ORIGINAL RESEARCH **Tunneling Nanotubes Mediated microRNA-155** Intercellular Transportation Promotes Bladder Cancer Cells' Invasive and Proliferative Capacity

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: International Journal of Nanomedicine

lin lin Lu^{1,2} Wei Min Yang^I Fan Li¹ Wei Zhu¹ Zhong Chen¹

¹Department of Urology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430030, People's Republic of China; ²Department of Urology, The Central Hospital of Wuhan, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, People's Republic of China



Correspondence: Fan Li Department of Urology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 1095 Jie Fang Avenue, Wuhan 430030, People's Republic of China Tel +86 027 83665207 Fax +86 027 83663640 Email fanli I 17@hotmail.com



Objective: To investigate differential microRNAs' expression in heterogeneous bladder cancer cells, as well as to investigate the mechanism of changes in invasive and proliferative capacity induced by tunneling nanotubes (TNTs) mediated transport of microRNA between bladder cancer cells of varying histological grade.

Materials and methods: Differences in microRNA expression between bladder cancer cells of different grade were identified from a literature review. The identified heterogeneous microRNAs were analyzed by qPCR in T24 (high grade) and RT4 (low grade) bladder cancer cells. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and laser confocal fluorescence microscopy (LCM) were used to observe tunneling nanotubes (TNTs) between RT4 and T24 cells. Differentially expressed microRNA was labeled and traced by Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization (FISH) following co-culture of T24 and RT4 cells. MicroRNA mimic and inhibition technologies were applied to investigate how TNTs-mediated intercellular transport of microRNA affects the invasive and proliferative behavior of bladder cancer cells.

Results: MicroRNA-155 (miR-155) levels were highly expressed in T24 cells, whereas the same was not true in RT4 cells. MiR-155 was confirmed to be a crucial factor sustaining T24 bladder cancer cell proliferation, migration and cell cycle progression by CCK8, Matrigel test and cell cycle analysis, respectively. After T24 and RT4 co-culture, TNTs were assessed by SEM and LCM between T24 and RT4 cells. In addition, we observed TNTs mediated transport of miR-155 from T24 cells to RT4 cells, which thereby acquired a higher proliferative rate, an increased frequency of cells in the S phase, and increased invasive ability in Matrigel test. At the same time, Deptor, the target protein of miR-155 in RT4 cells, was downregulated, followed by mTOR/4EBP1/p70S6K- eIF4e/S6RP signaling activation.

Conclusion: MiR-155 was differentially expressed between RT4 and T24 bladder cancer cells. Intercellular transport of miR-155 via TNTs can promote bladder cancer cell reprogramming by Deptor-mTOR signal pathway activation.

Keywords: tunneling nanotubes, microRNA, bladder cancer, cell invasion, cell proliferation

Introduction

Bladder cancer is histologically heterogeneous with respect to clinical and pathological behaviors.¹ About 30% of bladder cancers are high-grade in differentiation, and about 40% of these high-grade lesions progress to muscle-invasive bladder cancer with an associated ominous prognosis.²

Tunneling nanotubes (TNTs) are a newly described cell-cell communication channel. TNTs are thin, tubular and F-actin-based structures with a 50 to 200 nm

CO 00 Colly Lu et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

diameter, and which can connect cells over a long distance.³ As a new biological tool for intercellular communication, TNTs allow direct transfer of proteins, organelles, microRNAs and ions between cells.^{4–7} Previously, we found that heterogeneous bladder cancer cells exchange micro-particles or organelles between cells using TNTs. Also, we showed that intercellular transport of mitochondria via TNTs facilitates progressing and reprogramming of low-grade bladder cancer cells.⁸

MicroRNAs (miRs) are small non-coding RNAs that can mediate post transcriptional regulation of target proteins.⁹ Increasing evidence confirms that microRNAs act as crucial regulatory factors of carcinogenesis and progression in various types of cancers.^{10,11} Hamdy et al found that altered microRNA acts in a tumor phenotype-specific manner in bladder cancer, and occurs early in carcinogenesis. They observed miRNA upregulation in high-grade bladder cancer, while they were downregulated for low-grade bladder.¹² Since microRNAs can only survive under the protection of the cytomembrane, the role intercellular transportation of microRNAs has rarely been studied.

As such, we hypothesized that microRNAs in highgrade bladder cancer cells can undergo intercellular transport into low-grade bladder cancer cells via tunneling nanotubes, thus promoting their invasive and proliferative abilities. In this study, we investigated the probability and underlying mechanisms of this process so as to unravel a novel mechanism of bladder cancer progression.

Materials and Methods Reagents and Antibodies

McCoy's 5A and RPMI 1640 mediums were purchased from Sigma (Missouri, USA, # M9309) and Hyclone (Utah, USA, # SH30809.01B). Fetal bovine serum was purchased from Bioind (Kibbutz Beit Haemek, Israel, #04-001-1ACS). Phalloidin-iFluor[™] 405 Conjugate was purchased from AAT Bioquest (California, USA, #23111). RIPA Lysis Buffer, PMSF, phosphatase inhibitor, CFDA SE Cell Proliferation Assay and Tracking Kit, Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK8) and BCA Protein Assay Kit were purchased from Beyotime Biotechnology (Shanghai, China, #C0051, #C0037, #P0013B, #ST506, #S1873 and #P0010). Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China) synthesized the Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization Kit and Cy3-labeled microRNA-155-5p probes. Reverse Transcription System and SYBR Green Master Mix were from VAZYME (Nanjing, China, # R212-01/02 and #Q111-02). Enhanced chemiluminescence reagent kit was purchased from Thermo Scientific (Shanghai, China, #NCI5079). Cell cycle detection kit was from KeyGEN (Nanjing, China, # KGA512).

Rabbit anti-mTOR, anti-4EBP1, anti-p-4EBP1, anti-Deptor, anti-eif4e, anti-p-eif4e, anti-S6RP and anti-p-S6RP were purchased from SAB (Maryland, USA, #Sab21214, #Sab21215, #Sab11223, #Sab-47047, #Sab21226, #Sab11 233, #Sab21225 and #Sab11580). Rabbit anti-p-mTOR was from Bioworld (Minnesota, USA, # Bs4706). Rabbit antip70s6k and Rabbit anti-p-p70s6k were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Massachusetts, USA, #2708, #9234). Rabbit anti-GAPDH was from Santa Cruz (Texas, USA, #SC25778).

Cell Culture

The original T24 cells and RT4 cells were purchased from Procell Life Science & Technology (Wuhan, China, Lot # CL-0227 and # CL-0431). The cells were cryo-preserved in our laboratory and cultured as previous study described.⁸ Genepharma (Shanghai, China) synthesized miR-155mimics, inhibitors and negative controls (NC). The hsamiR-155-5p mimic sequence is 5'-UUAAUGCUAAUCG UGAUAGGGGUCCCUAUCACGAUUAGCAUUAAUU-3'. The sequence of hsa-miR-155-5p inhibitor is 5'-ACC CCUAUCACGAUUAGCAUUAA-3'. Cells were transfected by LipofectamineTM 2000 based on provided directions.

Fluorescent in situ Hybridization, Laser Confocal Microscopy (LCM) and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

CFSE (Green) (Beyotime, Shanghai, China, # C0051) labeled RT4 cells were co-cultured 1:1 with T24 cells on coverslips for 24 hrs, followed by 4% paraformaldehyde fixation for 10 mins. Subsequently, a Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization Kit (Sangon Biotech) was used for FISH based on provided directions. Cy3-labeled microRNA-155-5p probes (Sequence: 5'-ACCCCTATCACGATTAGCATTAA-3', Red labeled) were used for this assay. Finally, F-actin was stained with Phalloidin-iFluorTM 405 Conjugate (Blue labeled). Cells were observed under a Laser Confocal Microscopy (Leica, Germany, SP8 STED) and images were captured by the Leica LCS SP8 STED system. The co-culture of T24 cells and RT4 cells and SEM were performed according to the method described previously.⁸

Quantitative Real-Time PCR Analysis

TRIzol was used to extract 1.5 μ g of total RNA from samples based on provided directions, and then a Reverse Transcription System was used to prepare cDNA from this RNA. The Real-Time PCR system with SYBR Green Master Mix was used for qRT-PCR based on provided protocols. Primer sequences applied in the procedures above are listed in Table 1.

Western Blotting

RIPA containing PMSF and phosphatase inhibitor was used for cell lysis, after which a BCA Protein Assay Kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China, #P0010) was used to measure protein concentrations. Soluble lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and transfer to PVDF membranes (Millipore, USA). Blots were blocked with 5% fat-free milk, then probed with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight and at room temperature with secondary antibodies for 90 mins. The blots were visualized via an enhanced chemiluminescence reagent kit and quantified via densitometry.

Cell Proliferation Analysis

A CCK-8 proliferation assay was employed to assess bladder cancer cell proliferation. Cells of each group were incubated in a 96-well plate (5000/well) for 24 hrs. Subsequently, $10 \,\mu$ l of CCK8 reaction solution was added per well for 4 hrs at 37°C. Absorbance at 450 nm was assessed via microplate reader (Thermo Scientific, Shanghai, China, MULTISKAN MK3).²³

Cell Cycle Assay

Cells of each group were added to 6-well plates $(5 \times 105 \text{ cells/well})$ 24 hrs. Thereafter, cells were collected and fixed overnight in 70% ethanol. Cells were stained using the Cell cycle detection kit (KeyGEN) based on provided protocols, and analyzed via flow cytometry (Beckman, California, USA, Cytoflex S).

Table I Primers Sequences Applied in the Procedure of Comparing microRNA Distinguished Expressed Between T24 and RT4Bladder Cancer Cells

Name	Primer	Sequence	Ref.
hsa-mir-9-5p	loop primer	5'-GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACTCATACAG-3'	Ma et al
	F primer	5'-TGCGCTCTTTGGTTATCTAGCTG-3'	(2010) ¹³
hsa-miR-21-5p	loop primer	5'-GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACTCAACATC-3'	Park et al
	F primer	5'-TGCGCTAGCTTATCAGACTGA-3'	(2016) ¹⁴
hsa-miR-503-5p	loop primer	5'-GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACCTGCAGAA-3'	Forrest et al
	F primer	5'-TGCGCTAGCAGCGGGAACAGTTC-3'	(2010) ¹⁵
hsa-miR-566	loop primer	5'-GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACGTTGGGAT-3'	Zhang et al
	F primer	5'-TGCGCGGGCCGCTGTGATC-3'	(2014) ¹⁶
hsa-miR-639	loop primer	5'-GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACACAGCGCT-3'	Lin et al
	F primer	5'-TGCGCATCGCTGCGGTTGCGAGC-3'	(2014) ¹⁷
hsa-miR-644	loop primer	5'-GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACGCTCTAAG-3'	Sikand et al
	F primer	5'-TGCGCAGTGTGGCTTTCTT-3'	(2012) ¹⁸
hsa-miR-649	loop primer	5'-GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACGACTCTTG-3'	Scheffer et al
	F primer	5'-TGCGCAAACCTGTGTTGTTCAA-3'	(2014) ¹⁹
hsa-miR-512-3p	loop primer	5'-GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACGACCTCAG-3'	Port et al
	F primer	5'-TGCGCAAGTGCTGTCATAGCTG-3'	(2011) ²⁰
hsa-miR-517c-3p	loop primer	5'-GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACACACTCTA-3'	Hromadnikova
	F primer	5'-TGCGCATCGTGCATCCTTTTAG-3'	et al (2013) ²¹
hsa-miR-155-5p	loop primer	5'-GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACACCCCTAT-3'	Forrest et al
	F primer	5'-TGCGCTTAATGCTAATCGTGATA-3'	(2010) ¹⁵
hsa-miR-221-3p	loop primer	5'-GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACGAAACCCA-3'	Ergun et al
	F primer	5'-TGCGCAGCTACATTGTCTGCTGG-3'	(2015) ²²

Matrigel Invasion Assay

Cell invasion was assessed via Transwell chamber (BD Biosciences, USA, 353097) assay. In brief, 1×105 cells suspended in 100 µl serum-free McCoy's 5A medium were put into the upper chamber following its having been coated using 5% matrigel. In the lower chamber, 600 µl McCoy's 5A medium was supplemented with 10% FBS. Following a 24-hrs incubation, non-invasive cells were swabbed away, and invasive cells underwent 4% paraformaldehyde fixation for 15 mins followed by staining using 5% crystal violet for 20 mins. Invading cells were visualized via an inverted microscope (OLYMPUS, Japan, IX51).⁸

Statistical Analysis

Data are means \pm standard deviation (SD). Normally and non-normally distributed data were compared via one-way ANOVAs with LSD tests (for paired values) and Kruskal-Wallis tests, respectively. P < 0.05 was the significance threshold. SPSS v16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used for all analyses.

Results

miR-155 was Differentially Expressed in Bladder Cancer Cells in a Tumor Phenotype-Specific Manner

Search of the literature identified 11 microRNAs associated with bladder cancer: miR-9, 21, 155, 221, 503, 512, 517, 566, 639, 644 and $649.^{12,24}$ Analysis of differences in miR expression between high (T24) and low (RT4) grade bladder cancer cells using qPCR revealed that only miR-155 was differentially expressed between T24 and RT4 cells. miR-155 was abundantly expressed in T24 cells, but was absent in RT4 cells (7852.25 ± 2844.90 vs 0.82 ± 0.28, p < 0.001).

miR-155 Acts as a Crucial Regulator in Bladder Cancer Cell Proliferation and Invasive Ability

To verify the effects of miR-155 on the proliferative and invasive properties of high-grade bladder cancer cells, we used miR-155 inhibitors and mimics to downregulate and upregulate, respectively, miR-155 expression in T24 cells. After miR-155 inhibitors were transfected into T24 cells, miR-155 levels in T24 cells were decreased, as confirmed by RT-qPCR.

The results of a CCK-8 assay revealed miR-155 inhibition to significantly reduce cell growth compared to untreated T24 cells and inhibitor-NC T24 group (miR155-inhibitor-T24 vs T24, 0.66 ± 0.04 vs 0.87 ± 0.03 , p < 0.001, and miR155-inhibitor-T24 vs inhibitor-NC-T24, 0.66 ± 0.04 vs 0.84 ± 0.01 , p < 0.001, respectively, Figure 1A). Upregulation of miR-155 expression by miR-155 mimics in miR-155-inhibitor-T24 cells dramatically restored cell growth (miR155-inhibitor-T24 group, 0.78 ± 0.22 vs 0.66 ± 0.04 , p < 0.001, Figure 1A).

The status of the cell cycle of T24 cells, a hallmark of cell growth, was investigated by flow cytometry analysis. To illustrate the effects not caused by the vector and other factors, we added the C and E group as control (Figure 2C and E). The results showed that untreated T24 cells were predominantly in the S phase (Figure 2A). However, after transfection with a miR-155 inhibitor, T24 cells were arrested in the G0-G1 phase, and the S phase was much less frequent (G0-G1 phase: $70.47 \pm 1.90\%$ vs $47.41 \pm 0.21\%$, p < 0.001, and S phase: $21.21 \pm 4.62\%$ vs $42.34 \pm 2.17\%$, p < 0.001, Figure 2A, B and K). Furthermore, analysis of miR155-inhibitor-T24 cells treated with a miR155-mimic showed fewer cells in the G0-G1 phase and more cells in S phase compared to the miR155-inhibitor-T24 group (G0-G1 phase: $47.15 \pm 1.55\%$ vs 70.47 \pm 1.90%, p < 0.001 and S phase: 36.70 \pm 0.70% vs 21.21 ± 4.62%, p < 0.001, Figure 2B, D and K).

Cell invasive ability in vitro was assessed by Matrigel invasion assays. The number of miR-155-inhibitor-T24 cells that migrated through the matrix was significantly less compared to untreated T24 cells (45.67 ± 6.11 vs 116.00 ± 4.36 , p < 0.001, Figure 3A, B and K), whereas more miR-155-inhibitor-T24 + miR-155-minic cells migrated through the matrix compared to miR-155-inhibitor-T24 cells (96.00 ± 9.54 vs 45.67 ± 6.11 , p < 0.001, Figure 3D, B and K). Figure 3C and E were added as control.

Inter-Cellular Transfer of miR-155 via TNTs Between T24 and RT4 Cells

The structure of TNTs was verified by scanning electron microscopy and confocal fluorescence microscopy (Figures 4 and 5, respectively). After co-culture with RT4 cells, T24 cells formed TNTs connecting to RT4 cells. Importantly, TNT-mediated transport of miR-155 was observed from T24 cells to RT4 cells using FISH staining (Figure 5). From the results reported above, miR-155 expression was absent in original RT4 cells. Therefore, the miR-155 staining in RT4 cells in Figure 5B probably derived from intercellular transport of



Figure 1 MiR-155 sustains the proliferation rates of T24 cells and promotes the proliferation rates of RT4 cells assessed by CCK-8 assay. Notes: T24 group represents T24 cells; miR155-inhibitor-T24 group represents T24 cells transfected with miR-155 inhibitor-NC-T24 group represents T24 cells transfected with miR-155 inhibitor-NC-T24 group represents T24 cells transfected by miR155-inhibitor-NC-s; miR155-inhibitor-T24+miR155-mimic group represents T24 cells transfected by miR155-inhibitor-S24+mimic-NC group represents T24 cells transfected by miR155-inhibitor-T24+mimic-NC group represents T24 cells transfected by miR155-mimics; mimic-NCs transfection. The RT4 group represents RT4 cells; miR155-mimic-RT4 group represents RT4 cells transfected by miR155-mimics; mimic-NC-RT4 group represents RT4 cells transfected by miR155-mimics; mimic-NC-RT4 group represents RT4 cells transfected by miR155-mimics; miR155-mimic-RT4 group represents RT4 cells transfected by miR155-mimics; miR155-mimic-RT4 group represents RT4 cells transfected by miR-155-mimics; miR155-mimic-RT4 group represents RT4 cells transfected by miR-155-mimics and followed by miR-155-mimics; miR155-mimic-RT4+miR155-inhibitor transfected with miR-155-mimics and followed by miR-155-mimics and followed by miR-155-mimics; miR155-mimic-RT4+miR155-mimic-RT4 group represents RT4 cells transfected with miR-155-mimics and followed by miR-155-mimic-RT4 group showed a lower proliferation rate compared to the original T24 group (**A**). Cells in the miR155-mimic-RT4 group showed a higher proliferation rate compared to the original RT4 group (**B**). ** p < 0.001.

Abbreviation: CCK-8, Cell counting kit-8.

microRNA from T24 cells. Under the confocal fluorescence microscope, red-fluorescence-labeled miR-155 originating from T24 cells could be observed in TNTs (blue labeled F-actin), and subsequently migrated to RT4 cells (green-labeled RT4 cells, Figure 5). However, RT4 cells without a TNT connection to T24 cells did not show miR-155 expression.

Intercellular miR-155 Trafficking from T24 Cells via TNTs Promotes RT4 Cell Proliferation and Invasive Ability

Since tracing and sorting fluorescence-labeled miR-155-RT4 live cells with a TNT connection to T24 cells is technically very difficult, we used microRNA mimic technology (miR-155 mimics) to study the role of miR-155 in RT4 cells in further detail. Also, miR-155 inhibitors were used to down-regulate miR-155 expression as a loss-of-function in control groups. miR-155 expression was significantly increased

following mimic transfection in RT4 cells, as confirmed by RT-qPCR.

MiR-155 mimics transfection in RT4 cells was applied to simulate miR-155 trafficking from T24 cells to RT4 cells via TNTs. After miR-155 mimics were transfected into RT4 cells, cell growth was analyzed via CCK-8 assay. RT4 cells transfected with miR-155 mimics (miR155-mimic-RT4 group) exhibited significantly greater growth rates versus untreated RT4 cells or mimic-NC-RT4 cells (0.75 ± 0.04 vs 0.61 ± 0.01 , p < 0.001 and 0.75 ± 0.04 vs 0.60 ± 0.02 , p < 0.001, respectively, Figure 1B). Also, silencing of miR-155 in miR155-mimic-RT4 cells dramatically suppressed cell growth, as shown in comparison between miR155-mimic-RT4 cells (0.57 ± 0.03 vs 0.75 ± 0.04 , p < 0.001, Figure 1B).

Flow cytometry analysis indicated that miR155-mimic-RT4 cells were significantly more in the S phase and less in the G0-G1 phase compared to untreated RT4 cells (S phase: $51.18 \pm 1.23\%$ vs $36.63 \pm 0.98\%$, p < 0.001 and G0-G1 phase:



Figure 2 MiR-155 induced cell cycle re-distribution in T24 and RT4 cells.

Notes: A, B, C, D and E represent T24 group, miR155-inhibitor-T24 group, inhibitor-NC-T24 group, miR155-inhibitor-T24+miR155-inhibitor-group and miR155-inhibitor-T24+mimic-NC group. F, G, H, I and J represent RT4 group, miR155-mimic-RT4 group, mimic-NC-RT4 group, miR155-mimic-RT4+miR155-inhibitor group and miR155mimic-RT4+inhibitor-NC group. Compared to the T24 group, more cells were arrested in G0-G1 phase, and less cells were in the S phase in the miR155-inhibitor-T24 group (K). Cells in miR155-mimic-RT4 group accumulated in the S phase and decreased in G0-G1 phase compared to the original RT4 group (L). ** p < 0.001.

 $37.24 \pm 0.96\%$ vs $51.44 \pm 1.87\%$, p < 0.001, respectively, Figure 2G, F and L). Likewise, miR155-mimic-RT4 +miR155-inhibitor cells were significantly less in the S phase, but more in the G0-G1 phase compared to miR155mimic-RT4 cells (S phase: $31.98 \pm 0.84\%$ vs $51.18 \pm 1.23\%$, p < 0.001 and G0-G1 phase: $50.98 \pm 0.87\%$ vs $37.24 \pm 0.96\%$, p < 0.001, respectively, Figure 2I, G and L). Figure 2H and J were added as control.

Matrigel invasion assays revealed that more miR155mimic-RT4 cells migrated through the matrix than untreated RT4 cells (153.67 \pm 11.02 vs 76.67 \pm 6.43, p < 0.001, Figure 3G, F and L). On the other hand, less miR155mimic-RT4+miR155-inhibitor cells migrated through the matrix compared to miR155-mimic-RT4 cells (65.67 ± 2.08 vs 153.67 ± 11.02 , p < 0.001, Figure 3I, G and L). Figure 3H and J were added as control.



Figure 3 MiR-155 sustains the invasive ability of T24 cells and promotes the invasive ability of RT4 cells assessed by Transwell assay. Notes: A, B, C, D and E represent T24 group, miR155-inhibitor-T24 group, inhibitor-NC-T24 group, miR155-inhibitor-T24+miR155-inhibitor-group and miR155-inhibitor-T24+mimic-NC group, F, G, H, I and J represent RT4 group, miR155-mimic-RT4 group, miR155-mimic-RT4 group, miR155-inhibitor group and miR155mimic-RT4+inhibitor-NC group. Cell invasive abilities in the miR155-inhibitor-T24 group were decreased compared to the original T24 group (K). Also, cell invasive abilities in the miR155-mimic-RT4 group were significantly increased compared to the original RT4 group (L). Bar = 50 μ m. ** p < 0.001.

The mTOR Pathway Is Upregulated in RT4 Cells After miR-155 Intercellular Trafficking from T24 Cells

Through predictive algorithms, we were able to detect miR-155 matching sequences in 3'UTRs of the DEP domain containing mTOR-interacting protein (Deptor). After miR-155 mimics were transfected into RT4 cells, the expression of Deptor was significantly decreased (RT4 vs miR-155mimic-RT4, 0.72 ± 0.05 vs 0.46 ± 0.02 , p = 0.002,

Figure 6A and B), while the expression of regulatory-associated protein of TOR (Raptor) was increased (0.33 ± 0.08 vs 0.73 ± 0.13 , p = 0.001, Figure 6A and B). Despite stable expression of mTOR, the downstream protein of Raptor, the phosphorylation level of mTOR (p-mTOR) was significantly increased after miR-155 mimics transfection (0.27 \pm 0.05 vs 0.59 \pm 0.11, p = 0.001, Figure 6A and B). The phosphorylation levels of two crucial downstream proteins, 4EBP1 and p70s6k, were simultaneously increased following mTOR activation $(0.33 \pm 0.06 \text{ vs } 0.50 \pm 0.05, p = 0.001)$



Figure 4 Micro-structures of TNTs between T24 and RT4 cells observed under SEM.

Notes: TNTs (**A**, Black arrows) were observed between T24 and RT4 cells. Continuous, membranous, micro-tubular connection between T24 and RT4 cells are shown (**B**, **C** and **D**). The TNTs structures were fragile (**D**). The diameter of TNTs ranged from 200 to 500 nm, and the lengths of TNTs between T24 and RT4 cells ranged from 20 μ m to 1 mm.

Abbreviations: TNTs, tunneling nanotubes; SEM, scanning electron microscopic.

and 0.28 ± 0.04 vs 0.43 ± 0.03 , p = 0.001, respectively, Figure 6C and D). We further evaluated the expression and phosphorylation level of eIF4e and S6RP, the downstream proteins of 4EBP1 and p70s6k, respectively, which directly regulate cell proliferation and invasive ability. The expression of eIF4e and S6RP were stable, but the



Figure 5 Intercellular transportation of miR-155 from T24 cells to RT4 cells via TNTs.

Notes: RT4 cells were labeled by CFSE (**A**, Green), and co-cultured with T24 cells for 24 hrs. MiR-155 was labeled by the fluorescent in situ hybridization probe (**B**, Red). F-actin was labeled by Phalloidin-iFluor \mathbb{M} 405 Conjugate (**C**, Blue). (**A**, **B** and **C**) were merged as (**D**). Spontaneous migration of miR-155 (**B** and **D**, White arrows) from T24 to RT4 cells via TNTs (**C** and **D**) was observed by capturing "double positive" (Red and Green) RT4 cells under fluorescence microscopy (**D**). Bar = 10 μ m. Abbreviation: miR-155, microRNA-155.

phosphorylation levels of eIF4e and S6RP (p-eIF4e and p-S6RP) were significantly increased (0.21 ± 0.02 vs 0.44 ± 0.03 , p < 0.001, and 0.28 ± 0.05 vs 0.51 ± 0.11 , p = 0.002, respectively, Figure 6E and F).

Discussion

MicroRNAs are important for regulating bladder cancer progression and prognosis. Most previous studies focused on the difference in microRNA expression between bladder cancer tissue and normal bladder, with relatively few having studied differential expression of microRNAs between high- and low-grade bladder cancer cells.¹² The present quantitative analyses revealed that miR-155 was differentially expressed in a tumor phenotype-specific fashion in different bladder cancer cells.

MiR-155 is a frequently researched functional microRNAs in various cancers.²⁵ The gene coding for miR-155 is in the B-cell integration cluster of chromosome 21.²⁶ The importance of this miRNA in cancer progression is not fully elucidated. In some cancers, miR-155 exerts a tumor suppression function. In melanoma cell lines, miR-155 is down-expressed, and overexpression of miR-155 significantly inhibits melanoma cell proliferation and induced cell apoptosis.²⁷ On the other hand, evidence from several other studies suggests that



Figure 6 Expressions of Deptor, raptor, mTOR and p-mTOR proteins were analyzed in sub-groups of RT4 cells following miR-155 transfection by Western blotting. Notes: Lane I represents the marker: Lanes 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 represent groups RT4, miR155-mimic-RT4, miR155-mimic-RT4+miR155-inhibitor and miR155-mimic-RT4+inhibitor-NC, respectively (A, C, E). The expression of Deptor was decreased in the miR-155-mimic-RT4 group compared to the original RT4 group. Both expressions of Raptor and p-mTOR were increased in the miR-155-mimic-RT4 group. However, no statistically significant difference was noted in p70S6K expression levels among five groups (B). The expression of bettor -properties of the original RT4 group. However, no statistically significant difference was noted in p70S6K expression levels among five groups (D). The expression of bettor -properties among the five group. However, no statistically significant difference was noted in p70S6K expression levels among five groups (D). The expression of bettor -properties among the five group. However, no statistically significant difference was noted in p70S6K expression levels among five groups (D). The expression of bettor -properties among the five group. However, no statistically significant difference was noted in p70S6K expression levels among five groups (D). The expression of bettor -properties among the five groups (F). * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.001. miR-155 acts as an "OncomiR", and is important for tumor development. Elevated miR-155 is linked to genomic instability in and prolonged proliferation of malignant leukemia, nonsmall cell lung cancer, thyroid carcinoma, breast cancer, hepatocarcinoma, colorectal cancer, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, cervical cancer, pancreatic cancer and bladder cancer cells.^{25,28,29}

Furthermore, recent studies found that higher miR-155 levels are significantly linked to poorer bladder cancer outcomes.^{12,30} Therefore, higher miR-155 levels are possibly a novel biomarker of poor prognosis in bladder cancer.³¹ In our study, miR-155 was important for sustaining proliferation and invasion of high-grade bladder cancer cells (T24). In our in vitro loss of function study, after miR-155 expression was inhibited by miR-155 inhibitor in T24 cells, the proliferation and invasive abilities were suppressed, but rehabilitated following transfection with miR-155 mimics. Moreover, over-expression of miR-155 in RT4 cells enhanced proliferation, cell cycle progression and invasive ability, but this was reversed by miR-155 silencing.

TNTs are newly described cell-to-cell connections that mediate transportation of micro-particles. In a previous study, we found that TNTs could be formed between heterogeneous bladder cancer cell lines, and that mitochondria can use this structure to migrate from high (T24) to low (RT4) grade bladder cancer cells. By receiving mitochondria from T24 cells, RT4 cells acquired enhanced proliferative and invasive ability.⁸ We found miR-155 to be transported from T24 to RT4 cells via TNTs, and this promoted the invasive ability, cell

cycle progression and cell proliferation in RT4 cells. We named this phenomenon as "Acquired Invasive and Proliferative Promotion Evolution (AIPPE)". This may provide a new explanation to how intra-tumor interactions between heterogeneous cancer cells contribute to Darwinian selection cancer cells towards progression and survival in bladder cancer (Schematic diagram, Figure 7).

mTOR is an important downstream mediator of cancer cell proliferation, metastasis, motility and autophagy.³² While mTOR regulates these processes through a wide range of mechanisms, two primary mediators of these effects are Dep domain containing mTOR-interacting protein (Deptor) and regulatory-associated protein of TOR (Raptor), which are two main components of mTOR.^{33,34} Raptor controls mTORC1 kinase activity, while Deptor is the component of both mTORC1 and mTORC2 and negatively regulates the two complexes.^{35,36} Additionally, the best characterized substrates of mTOR remain eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E-binding protein 1 (4EBP1) and p70S6 kinase (p70S6K). 4EBP1 and p70S6K phosphorylation are the best means of monitoring mTOR activity.

Since the discovery of Deptor in 2009, insight in its importance for numerous biological processes has continued to evolve over the last decade. Numerous discoveries have shown a significant impact of Deptor on cancer, metabolism and immunity. However, studies on the expression of Deptor in different human malignancies have shown conflicting results: Deptor is overexpressed in thyroid cancer, myelomas and taxol-resistant ovarian



Figure 7 Schematic diagram of miR-155 intercellular transport and activation of mTOR signaling pathway.

cancer cell lines, while it is downregulated in bladder, prostate and cervix cancer.^{37–39}

Previous reports indicate that the 3'-UTR sequence in Deptor is the binding site for miR-155, and overexpression of miR-155 downregulates Deptor expression in breast cancer cells and leukemia.40,41 By using microRNA mimics technology to simulate miR-155 transported from T24 to RT4 cells via TNTs, our study shows overexpression of miR-155 in RT4 cells to down-regulate Deptor expression and induce increased phosphorylation of downstream mTOR proteins associated with translation via the classical mTOR pathway activation. Activation of mTOR in RT4 cells leads to enhanced 4EBP1 and p70S6K phosphorylation. Unphosphorylated 4EBP1 is able to bind the translational initiation factor eIF4E and prevent protein synthesis.⁴² In our study, phosphorylated 4EBP1 could not bind and inhibit eIF4E, leading to free eIF4E accumulation and activation. Phosphorylated eIF4E (p-eIF4E) and S6RP (p-S6RP) upregulated the translation of proteins, leading to cell cycle progression, cell growth and increased invasive ability (Figure 7).

This study has multiple limitations. At first, tracing and sorting live cells with microRNA labeling was technically difficult and therefore, microRNA mimics were used to simulate TNTs-mediated miR-155 transport from T24 cells to RT4 cells. Thus, the levels of miR-155 in microRNA mimic cells may differ from TNTs mediated miR-155-RT4 cells, and the exact changes in cell signaling in RT4 cells may differ from our current study. Second, it remains unknown what drives the transport of miR-155 from T24 cells to RT4 cells. Finally, the invasive and proliferative ability promoted by TNTs transport could be attributed partly to other factors, since miR-155 seems to be only one of the particles that can migrate between cells using TNTs.

Conclusion

miR-155 is differentially expressed between heterogeneous bladder cancer cells. Intercellular TNTs-mediated transport of miR-155 can promote bladder cancer cell reprogramming through Deptor-mTOR signal pathway activation.

Acknowledgment

This project was supported by the National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (No. 81302219).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Marusyk A, Almendro V, Polyak K. Intra-tumour heterogeneity: a looking glass for cancer? *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2012;12(5):323–334. doi:10.1038/nrc3261
- DeSantis CE, Lin CC, Mariotto AB, et al. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2014. CA Cancer J Clin. 2014;64(4):252– 271. doi:10.3322/caac.v64.4
- 3. Rustom A, Saffrich R, Markovic I, Walther P, Gerdes HH. Nanotubular highways for intercellular organelle transport. *Science*. 2004;303(5660):1007–1010. doi:10.1126/science.1093133
- Guescini M, Leo G, Genedani S, et al. Microvesicle and tunneling nanotube mediated intercellular transfer of g-protein coupled receptors in cell cultures. *Exp Cell Res.* 2012;318(5):603–613. doi:10.1016/j. yexcr.2012.01.005
- Lou E, Fujisawa S, Morozov A, et al. Tunneling nanotubes provide a unique conduit for intercellular transfer of cellular contents in human malignant pleural mesothelioma. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(3):e33093. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033093
- Rolf HJ, Niebert S, Niebert M, Gaus L, Schliephake H, Wiese KG. Intercellular transport of Oct4 in mammalian cells: a basic principle to expand a stem cell niche? *PLoS One.* 2012;7(2):e32287. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032287
- Thayanithy V, Dickson EL, Steer C, Subramanian S, Lou E. Tumorstromal cross talk: direct cell-to-cell transfer of oncogenic microRNAs via tunneling nanotubes. *Transl Res.* 2014;164(5):359– 365. doi:10.1016/j.trsl.2014.05.011
- Lu J, Zheng X, Li F, et al. Tunneling nanotubes promote intercellular mitochondria transfer followed by increased invasiveness in bladder cancer cells. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(9):15539–15552. doi:10.18632/ oncotarget.14695
- Stefani G, Slack FJ. Small non-coding RNAs in animal development. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2008;9(3):219–230. doi:10.1038/nrm2347
- He L, Thomson JM, Hemann MT, et al. A microRNA polycistron as a potential human oncogene. *Nature*. 2005;435(7043):828–833. doi:10.1038/nature03552
- Volinia S, Calin GA, Liu CG, et al. A microRNA expression signature of human solid tumors defines cancer gene targets. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S* A. 2006;103(7):2257–2261. doi:10.1073/pnas.0510565103
- Catto JW, Miah S, Owen HC, et al. Distinct microRNA alterations characterize high- and low-grade bladder cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2009;69(21):8472–8481. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-0744
- Ma L, Young J, Prabhala H, et al. miR-9, a MYC/MYCN-activated microRNA, regulates E-cadherin and cancer metastasis. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2010;12(3):247–256.
- 14. Park SK, Park YS, Ahn JY, et al. MiR 21-5p as a predictor of recurrence in young gastric cancer patients. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2016;31(8):1429–1435
- Forrest AR, Kanamori-Katayama M, Tomaru Y, et al. Induction of microRNAs, mir-155, mir-222, mir-424 and mir-503, promotes monocytic differentiation through combinatorial regulation. *Leukemia*. 2010;24(2):460–466.
- Zhang KL, Zhou X, Han L, et al. MicroRNA-566 activates EGFR signaling and its inhibition sensitizes glioblastoma cells to nimotuzumab. *Mol Cancer*. 2014;13:63.
- Lin Z, Sun L, Chen W, et al. miR-639 regulates transforming growth factor beta-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition in human tongue cancer cells by targeting FOXC1. *Cancer Sci.* 2014;105 (10):1288–1298.
- Sikand K, Singh J, Ebron JS, Shukla GC. Housekeeping gene selection advisory: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and beta-actin are targets of miR-644a. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(10): e47510
- Scheffer AR, Holdenrieder S, Kristiansen G, von Ruecker A, Muller SC, Ellinger J. Circulating microRNAs in serum: novel biomarkers for patients with bladder cancer? *World J Urol.* 2014;32(2):353–358.

- 20. Port M, Glaesener S, Ruf C, et al. Micro-RNA expression in cisplatin resistant germ cell tumor cell lines. Mol Cancer. 2011;10:52
- 21. Hromadnikova I, Kotlabova K, Ondrackova M, et al. Circulating C19MC microRNAs in preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, and fetal growth restriction. Mediators Inflamm. 2013;2013:186041.
- 22. Ergun S, Tayeb TS, Arslan A, et al. The investigation of miR-221-3p and PAK1 gene expressions in breast cancer cell lines. Gene. 2015;555(2):377-381.
- 23. Zhu FQ, Zeng L, Tang N, et al. MicroRNA-155 downregulation promotes cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in diffuse large B-Cell lymphoma. Oncol Res. 2016;24(6):415–427. doi:10.3727/ 096504016X14685034103473
- 24. Catto JW, Alcaraz A, Bjartell AS, et al. MicroRNA in prostate, bladder, and kidney cancer: a systematic review. Eur Urol. 2011;59 (5):671-681. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2011.01.044
- 25. Chen Z, Ma T, Huang C, Hu T, Li J. The pivotal role of microRNA-155 in the control of cancer. J Cell Physiol. 2014;229(5):545-550. doi:10.1002/jcp.v229.5
- 26. Calame K. MicroRNA-155 function in B cells. Immunity. 2007;27 (6):825-827. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2007.11.010
- 27. Wan G, Xie W, Liu Z, et al. Hypoxia-induced MIR155 is a potent autophagy inducer by targeting multiple players in the MTOR pathway. Autophagy. 2014;10(1):70-79. doi:10.4161/auto.26534
- 28. Van Roosbroeck K, Fanini F, Setoyama T, et al. Combining Anti-Mir-155 with chemotherapy for the treatment of lung cancers. Clin Cancer Res. 2017;23(11):2891-2904. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-16-1025
- 29. Pai J, Hyun S, Hyun JY, et al. Screening of Pre-miRNA-155 binding peptides for apoptosis inducing activity using peptide microarrays. J Am Chem Soc. 2016;138(3):857-867. doi:10.1021/jacs.5b09216
- 30. Wang H, Men CP. Correlation of increased expression of MicroRNA-155 in bladder cancer and prognosis. Lab Med. 2015;46(2):118-122. doi:10.1309/LMWR9CEA2K2XVSOX
- 31. Robertson AG, Kim J, Al-Ahmadie H, et al. Comprehensive molecular characterization of muscle-invasive bladder cancer. Cell. 2017;171(3):540-556. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.007
- 32. Dunlop EA, Tee AR. Mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1: signalling inputs, substrates and feedback mechanisms. Cell Signal. 2009;21(6):827-835. doi:10.1016/j.cellsig.2009.01.012

- 33. Sengupta S, Peterson TR, Sabatini DM. Regulation of the mTOR complex 1 pathway by nutrients, growth factors, and stress. Mol Cell. 2010;40(2):310-322. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2010.09.026
- 34. Aylett CH, Sauer E, Imseng S, et al. Architecture of human mTOR complex 1. Science. 2016;351(6268):48-52. doi:10.1126/science.aaa3870
- 35. Peterson TR, Laplante M, Thoreen CC, et al. DEPTOR is an mTOR inhibitor frequently overexpressed in multiple myeloma cells and required for their survival. Cell. 2009;137(5):873-886. doi:10.1016/ j.cell.2009.03.046
- 36. Caron A, Briscoe DM, Richard D, Laplante M. DEPTOR at the nexus of cancer, metabolism, and immunity. Physiol Rev. 2018;98 (3):1765-1803. doi:10.1152/physrev.00064.2017
- 37. Alayev A, Salamon RS, Berger SM, et al. mTORC1 directly phosphorylates and activates ERalpha upon estrogen stimulation. Oncogene. 2016;35(27):3535-3543. doi:10.1038/onc.2015.414
- 38. Catena V, Fanciulli M. Deptor: not only a mTOR inhibitor. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2017;36(1):12. doi:10.1186/s13046-016-0484-y
- mTOR components in endometriosis and ovarian cancer: effects of rapalogues and dual kinase inhibitors on mTORC1 and mTORC2 stoichiome-
- 40. Martin EC, Rhodes LV, Elliott S, et al. microRNA regulation of mammalian target of rapamycin expression and activity controls estrogen receptor function and RAD001 sensitivity. Mol Cancer. 2014;13:229. doi:10.1186/1476-4598-13-229
- 41. Jablonska E, Gorniak P, Prusisz W, et al. Downregulation of deptor by MiR-155 promotes cell survival through activation of PI3K/AKT and NFkB Signaling in ABC-type diffuse large B-Cell lymphomas. Blood. 2016;128(22):1761. doi:10.1182/blood.V128.22.1761.1761
- activated kinase and its key role in catabolism: structure, regulation, biological activity, and pharmacological activation. Mol Pharmacol. 2015;87(3):363-377. doi:10.1124/mol.114.095810

39. Rogers-Broadway KR, Kumar J, Sisu C, et al. Differential expression of try. Int J Mol Med. 2019;43(1):47-56. doi:10.3892/ijmm.2018.3967

42. Krishan S, Richardson DR, Sahni S. Adenosine monophosphate-

International Journal of Nanomedicine

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Nanomedicine is an international, peerreviewed journal focusing on the application of nanotechnology in diagnostics, therapeutics, and drug delivery systems throughout the biomedical field. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine, CAS, SciSearch[®], Current Contents[®]/Clinical Medicine,

Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, EMBase, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-nanomedicine-journal