Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

The Value of Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index in Evaluating Postoperative Complication Risk and Long-Term Prognosis in Elderly Colorectal Cancer Patients

> This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: Cancer Management and Research

Purpose: The geriatric nutritional risk index (GNRI) has been reported as a useful tool for predicting the prognosis of many diseases; however, there is currently little research on the relationship between GNRI and outcomes in elderly colorectal cancer (CRC) patients. This study aimed to explore the value of GNRI in evaluating postoperative complication risk and long-term prognosis in elderly CRC patients.

Patients and Methods: The medical records of 230 CRC patients aged≥65 years who underwent surgery between January 2012 and December 2014 were retrospectively analyzed. Patients were divided into abnormal and normal GNRI groups by modified binary classification. Logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the correlation between GNRI and complication risk. The Kaplan–Meier method with log-rank test was used to construct survival curves. The Cox proportional hazard model was used for univariate, multivariate and subgroup survival analyses to assess the relationship between GNRI and long-term prognosis.

Results: Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that GNRI (p = 0.009, HR 2.280, 95% CI: 1.224–4.247) was an independent risk factor for postoperative complications in elderly CRC patients. Kaplan–Meier survival curves revealed that the abnormal GNRI group had significantly lower disease-free survival (DFS; p = 0.005) and overall survival (OS; p=0.007) than the normal GNRI group had, especially in TNM I stage. In multivariate survival analysis, GNRI was an independent prognostic factor for DFS (p = 0.003, HR 1.842, 95% CI: 1.229–2.760) and OS (p = 0.003, HR 1.852, 95% CI: 1.231–2.787).

Conclusion: GNRI is a simple and effective tool for predicting the risk of postoperative complications and the long-term prognosis of postoperative elderly CRC patients and can provide a scientific basis for early nutrition interventions in elderly CRC patients.

Keywords: colorectal cancer, geriatric nutritional risk index, prognosis, complication

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most frequent malignancy and the second leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide, and its incidence ranks fourth among men and third among women. In 2018, there were >1.8 million new cases and 881,000 deaths estimated worldwide.¹ In China, CRC is the fifth most usual malignancy, and its mortality ranks fourth, and the incidence continues to increase.²

Surgical resection is still a mainstay of curative treatment for CRC.³ However, malnutrition may increase the risk of surgery and prolong hospital stays and has

165

Cancer Management and Research 2020:12 165-175

© 2020 Tang et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (http://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

Hailun Xie^{2,*} Jiaan Kuang² Feng Gao ^{D²} Jialiang Gan² Hesheng Ou³

Shuangyi Tang^{1,*}

¹Department of Pharmacy, The First Affiliated Hospital, Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, Guangxi, People's Republic of China; ²Department of Colorectal and Anal Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital, Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, Guangxi, People's Republic of China; ³Pharmaceutical College, Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, Guangxi, People's Republic of China

 $\ensuremath{^*\text{These}}\xspace$ authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Jialiang Gan Department of Colorectal and Anal Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital, Guangxi Medical University, No. 6 Shuangyong Road, Nanning 530021, Guangxi, People's Republic of China Tel +86-771-5356529 Email gjl5172@163.com

Hesheng Ou

Pharmaceutical College of Guangxi Medical University, No. 22 Shuangyong Road, Nanning 530021, Guangxi, People's Republic of China Tel +86- 771- 5358272 Email 2676611767@qq.com



been confirmed to significantly increase postoperative mortality in elderly patients.⁴ Malnutrition is often found in cancer patients and is not only associated with post-operative complications, but also with long-term prognosis.^{5,6} A large body of research has reported malnutrition in >80% of patients with gastrointestinal malignancies.^{7,8} Therefore, further studies are needed to determine prognostic indicators to assess better the risk of postoperative complications and long-term prognosis in elderly CRC patients.

The geriatric nutritional risk index (GNRI) was originally established by Bouillanne et al⁹ to assess nutritional risk in elderly people. GNRI is an adaptation of the NRI of Buzby et al¹⁰ and it is a simple nutrition screening tool for assessing the nutritional risks for elderly patients.^{11,12} GNRI is widely used in nutritional assessment of elderly patients with chronic liver failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and cardiovascular disease.13-15 Recently, many studies have found that GNRI can be used in the prognostic assessment of various malignant tumors, including renal cell carcinoma,^{16,17} esophageal squamous cell carcinoma,¹⁸ hepatocellular carcinoma,¹⁹ and pathological stage I non-small cell lung cancer.²⁰ However, there is currently little research on the relationship between GNRI and outcomes in elderly CRC patients. Thus, this study aimed to explore the value of GNRI in evaluating postoperative complication risk and long-term prognosis in elderly CRC patients.

Materials and Methods Study Population

A total of 230 CRC patients aged>65 years who experienced surgery in the Department of Colorectal and Anal Surgery, the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University between January 2012 and December 2014 were retrospectively analyzed. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) pathological diagnosis of the primary lesion was CRC adenocarcinoma; 2) receiving radical resection; 3) age 265 years; and 4) complete clinicopathological characteristics and follow-up data. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) uncertain primary tumor site; 2) patients undergoing palliative surgery; 3) missing clinical data and patients lost to follow-up. The current study protocol was permitted by the Hospital Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, Guangxi, China: 2019 (KY-E-100), and patients were informed in detail about the study and verbal consents were obtained during the follow-up. This study strictly followed all regulations of the Declaration of Helsinki, and all data were maintained with confidentiality.

Data Collection

The clinicopathological characteristics we collected included gender, age, serum albumin, prognostic nutritional index (PNI), body mass index (BMI), tumornode-metastasis (TNM) stage, pathology tumor (pT) stage, pathology node (pN) stage, preoperative metastasis, tumor differentiation, perineural/vascular invasion, tumor location, pathological types, surgical approach, preoperative serum carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA). The postoperative outcomes we collected included operative mortality, postoperative complications, overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS).

Definition of GNRI and Other Parameters

Serum albumin, serum CEA levels were measured by preoperative fasting venous blood (4 mL) collected 3-5 days before surgery. Albumin <35 g/L was defined as hypoproteinemia, serum CEA level <5 ng/mL was defined as normal. According to the World Health Organization's BMI standard, a BMI less than 18.5 is underweight, and a BMI greater than or equal to 24 is overweight. PNI = albumin level (g/L) + $5 \times \text{lymphocyte count (10⁹/L), PNI < 45 was considered low,}$ and PNI≥45 was considered high. The GNRI formula was: $GNRI = 1.487 \times serum$ albumin concentrations (g/L) + 41.7×preoperative body weight/ideal body weight. The ideal body weight was calculated according to the modified Broca's index, male: (height-100) ×0.9; female: (height-100) ×0.85. When the preoperative body weight of patients exceeded the ideal body weight, the preoperative body weight/ideal body weight (kg) was set at 1. The weight and height of each patient were assessed and averaged by two medical professionals. Based on previous research,9,19 patients were classified according to the following cut-offs: high risk (GNRI < 82), moderate risk (82-92), low risk (92-98), and normal level (>98). The postoperative complication grades were assessed using the Clavien–Dindo complication classification system.²¹

Survival Follow-Up

CRC patients were re-examined every 3 months within 2 years after surgery and then every 3–6 months thereafter. The final follow-up visit occurred in August 1, 2019. Postoperative follow-up examination consisted of blood tests, checking the tumor biomarkers, imaging diagnosis (X-ray photography, positron emission tomography, and computed tomography), and colonoscopy. DFS was

defined as the interval from cancer resection to recurrence, death or last follow-up. OS was defined as the interval from cancer resection to death or last follow-up.

Statistical Analysis

The chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was applied to explore differences in categorical variables. Logistic regression analysis was applied to assess factors associated with postoperative complications. The Kaplan–Meier method with log-rank test was used to construct survival curves. Univariate, multivariate and subgroup multivariate Cox regression analyses were applied to identify variables associated with DFS and OS. The nomogram for predicting complication risk was founded by logistic regression analysis. The nomograms for predicting DFS and OS were founded by Cox regression analysis. Two-sided p < 0.05 was significant. All data were analyzed by IBM SPSS 24.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY) and R software Version 3.5.3 (version 3.5.3; www.r-project.org).

Results

Clinicopathological Factors

Our study included 230 patients (154 males and 76 females), with a mean age of 70.6 ± 5.4 years and age range 65–92 years. The median follow-up time for OS was 61 months (range 1–80 months). According to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) eighth version TNM classification, 58 patients (25.2%) were

classified as stage I, 80 (34.8%) as stage II, 76 (33.0%) as stage III, and 16 (7%) as stage IV. 124 patients underwent open surgery and 106 patients underwent laparoscopic surgery.

Associations of GNRI Quartiles with Clinicopathological Factors

Correlations of GNRI with various clinicopathological factors included gender, age, albumin, BMI, PNI, pT stage, pN stage, TNM stage, perineural/vascular invasion, tumor location, pathological type, differentiation, and CEA level. GNRI was significantly correlated with gender (p < 0.001), albumin (p < 0.001), BMI (p < 0.001), and PNI (p < 0.001) (Table 1).

Modified Categories of GNRI in Elderly CRC Patients

We performed Cox regression analysis using the categorical variables. In the multivariate Cox regression analysis of DFS, the survival rate of normal GNRI patients was significantly higher than that of high-risk GNRI patients (p = 0.014, HR 0.390, 95% CI: 0.184–0.829), while the survival rate of moderate- and low-risk GNRI patients was not significantly improved compared with that of high-risk GNRI patients (p = 0.392 and 0.315, respectively). In the multivariate analysis of OS, the survival rate of normal GNRI patients was significantly higher than that of high-risk GNRI patients (p = 0.009, HR

 Table I Associations of GNRI Quartiles with Clinicopathological Factors

Feature	Case	High Risk	Moderate Risk	Low Risk	Normal Level	X ²	P
		GNRI < 82	GNRI 82–92	GNRI 92–98	GNRI≥ 98		
All patients	230	14	45	54	117		
Gender (male/ female)	154/76	13/1	39/6	38/16	64/53	20.931	<0.001
Age (years)(<70/≥70)	130/100	6/8	27/18	26/28	71/46	3.651	0.302
Albumin (<35g/L/≥35g/L)	89/141	14/0	35/10	21/33	19/98	79.463	<0.001
BMI (low/normal/high)	30/129/71	9/3/2	15/28/2	6/38/10	0/60/57	126.784	<0.001
PNI (low/high)	121/109	14/0	39/22	32/28	36/81	38.532	<0.001
pT stage (T1-2/T3-4)	72/158	6/8	12/33	17/37	37/80	1.325	0.723
pN stage (N0/N1/N2)	147/91/22	10/2/2	30/13/2	34/17/3	73/29/15	7.385	0.287
Preoperative metastasis (N/Y)	214/16	13/1	43/2	49/5	109/8	1.044	0.761
Tumor location (Rectal/Colon)	115/115	5/9	17/28	29/25	64/53	5.162	0.160
TNM stage (I/II/III/IV)	58/80/76/16	5/6/2/1	12/15/16/2	14/17/18/5	27/42/40/8	3.820	0.923
Perineural/vascular invasion (Negative/ Positive)	199/31	14/0	37/8	49/5	99/18	3.713	0.265
Pathological type (protrude/ infiltrating/ ulcerative)	48/26/156	7/0/7	12/4/29	11/9/34	18/13/86	3.357	0.763
Differentiation (poor/ medium and high)	13/217	0/14	2/43	6/48	5/112	3.313	0.298
CEA (normal/high)	146/84	10/4	27/18	36/18	73/44	0.875	0.838

167

0.368, 95% CI: 0.173–0.782), while the survival rate of moderate- and low-risk GNRI patients was not significantly improved compared with that of high-risk GNRI patients (p = 0.317 and 0.245, respectively). Based on this analysis, we established the dichotomous GNRI variable, defining normal level group as normal GNRI group, and combining high-, moderate- and low-risk groups into the abnormal GNRI group (Table 2).

Risk Factors for Postoperative Complications in CRC Patients

In total, 61 (26.5%) patients had postoperative complications, including postoperative bowel obstruction (15 cases), anastomotic leak (3 cases), pulmonary complications (12 cases), wound problems (18 cases), death (1 case), and other complications (12 cases). Clavien-Dindo classification showed: 30 cases (13.0%) with grade I complications, 22 (9.6%) with grade II, 3 (1.3%) with grade III, 5 (2.2%) with grade IV, and 1 (0.4%) with grade V. Incidence of postoperative complications was significantly higher in the abnormal GNRI group (17.4% vs 9.1%, p = 0.003) (Table 3). In the univariate logistic regression analysis, GNRI (p = 0.003), tumor location (p = 0.026), and surgical approach (p = 0.007) were associated with postoperative complications. Variables with p < 0.05 in univariate logistic regression analysis were further assessed with the multivariate logistic regression analysis, showing that only GNRI (p = 0.009, HR 2.280, 95% CI: 1.224-4.247) and surgical approach (p = 0.040, HR 1.965, 95% CI: 1.031--3.746) were independent risk factors for postoperative complications in elderly CRC patients (Table 4).

Kaplan–Meier Curve of GNRI in Elderly CRC Patients

In the Kaplan-Meier analysis, patients in the normal GNRI group experienced significantly higher DFS and

 Table 3 Details of Postoperative Complications According to the Clavien–Dindo Classification

Grade	Total (n=230)	Abnormal GNRI (n=113)	Normal GNRI (n=117)	р
Total complications	61 (26.5%)	40(17.4%)	21(9.1%)	0.003
Grade I	30(13%)	21(9.1%)	9(3.9%)	0.014
Grade II	22(9.6%)	15(6.5%)	7(3.0%)	0.060
Grade III	3(1.3%)	I (0.4%)	2(2.2%)	0.513
Grade IV	5(2.2%)	5(1.0%)	0(0.0%)	0.027
Grade V	l (0.4%)	l (0.4%)	0(0.0%)	0.491

OS, than those in the abnormal GNRI group (Figure 1). Further stratified analysis showed that in TNM stage I patients, there were significant differences between the normal and abnormal GNRI groups in both DFS and OS, but there were no significant differences in TNM II, III and IV stage patients (Figure 2).

Survival Analysis of GNRI in Elderly CRC Patients

In univariate analysis, patients in the normal GNRI group had significantly longer DFS and OS than those in the abnormal GNRI group ((p = 0.010, HR 1.681, 95% CI: 1.133–2.496); (p=0.007, HR 1.319, 95% CI: 1.196–2.712), respectively). In multivariate analysis, adjusted for other clinicopathological factors, the DFS rate was significantly higher in the normal GNRI group than in the abnormal GNRI group (p = 0.003, HR 1.842, 95% CI: 1.229–2.760). Similar results were also found in OS (p = 0.003, HR 1.852, 95% CI: 1.231–2.787) (Table 5).

We also examined whether GNRI was altered by any subgroup variables of clinicopathological factors. We found that GNRI in male patients, patients aged <70 years, and

GNRI	Disease-Free Survi	ival			Overall Survival			
	Univariate		Multivariate		Univariate		Multivariate	
	HR (95% CI)	Р						
High risk	1	0.048	I	0.019	I	0.016	1	0.016
Moderate risk	0.791(0.369–1.694)	0.546	0.706(0.318–1.568)	0.392	0.785(0.366-1.682)	0.534	0.666(0.301–1.476)	0.317
Low risk	0.807(0.383–1.700)	0.572	0.672(0.310–1.458)	0.315	0.789(0.373–1.667)	0.534	0.630(0.290–1.371)	0.245
Normal Level	0.476(0.231–0.981)	0.044	0.390(0.184–0.829)	0.014	0.474(0.231–0.976)	0.043	0.368(0.173–0.782)	0.009
Abnormal GNRI	1		1		1		1	
Normal GNRI	0.579(0.391–0.858)	0.006	0.543(0.362–0.814)	0.003	0.585(0.394–0.866)	0.007	0.540(0.359–0.813)	0.003

Table 2 Modified Categories of GNRI in Elderly CRC Patients

Feature	Univariate Analysis		Multivariate Analysis	
	HR (95% CI)	Þ	HR (95% CI)	Þ
Gender (Female)	1.459(0.794-2.683)	0.224		
Age (≥70)	1.044(0.579–1.884)	0.885		
Albumin (≥35g/L)	0.666(0.368-1.205)	0.179		
вмі		0.522		
Low	1.000			
Normal	1.372(0.543–3.467)			
High	0.956(0.347–2.632)			
PNI (High)	0.641(0.353-1.163)	0.143		
GNRI (Abnormal)	2.505(1.362-4.608)	0.003	2.280(1.224-4.247)	0.009
T stage (T3-4)	1.249(0.655–2.381)	0.500		
N stage		0.995		
N0	1.000			
NI	0.985(0.500-1.939)			
N2	1.038(0.379–2.843)			
Preoperative metastasis (Yes)	1.282(0.427–3.853)	0.658		
Tumor location (Colon)	1.974(1.084–3.596)	0.026	1.630(0.870-3.052)	0.127
Perineural/vascular invasion (Positive)	1.156(0.500–2.672)	0.734		
Pathological type		0.267		
Protrude	1.000			
Infiltrating	2.375(0.828-6.809)			
Ulcerative	1.355(0.619–2.963)			
Differentiation (Medium and High)	1.248(0.370-4.209)	0.721		
Surgical approach (open)	2.345(1.261-4.361)	0.007	1.965(1.031-3.746)	0.040
CEA (High)	1.063(0.591–1.915)	0.838		

Table 4 Univariate and Multivariate Logistic Regress	sion Analysis of Postoperative	Complication in Elderly CRC Patients
--	--------------------------------	--------------------------------------

patients with normal albumin, normal BMI, high PNI, T1–2 stage, pN0 stage, rectal cancer, no preoperative metastasis, positive and negative perineural/vascular invasion, protruding tumor type, poor tumor differentiation, laparoscopy surgery and normal CEA had significant significance through multivariate subgroup analysis in DFS (Figure 3A). While had significant significance in male, <70 years, normal ALB, normal BMI, high PNI, T1-2 stage, pN0 stage, rectal cancer, no preoperative metastasis, negative perineural/vascular invasion, poor differentiation, laparoscopy surgery and normal CEA had significant significance through multivariate subgroup analysis in OS (Figure 3B).

Development of Nomograms

A nomogram was constructed to assess the risk of postoperative complications of elderly CRC patients. After adjustment with the logistic regression model, only GNRI and surgical approach were included in the complication risk model (Figure 4). The points against each factor can be counted, and the complication risk could also be predicted. The nomograms were used to assess the correlation of GNRI and prognosis in elderly CRC patients. After adjustment with the Cox regression analysis, only GNRI, pN stage, and preoperative metastasis were included in the DFS model, and GNRI, differentiation, pN stage, and preoperative metastasis were included in the OS model. The points against each factor can be counted, and the 1- to 5-year DFS and OS could also be predicted (Figure 5A and B).

Discussion

Gastrointestinal malignancy, especially CRC, is often accompanied by malnutrition, which is due to the decrease in oral intake and increase in consumption caused by gastrointestinal obstruction, chronic intestinal bleeding and cancer pain.^{5,21,22} Elderly patients are more prone to cancer-related death due to malnutrition and deterioration of physical function. Many studies have shown that malnutrition makes it more difficult to recover from diseases,



Figure I Kaplan-Meier survival curve of GNRI in elderly CRC patients.

Notes: (A) disease-free survival curve of four-classification GNRI; (B) disease-free survival curve of modified binary-classification GNRI; (C) overall survival curve of fourclassification GNRI; (D) overall survival curve of modified binary-classification GNRI.

Abbreviation: GNRI, geriatric nutritional risk index.

trauma and surgery. Deterioration of nutritional status can inhibit tumor immunity, leading to an increase of postoperative complications and tumor spread.^{23,24} Therefore, early identification of nutritional high-risk status is crucial to improve the prognosis of elderly CRC patients.

GNRI combines three important nutritional indicators, including serum albumin levels and body weight and height. Serum albumin is one of the most common factors used to assess malnutrition. Some studies^{25,26} have suggested that albumin is an index that indirectly reflects inflammation, it affects the catabolism of liver cells through pro-inflammatory cytokines, including interleukin-1 and tumor necrosis factor- α . These inflammatory factors play a significant role in tumor cell proliferation and invasion, and tumor neovascularization. Roxburgh and McMillan²⁷ found that hypoalbuminemia may be an association with impaired immune response. Gupta and Lis²⁸ and Hu et al²⁹ also showed that a decrease in serum protein in CRC patients contributed significantly to poor postoperative outcome. Height and weight are also commonly used to assess an individual's nutritional status. Renfro et al^{30,31} pointed out that low BMI may increase the risk of disease progression and death in CRC patients, which may be related to the negative effects of cancer cachexia. Due to the influence of cancer malignancy, the BMI of CRC patients may be lower than that of the normal population. GNRI has additional information on ideal weight, which can more objectively reflect the weight change of CRC patients. GNRI combined with serum albumin level and changes in body weight can better predict nutrition-related death than serum albumin or BMI can.

Since GNRI can be easily calculated from conventionally clinical laboratory data, it has gradually been applied to the assessment of nutrition, complications and prognosis in various chronic diseases, including various malignant tumors. However, few studies have verified the clinical application of GNRI for elderly CRC patients. In the



Figure 2 Stratified Kaplan-Meier survival curves of GNRI based on different TNM stages.

Notes: (A) disease-free survival curve of GNRI in TNM I; (B) disease-free survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; (C) disease-free survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; (D) overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; (E) overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; (F) overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; (F) overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve of GNRI in TNM II; disease-free survival curve and overall survival curve and o

Abbreviation: GNRI, geriatric nutritional risk index.

present study, we explored the potential value of GNRI as a postoperative complication or prognostic factor in elderly CRC patients. In previous studies,^{9,32} GNRI was based on four classifications. We performed Cox regression analysis and Kaplan–Meier survival curve analysis on GNRI and found that a cutoff value of GNRI=98 could better distinguish CRC patients with poor prognosis. Thus, we modified the GNRI classification criteria, which makes it more convenient and suitable to evaluate elderly CRC patients.

Malnutrition is associated with postoperative intestinal spasm, delayed wound healing, postoperative pulmonary infection, and a significant increase in morbidity and mortality the elderly patients. In the present study, about 26.5% of the elderly patients experienced varying degrees of postoperative complications, and most could be resolved by medication. The risk of postoperative complications was confirmed to be associated with abnormal GNRI, and multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that GNRI is an independent risk factor for postoperative complications. We also found that preoperative GNRI levels in CRC patients could play an important role in assessing long-term outcome. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed that the abnormal GNRI group had a lower postoperative survival rate than the normal GNRI group had, whether in DFS or OS. In the Cox regression analysis, although GNRI, pT stage, preoperative metastasis, perineural/vascular invasion, tumor differentiation and CEA

were associated with prognosis in elderly CRC patients, only GNRI, pN stage, and preoperative metastasis were independent risk factors for DFS, and GNRI, differentiation, pN stage, and preoperative metastasis were independent risk factors for OS. The TNM staging system is widely used to predict the prognosis of CRC patients, but the prognosis of patients with the same stage is often different, so it is necessary to find other factors that can further distinguish the prognosis in the same TNM stage. Based on the different TNM stages, we further performed a stratified analysis of GNRI. The results showed that only in TNM stage I could GNRI be used to screen patients with poor prognosis. There are many factors that affect the prognosis of CRC patients, such as tumor invasion, metastasis, nutrition, and immunity. For elderly CRC patients, nutrition-related factors may be another important factor affecting prognosis in addition to TNM staging. The reason why GNRI is not significantly different in advanced tumors may be in the early stages of tumors, nutrition-related factors have a large influence and other factors have a small influence, as the tumor progresses, tumor invasion, metastasis and other factors gradually play a dominant role. In the subgroup multivariate survival analysis, GNRI is significant in most subgroups, demonstrating that GNRI can be widely used in elderly CRC patients.

For easier assessment of the risk of postoperative complications in elderly CRC patients, we established a complication risk nomogram by logistic regression. By applying this model,

Table 5 Univariate and Multivariate Su	rvival Analysis of Clinico	opathological	Characteristics in Elde	rly CRC Pati	ents			
Feature	Disease-Free Survival				Overall Survival			
	Univariate		Multivariate		Univariate		Multivariate	
	HR (95% CI)	b	HR (95% CI)	d	HR (95% CI)	d	HR (95% CI)	d
Gender (Female) Age (≥70) Albumin (≥35g/L)	1.023(0.676–1.548) 1.078(0.732–1.589) 0.680(0.461–1.002)	0.914 0.703 0.051			1.008(0.667–1.525) 1.060(0.720–1.560) 0.691(0.470–1.017)	0.969 0.768 0.061		
BMI Low Normal High	1.000 1.057(0.591–1.890) 0.847(0.446–1.610)	0.625			1.000 0.987(0.561–1.736) 0.791(0.422–1.481)	0.598		
PNI (High) GNRI (Abnormal) pT stage (T3-4)	0.871(0.590–1.284) 1.681(1.133–2.496) 2.504(1.521–4.123)	0.485 0.010 <0.001	1.842(1.229–2.760) 1.408(0.828–2.395)	0.003 0.207	0.890(0.605–1.309) 1.711(1.154–2.536) 2.383(1.462–3.884)	0.553 0.007 <0.001	1.852(1.231–2.787) 1.348(0.790–2.300)	0.003 0.273
pN stage N0 N1 N2	1.000 2.157(1.398–3.327) 4.149(2.410–7.143)	<0.001	1.000 1.778(1.137–2.779) 4.224(2.358–7.565)	<0.001	1.000 2.117(1.375–3.260) 4.092(2.380–7.035)	<0.001	1.000 1.839(1.172–2.886) 4.397(2.436–7.937)	<0.001
Preoperative metastasis (Yes) Tumor location (Colon) Perineural/vascular invasion (Positive)	5.000(2.896–8.633) 1.133(0.770–1.668) 1.784(1.083–2.939)	<0.001 0.526 0.023	4.465(2.507–7.950) 1.265(0.756–2.114)	<0.001 0.371	5.338(3.048–9.349) 1.111(0.756–1.631) 1.766(1.073–2.908)	<0.001 0.593 0.025	4.954(2.728–8.998) 1.304(0.781–2.176)	<0.001
Pathological type Protrude Infiltrating Ulcerative	1.000 0.986(0.472–2.058) 1.178(0.718–1.933)	0.734			l .000 0.940(0.453–1.950) 1.122(0.690–1.825)	0.802		
Differentiation (Medium and High) Surgical approach (open) CEA (High)	2.258(1.095–4.654) 1.321(0.895–1.949) 1.829(1.244–2.689)	0.027 0.161 0.002	2.023(0.968 -4 .226) 1.328(0.891–1.981)	0.061 0.164	2.234(1.08 4 - 4 .602) 1.324(0.896–1.956) 1.794(1.218–2.642)	0.029 0.159 0.003	2.276(1.088–4.765) 1.307(0.874–1.954)	0.029 0.192

Fiderly CRC Parients 2. 100 vival Analysis of Cliniconathological Ch ō Multi 1 ÷.



Figure 3 Subgroup multivariate survival analysis of GNRI in elderly CRC patients.

Notes: (A) subgroup multivariate disease-free survival analysis of GNRI; (B) subgroup multivariate overall survival analysis of GNRI. Since GNRI is less than 98 in the low BMI subgroup, the low BMI subgroup is not shown.

Abbreviations: GNRI, geriatric nutritional risk index; DFS, disease-free survival; OS, overall survival.



Figure 4 The nomogram of complication risk model in elderly CRC patients. Abbreviation: GNRI, geriatric nutritional risk index.

we could predict the complication risk, and patients with lower scores had better nutritional status than those with higher scores. For easier assessment of the risk of postoperative adverse long-term prognosis in elderly CRC patients, we established two survival risk nomograms by the Cox regression analysis model. By applying the models, we could predict the 1- to 5-year survival, and patients with lower scores had better survival than those with higher scores.

In elderly CRC patients with abnormal GNRI, approximately 26.55% had normal BMI and albumin. Although



Figure 5 The nomograms of survival risk model in elderly CRC patients. Notes: (A) the survival risk model of DFS; (B) the survival risk model of OS.

Abbreviations: GNRI, geriatric nutritional risk index; DFS, disease-free survival; OS, overall survival.

these patients appeared to be sufficiently healthy based on their appearance and preoperative examination and could undergo surgical resection, the true malnutrition status and acceptable organ function were often ignored. In multivariate subgroup analysis, GNRI had implications for assessing prognosis in the normal BMI and albumin subgroups. This indicates that preoperative GNRI could be useful to identify patients with true malnutrition. PNI, a nutrition-related indicator, is considered a good tool for assessing the prognosis of CRC patients, but in this study, we found that PNI was not statistically significant in both survival analysis and complications-related analysis. We believe that GNRI is more appropriate than other nutritionrelated tools in assessing the prognostic value of elderly CRC patients.

Our study had some limitations. It was a retrospective study from a single center, with a small sample. Further research with multicenter prospective studies and larger samples is needed. Other nutrition-related indicators, such as Glasgow Prognostic Score (GPS) and Controlling Nutritional Status (CONUT), have also been confirmed to be related to the prognosis of CRC patients. However, due to the lack of these clinical data in this study, it is currently impossible to compare the effectiveness of GNRI with them, and we plan to conduct these useful explorations in the future.

Conclusion

This study demonstrated that GNRI is a simple and effective tool for predicting the risk of complications and longterm prognosis of elderly CRC patients, and can provide a scientific basis for early nutrition intervention in elderly CRC patients.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the 2019 Innovation Project of Guangxi Graduate Education (JGY2019052).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2018;68(6):394–424. doi:10.3322/caac.21492
- Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, et al. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin. 2016;66(2):115–132. doi:10.3322/caac.21338
- Benson AB, Venook AP, Al-Hawary MM, et al. NCCN guidelines insights: colon cancer, version 2.2018. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2018;16(4):359–369. doi:10.6004/jnccn.2018.0021
- Chen CCH, Schilling LS, Lyder CH. A concept analysis of malnutrition in the elderly. J Adv Nurs. 2001;36(1):131–142. doi:10.1046/ j.1365-2648.2001.01950.x
- Schwegler I, Von Holzen A, Gutzwiller JP, Schlumpf R, Mühlebach S, Stanga Z. Nutritional risk is a clinical predictor of postoperative mortality and morbidity in surgery for colorectal cancer. *Br J Surg.* 2010;97(1):92–97. doi:10.1002/bjs.6805
- Alifano M, Mansuet-Lupo A, Lococo F, et al. Systemic inflammation, nutritional status and tumor immune microenvironment determine outcome of resected non-small cell lung cancer. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(9): e106914. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106914
- Paccagnella A, Morassutti I, Rosti G. Nutritional intervention for improving treatment tolerance in cancer patients. *Curr Opin Oncol.* 2011;23(4):322–330. doi:10.1097/CCO.0b013e3283479c66
- Muscaritoli M, Anker S, Argiles J, et al. Consensus definition of sarcopenia, cachexia and pre-cachexia: joint document elaborated by Special Interest Groups (SIG)"cachexia-anorexia in chronic wasting diseases" and "nutrition in geriatrics". *Clin Nutr.* 2010;29(2):154–159. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2009.12.004
- Bouillanne O, Morineau G, Dupont C, et al. Geriatric nutritional risk index: a new index for evaluating at-risk elderly medical patients. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2005;82(4):777–783. doi:10.1093/ajcn/ 82.4.777

- Buzby G, Knox L, Crosby L, et al. Study protocol: a randomized clinical trial of total parenteral nutrition in malnourished surgical patients. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1988;47(2):366–381. doi:10.1093/ajcn/47.2.366
- 11. Abd-El-Gawad WM, Abou-Hashem RM, El Maraghy MO, Amin GE. The validity of geriatric nutrition risk index: simple tool for prediction of nutritional-related complication of hospitalized elderly patients. Comparison with mini nutritional assessment. *Clin Nutr.* 2014;33(6):1108–1116. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2013.12.005
- Cereda E, Klersy C, Pedrolli C, et al. The geriatric nutritional risk index predicts hospital length of stay and in-hospital weight loss in elderly patients. *Clin Nutr.* 2015;34(1):74–78. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2014.01.017
- Wada H, Dohi T, Miyauchi K, et al. Prognostic impact of the geriatric nutritional risk index on long-term outcomes in patients who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention. *Am J Cardiol.* 2017;119 (11):1740–1745. doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2017.02.051
- Taniguchi E, Kawaguchi T, Otsuka M, et al. Nutritional assessments for ordinary medical care in patients with chronic liver disease. *Hepatol Res.* 2013;43(2):192–199. doi:10.1111/hepr.2013.43.issue-2
- Matsumura T, Mitani Y, Oki Y, et al. Comparison of geriatric nutritional risk index scores on physical performance among elderly patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Heart Lung*. 2015;44(6):534–538. doi:10.1016/j.hrtlng.2015.08.004
- 16. Miyake H, Tei H, Fujisawa M. Geriatric nutrition risk index is an important predictor of cancer-specific survival, but not recurrence-free survival, in patients undergoing surgical resection for non-metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Curr Urol.* 2016;10(1):26–31. doi:10.1159/000447147
- 17. Gu W, Zhang G, Sun L, et al. Nutritional screening is strongly associated with overall survival in patients treated with targeted agents for metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle.* 2015;6(3):222–230. doi:10.1002/jcsm.12025
- Yamana I, Takeno S, Shimaoka H, et al. Geriatric nutritional risk index as a prognostic factor in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma–retrospective cohort study. *Int J Surg.* 2018;56:44–48. doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2018.03.052
- 19. Li L, Wang H, Yang J, et al. Geriatric nutritional risk index predicts prognosis after hepatectomy in elderly patients with hepatitis B virus-related hepatocellular carcinoma. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8(1):12561. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-30906-8
- Shoji F, Matsubara T, Kozuma Y, et al. Preoperative geriatric nutritional risk index: a predictive and prognostic factor in patients with pathological stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Surg Oncol.* 2017;26 (4):483–488. doi:10.1016/j.suronc.2017.09.006

- Kwag S-J, Kim J-G, Kang W-K, Lee J-K, Oh S-T. The nutritional risk is a independent factor for postoperative morbidity in surgery for colorectal cancer. *Ann Surg Treat Res.* 2014;86(4):206–211. doi:10.4174/ astr.2014.86.4.206
- 22. Wie G-A, Cho Y-A, Kim S-Y, Kim S-M, Bae J-M, Joung H. Prevalence and risk factors of malnutrition among cancer patients according to tumor location and stage in the National Cancer Center in Korea. *Nutrition*. 2010;26(3):263–268. doi:10.1016/j.nut.2009.04.013
- Barao K, Abe Vicente Cavagnari M, Silva Fucuta P, Manoukian Forones N. Association between nutrition status and survival in elderly patients with colorectal cancer. *Nutr Clin Pract.* 2017;32 (5):658–663. doi:10.1177/0884533617706894
- Norman K, Pichard C, Lochs H, Pirlich M. Prognostic impact of disease-related malnutrition. *Clin Nutr.* 2008;27(1):5–15. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2007.10.007
- 25. Balkwill F. Tumour necrosis factor and cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2009;9(5):361. doi:10.1038/nrc2628
- Brenner D, Blaser H, Mak TW. Regulation of tumour necrosis factor signalling: live or let die. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2015;15(6):362. doi:10.1038/ nri3834
- Roxburgh CS, McMillan DC. Role of systemic inflammatory response in predicting survival in patients with primary operable cancer. *Future Oncol.* 2010;6(1):149–163. doi:10.2217/fon.09.136
- Gupta D, Lis CG. Pretreatment serum albumin as a predictor of cancer survival: a systematic review of the epidemiological literature. *Nutr J.* 2010;9(1):69. doi:10.1186/1475-2891-9-69
- Hu W-H, Eisenstein S, Parry L, Ramamoorthy S. Preoperative malnutrition with mild hypoalbuminemia associated with postoperative mortality and morbidity of colorectal cancer: a propensity score matching study. *Nutr J.* 2019;18(1):33. doi:10.1186/s12937-019-0458-y
- 30. Renfro LA, Loupakis F, Adams RA, et al. Body mass index is prognostic in metastatic colorectal cancer: pooled analysis of patients from first-line clinical trials in the ARCAD database. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(2):144. doi:10.1200/JCO.2015.61.6441
- Jeong SH, Kim P, Yi SW, Kim YJ, Baeg MK, Yi JJ. Body mass index and gastrointestinal cancer mortality in Korean adults: a prospective cohort study. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018;33(9):1582–1589. doi:10.1111/ jgh.14115
- 32. Wang H, Hai S, Zhou Y, Liu P, Dong B-R. The geriatric nutritional risk index predicts mortality in nonagenarians and centenarians receiving home care. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr.* 2018;27(1):78.

Cancer Management and Research

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Cancer Management and Research is an international, peer-reviewed open access journal focusing on cancer research and the optimal use of preventative and integrated treatment interventions to achieve improved outcomes, enhanced survival and quality of life for the cancer patient. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/cancer-management-and-research-journal