analysis.

For multiple indices, DLB and AD were classified using a decision tree by machine learning when all five indices were entered as input. A classification and regression tree was adopted as the algorithm of the decision tree. We used the rpart (ver. 4.1–10) software package of R software (ver. 3.3.2; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Gini indices were used for the optimization function of the decision tree. In order to prevent excessive overfitting in which the tree structure becomes too complicated, branches were pruned by a method based on the prediction error of the 1-standard error rule.²⁴

Association Of VBM Results And Core Clinical Features In DLB

The association of the five indices for characterizing atrophy in the target VOIs with core clinical features – fluctuating cognition, visual hallucination, Parkinsonism, and rapid eye movement (REM) sleep behavior disorder – was investigated in 120 DLB patients of eight institutes other than Sakura and Yamagata.

Results

There were no significant differences in age and Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) scores between the

Table I Subjects Data



Figure I VOIs in the VSRAD® software program. (A) VOI in medial temporal lobe structures. (B) VOI in the dorsal brain stem.

DLB patients and AD patients (Table 1). A comparison of values for the single indices between DLB and AD is shown in Table 2. MTL_Z showed significantly lower values in DLB than in AD. DBS_Z_gray_ratio and DBS_Z_white_ratio showed significantly higher values in DLB than in AD, while DBS_Z_gray and DBS_Z_white showed only slightly higher values in DLB than in AD.

Table 3 shows the discrimination performance when a single index is used with cutoff values for DLB in ROC analysis. In the training data set, MTL_Z, DBS_Z_gray_ratio, and DBS_Z_white_ratio showed greater than 60% accuracy, whereas DBS_Z_gray and DBS_Z_white showed less than 60% accuracy. In the test data set, all indices showed less than 60% accuracy.

Figure 2 shows the decision tree generated from the training data set with multiple indices. Branching is repeated at each node from the top to the bottom, and the four terminal nodes at final arrival indicate the classification result as a decision tree. In this decision tree, the condition classified as DLB corresponds to the terminal node on the right end, and "MTL_Z < 2.185 and DBS_Z_gray_ratio ≥ 0.195 and DBS_Z_white_ratio ≥ 0.195 " is the cutoff. The discrimination performance of

Table 2 Comparison Of Single Index Values For Characterizing

 Atrophy In The Target VOIs Between DLB And AD

Index	DLB (n=239)	AD (n=385)
MTL_Z	1.73±0.88	2.44±1.05**
DBS_Z_gray	0.57±0.23*	0.53±0.25
DBS_Z_white	0.67±0.57	0.65±0.72
DBS_Z_gray_ratio	0.44±0.32**	0.28±0.20
DBS_Z_white_ratio	0.47±0.48**	0.30±0.34

Notes: *P<0.05, **P<0.001.

Abbreviations: VOI, volume of interest; DLB, dementia with Lewy bodies; AD, Alzheimer's disease; MTL, medial temporal lobe; DBS, dorsal brain stem.

the training and test data sets with this decision tree is shown in Table 4. The differentiation accuracy of DLB and AD was greater than 70% in the training data set and greater than 60% in the test data set. When values, "MTL_Z < 2.0 and DBS_Z_gray_ratio ≥ 0.2 and DBS_Z_white_ratio ≥ 0.2 ", that are easy to set with VSRAD are used, almost the same accuracies were obtained in both data set. Thus, the multiple indices provided better discrimination accuracy than the single indices.

Table 5 shows the association of the values of the five indices in the target VOIs with core clinical features. Only DBS_Z_gray_ratio and DBS_Z_white_ratio showed significantly lower values in DLB patients with visual hallucination than in those without visual hallucination.

Discussion

The present multicenter study using different MRI scanners of different magnetic field strengths demonstrated that combined volume measures of MTL and DBS were more helpful than single volume measures of MTL for differentiation of DLB and AD in VBM.

The present results of less atrophy of MTL structures in DLB than in AD agreed well with previous investigations.^{16,18} Our previous single-center study¹⁵ using VSRAD revealed that a target VOI in the white matter limited to the midbrain plus pons, which corresponded to DBS_Z_white in the present study, exhibited an AUC of 0.74, sensitivity of 60%, specificity of 90%, and accuracy of 75% for the differentiation of DLB and AD. However, the accuracy of DBS_Z_white alone in the training data set was just 54.6% in the present multicenter study. The lower accuracy may be due to the use of different MRI scanners of different magnetic field strengths at multiple sites. Although DBS_Z_white in the training data set,

Index	DLB Cutoff	Training	g Data (n=414)		Test Data (n=210)			
		AUC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
MTL_Z	<2.19	0.72	75.7%	59.2%	65.2%	75.9%	43.9%	57.1%
DBS_Z_gray	≥0.34	0.54	87.5%	22.5%	46.4%	86.2%	17.9%	46.2%
DBS_Z_white	≥0.32	0.56	69.1%	46.2%	54.6%	72.4%	30.9%	48.1%
DBS_Z_gray_ratio	≥0.27	0.68	68.4%	60.7%	63.5%	70.1%	50.4%	58.6%
DBS_Z_white_ratio	≥0.33	0.66	50.7%	75.6%	66.4%	59.8%	55.3%	57.1%

 Table 3 Discrimination Performance Of DLB And AD Using Single Indices



Figure 2 Decision tree for differentiation of DLB and AD using VBM results in the training data set. Below each node, the numbers of AD (left) and DLB (right) patients are shown. The class of AD or DLB as the classification result is shown at the center of each node. In this decision tree, the condition classified as DLB corresponds to the terminal node on the right end, and "MTL_Z <2.185 and DBS_Z_white_ratio ≥ 0.195 and DBS_Z_gray_ratio" is the cutoff.

Table 4 Discrimination Performance Of DLB And AD Using Multiple Indices

DLB Cutoff	Training Da	ita (n=414)		Test Data (n=210)		
	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
$MTL_Z < 2.185 \& DBS_Z_gray_ratio \ge 0.195 \& DBS_Z_white_ratio \ge 0.195$	57.2%	82.8%	73.4%	56.3%	68.3%	63.3%
$MTL_Z < 2.0 \& DBS_Z_gray_ratio \ge 0.2 \& DBS_Z_white_ratio \ge 0.2$	52.0%	84.4%	72.5%	50.6%	75.6%	65.2%

this accuracy was almost equal to that of MTL_Z alone (65.2%). In the test data set, these accuracies decreased to below 60%, and the accuracy of DBS_Z_white_ratio was the

same as that of MTL_Z. During image acquisition, pulsatile and respiratory motion resulting from the cardiac cycle and respiratory activity may produce some distortions of the brain

Index	Core Clinic	al Features						
	Fluctuating	Cognition	Visual Hallu	ucination	Parkinsonis	m	REM Sleep Disorder	Behaviour
	Positive (n=56)	Negative (n=64)	Positive (n=96)	Negative (n=24)	Positive (n=72)	Negative (n=48)	Positive (n=55)	Negative (n=65)
MTL_Z	1.75±0.94	1.63±0.77	1.71±0.78	1.58±1.12	1.74±0.86	1.59±0.85	1.63±0.96	1.73±0.77
DBS_Z_gray	0.59±0.24	0.59±0.25	0.57±0.23	0.65±0.30	0.62±0.25	0.54±0.22	0.57±0.22	0.60±0.27
DBS_Z_white	0.79±0.64	0.60±0.63	0.66±0.62	0.80±0.70	0.71±0.67	0.64±0.58	0.65±0.59	0.72±0.68
DBS_Z_gray_ratio	0.45±0.33	0.48±0.34	0.42±0.27*	0.64±0.49	0.47±0.34	0.46±0.33	0.48±0.35	0.45±0.32
DBS_Z_white_ratio	0.56±0.64	0.43±0.49	0.43±0.44*	0.71±0.89	0.49±0.60	0.48±0.51	0.48±0.48	0.49±0.64

Table 5 Association Of Single Index Values With Core Clinical Features In DLB

Note: *P<0.05.

stem.²⁵ Cerebrospinal fluid has also been found to flow in both rostral and caudal directions during the cardiac cycle. This cardiac-synchronized flow in the cerebrospinal fluid can result in brain stem pulsation. These distortions and pulsations may fluctuate with the differences in not only the MR scanners, but also the magnetic field strength. In VSRAD analysis, differences in volume measures of white matter and differences in cutoff values for significant gray matter atrophy have been reported between 1.5-T and 3.0-T MRI data.²⁶ These factors may cause segmentation fluctuations in VBM of the brain stem and may at least partly explain the variable results in brain stem atrophy mainly in gray matter or mainly in white matter among previous VBM studies of DLB. The present decision tree analysis revealed that the influences of segmentation fluctuations on differential performance are reduced by the combination of MTL Z, DBS Z gray ratio, and DBS Z white ratio. Compared with MTL Z alone, this combination showed better accuracy of 8.2% and 6.2% in the training and test data sets, respectively.

The previous VBM studies²⁷ of DLB patients with visual hallucination revealed gray matter atrophy mainly in the occipital, parietal, and frontal cortices, the MTL, and cholinergic structures such as the substantia innominata. Janzen et al²⁸ demonstrated gray matter atrophy in the pedunculopontine nucleus in patients with DLB with visual hallucination. Our results partly agree with these observations. The DLB patients with visual hallucination showed greater atrophy in the MTL with less atrophy in DBS, resulting in a significantly lower DBS_Z_gray_ratio and DBS_Z_white_ratio.

Another study²⁹ revealed less atrophy in the temporoparietal cortex, hippocampus, and amygdala in DLB patients with REM sleep behavior disorder than in those without REM sleep behavior disorder. The presence of REM sleep behavior disorder is associated with a higher likelihood of DLB and less severe AD pathology in the MTL. The present results showed less MTL atrophy in patients with REM sleep behavior disorder than in those without REM sleep behavior disorder, though the difference did not reach statistically significant.

This study was possibly limited by several factors. First, the diagnoses were not pathologically confirmed. Second, the association of volumetric results and clinical features was not investigated in all DLB patients. The trend observed in the present study might have been statistically significant with a higher number of patients. Third, the present VBM results were not compared with other imaging biomarkers such as dopamine transporter SPECT/PET, metaiodobenzylguanidine myocardial scintigraphy,^{30,31} and brain perfusion SPECT or FDG-PET.³² These comparisons with other biomarkers would clarify the significance of the present findings.

Conclusion

On VBM of 3D T1-weighted images, gray matter atrophy in the MTL was less in DLB patients than in AD patients. VBM of the DBS in addition to that of the MTL increases the differentiation of AD and DLB by up to 8.2%. Automatic VBM software would be useful for this differential diagnosis.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by an Intramural Research Grant (30-10) for Neurological and Psychiatric Disorders from the National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry (Japan).

Disclosure

TO, TG, and KS are employees of Dai Nippon Printing Co., Ltd. Dai Nippon Printing Co., Ltd is a consignment contractor between companies. TO, TG, and KS have a patent US 10285657 B2 issued, patents JP 2016-64004 A, EP 3199102 A1, and CN 106659424 A pending. TO, TG and KS report and served for outsourcing contract between companies for software development-related work, call center-related business, system-related work, work related to creating support materials, and printing related business. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- McKeith IG, Dickson DW, Lowe J, et al. Diagnosis and management of dementia with Lewy bodies: third report of the DLB Consortium. *Neurology*. 2005;65(12):1863–1872. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000187 889.17253.b1
- Schneider JA, Arvanitakis Z, Bang W, Bennett DA. Mixed brain pathologies account for most dementia cases in community-dwelling older persons. *Neurology*. 2007;69(24):2197–2204. doi:10.1212/01. wnl.0000271090.28148.24
- Galasko D, Hansen LA, Katzman R, et al. Clinical-neuropathological correlations in Alzheimer's disease and related dementias. *Arch Neurol.* 1994;51(9):888–895. doi:10.1001/archneur.1994.00540210060013
- Fujishiro H, Iseki E, Higashi S, et al. Distribution of cerebral amyloid deposition and its relevance to clinical phenotype in Lewy body dementia. *Neurosci Lett.* 2010;486(1):19–23. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2010.09.036
- 5. Matsuda H. Voxel-based morphometry of brain MRI in normal aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Aging Dis.* 2013;4(1):29–37.
- Burton EJ, Karas G, Paling SM, et al. Patterns of cerebral atrophy in dementia with Lewy bodies using voxel-based morphometry. *Neuroimage*. 2002;17(2):618–630.
- Burton EJ, McKeith IG, Burn DJ, Williams ED, O'Brien JT. Cerebral atrophy in Parkinson's disease with and without dementia: a comparison with Alzheimer's disease, dementia with Lewy bodies and controls. *Brain*. 2004;127(Pt 4):791–800. doi:10.1093/brain/awh088
- Brenneis C, Wenning GK, Egger KE, et al. Basal forebrain atrophy is a distinctive pattern in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neuroreport*. 2004;15(11):1711–1714. doi:10.1097/01.wnr.0000136736.73895.03
- Borroni B, Premi E, Formenti A, et al. Structural and functional imaging study in dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson's disease dementia. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. 2015;21(9):1049–1055. doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2015.06.013
- Peraza LR, Colloby SJ, Firbank MJ, et al. Resting state in Parkinson's disease dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies: commonalities and differences. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2015;30 (11):1135–1146. doi:10.1002/gps.4342
- Roquet D, Noblet V, Anthony P, et al. Insular atrophy at the prodromal stage of dementia with Lewy bodies: a VBM DARTEL study. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1):9437. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-08667-7
- Colloby SJ, Elder GJ, Rabee R, O'Brien JT, Taylor JP. Structural grey matter changes in the substantia innominata in Alzheimer's disease and dementia with Lewy bodies: a DARTEL-VBM study. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2017;32(6):615–623. doi:10.1002/gps.4500
- Whitwell JL, Weigand SD, Shiung MM, et al. Focal atrophy in dementia with Lewy bodies on MRI: a distinct pattern from Alzheimer's disease. *Brain*. 2007;130(Pt 3):708–719. doi:10.1093/brain/awl388
- 14. Lee JE, Park B, Song SK, Sohn YH, Park HJ, Lee PH. A comparison of gray and white matter density in patients with Parkinson's disease dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies using voxel-based morphometry. *Mov Disord*. 2010;25(1):28–34.
- 15. Nakatsuka T, Imabayashi E, Matsuda H, Sakakibara R, Inaoka T, Terada H. Discrimination of dementia with Lewy bodies from Alzheimer's disease using voxel-based morphometry of white matter by statistical parametric mapping 8 plus diffeomorphic anatomic registration through exponentiated Lie algebra. *Neuroradiology*. 2013;55(5):559–566. doi:10.1007/s00234-013-1138-9

- Kantarci K, Ferman TJ, Boeve BF, et al. Focal atrophy on MRI and neuropathologic classification of dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neurology*. 2012;79(10):553–560. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e31826357a5
- McKeith IG, Boeve BF, Dickson DW, et al. Diagnosis and management of dementia with Lewy bodies: fourth consensus report of the DLB consortium. *Neurology*. 2017;89(1):88–100. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000004058
- Kantarci K, Lesnick T, Ferman TJ, et al. Hippocampal volumes predict risk of dementia with Lewy bodies in mild cognitive impairment. *Neurology.* 2016;87(22):2317–2323. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000 003371
- Takahashi R, Ishii K, Miyamoto N, et al. Measurement of gray and white matter atrophy in dementia with Lewy bodies using diffeomorphic anatomic registration through exponentiated lie algebra: A comparison with conventional voxel-based morphometry. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2010;31(10):1873–1878. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A2200
- Watson R, O'Brien JT, Barber R, Blamire AM. Patterns of gray matter atrophy in dementia with Lewy bodies: a voxel-based morphometry study. *Int Psychogeriatr.* 2012;24(4):532–540. doi:10.1017/ S1041610211002171
- Harper L, Bouwman F, Burton EJ, et al. Patterns of atrophy in pathologically confirmed dementias: a voxelwise analysis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2017;88(11):908–916. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2016-314978
- 22. McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H, et al. The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2011;7(3):263–269. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2011.03.005
- 23. Matsuda H, Mizumura S, Nemoto K, et al. Automatic voxel-based morphometry of structural MRI by SPM8 plus diffeomorphic anatomic registration through exponentiated lie algebra improves the diagnosis of probable Alzheimer disease. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2012;33(6):1109–1114. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A2935
- 24. De'ath G, Fabricius KE. Classification and regression trees: a powerful technique for ecological data analysis. *Ecology*. 2000;81 (11):3178–3192. doi:10.1890/0012-9658(2000)081[3178:CARTAP] 2.0.CO;2
- Ford AA, Colon-Perez L, Triplett WT, Gullett JM, Mareci TH, Fitzgerald DB. Imaging white matter in human brainstem. Front Hum Neurosci. 2013;7:400. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2013.00400
- 26. Sone D, Imabayashi E, Maikusa N, Ogawa M, Sato N, Matsuda H. Voxel-based specific regional analysis system for Alzheimer's disease (VSRAD) on 3-tesla normal database: diagnostic accuracy in two independent cohorts with early Alzheimer's disease. *Aging Dis.* 2018;9(4):755–760. doi:10.14336/AD.2017.0818
- Lenka A, Jhunjhunwala KR, Saini J, Pal PK. Structural and functional neuroimaging in patients with Parkinson's disease and visual hallucinations: A critical review. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. 2015;21 (7):683–691. doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2015.04.005
- 28. Janzen J, van 't Ent D, Lemstra AW, Berendse HW, Barkhof F, Foncke EM. The pedunculopontine nucleus is related to visual hallucinations in Parkinson's disease: preliminary results of a voxel-based morphometry study. *J Neurol.* 2012;259(1):147–154. doi:10.1007/ s00415-011-6149-z
- 29. Murray ME, Ferman TJ, Boeve BF, et al. MRI and pathology of REM sleep behavior disorder in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neurology.* 2013;81(19):1681–1689. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.00004 35299.57153.f0
- 30. Nuvoli S, Palumbo B, Malaspina S, Madeddu G, Spanu A. ¹²³Iioflupane SPET and ¹²³I-MIBG in the diagnosis of Parkinson's disease and parkinsonian disorders and in the differential diagnosis between Alzheimer's and Lewy's bodies dementias. *Hell J Nucl Med.* 2018;21(1):60–68. doi:10.1967/s002449910707

- 31. Nuvoli S, Spanu A, Piras MR, et al. ¹²³I-ioflupane brain SPECT and ¹²³I-MIBG cardiac planar scintigraphy combined use in uncertain parkinsonian disorders. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2017;96(21):e6967. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000006967
- Nuvoli S, Spanu A, Madeddu G. Brain SPECT with perfusion radiopharmaceuticals and dopaminergic system radiocompounds in dementia disorders. *Curr Alzheimer Res.* 2017;14(2):143–153.

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment

Publish your work in this journal

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment is an international, peerreviewed journal of clinical therapeutics and pharmacology focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical or pre-clinical studies on a range of neuropsychiatric and neurological disorders. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, the 'PsycINFO' database and CAS, and is the official journal of The International Neuropsychiatric Association (INA). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimo-nials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/neuropsychiatric-disease-and-treatment-journal

Dovepress