#### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Long-Term Safety of a Novel Angiotensin Receptor Blocker, Fimasartan, According to the Absence or Presence of Underlying Liver Disease in Korean Hypertensive Patients: A Prospective, 12-Month, **Observational Study** 

> This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: Drug Design, Development and Therapy

Gyu Chul Oh Kwon Wook Joo 102 Myung-A Kim<sup>3</sup> Dong-Ju Choi Yoon Jun Kim 65 Hae-Young Lee

<sup>1</sup>Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea; <sup>2</sup>Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea; <sup>3</sup>Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul Metropolitan Government Boramae Hospital, Seoul, Korea; <sup>4</sup>Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Korea; <sup>5</sup>Division of Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea

Correspondence: Hae-Young Lee; Yoon Jun Kim 101 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul, Korea Tel +82 2 2072 0698; +82 2 2072 3081 Fax +82 2 3674 0805 Email hylee612@snu.ac.kr; yoonjun@snu.ac.kr



Purpose: Fimasartan, the ninth and most recent angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) approved by the Korea Food and Drug Administration, has shown similar efficacy and safety profiles compared to other ARBs. However, due to being predominantly excreted by the hepatobiliary system, concerns on safety have been raised regarding its use in patients with underlying liver disease.

Patients and Methods: This prospective, 12-month, observational study evaluated patients with essential hypertension (HTN) receiving ≥1 dose of fimasartan. Self-reported and physician-reported events were recorded and classified according to organ class and severity. Outcomes were compared according to the absence and presence of underlying liver disease. Results: A total of 601 patients were screened, and 566 patients who met predefined inclusion criteria were grouped according to the presence of underlying liver disease. Adverse events (AE) were reported in 28.7% (128/446) of patients without prior liver disease, while 42.5% (51/120) experienced events in the group with chronic liver disease. There was no difference in discontinuations due to liver function between patients with and without baseline liver disease (1.1% [5])vs 2.5% [3], p=0.376), and only a non-significant increase was observed in events associated to the hepatobiliary system in patients with chronic liver disease (9.7% [7] vs 2.7% [9], p=0.061). There were no deaths or serious adverse drug reactions (SADR) during the study period. In multivariate regression analysis, the presence of chronic liver disease (OR 2.01), female sex (OR 1.49) and old age (OR 1.12 for every 5-year increase) were independent predictors for the development of AE. Finally, no significant difference was observed in the reduction of systolic blood pressure after 12 months of treatment (least square mean change  $-6.57 \pm 0.80$  mmHg for normal liver function group;  $-7.65 \pm 1.59$  mmHg for chronic liver disease group; p=0.546).

Conclusion: Long-term use of fimasartan for treatment of HTN was associated with a low rate of adverse events overall, especially in the absence of underlying liver disease. Even for patients with chronic liver disease, fimasartan treatment was well tolerated. Fimasartan could be a safe option for long-term treatment of essential HTN. ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02385721.

**Keywords:** fimasartan, safety, liver disease, essential hypertension, hepatobiliary excretion, adverse event

## Introduction

Hypertension (HTN) is a widely prevalent disease that affects approximately 40% of the worldwide population. It is an established risk factor for cardiovascular

Drug Design, Development and Therapy 2020:14 1341-1349

1341

CC 0 S C2020 Oh et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

disease and is responsible for 50% of 17 million annual cardiovascular deaths.<sup>1</sup> HTN is also frequently prevalent together with other comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia and chronic kidney disease, which further increases cardiovascular risk. In recent years, updated guidelines have lowered the threshold of high blood pressure, with a goal to prevent adverse outcomes by early detection and intervention.<sup>2</sup>

Treatment of HTN is based on antihypertensive medications, achieved by using a combination of four classes of drugs: diuretics, beta-blockers, calcium-channel blockers and renin-angiotensin system (RAS) inhibitors.<sup>3</sup> Among these drugs, RAS inhibitors seem to be the favorite, constituting around 50% of all medications used to treat HTN.<sup>4,5</sup>

Fimasartan (Boryung Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Kanarb<sup>®</sup>, Seoul, Korea) is a non-protein angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) that selectively blocks angiotensin type 1 receptors.<sup>6</sup> It is the ninth and most recent ARB approved for use in HTN by the Korea Food and Drug Administration, and is also available for worldwide use.7 Fimasartan has been shown to have non-inferior, or even superior blood pressurelowering capabilities compared with losartan,<sup>8,9</sup> and has also proven its safety in previous trials.<sup>10,11</sup> Unlike other ARBs, fimasartan is predominantly excreted through the hepatobiliary system, with less than 3% of the administered dose detected in the urine.<sup>12</sup> Due to this characteristic, fimasartan has not been recommended for use in patients with moderate to severe liver dysfunction. Furthermore, clinicians have also expressed concerns that long-term use of fimasartan may be associated with increased risk of liver injury, especially in patients with underlying liver disease.<sup>13</sup> However, previous studies have only been performed for limited periods, and most studies excluded patients with chronic liver disease.

The current study aims to assess the long-term, hepatic safety of fimasartan use in real-world, hypertensive patients. Unlike other previous studies on fimasartan, patients with chronic liver disease were included and events were monitored for up to 1 year.

# Materials and Methods Study Design

This study is a multi-center, prospective, observational study performed from May 2013 to December 2015. Patients diagnosed with essential HTN were screened in three medical centers in Korea (Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea; Seoul Metropolitan Government Boramae Hospital, Seoul, Korea; Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Korea). Patients receiving  $\geq 1$  dose of fimasartan were enrolled in the study and followed-up for 12 months at 3-month intervals. Self-reported and physician-reported events were recorded and classified according to organ class and severity. The study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki, and written informed consent was obtained before enrollment. Study protocols were approved by the institutional review board of each participating hospital (H-1303-050-472) and registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02385721).

Fimasartan was prescribed at a dose of 30 to 60 mg once daily, with titration up to 120 mg possible at the decision of the treating physician. Patients with creatinine clearance <30 mL/min/1.73m2 were initially prescribed 30 mg once daily, with increases up to 60 mg once daily. Patients were defined to have chronic liver disease if they had a history of liver cirrhosis or hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), were carriers of chronic hepatitis B or C viruses. Incidence of adverse events (AE) was compared according to the presence and type of underlying liver disease (cirrhosis, HCC). The design of the study is described in Figure 1.

## Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Patients aged  $\geq 20$  years and who were newly prescribed with fimasartan within 1 month for the treatment of essential HTN were eligible for the study. Essential HTN was defined as systolic blood pressure (SBP)  $\geq 140$  mmHg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP)  $\geq 90$  mmHg.<sup>14,15</sup> Blood pressure was measured in the sitting position, after 5 mins of rest, using a semi-automated sphygmomanometer. The average value of multiple measurements was used. Subjects with a previous history of HTN, or those on anti-hypertensive medications were also defined as hypertensive. Patients were excluded from the study for the following reasons; hypersensitivity to the study drug; pregnancy; dialysis; galactose intolerance; or at the decision of the study investigator.

## Variable Definition

The primary safety outcome was the incidence rate of AE during the 12-month study period. Data from each visit were analyzed according to the Common Toxicity Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE), v4.03, and severity of patient-reported symptoms were reported according to the Spilker classification. The severity and outcome of AE, and its association with the study drug were also assessed. Events were defined as adverse drug reactions (ADR) if there was a "certain," "probable," "possible," "conditional," or "not



Figure I Study design.

classifiable" association with the study drug. All AE and ADR were recorded and coded using Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) version 19.0 and classified according to system organ class (SOC) and preferred terms (PT). Abnormalities in liver function enzymes, specifically aspartate transaminase (AST), alanine transaminase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), and total bilirubin, were recorded at each visit to assess for drug-induced liver injury.<sup>16</sup> Treatment persistence and changes in blood pressure were also recorded for analysis. Criteria fulfilling the Hy's law were investigated to assess whether the patients were at high risk of fatal drug-induced liver injury.<sup>17</sup>

Tests for liver function enzymes were performed at each follow-up visit to assess liver injury after the use of the study drug. Abnormal test results were categorized as follows: (1) acute hepatocellular liver injury defined by alanine transaminase (ALT) >2 x upper limit of normal (ULN) or ALT/alkaline phosphatase (AP) ratio $\geq$ 5, (2) acute cholestatic injury defined as AP >2 x ULN or ALT/AP  $\leq$ 2, and (3) mixed hepatic injury with intermediate clinical and biological characteristics between hepatocellular and cholestatic patterns.

#### Statistics

The total sample size was calculated using the "Rule of three,"<sup>18</sup> a frequently used method for assessment of

a specific adverse event. A study population of 600 patients had the power to evaluate an adverse event with an incidence of 0.5%, at a level of significance of 95%.

Continuous variables are described as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or median and interquartile range, and categorical variables as numbers and percentages. The chi-square test or Fisher's exact test is used for comparison of categorical variables, and the independent *t*-test or the Wilcoxon's rank-sum test is used for continuous variables. All analyses are two-sided, and p-values <0.05 are considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

## **Results** Study Population

A total of 601 patients were screened for study enrollment at three participating centers in Korea. After excluding patients who failed to meet predefined criteria, 566 patients were categorized into two groups according to the presence of underlying liver disease. Among 446 patients without prior liver disease, 278 (62.3%) completed the 12-month study, and 168 (37.7%) discontinued prematurely. The main reasons for discontinuation were withdrawal of consent (70, 15.7%), loss to follow-up (38, 8.5%) and AE (36, 8.1%). For 120 patients with chronic liver disease, 74 (61.7%) completed the 12-month study, with reasons for discontinuation being withdrawal of consent, AE, and loss-to follow up in 20 (16.7%), 15 (12.5%) and 7 (5.8%) patients, respectively. In the total study population, mean age was  $59.8 \pm 11.8$  years, and 56.5% were male with a median 3.0 year [IQR 0–10.0 years] duration of HTN. Co-morbid conditions such as diabetes mellitus (DM), dyslipidemia and chronic kidney disease were prevalent in 18.9%, 10.4% and 9.2% of the patients. Frequent co-administered drugs were lipid-modifying agents (223, 39.4%), calcium-channel blockers (186, 32.9%) and antithrombotic agents (179, 31.6%). Baseline demographics and clinical details of the study groups are summarized in Table 1.

## Adverse Events/Adverse Drug Reactions

Overall, 347 AE in 179 patients (31.6%) were reported during the study period. The severity was mostly mild (270/347, 77.8%) to moderate (66/347, 19.0%) in degree. Dizziness (25/566, 4.4%) and headaches (16/566, 2.8%) were frequently reported events. At the end of the study, 295 (85.0%) events had fully recovered or were in recovery, while 42 (12.1%) events were considered to be persistent.

Most events were determined to have no association with fimasartan treatment (278/347, 80.1%). Although there was a statistically higher proportion of patients experiencing AE in the group with chronic liver disease (42.5% vs 28.7%), there was no significant difference in severity (p=0.158). In the whole study population, there were 39 serious adverse events (SAE) reported in 33 patients (5.8%), but none were determined to be associated with fimasartan. Finally, there were no deaths or serious adverse drug reactions (SADR) reported during the study period.

Among 347 reported AE, 69 cases in 57 patients (10.1%) were considered to have a relationship with the study drug and defined as ADR. The events were all mild to moderate in severity, with no severe cases. Patients without prior liver disease showed a significantly higher recovery rate and lower discontinuation rate compared with those with underlying liver disease. Frequently occurring ( $\geq$ 1%) AE and ADR are summarized by SOC and presented in Table 2.

In multivariate logistic regression analysis, chronic liver disease (OR 2.01, 95% CI 1.29–3.12, p=0.002), female sex (OR 1.49, 95% CI 1.02–2.18, p=0.041) and old age (OR 1.12, 95% CI 1.03–1.22, p=0.008 for every 5-year increase) were independent predictors for developing AE in the whole

Table	Patient	Characteristics	According to	Baseline Liv	ver Function
Tuble	- rauciuit	Character istics		Dascinic Li	ci i uncuon

	Total (n=566)	Normal Liver Function (n=446)	Chronic Liver Disease (n=120)	p-value
Age (years)	59.8 ± 11.8	59.9 ± 12.4	59.3 ± 8.9	0.308
Sex (male), n (%)	320 (56.5)	233 (52.2)	87 (72.5)	<0.001
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	25.4 ± 3.3	25.4 ± 3.4	25.1 ± 2.9	0.480
Duration of HTN (yr, [IQR])	3.0 [0, 10.0]	4.0 [0.1, 10.1]	1.0 [0, 8.0]	<0.001
DM	107 (18.9)	85 (19.1)	22 (18.3)	0.857
Dyslipidemia	59 (10.4)	58 (13.0)	I (0.8)	<0.001
Chronic Kidney disease	52 (9.2)	49 (11.0)	3 (2.5)	0.004
Medications				
- Lipid modifying agents	223 (39.4)	204 (45.7)	19 (15.8)	<0.001
- Calcium channel blockers	186 (32.9)	163 (36.6)	23 (19.2)	<0.001
- Antithrombotic agents	179 (31.6)	166 (37.2)	13 (10.8)	<0.001
Laboratory tests				
- AST (IU/L)	24.9 ± 9.0	24.1 ± 8.2	28.3 ± 11.2	<0.001
- ALT (IU/L)	25.3 ± 12.9	25.0 ± 12.9	26.9 ± 13.1	0.184
- ALP (IU/L)	71.2 ± 23.1	71.0 ± 23.3	72.1 ± 22.6	0.662
- Total bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.9 ± 0.4	0.8 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.3	0.001
HBsAg (+), n (%)	76 (13.4)	-	76 (63.3)	
HCV RNA (+), n (%)	13 (2.3)	_	13 (10.8)	
Liver Cirrhosis	51 (9.0)		51 (42.5)	
Hepatocellular carcinoma	21 (3.7)		21 (17.5)	

Abbreviations: HTN, hypertension; DM, diabetes mellitus; AST, aspartate transaminase; IU, international unit; ALT, alanine transaminase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; HBsAg, hepatitis b virus surface antigen; HCV, hepatitis c virus.

	Adverse Events A				Adverse Drug Reactions			
	Total (n=566)	Normal Liver Function (n=446)	Chronic Liver Disease (n=120)	p-value	Total (n=566)	Normal Liver Function (n=446)	Chronic Liver Disease (n=120)	p-value
Number of patients (n, %)	179 (31.6)	128 (28.7)	51 (42.5)	0.004	57 (10.1)	34 (7.6)	23 (19.2)	<0.001
Number of events (cases)	347	247	100		69	41	28	
Serious events (cases, %)	39 (11.2)	25 (10.1)	14 (14.0)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Severity (cases, %)								
- Mild	270 (77.8)	194 (78.5)	76 (76.0)	0.158	58 (84.1)	34 (82.9)	24 (85.7)	0.756
- Moderate	66 (19.0)	48 (19.4)	18 (18.0)		( 5.9)	7 (17.1)	4 (14.3)	
- Severe	11 (3.2)	5 (2.0)	6 (6.0)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Outcome (cases, %)								
- Recovery	295 (85.0)	217 (87.9)	78 (78.0)	0.003	53 (76.8)	34 (82.9)	19 (67.9)	0.031
- Persistent	42 (12.1)	21 (8.5)	21 (21.0)		13 (18.8)	4 (9.8)	9 (32.1)	
- Unknown	10 (2.9)	9 (3.6)	1 (1.0)		3 (4.3)	3 (7.3)	0 (0.0)	
Study drug discontinuation	63 (11.1)	44 (9.9)	19 (15.8)	0.065	43 (7.6)	25 (5.6)	18 (15.0)	0.001
Classification (patients, %)								
- Nervous system disorders	50 (8.8)	40 (9.0)	10 (8.3)		24 (4.2)	16 (3.6)	8 (6.7)	
- Laboratory abnormalities	34 (6.0)	27 (6.1)	7 (5.8)		14 (2.5)	11 (2.5)	3 (2.5)	
- Gastrointestinal disorders	30 (5.3)	20 (4.5)	10 (8.3)		4 (0.7)	2 (0.5)	2 (1.7)	
- Infections	27 (4.8)	18 (4.0)	9 (7.5)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
- General disorders	20 (3.5)	13 (2.9)	7 (5.8)		5 (0.8)	2 (0.5)	3 (2.5)	
- Skin disorders	18 (3.2)	12 (2.7)	6 (5.0)		6 (1.1)	2 (0.5)	4 (3.3)	
- Vascular disorders	15 (2.7)	10 (2.2)	5 (4.2)		10 (1.8)	5 (1.1)	5 (4.2)	
- Musculoskeletal disorders	14 (2.5)	11 (2.5)	3 (2.5)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
- Respiratory disorders	13 (2.3)	10 (2.2)	3 (2.5)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
- Injury	14 (2.5)	11 (2.5)	3 (2.5)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
- Neoplasms	13 (2.3)	4 (0.9)	9 (7.5)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
- Eye disorders	9 (1.6)	8 (1.8)	I (0.8)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
- Renal disorders	7 (1.2)	6 (1.4)	I (0.8)		3 (4.3)	3 (7.3)	0 (0.0)	
- Reproductive system disorders	7 (1.2)	4 (0.9)	3 (2.5)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
- Metabolic disorders	6 (1.1)	3 (0.7)	3 (2.5)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
- Others	18 (3.2)	13 (2.9)	5 (4.2)		2 (0.4)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.7)	

Table 2 Frequency of Adverse Events and Adverse Drug Reaction	ons
---	-----

study population (Table 3). History of prior liver disease was also an independent predictor of ADR, as shown in <u>Supplemental Table 1</u>. In post hoc analysis, the association of underlying liver disease and AE was not significantly different between exploratory subgroups (Figure 2).

Among patients with chronic liver disease, no differences were observed in the incidence of AE according to different liver conditions. In detail, among 51 patients with liver cirrhosis, 39.2% experienced AE, compared with 44.9% in 69 patients without cirrhosis (p=0.532). For 21 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, 47.6% reported events, compared with 41.4% in those without malignancy (p=0.601). There were also no significant differences in ADR (p=0.565 for cirrhosis, p=0.532 for HCC) or discontinuations (p=0.330 for cirrhosis, p=0.126 for HCC).

## Events Associated with Liver Function

Laboratory tests were performed at 3-month intervals to assess changes in liver function after fimasartan treatment. In patients with chronic liver disease, serum AST and total bilirubin levels were significantly higher at baseline (Table 1), and the difference persisted throughout the study period (Table 4). During follow-up, liver function enzyme abnormalities were more frequently observed in patients with chronic liver disease (10.8% [13] vs 3.6% [16], p=0.001). In detail, there were 7 cases of hepatocellular injury, 1 case of cholestatic injury, and 5 cases of mixed type injury observed in the chronic liver disease group, whereas 14 cases of hepatocellular injury, 1 case of cholestatic injury, and 1 case of mixed type injury were observed in the normal liver function group. There were no significant differences in discontinuation rates (2.5% [3] vs

	Univariate /	Analysis	Multivariate Analysis		
	OR [95% CI]	p-value	OR [95% CI]	p-value	
Age (for every 5-year increase)	1.13 [1.05–1.23]	0.002	1.12 [1.03–1.22]	0.008	
Female sex	1.45 [1.01–2.07]	0.042	1.49 [1.02–2.18]	0.041	
BMI (for every 1kg/m <sup>2</sup> increase)	1.02 [0.97–1.08]	0.406			
DM	0.89 [0.57–1.40]	0.618			
СКД	2.06 [1.01-4.20]	0.048			
Elevated AST or ALT (≥ 40 IU)	3.18 [1.19–8.47]	0.021			
Chronic liver disease	1.84 [1.21–2.78]	0.004	2.01 [1.29–3.12]	0.002	

Table 3 Multivariate Regression Analysis for Development ofAdverse Events and Adverse Drug Reactions

**Notes:** Multivariate logistic regression analysis performed with age, sex, BMI, DM, CKD, elevated liver enzyme tests, and chronic liver disease as independent variables.

1.1% [5], p=0.376) due to abnormal liver function, and no cases fulfilling the Hy's law criteria.

## Efficacy and Persistence

After 12 months, 358 patients continued treatment with Fimasartan (285 with normal liver function and 73 with chronic liver disease). The mean change in SBP was not significantly different between groups after adjusting for baseline values (least square [LS] mean change  $-6.57 \pm 0.80$  mmHg for normal liver function group;  $-7.65 \pm 1.59$  mmHg for chronic liver disease group; p=0.546). The reduction in BP mostly occurred in the initial 6 months, after which BP values became stable and persisted throughout the study period. While discontinuation rates increased in the early study period, the rate of increase decreased after 6 months, showing a 1-year cumulative rate of 37.7% and 38.3% for each group (Figure 3).

## Discussion

The current study evaluated the long-term safety profile of fimasartan in the treatment of HTN by following-up 566 patients for up to 12 months. Although previous clinical studies have reported excellent tolerability of fimasartan,<sup>9,11,19</sup> there

has been no scientific evidence backing its long-term safety. Furthermore, there have been concerns that fimasartan might be related to liver toxicity due to its predominant hepatobiliary excretion.<sup>13,20,21</sup> The results of this prospective study provide reliable evidence that fimasartan could be a safe choice for long-term treatment of HTN, regardless of underlying liver disease.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to assess the long-term outcome of fimasartan treatment. The rate of AE and ADR in the current study is comparable to that of the previous Phase III trial (AE rate of 32.6% and ADR rate of 7.8%).<sup>11</sup> The scope of events is also similar to that of a previous randomized controlled trial, showing headache, respiratory infection, dizziness and fatigue as frequent events.<sup>11</sup>

In previous trials, ARB have mostly shown better tolerability than ACE inhibitor,<sup>22,23</sup> and discontinuation rates of ARB in major trials have been relatively low. In a study comparing the efficacy and safety of olmesartan, losartan, and valsartan in patients treated for essential HTN, Giles et al reported a discontinuation rate of 16.9%, 13.5%, and 10.3%, respectively.<sup>24</sup> In a trial evaluating the 1-year persistence rate of major classes of antihypertensive medications, valsartan showed a persistence rate of 69.4%.<sup>25</sup> Although our study showed a slightly lower persistence rate (62.1%) compared to other previous studies, the difference may have been due to a broader range of real-world patients included in the study. Moreover, the proportion of discontinuation due to AE was low (11.1%).

HTN is difficult to control with a single medication, and add-on therapy is common in clinical practice. HTN also frequently coexists with other morbidities such as dyslipidemia or diabetes, requiring even more medications. Furthermore, current guidelines on HTN recommend combination therapy as an initial strategy for most patients.<sup>26</sup> It is well known that the number of drugs that a patient takes is closely correlated to increased risk of adverse events and lower compliance.<sup>27</sup> In the UK, a retrospective analysis showed that 28.5% of patients newly treated for HTN stopped medications at 1-year, and the median time to cessation was 3.1 years.<sup>25</sup> Although randomized controlled trials have a high level of evidence, they tend to control other concomitant drugs and offer an ideal therapeutic environment. In our study, over 30% of the participants were using lipid-modifying agents, calcium-channel blockers, and antithrombotic agents such as aspirin. The results of our study may better represent the real-world environment of treating HTN, providing valuable data on the safety profile of fimasartan use in Korean HTN patients.

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; OR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; DM, diabetes mellitus; CKD, chronic kidney disease; AST, aspartate transaminase; ALT, alanine transaminase; IU, international units.

320 (56.5) 246 (43.5) 395 (69.8) 171 (30.2)		0.686
246 (43.5) 395 (69.8) 171 (30.2)		
395 (69.8) 171 (30.2)		
171 (30.2)		0.359
171 (30.2)		0.359
		0.339
	1 1	
287 (50.7)		0.321
272 (48.1)		0.521
107 (18.9)	+	0.549
459 (81.1)		0.549
52 (9.2)	<	• 0.806
514 (90.8)		0.000
86 (15.2)		0.414
480 (84.8)		0.414
566 (100)		0.001
	r ł ł	7
	0.5 1 2	6
	107 (18.9) 459 (81.1) 52 (9.2) 514 (90.8) 86 (15.2) 480 (84.8)	272 (48.1) 107 (18.9) 459 (81.1) 52 (9.2) 514 (90.8) 86 (15.2) 480 (84.8) 566 (100)

Figure 2 The risk of adverse events according to chronic liver disease is not significantly different between exploratory subgroups. Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Side effects are frequently reported in the treatment of HTN. It has been reported that 35% of patients starting treatment stop medications during the first 6 months, and half of them are due to AE.<sup>28</sup> According to a 5-year prospective study on 5485 newly treated HTN patients, 9.3% stopped treatment due to either definite or probable ADR.<sup>29</sup> Common side effects

of ARB include dizziness, headache and hyperkalemia. Liver toxicity after ARB use is a rare, but serious event, and there have been individual case reports after the use of losartan,<sup>30,31</sup> irbesartan,<sup>20</sup> candesartan,<sup>21</sup> and valsartan.<sup>32</sup> Fimasartan has also been reported to have caused liver damage in a patient who had tolerated previous ARB use.<sup>13</sup> According to previous

	Normal Liver Function (n=446)				Chronic Liver Disease (n=120)				p-value
	AST	ALT	ALP	Bil	AST	ALT	ALP	Bil	
Month 3 <sup>ª</sup>	25.1 ± 12.1	26.9 ± 19.0	69.4 ± 18.7	0.8 ± 0.3	30.9 ± 15.6°	29.4 ± 14.1	69.6 ± 21.0	0.9 ± 0.3 <sup>c</sup>	
Month 12 <sup>b</sup>	24.9 ± 10.7	25.7 ± 14.9	64.5 ± 18.3	0.8 ± 0.3	29.8 ± 16.9°	29.6 ± 18.7	66.5 ± 25.5	1.0 ± 0.4 <sup>c</sup>	
Patients with abnormal liver function enzymes	16 (3.6)	16 (3.6)			13 (10.8)				0.001
Hepatocellular injury	14 (3.1)	14 (3.1)		7 (5.8)					
Cholestatic injury	(0.2)		I (0.8)						
Mixed type	I (0.2)		5 (4.2)						
Discontinuations	5 (1.1)			3 (2.5)			0.376		

Table 4	Changes	in	Liver	Function	Enzymes
---------	---------	----	-------	----------	---------

**Notes:** Results of liver function tests were available in <sup>a</sup>463 and <sup>b</sup>300 patients. <sup>c</sup>Significant increase in levels compared to patients with normal liver function (p<0.05). **Abbreviations:** AST, aspartate transaminase; ALT, alanine transaminase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; Bil, total bilirubin.



Figure 3 Changes in systolic blood pressure and discontinuation rates according to baseline liver disease.

literature, most liver damages brought on by ARB were selflimiting, mild to moderate in severity, and rarely needed discontinuation or dose adjustment. In our study, only 3.6% of patients with normal baseline liver function experienced elevations in liver enzymes during follow-up, with 1.1% discontinuing therapy as a result. The incidence of elevations was more frequent in the group with chronic liver disease, but there were no cases serious enough to fulfill the Hy's law, a criterion predicting fatal drug-induced liver injuries. In addition, there were no differences in events according to the specific nature of the baseline liver disease. All cases were asymptomatic and transient, leading to only a small number of discontinuations. Although chronic liver disease, along with old age, female sex and history of prior liver disease were independent predictors in regression analysis, most cases were mild to moderate in intensity, and no serious events were reported. The results of our study suggest that long-term use of fimasartan is not associated with major liver toxicity, especially in those without prior liver disease. For patients with chronic liver disease, fimasartan use was generally well tolerated, although extra caution should be taken when used on old, female patients due to the possibility of increased risk of AE. Liver function enzymes should also be followed-up more thoroughly in patients with underlying liver disease.

The limitations of the study are as follows: The study was designed as a single-arm, open-label, observational study, which could have made it susceptible to bias related to knowl-edge of treatment. It also lacked a placebo control group. In addition, as all patients receiving  $\geq 1$  dose of the study drug were enrolled in the safety analysis, the persistence rate of fimasartan might have been underestimated. The results of post hoc regression analyses also should be interpreted with caution. Further randomized controlled trials would be needed

to properly assess the risk factors associated with the development of AE.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, the long-term use of fimasartan for treatment of HTN was associated with a low rate of AE in patients with no underlying liver disease. The rate of AE was increased in those with chronic liver disease, but the drug is well tolerated. With a persistence rate comparable to other classes of antihypertensive drugs, fimasartan can be suitable for long-term treatment of essential HTN.

#### **Data Sharing Statement**

ndividual participant data that underlie the results reported in this article will be available on request, after deidentification, to researchers who provide a methodologically sound proposal. Requests should be sent to the corresponding author.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was sponsored by Boryung Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd (Seoul, Korea). The sponsor supported the funding source and participated in the study. The study was designed, conducted, and written by the authors. Independent external monitoring groups (CRSCube, Seoul, Korea; LSK Global PS, Seoul, Korea) were involved in the collection and analysis of data.

#### Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

## References

- 1. WHO. Global Brief on Hypertension: Silent Killer, Global Public Health Crisis; 2013.
- Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: a Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;71(19):e127–e248. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2017.11.006
- Lee H, Park S, Kim HC. Temporal and geospatial trends of hypertension management in Korea: a nationwide study 2002–2016. *Korean Circ J.* 2019;49(6):514–527. doi:10.4070/kcj.2018.0358
- Shah SJ, Stafford RS. Current trends of hypertension treatment in the United States. Am J Hypertens. 2017;30(10):1008–1014. doi:10.1093/ajh/ hpx085
- Kim HC, Cho MC; Korean Society H, Hypertension Epidemiology Research Working G. Korea hypertension fact sheet 2018. *Clin Hypertens*. 2018;24:13. doi:10.1186/s40885-018-0098-0
- Kim I, Park CS, Lee HY. Angiotensin II Type 1 receptor blocker, fimasartan, reduces vascular smooth muscle cell senescence by Inhibiting the CYR61 signaling pathway. *Korean Circ J.* 2019;49 (7):615–626. doi:10.4070/kcj.2018.0379

- 7. Lee HY, Oh BH. Fimasartan: a new angiotensin receptor blocker. *Drugs*. 2016;76(10):1015–1022. doi:10.1007/s40265-016-0592-1
- Kim JH, Lee JH, Paik SH, Kim JH, Chi YH. Fimasartan, a novel angiotensin II receptor antagonist. *Arch Pharm Res.* 2012;35 (7):1123–1126. doi:10.1007/s12272-012-0700-z
- Lee HY, Kim CH, Song JK, et al. 24-Hour blood pressure response to lower dose (30 mg) fimasartan in Korean patients with mild to moderate essential hypertension. *Korean J Intern Med.* 2017;32 (6):1025–1036. doi:10.3904/kjim.2016.094
- Lee H, Yang HM, Lee HY, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of once-daily oral fimasartan 20 to 240 mg/d in Korean patients with hypertension: findings from Two Phase II, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies. *Clin Ther.* 2012;34(6):1273–1289.
- 11. Lee SE, Kim YJ, Lee HY, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of fimasartan, a new angiotensin receptor blocker, compared with losartan (50/ 100 mg): a 12-week, Phase III, multicenter, prospective, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, dose escalation clinical trial with an optional 12-week extension phase in adult Korean patients with mild-to-moderate hypertension. *Clin Ther.* 2012;34(3):552–568, 568 e551–e559. doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2012.01.024
- Chi YH, Lee H, Paik SH, et al. Safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of fimasartan following single and repeated oral administration in the fasted and fed states in healthy subjects. *Am J Cardiovasc Drugs*. 2011;11(5):335–346. doi:10.2165/11593840-000000000-00000
- Park DH, Yun GY, Eun HS, et al. Fimasartan-induced liver injury in a patient with no adverse reactions on other types of angiotensin II receptor blockers: a case report. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2017;96(47): e8905. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000008905
- Lee HY, Shin J, Kim GH, et al. 2018 Korean Society of Hypertension Guidelines for the management of hypertension: part II-diagnosis and treatment of hypertension. *Clin Hypertens*. 2019;25:20. doi:10.1186/ s40885-019-0124-x
- 15. Kim HC, Ihm SH, Kim GH, et al. 2018 Korean Society of Hypertension guidelines for the management of hypertension: part I-epidemiology of hypertension. *Clin Hypertens*. 2019;25:16. doi:10.1186/s40885-019-0121-0
- Hoofnagle JH, Björnsson ES. Drug-induced liver injury types and phenotypes. N Engl J Med. 2019;381(3):264–273. doi:10.1056/ NEJMra1816149
- 17. Robles-Diaz M, Lucena MI, Kaplowitz N, et al. Use of Hy's law and a new composite algorithm to predict acute liver failure in patients with drug-induced liver injury. *Gastroenterology*. 2014;147(1):109– 118 e105. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2014.03.050
- United States. Congress. Office of Technology Assessment. Postmarketing Surveillance of Prescription Drugs. Washington, D.C.: Congress of the United States For sale by the Supt. of Docs., U.S. G.P. O.; 1982.
- Youn JC, Ihm SH, Bae JH, et al. Efficacy and safety of 30-mg fimasartan for the treatment of patients with mild to moderate hypertension: an 8-week, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, phase III clinical study. *Clin Ther.* 2014;36(10):1412–1421. doi:10.1016/j. clinthera.2014.07.004
  - Drug Design, Development and Therapy

#### Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peerreviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are a feature of the journal, which has also  Andrade RJ, Lucena MI, Fernandez MC, et al. Cholestatic hepatitis related to use of irbesartan: a case report and a literature review of angiotensin II antagonist-associated hepatotoxicity. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2002;14(8):887–890. doi:10.1097/000427 37-200208000-00014

- Lammel-Lindemann JA, Flores-Villalba E, Martagon AJ, DeObeso-Gonzalez E, Puente-Gallegos F. Noncholestatic acute hepatocellular injury following candesartan administration. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2018;84(1):204–207. doi:10.1111/bcp.v84.1
- 22. Visser LE, Stricker BH, van der Velden J, Paes AH, Bakker A. Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor associated cough: a population-based case-control study. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1995;48 (6):851–857. doi:10.1016/0895-4356(94)00231-E
- Caldeira D, David C, Sampaio C. Tolerability of angiotensin-receptor blockers in patients with intolerance to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Cardiovasc Drugs.* 2012;12(4):263–277. doi:10.1007/BF03261835
- Giles TD, Oparil S, Silfani TN, Wang A, Walker JF. Comparison of increasing doses of olmesartan medoxomil, losartan potassium, and valsartan in patients with essential hypertension. *J Clin Hypertens* (*Greenwich*). 2007;9(3):187–195. doi:10.1111/j.1524-6175.2007. 06395.x
- 25. Burke TA, Sturkenboom MC, Lu SE, Wentworth CE, Lin Y, Rhoads GG. Discontinuation of antihypertensive drugs among newly diagnosed hypertensive patients in UK general practice. *J Hypertens*. 2006;24(6):1193–1200. doi:10.1097/01.hjh.000022 6211.95936.f5
- Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, et al. 2018 ESC/ESH guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. *Eur Heart J.* 2018;39 (33):3021–3104.
- Gupta AK, Arshad S, Poulter NR. Compliance, safety, and effectiveness of fixed-dose combinations of antihypertensive agents: a meta-analysis. *Hypertension*. 2010;55(2):399–407. doi:10.1161/ HYPERTENSIONAHA.109.139816
- Vrijens B, Vincze G, Kristanto P, Urquhart J, Burnier M. Adherence to prescribed antihypertensive drug treatments: longitudinal study of electronically compiled dosing histories. *BMJ*. 2008;336 (7653):1114–1117. doi:10.1136/bmj.39553.670231.25
- Curb JD, Borhani NO, Blaszkowski TP, Zimbaldi N, Fotiu S, Williams W. Long-term surveillance for adverse effects of antihypertensive drugs. *JAMA*. 1985;253(22):3263–3268. doi:10.1001/ jama.1985.03350460063022
- Nygaard B, Strandgaard S. Marked hepatotoxicity associated with losartan treatment. *Blood Press*. 1996;5(3):190–191. doi:10.3109/ 08037059609062128
- Tabak F, Mert A, Ozaras R, et al. Losartan-induced hepatic injury. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2002;34(5):585–586. doi:10.1097/00004836-200205000-00022
- Rene JM, Buenestado J, Sese E, Minana JM. [Acute hepatitis induced by valsartan]. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 2001;117(16):637–638. doi:10.1016/ s0025-7753(01)72204-x

#### **Dove**press

been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www. dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal