#### **OncoTargets and Therapy**

a Open Access Full Text Article

Ting Sun<sup>1,\*</sup>

Rui Zhang<sup>2</sup>

Siping Ma<sup>2</sup>

Tao Lin<sup>2</sup>

Zhexian Liu<sup>2,\*</sup>

# ORIGINAL RESEARCH **RETRACTED ARTICLE: Long Non-Coding RNA LEFI-ASI** Promotes Migration, Invasion and Metastasis of Colon Cancer Cells Through miR-30-5p/SOX9 Axis

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: OncoTargets and Therapy

uing RNAs (h. W ) has been impli-Introduction: Aberrant expression of long noncated in the tumorigenesis and progression of plon creater. Lymphoid enhancer-binding ved and very discovered long nonfactor 1 antisense RNA 1 (LEF1-AS1), a hardly co coding RNA, has been reported to be regulated an corrated with poor prognosis in colon cancer, but the exact role of i eman. uncertain.

Materials and Methods: In our study, the logical functions of LEF1-AS1 in colon cancer were analyzed by cell ability assay, colon, formation assay, scratch wound healing assay, transwell cell invasion assay, soft agar assay, luciferase reporter assay, pull down and Wester blot. assay, tumor xenograft mo

S1 was w gulated in colon cancer patients and correlated with **Results:** We found that LEF poor overall surve a recurrent-nee survival. Besides, enforced expression of LEF1-AS1 in HT29 and T84 c s pro migration, invasion, anchorage-independent growth, tumor xenogra<sup>c</sup> formation lung metastasis, while knockdown of LEF1-AS1 in COLO320 cells migraten, invasion, anchorage-independent growth and tumor xenograft formasup ssed c In add on LEF1 S1 was directly interacted and inversely correlated with miR-30-5p in er, and SoX9 was a downstream target for miR-30-5p. LEF1-AS1 overexpression cok the expression level of SOX9, and restoration of SOX9 attenuated the effects caused by increase LEF1-AS ockdown in cell migration, invasion, anchorage-independent growth and tumor nograft formation.

Co. Jusion: Our results indicated that LEF1-AS1 promoted migration, invasion and metastasis of colon cancer cells partially through miR-30-5p/SOX9 axis. The oncogenic LEF1-AS1 could be a potential prognostic biomarker for colon cancer.

Keywords: LEF1-AS1, colon cancer, miR-30-5p, SOX9

#### Introduction

Colon cancer is the third most common malignancy in men and the second in women worldwide, with nearly 1.2 million new cases and 600 000 deaths every year.<sup>1</sup> The incidence of colon cancer almost doubles in the past few decades, accompanied by rising mortality. Colon cancer is more frequent in industrialized areas such as Europe and North America, whereas it is relatively uncommon in some countries in central Asia and Africa.<sup>2</sup> Rapid increases in the incidence and mortality of colon cancer in Asia-Pacific region have been noted, which has been ascribed to growing industrialization and urbanization. The 5-year survival rates of early-stage colon patients are approximately 90% but decline to less than 10% in patients with distant metastases.<sup>3</sup>

OncoTargets and Therapy 2020:13 2957-2972

CO 05 C2020 Sun et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

2957

Yanxi Li<sup>2</sup> Shihua Yang<sup>2</sup> Wanchuan Zhang<sup>2</sup> Yongpeng Wang<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Blood Transfusion, Cancer Hospital of China Medical University, Liaoning Cancer Hospital and Institute, Shenyang 110042, People's Republic of China; <sup>2</sup>Department of

Colorectal Surgery, Cancer Hospital of China Medical University, Liaoning Cancer Hospital and Institute, Shenyang, 110042, People's Republic of China

\*These authors contributed equate to this work



Correspondence: Yongpeng Wang Department of Colorectal Surgery, Cancer Hospital of China Medical University, Liaoning Cancer Hospital and Insititute, No. 44 Xiaoheyan Road, Dadong District, Shenyang 110042, People's Republic of China Email wangluck2018@163.com



Traditional prognostic biomarkers such as tumor size, tumor grade or presence of metastasis have been used for decades, but they have limitations in predicting patient outcome.<sup>4</sup> Thus, it is important to find new prognostic and predictive biomarkers to assist colon cancer treatment.

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are a widespread class of RNA transcripts longer than 200 nucleotides in length but without any protein-coding potential. They are typically transcribed and spliced like other protein-coding genes, though in lower expression levels and more tissuespecific manner.<sup>5</sup> Initially, lncRNAs were simply regarded as a result of transcriptional noise, but recent studies have demonstrated that lncRNAs are involved in many cellular process such as epigenetic modification, transcription and translation.<sup>6</sup> Dysregulation of lncRNAs plays an important role in tumor initiation and progression of colon cancer. For example, lncRNA SNHG15 was overexpressed and highly correlated with poor survival in colon cancer patients. Transcription of SNHG15 was regulated by MYC oncogene, and overexpression of SNHG15 promoted proliferation, invasion and drug resistance of colon cancer by interacting with AIF.<sup>7</sup> Downregulation of LINC00675 was identified in both colon cancer tissues and cell lines, and ectopic expression of LINC00675 inhibited proliferation, invasion a migration of colon cell lines by sponging miR-942. Lymphoid enhancer-binding factor 1 (LEF1) ntisense RNA 1 (LEF1-AS1) is a highly conserved a 1 new disnd of covered long non-coding RNA encode in the plus st LEF1 at chromosome 4q25. Many dies demonstrated that LEF1-AS1 is enrolled the tumor nesis of a variety of cancer, such as glislastor 9 oral squamous cell carcinoma,<sup>10</sup> non-smallell lung cancell and prostate cancer.<sup>12</sup> Furthermore, several recent studies had indicated that LEF1-AS1 was up gulard and correlated with the overall and recurrent free vival of sion cancer patients, but the exact LEF in colon cancer was role d uncertain.

Sex-deterning Region Y box 9 (SOX9) is a member of SRY-related has mobility group box (SOX) transcription factors that controls cell fate by directing cell differentiation and maintaining tissue homeostasis.<sup>15</sup> Mutation of SOX9 was firstly identified as the cause of campomelic dysplasia, a severe skeletal malformation syndrome with defective chondrogenesis and variable 46+XY sex reversal in 1994.<sup>16</sup> In addition, SOX9 was found to play important roles in the development of testis, pancreas, intestine, brain and kidney.<sup>17</sup> During the development of intestine, SOX9 was expressed in the progenitor cells at the bottom of the intestinal crypts, and the expression level of SOX9 seemed to control the proliferation and differentiation of these cells.<sup>18</sup> SOX9 is also dysregulated in many cancers and implicated in tumor growth, invasion and metastasis.<sup>19,20</sup> Knockout SOX9 in mouse models repressed tumorigenesis of prostate and pancreatic cancer,<sup>19,21</sup> while overexpression of SOX9 in prostate cancer cell lines enhanced tumor growth and invasion.<sup>20</sup> In colon cancer, SOX9 was overexpressed and high expression of SOX9 promoted migration, invasion and epithelial mesenchymal transition of colon cancer cell lines.<sup>22</sup>

In our study, we found that LEF AS1 proposed migration, invasion and anchorage-independent grow of colon cancer cells in vitro and facilitated to pr xenos ft growth vivo. In add io LEF1-AS1 and lung metastasis in mediated SOX9 expression serving as a molecular sponge for miR-2-5p, a. SOX9 storation abolished the effects care by LEF1-A. Lockdown in colon cancer cells. Our results aggested that LEF1 exerted an oncogenic reference of the second se AS1 could be a potential prognostic biomarker for LEF col cancer.

## Materiais and Methods Platent Samples

Written informed consent was obtained from all particiints in our study. The use and collection of tissue samples were reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of Cancer Hospital of China Medical University. A total of 50 pairs of colon cancer samples and matched tumoradjacent tissues were provided by Cancer Hospital of China Medical University from February 2014 to September 2015. All tissue samples were fresh frozen and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. The demographic and clinicopathological features of these patients were retrieved from database and the follow up was continued for 48 months after surgery for survival analysis.

#### Cell Culture

Colon cancer cell lines COLO320, SW480, SW1417, SW948, T84, HT29 and human HEK293T cell line were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Colon cancer cell line COLO678 and CL11 were purchased from Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen (DSMZ). SW480, COLO678 and HEK293T cells were cultured with RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen, USA). COLO320, SW1417, SW948, T84, HT29 and CL11 cells were cultured with DMEM: F12 (1:1) medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). All cells were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone, USA) and 1% PenStrep (100 U/mL Penicillium and 100  $\mu$ g/mL Streptomycin) in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37°C.

### Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA of tissue samples and culture cells was extracted by TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA) as the protocol described. RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) was used to generate Complementary DNA. The expression of miR-30-5p family was quantified by TaqMan microRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystem, USA) as protocol described. The expression of LEF1-AS1 and SOX9 was analyzed using SYBR Premix Ex Taq kit (Takara, Japan) in ABI 7900 Real Time PCR system according to the manufactures' instruction. GAPDH or snRNAU6 was used as an internal control. The relative expression levels were calculated by the equation  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ . The primers used for qRT-PCR were: LEF1-AS1, forward: 3'-TGT GAC TCC AGA GGC GGA reverse: 3'-AGG AGG CGG CAA GAA GAA G -5'; SOX9: 3'-CGT CAA CGG CTC CAG CAA , revel 3'-CCG CTT CTC GCT CTC GTT CA

#### RNA Fluorescent in sign Hybric zation

Cy3-labeled LEF1-AS1 problews sourchased from Ribobio (Guangzhou, China). Subjetilular location of LEF1-AS2 was detected by the Pito Fluorescent in site hybridization kit (Ribobio# R11060). Guangzhou) as the manufacturer's instruction described. Notifer, CO10320 cells were fixed by 4% paraformaldenyde, some incubated with LEF1-AS1 lncRNs Fish proteomix at 37°C overnight. Washed with hybridization outfier and PBS for 5 min, then cells were incubated with DAPI for 10 min avoiding night. The fluorescent signal was detected by LSM 5Pa Laser Scanning Microscope (Zeiss Germany, Oberkochen, Germany).

#### Table I Primer Sequences for LEFI-ASI or SOX9

Name	Forward Primer (5'>3')	Reverse Primer (5'>3')	
LEFI-ASI expression vector	CCCTTTGTGTGGCCTGGACT	TTCCTGATGCTCTAGGTTGGTT	
SOX9 expression vector	GCGTATGAATCTCCTGGA	AACACAAATGTCCAAAGGG	
3'UTR of SOX9	CCAGAACTCCAGCTCCTA	CTCCTCCTTAAATCGCAAAG	

# Plasmid Constructs, Lentivirus Packaging and Infection

LEF1-AS1 and SOX9 expression plasmids were constructed by cloning the cDNA sequence into the pCDH-CMV-MCS-EF1-Puro (System Biosciences #CD510B-1) lentiviral vector. The pCDH-CMV-MCS-EF1-Puro empty plasmid was used as empty vector control (EV). The primer sequences for cloning the cDNA sequence of LEF1-AS1 or SOX9 are shown in Table 1. Two short-hairpin RNA targeting LEF1-AS1 (sh-LEF1-AS1-1 and sh-LEF1-AS1-2) and nontargeting control (sh-ctrl) were cond (Table 2) and synthesized by GenePharma (Langhai, Char). The expression plasmids of miR-30a-5p, miR-30b-5p miR-30c-5p, miR-30d-5p and miR\_e-5p were constructed by cloning the mature sequence of each microlar into the pCMV-MIR lentiviral rlash ( Gene #PCMVMIR). The empty pCMV-MIR centiviral lasmic was used as miR-ctrl. Lentivity paraging was inducted by introducing the lentiviral expression plasmids plus with lentivirus packaging cors  $\delta 8.9$  and SVG into HEK293T cells using ipofectamine 3000 (Life Technologies #L3000015) as the otocol descibed. Lentiviral particles were collected and d at -C. For virus infection, cells were incubated with virus particles overnight with 8 µg/mL polybrene (S., a-Aldrich, USA).

#### Cell Viability Assay

CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay kit (Promega #G7572) was used to evaluate cell viability in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction. In brief, the cells and CellTiter-Glo reagent were balanced to room temperature, then mixed thoroughly on an orbital shaker. Incubated the plates avoiding light for 10 min at room temperature, then the luminescence signal was recorded. All assays were done in triplicates.

#### Colony Formation Assay

HT29, T84 or COLO320 cells were seeded in 6-well plates at 1500 cells per well, then cultured for 3 weeks. Colonies were fixed by 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, and stained

shRNA	Sequence (5'>3')		
sh-LEFI-ASI—I	CCUGGGUGGAUAUGGUAAU		
sh-LEFI-ASI—2	GGAGAUUAAUGCAGAACAA		
sh-ctrl	ACGGAGGCTAAGCGTCGCAA		

Table 2 LEFI-ASI shRNA Sequences

with crystal violet for 1.5 h at room temperature. Images were obtained by a scanner (Microtek, TMA 1600III). All assays were done in triplicates.

#### Soft Agar Assay

Soft agar assay was performed as previously described.<sup>23</sup> HT29 (8000/well), T84 (8000/well) or COLO320 (10,000/ well) cells were seeded in 0.4% top agar in 6-well plates and cultured for 3~4 weeks. Stained the colonies with 1 mg/mL thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide (MTT) for 1.5 h. Took photos with a scanner (Microtek, TMA 1600III). All assays were carried out in triplicates.

## Wound Healing Assay

HT29, T84 or COLO320 cells were seeded in 6-well plates and cultured until cell confluence reached 90%. Scratched the cell monolayer with a sterile plastic tip, then washed away cell debris with culture medium. Images were obtained at 24 and 48 h by microscope (IX71, Olympus, Japan). The width of the scratched gaps at 0, 24 and 48 h as me ured by Digimizer software system (MedCalc socrare, Be (um) Wound closure was calculated by the ation V und closure (%) = (1 - width at 24 or 48 h/s)th at 0 h)×1 ₯.

### Transwell Cell Invasion Assay

Matrigel invasion chamber (8  $\mu$ m, RD Bioscience, USA) was used to evaluate cell in a sion carefy, cells (1  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup>) were seeded in the upper bamber of thout second. The lower chamber was filled with RP 111640 and an with 10% FBS. Then cultured for 14 h, an the invasion cells were stained with Giemsa dye. As experiments were carried out in triplicates.

### Luciferase Reporter Assay

LEF1-AS1 or the 3'-UTR of SOX9 were cloned into the pMIR-REPORT plasmid, then the potential SOX9 and LEF1-AS1 binding sites for miR-30-5p were mutated by Quickchange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies, USA), and verified by sanger sequencing. The primer sequences for cloning LEF1-AS1 or the 3'-UTR of SOX9 are shown in Table 1. For luciferase reporter assay, HEK 293T cells were co-transfected with the pMIR-REPORT plasmid containing LEF1-AS1 or the 3'-UTR of SOX9, the miR-30a-5p, miR-30b-5p, miR-30c-5p, miR-30d-5p, miR-30e-5p or miR-ctrl expression plasmid, and a renilla luciferase plasmid with a ratio of 2:2: 1. Dual Luciferase reporter assay system (Promega#E1910) was used to evaluate luciferase activity. All assays were done in triplicates.

# Pull-Down Assay with Biotinylated miR-30-5p

COLO320 cells were transfected with biotinylated wild-type or mutant miR-30a-5p, miR-30b-5p, 5p, miR-30d-5p, miR-30e-5p, or negative corrol (NC). d-type or mutant SOX9 transduced HT29 cer, were transficted with biotinylated miR-30a-5p, R-30b-5p, miR-3 -5p, miR-30d-5p, miR-30e-5p or regative ontrol (. . . . . Cell lysates of these cells were collected as h pot transfection, then incubated with P nabeads N 280 Steptavidin (Invitrogen, USA) overning a tor of a provins described. The beads were washed three tine with ice-cold lysis buffer and once gn salt buffer as eviously reported.<sup>24</sup> The bound with / s were extracted by TRIzol reagent and evaluated for RN AS1 or SO 9 expression by qRT-PCR. LER

### fostern Blot

containing protease inhibitors (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). BCA rotein assay kit (Thermo Scientific, USA) was used to evaluate protein concentration. A total of 30 μg protein was electrophoresed on 10% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Then, the membranes were incubated with specific first antibodies and corresponding second antibody. The specific antibodies were list as below: SOX9 (Cell signaling #82630; 1:1000), GAPDH (Cell signaling #2118; 1:1000). The second antibody was goat anti-rabbit IgG HRP-linked antibody (Cell signaling #7074; 1:4000).

# Tumor Xenograft Growth and Lung Metastasis Model

All animal experiments were approved by the Animal Care and Experimental Committee of Cancer Hospital of China Medical University. HT29 cells  $(2 \times 10^6)$  transduced with EV or LEF1-AS1, or COLO320 cells  $(2 \times 10^6)$  transduced with sh-LEF1-AS1-1, sh-LEF1-AS1-2 or sh-ctrl were subcutaneously injected in nude mice. Tumor volume was measured every three days by calipers and calculated by the formula: Tumor volume = (length × width<sup>2</sup>)/2. All mice were sacrificed five weeks after tumor cells injection, then tumors were dissected out and weighed. HT29 cells  $(3 \times 10^6)$  transduced with EV or LEF1-AS1 were injected into the tail vein of nude mice, then tumor lung metastasis was calculated 4 weeks post-injection.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed by GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad Inc., USA). The difference between two groups was analyzed by Student's *t*-test. The difference between multiple groups was analyzed by two-way ANOVA. Overall survival and recurrent-free survival were plotted by the Kaplan–Meier method and estimated by the Logrank test. All data were shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (x  $\pm$  s.d) or mean  $\pm$  standard Error of Mean (x  $\pm$  s.e.m) with at least three replicates. *P*<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Results

# LEFI-ASI Is Upregulated and Correlated with Poor Prognosis in Colon Cancer

In a previous study, LEF1-AS1 was found to be upregulated in colorectal cancer patients in The Cancer G Atlas (TCGA) dataset and the Gene Expression Om bus (GEO) datasets (GSE50760, GSE70880), aptibigh exp. sion of LEF1-AS1 predicted worse over a and curren free survival.<sup>13</sup> Besides, another study demor ented that high LEF1-AS1 expression was sook with lymph node metastasis and shorter of all survival metastatic colon cancer patients.<sup>14</sup> To urther rove this, we expression level of LEF1-AS in 50 colon neer samples and matched tumor-adjatent tissues was determined by qRT-PCR. As shown in Figure 1A, we found that LEF1-AS1 was obvious over ressed colon cancer tissues -adjacent tissues (Normal) (Tumor) mpar with anding with previous study. We further (P<0.6 corre analyzed correlation of LEF1-AS1 expression with clinicopathol, ical characteristics of colon cancer patients (Table 3). In our study, the colon cancer patients were divided into Low LEF1-AS1 expression group and High LEF1-AS1 expression group by using the median of the cohort as cut-off value. We found that high LEF1-AS1 expression was positively correlated with a higher Dukes staging (P=0.041) and lymph node metastasis (P<0.001) (Table 3). In addition, colon cancer patients with high LEF1-AS1 expression were prone to have distant metastasis, but this was not statistically significant (P=0.128)

due to the small number of patients analyzed. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed that colon cancer patients with high LEF1-AS1 expression had a prominent poor overall-survival and recurrent-free survival than these with low LEF1-AS1 expression, corresponding with previous study (Figure 1B and C). In addition, the expression level of LEF1-AS1 was evaluated in a number of colon cancer cells. We found that LEF1-AS1 was overexpressed in COLO320 and CL11 cell lines, and low expressed in SW948, T84 and HT29 (Figure 1D). The subcellular localization of LEF1-AS1 was detected by RNA fluorescent in situ hybridization, and we ound that EF1-AS1 was mainly located in the cylulasm of CLO320 cells (Figure 1E). Collective, our ta show that LEF1-AS1 was upregulate in color can reatients, and high LEF1-AS1 expression we closely correlated with poor overall-surviver and responding survival, corresponding with prev a reports.

# Ind Anchorage-Independent Growth of olon Concer Cells

To s. he biological functions of LEF1-AS1 in colon ser, we enforced LEF1-AS1 expression in two colon cancer cell lines HT29 and T84 with low endogenous LEF1-AS1. By transducing with a LEF1-AS1 expression lentiviral vector, HT29 and T84 showed comparable LEF1-AS1 expression with COLO320 (Figure 2A). Next, cell viability assay was conducted, and we found that ectopic LEF1-AS1 expression in HT29 and T84 had no obvious influence on cell proliferation (Figure 2B). Moreover, overexpression of LEF1-AS1 had no evident impact on colony formation of HT29 and T84 cells in colony formation assay, yet (Figure 2C). Since colon cancer patients with high LEF1-AS1 expression were inclined to have a higher Dukes staging, lymph node metastasis and distant metastasis, we speculated that LEF1-AS1 might enroll in the migration, invasion or metastasis of colon cancer. In wound healing assay, we found that HT29 and T84 cells transduced with LEF1-AS1 expression vector had a significant increase in wound healing ability compared with cells transduced with empty vector (EV), indicating that LEF1-AS1 might accelerate cell migration of colon cancer cells (Figure 2D and E). Moreover, the number of invasion cells were apparently increased in LEF1-AS1 overexpressed HT29 and T84 cells compared with EV transduced cells in transwell cell invasion assay,



Figure I LEF1-AS1 is upregulated and correlated with poor prognosis in colon cancer. (A) the relative expression level of LEF1-AS1 in 50 colon cancer samples (Tumor) and matched tumor-adjacent tissues (Normal) was evaluated by qRT-PCR. (B, C) Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of colon cancer patients according to LEF1-AS1 expression. Overall survival (B) and recurrence-free survival (C) were shown. The median of the cohort was used as a cut-off value. (D) relative expression of LEF1-AS1 in colon cancer cell lines was evaluated by qRT-PCR. (E) Subcellular localization of LEF1-AS1 in COLO320 cells was detected by RNA fluorescent in situ hybridization. \*\*\*P<0.05 comparing with matched tumor-adjacent tissues.

indicating that LEF1-AS1 enhanced invasion of colon cancer cells (Figure 2F). In soft agar assay, HT29 and T84 cells transduced with LEF1-AS1 expression vector formed more colonies than cells transduced with empty

vector, suggesting that LEF1-AS1 might increase anchorage-independent growth (Figure 2G).

To further verify the biological functions of LEF1-AS1 in colon cancer cells, we knocked down LEF1-AS1

Characteristics	n	LEFI-ASI Expression		P value
		Low	High	
Age (Years)				0.806
≤60	21	13	8	
>60	29	12	17	
Sex				0.621
Male	31	13	18	
Female	19	12	7	
Tumor size (cm)				0.515
≥5	22	14	8	
<5	28	11	17	
Dukes staging				0.041
A + B	23	17	6	
C + D	27	8	19	
Differentiation				0.695
Well	36	19	17	
Poor	14	6	8	
Lymph node metastasis				<0.001
Positive	32	11	21	
Negative	18	14	4	
Distant metastasis				0
Positive	12	3	9	
Negative	38	22	16	

Table	3	Correlation	Between	LEF1-AS1	Expression	and
Clinico	path	ological Char	acteristics	in Colon C	ancer Patient	s

expression in COLO320 cells by s 11 (shRNA), then cell viability assa mation assay, colony wound healing assay, transport ell invasion assay and soft agar assay were conducted to evolute the changes. As shown in Figure 3A the expression **Nel** of LEF1-AS1 s way distinctly decreased after in COLO320 51 shRM (sh-LEF1-AS1-1 and transducing with LE sh-LEF1-A (-2). Correst ndir, with previous results, we found t' a LEF1. S1 knock own had no evident influence on cell p. life and and olon formation of COLO320 cells (Figure 3B a C). In wound healing assay, knocked down LEF1-AS1 apparently impaired the wound healing potential of COLO320 cells, indicating that LEF1-AS1 knockdown might repress cell migration (Figure 3D). In transwell cell invasion assay, COLO320 cells transduced with sh-LEF1-AS1-1 or sh-LEF1-AS1-2 had small amount of invasion cells than COLO320 cells transduced with sh-ctrl, revealing that LEF1-AS1 knockdown also suppressed cell invasion (Figure 3E). Furthermore, knocked down LEF1-AS1 significantly inhibited colony formation of COLO320 cells in soft

Sun et al

agar assay, indicating a reduced anchorage-independent growth (Figure 3F and G). Taken together, these results suggested a role of LEF1-AS1 in increasing migration, invasion and anchorage-independent growth of colon cancer cells.

# LEFI-ASI Facilitates Tumor Xenograft Growth and Lung Metastasis of Colon Cancer Cells

We further analyzed the potential biological functions of LEF1-AS1 in colon cancer cells our study, LEF1-AS1 or EV transduced HT29 ells  $(2 \times 10^{-10})$  were subcutaneously injected into nucle in e, then turlor xenograft growth was monitore. As show in Figure 4A, HT29 cells transduced what LEF1 LS1 had more rapid tumor ced cell The tumor volume growth than V ti (Figure 4B) and tumor right rigure 4C) of LEF1-AS1 transduce AHA Cells were indently increased, too. These results were further validated in COLO320 cells. Tumor nograft growth on h-LEF1-AS1-1 or sh-LEF1-AS1-2 ansduced CLO320 cells was evaluated and our results t knocked down LEF1-AS1 expression ggested tl paired tumor growth (Figure 4D) and reduced usly i tumor volume (Figure 4E) and weight (Figure 4F). Since LEN-AS1 increased migration and invasion of colon cancer cell lines, we postulated that LEF1-AS1 might affect lung metastasis of colon cancer cells in vivo. Thus, LEF1-AS1 or EV transduced HT29 cells  $(3 \times 10^6)$  were injected into the tail vein of nude mice and tumor lung metastasis was tested. As we expected, LEF1-AS1 transduced HT29 cells formed more tumors than EV transduced HT29 cells in the lungs of nude mice, indicating that LEF1-AS1 facilitated metastasis of colon cancer cells (Figure 4G and H). Above all, our results revealed that LEF1-AS1 might increase tumor growth and lung metastasis of colon cancer cells in vivo.

## LEFI-ASI Mediates SOX9 Expression by Serving as a Molecular Sponge for miR-30-5p Family

To disclose the underlying mechanism of LEF1-AS1 in colon cancer, we predicted 37 microRNAs containing the possible binding sites for LEF1-AS1 using the LncBase Predicted V.2 tools.<sup>25</sup> Among the predicted microRNAs, we found that knocked down LEF1-AS1 expression in COLO320 cells upregulated the expression level of miR-30-5p family (miR-30a-5p, miR-30b-5p, miR-30c-5p,



ell migration invasion of colon cancer cells. (A) HT29 or T84 cells were transduced with empty vector (EV) or Figure 2 Ectopic expression of LEFI-ASI increase LEFI-ASI was LEFI-ASI expression vector, then relative expre uated by qRT-PCR. COLO320 cell was used as internal control. (B) HT29 or T84 cells transduced -well-plate at J with EV or LEF1-AS1 expression vector were eded 0 cells per well, then cell viability assay was conducted every two days. Relative cell growth was calculated by comparing with Day 0. (C) HT29 or T84 transduced with EV or LEFI-ASI expression vector were seeded in 6-well-plate at 1500 cells per well, then plates and relative cell confluence were shown. (D, E) HT29 or T84 cells transduced with EV or LEFI-ASI cultured for three weeks for colony for ation assay. Repres ch wound healing assay. 📐 resent images were taken at 0 h, 24 h and 48 h, and the wound closure was calculated. (F) HT29 or T84 expression vector were used for so SI expres vector were used for transwell cell migration assay. Represent images at 24 h post-incubation and relative invasion cells ed with EV or LEFI-ASI expression vector were used for soft agar assay. Represent plates and average colony number were cells transduced with EV or LEF were shown. (G) HT29 or T84 trans \*\*\*P<0.05 shown. All assays were done in tri inparing with EV. n.s., no significance.

miR-30d-51 and mil 30e-5p), indicating that LEF1-AS1 might regulat the expression of miR-30-5p (Figure 5A). Moreover, we to her validated this in HT29 cells, and found that enforce LEF1-AS1 expression obviously repressed miR-30-5p expression (Figure 5B). These results indicated the expression level of LEF1-AS1 and miR-30-5p were inversely correlated. The putative binding sites for LEF1-AS1 and miR-30-5p predicted by LncBase Predicted V.2 are shown in Figure 5C. In luciferase reporter assay, we found that overexpression of miR-30-5p reduced the luciferase activity of vectors containing wild-type LEF1-AS1, but had no obvious influence on the

luciferase activity of LEF1-AS1 vectors with a 5-bp mutation at the putative binding sites (Figure 5C). Furthermore, in the pull-down assay with biotin-labeled miR-30-5p, LEF1-AS1 was successfully pulled down by wild type miR-30-5p in COLO320 cells, but miR-30-5p with 4-bp mutagenesis at the putative binding sites failed (Figure 5D). These results suggested that LEF1-AS1 might directly interact with and act as a molecular sponge for miR-30-5p family.

In order to explore the potential target genes for miR-30-5p, we used three different databases (starBase V3.0, TargetScan 7.2 and TarBase v.8) to predict the candidate



Figure 3 LEFI-ASI knockdown suppresses cell migration d invas of color ncer cells. (A) COLO320 cells were transduced with sh-LEFI-ASI-1, sh-LEFI-ASI-2 or d by qRT-F sh-ctrl, then relative expression of LEFI-ASI was evaluated R. (**B**) COL 320 cells transduced with sh-LEFI-ASI-I, sh-LEFI-ASI-2 or sh-ctrl were seeded in 96well-plate at 5000 cells per well, then cell viability ass days. Relative cell growth was calculated by comparing with Day 0. (C) COLO320 cells as con transduced with sh-LEFI-ASI-I, sh-LEFI-ASI-2 h-ctr sed for colony formation assay. Represent plates and relative cell confluence were shown. (D) COLO320 s1-2 or sh-c cells transduced with sh-LEFI-ASI-I, sh-LEF were used for scratch wound healing assay. Represent images at 0 h, 24 h and 48 h were shown, and the wound closure was calculated. (E) COLO lls transduced sh-LEFI-ASI-I, sh-LEFI-ASI-2 or sh-ctrl were used for transwell cell invasion assay. Represent images taken 24 h post-incubation and relative asion G) COLO320 cells transduced with sh-LEFI-ASI-I, sh-LEFI-ASI-2 or sh-ctrl were used for soft agar were shown. (G) were shown. All assays were done in triplicates. \*\*\*P<0.05 comparing with sh-ctrl. n.s., no significance. assay. Represent plates (F) and average colony nur

genes. We found scanding the comfor the eed region of miR-30-5p, among plementary sit d in our study (Figure 6A). them SQ was discov lan of miR-30-5p (miR-30a-5p, miR-Enforce expre 30b-5p, 1 oc-5p, miR-30d-5p and miR-30e-5p) in COLO320 ce. significantly suppressed the level of SOX9 mRNA (Figure B). Furthermore, we cloned the 3'UTR sequence of SOX9 that containing the predicted miR-30-5p binding sites for luciferase reporter assay. In our study, introducing miR-30-5p evidently reduced the luciferase activity of SOX9 3'UTR in HEK 293T cells compared with miR-ctrl (Figure 6C). Moreover, a 6-bp mutation of SOX9 at the seed sequence of the putative binding site for miR-30-5p (Figure 6A) abolished the effect caused by miR-30-5p (Figure 6C). In the pull-down assay with biotin-

labeled miR-30-5p, wild type *SOX9* was successfully pulled down, while *SOX9* with a 6-bp mutation failed (Figure 6D). These results indicated that *SOX9* was a direct target for miR-30-5p. In addition, ectopic expression of LEF1-AS1 in HT29 and T84 cells significantly increased the expression level of *SOX9* mRNA compared with cells transduced with EV (Figure 6E). However, this effect was largely abolished by restoring miR-30-5p (miR-30a-5p or miR-30b-5p) in these cells, indicating that LEF1-AS1 might regulate *SOX9* expression through miR-30-5p (Figure 6E). This was further validated by Western blot (Figure 6F). In our study, overexpressed LEF1-AS1 in HT29 and T84 cells increased the protein expression of *SOX9* compared with EV transduced cells, but this was reversed by restoring miR-30-5p (miR-30a-5p or miR-30b-5p) in these cells



Figure 4 LEFI-ASI facilitates tumor xenograft grow and luter pastasis of color cancer cells. (A-C) HT29 cells ( $2 \times 10^6$ ) transduced with EV or LEFI-ASI were subcutaneous injected in nude mice (n = 5). Tumor powth curves (A pepresent images (B) and tumor weight (C) were shown. (D-F) COLO320 cells ( $2 \times 10^6$ ) transduced with sh-LEFI-ASI-1, sh-LEFI-ASI-2 or sh-ctrl were succutaneous injected in nude mice (n = 4). Tumor growth curves (D), represent images (E) and tumor weight (F) were shown. (G), and the number of tumors in lung was unalated (H). \*\*\*P<0.05 comparing with EV or sh-ctrl.

(Figure 6D). Collective our sults suggested that LEF1-AS1 mediated *SQ*10 expression by so wing as a molecular sponge for min-30-5), fiamily.

# SOX9 Restriction Abolishes the Effects Caused by LEN-ASI Knockdown in COLO320 Cells

To explore whether SOX9 was critical for the effects caused by LEF1-AS1 knockdown, COLO320 cells transduced with sh-LEF1-AS1-1 were restored with SOX9 expression lentiviral vectors, then wound healing assay, transwell cell invasion assay, soft agar assay and tumor xenograft formation assay were conducted. Firstly, we confirmed that LEF1-AS1 expression in COLO320 cells was successfully knocked down by sh-LEF1-AS1-1 compared with cells transduced with sh-ctrl (Figure 7A). Besides, restoration of SOX9 had little influence on the expression of LEF1-AS1 in sh-LEF1-AS1-1 transduced COLO320 cells (Figure 7A). In wound healing assay, restoring SOX9 reversed the suppressive effect on wound healing caused by LEF1-AS1 knockdown (Figure 7B). In transwell cell invasion assay, LEF1-AS1 knockdown reduced the number of invasion cells but this was partially attenuated by SOX9 restoration (Figure 7C). In addition, LEF1-AS1 knockdown significantly suppressed colony formation of COLO320 cells in soft agar assay, but SOX9 restoration abrogated this effect (Figure 7D). These results indicated that SOX9 restoration abolished the effects caused by LEF1-AS1 knockdown in COLO320 cells in vitro, and this was further



Figure 5 LEFI-ASI acts as a molecular spong r miR-30-5 colon cancer cells. (A) COLO320 cells were transduced with sh-LEF1-AS1-1, sh-LEF1-AS1-2 or sh-ctrl, then a-5p, miR-30brelative expression of miR-30-5p family (p miR-30c-5p, miR-30d-5p and miR-30e-5p) was evaluated by gRT-PCR. (B) HT29 cells were transduced essio ctor, then rela with empty vector (EV) or LEFI-ASI expression of the miR-30-5p family was evaluated by qRT-PCR. (C) HEK293T cells transduced with wild-type LEFI-ASI (wt LEFI-ASI) or mutant LEFI (mt LEFI-ASI) expression pMIR-REPORT vector were used for luciferase reporter assay. Cells were co-transfected with miR-30a-5p, miR-30b-5p, mil 0c-5p, miR-30d-5 hiR-30e-5p or miR-ctrl expression vector as indicated. The putative binding sites of miR-30-5p family for wt LEF1-ASI or mt LEFI-ASI were sh n. (**D**) the putative bind sites of LEFI-ASI for wt or mt miR-30-5p were shown. Relative expression of LEFI-ASI in the bound RNAs /ild-type (v miR-30-5p, mutant (mt) miR-30-5p and negative control (NC) were evaluated by qRT-PCR. All assays were done in triplicates. pulled down by biotinylate It LFEI-ASI or mt. n.s., no significance. \*\*\*P<0.05 comparing wit ctrl, E

ograft model in vivo. In our validate .n mou tumor . COLO320 cells was obviously study, 1 or repressed by ransducing with sh-LEF1-AS1-1, while cells introducing which sh-LEF1-AS1-1 and SOX9 together showed no great difference in tumor growth compared with cells transduced with sh-ctrl and EV, indicating that SOX9 restoration abolished the effects of LEF1-AS1 knockdown in vivo (Figure 7E-G). Overall, our results suggested that the inhibitory effects of LEF1-AS1 knockdown on cell migration, invasion, anchorage-independent growth and tumor xenograft growth of COLO320 cells were at least partially due to SOX9 inhibition.

#### Discussion

Human transcriptome sequencing identifies a great number of dysregulated lncRNAs in colon cancer, some of which play essential roles in tumorigenesis and progression.<sup>26</sup> For example, lncRNA H19 was found to be overexpressed in colon cancer tissues and cell lines, and H19 knockdown inhibited migration and invasion of colon cancer cells through miR-138/HMGA1 axis.<sup>27</sup> LncRNA CRNDE was upregulated in colon cancer tissues, and CRNDE overexpression promoted cell proliferation and chemoresistance of colon cancer cells via miR-181a-5p mediated regulation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling.<sup>28</sup> LncRNA Linc00659 was significantly increased



Figure 6 LEF1-AS1 mediates SOX9 expression through miR-30-5p in colon cancer the putative binding sites of miR-30-5p family with wt SOX9 or mt SOX9 were shown. (B) COLO320 cells were transduced with miR-30a-5p, 5p, miR-30d-5p, miR-30e-5p or miR-ctrl, then relative expression of SOX9 was 5p, miRevaluated by qRT-PCR. (C) HEK293T cells transduced with wt 9 or m OX9 exp sion pMIR-REPORT vector were used for luciferase reporter assay. Cells were co--5p, miR-3 transfected with miR-30a-5p, miR-30b-5p, miR-30c-5p, miR-2 -5p or mil rl expression vector as indicated. (D) Relative expression of SOX9 in the bound RNAs pulled down by biotinylated wild-type (wt) miR-30-5p tant (m egative control (NC) were evaluated by qRT-PCR. (**E, F**) HT29 and T84 cells were transduced with LEFI-ASI, EV, miR-30a-5p, miR-30b-5 r miR ndicated, then SOX9 expression was evaluated by qRT-PCR (E) or Western blot (F). All assays were done in triplicates. \*\*\*P<0.05 comparing with mig or EV.

and positively correlated with poor survival colon cancer patients, and Linc00659 cockdown impaired cell cycle progression and suppresses 213K/ KT signaling.<sup>29</sup> Besides the well-characterized bernAL is also portant to elucidate v wly found and dysreguthe biological of son inction ncer. Among them, IncRNA LEF1lated lncRN s in col AS1 was report. be upregulated and correlated with lymph node metastasis and poor survival in colon cancer patients, but the exact role of LEN-AS1 was uncertain.<sup>13,14</sup> In our study, we have demonstrated this, and furthermore, we found that enforced LEF1-AS1 expression in colon cancer cells promoted migration, invasion and anchorage-independent growth in vitro and tumor xenograft growth and lung metastasis in vivo. Instead, LEF1-AS1 knockdown suppressed migration, invasion, anchorage-independent growth and tumor xenograft formation. In addition, LEF1-AS1 acted as a molecular sponge for miR-30-5p, and further regulated SOX9 expression.

Restored SOX9 expression in colon cancer cells abolished the inhibitory effects caused by LEF1-AS1 knockdown. Our results revealed the potential role of LEF1-AS1 in regulating migration, invasion and metastasis of colon cancer cells through miR-30-5p/SOX9 axis.

Accumulated evidences demonstrate that LEF1-AS1 is enrolled in the tumorigenesis and progression of a variety of cancers. In glioblastoma, LEF1-AS1 was upregulated and correlated with poor overall survival, while knockdown of LEF1-AS1 suppressed proliferation and invasion and promoted apoptosis of glioblastoma cells through ERK and AKT/mTOR pathway.<sup>9</sup> In oral squamous cell carcinoma, LEF1-AS1 silence inhibited cell proliferation, migration, G0/G1 cell cycle progression and tumor xenograft growth by directly interacting with LATS1 and subsequently suppressing Hippo signaling pathway.<sup>10</sup> LEF1-AS1 was also markedly upregulated in non-small-cell lung cancer



SOX9 or EV as indicated, th e LEFI-AS pression was evaluated by qRT-PCR. (B) COLO320 cells were transduced with sh-LEF1-AS1-1, sh-ctrl, SOX9 or EV as d healing assay. Represent images at 0 h, 24 h and 48 h were shown, and the wound closure was calculated. (C) COLO320 indicated, then ce used cratch we sh-LEF cells were tra n-ctrl, SOX9 or EV as indicated, then cells were used for scratch wound healing assay. Represent images taken 24 h postuced SIincubation relative i sion cells w shown. (D) COLO320 cells were transduced with sh-LEFI-ASI-I, sh-ctrl, SOX9 or EV as indicated, then cells were used for soft oresent erage colony number were shown. (E-G) COLO320 cells (2 × 10<sup>6</sup>) were transduced with h-LEF1-AS1-1, sh-ctrl, SOX9 or EV as indicated, agar assay then cells w aneous in ed in nude mice (n = 5). Tumor growth curves (E), represent images (F) and tumor weight (G) were shown. All assays were done in triplicates. \*\*\*

specimens and, and enforced expression of LEF1-AS1 enhanced proliferation and migration of lung cancer cells through miR-489/SOX4 axis.<sup>11</sup> In prostate cancer, LEF1-AS1 knockdown repressed proliferation, invasion, migration, epithelial-mesenchymal transition and tumor xenograft formation of prostate cancer cells by acting as a molecular sponge for miR-330-5p.<sup>12</sup> These results indicate that LEF1-AS1 is overexpressed and may act like oncogene in cancers. Indeed, we found that LEF1-AS1 was upregulated in colon cancer and overexpression of LEF1-AS1 promoted cell migration, invasion, anchorage-independent growth and tumor xenograft growth, suggesting that LEF1-AS1 behaved like oncogene in colon cancer, too.

LncRNAs have many different biological functions, one of which is act as competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) or natural microRNA sponges, thus regulating microRNA expression level and its downstream targeting protein-coding genes.<sup>30,31</sup> For example, a musclespecific lncRNA linc-MD1 mediated muscle differentiation by acting as a molecular sponge for miR-133 and subsequently regulating the expression of transcription factors MAML1 and MEF2C to activate muscle-specific gene expression.<sup>32</sup> In hepatocellular carcinoma, a novel IncRNA MCM3AP-AS1 enhanced the growth of hepatocellular carcinoma cells by directly interacting with miR-194-5p and further promoted the expression of FOXA1.<sup>24</sup> In our study, we found that LEF1-AS1 was directly interacted and inversely correlated with miR-30-5p in colon cancer cells, suggesting that LEF1-AS1 acted as ceRNA or molecular sponges for miR-30-5p. Furthermore, a variety of studies have indicated that miR-30-5p functioned as a tumor suppressor in cancers. In myeloma cells, downregulation of miR-30-5p promoted BCL9 expression and further activated the oncogenic Wnt signaling pathway to facilitate cell proliferation, migration and formation of cancer stem cells.<sup>33</sup> Enforced expression of miR-30 suppressed migration, invasion and epithelial-mesenchymal transition of prostate cancer cells by targeting oncogenic TMPRSS2-ERG fusion gene.<sup>34</sup> In head and neck squ mous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), all 5 members of miR 30-5p family were downregulated, and restoration of miR-30a-5p inhibited cell proliferation, migration, nvasion and tumor xenograft growth.<sup>35</sup> Is our str miR-30-5p is prone to acting like tumo. pressor, this may partially explain the pogenic function of LEF1-AS1 by suppressing mil 30-5, in colon calcer.

Whether SOX9 is an oppgene or tunk suppressor in colon cancer is controv stal because both on cogenic and tumor-suppressing functions this protein have been described.<sup>36–39</sup> Evidence  $1 \leq OX9$  ty for suppressor activity included at SQL9 over ession suppressed cell proliferation of hum meelon cancer cells while SOX9 knockdown provided cell proliferation of HT29 cell, and knocked out SOX in the intestine epithelium of APC<sup>Min/+</sup> mouse increased turnor burden.<sup>40</sup> In addition, most of SOX9 mutations in colon cancer were frameshift or nonsense mutations, which was seen in classical tumor suppressors.<sup>41</sup> However, study of the truncating mutations of SOX9 indicating that these mutations were likely oncogenic and overexpressed in colon cancer.<sup>42</sup> Besides, data across TCGA showed that SOX9 was mutated and amplified in multiple cancers and whole gene deletion was rarely detected, a pattern typically seen in oncogenes.<sup>43</sup> Moreover, a variety of studies demonstrated that SOX9 was overexpressed in colon cancer tissues and cell lines compared with healthy colon epithelia, suggesting that *SOX9* was inclined to be oncogenic in colon cancer.<sup>36,37,42,44,45</sup> In our study, we found that SOX9 was a downstream target for miR-30-5p, and LEF1-AS1 over-expression increased the expression level of SOX9 in colon cancer cells. Moreover, restoration of SOX9 attenuated the effects caused by LEF1-AS1 knockdown. These results indicated that the oncogenic property of LEF1-AS1 in colon cancer might partially due to a lating SOX9 expression through miR-30-5p.

In conclusion, our study indicated that LEF AS1 was upregulated and correlated with unfairable ognosis in colon cancer patients. Inforced Apressic of LEF1-AS1 promoted migration, varion, and orage-independent growth, tumor y lograft for tion d lung metastasis of colon cance cells, while know own of LEF1-AS1 suppressed cell migration invasion, anchorage-independent and tumor xenogram formation. LEF1-AS1 mediated grow expression y acting as a molecular sponge for miR-SO 30-5 and restoration of SOX9 abrogated the effects caused by LER SLL ockdown. Our results suggested that LEF1was oncogenic and could be a potential prognosis marker for colon cancer.

#### Data Sharing Statement

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### **Ethics and Consent Statement**

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. All procedures performed in studies involving animals were in accordance with the ethical standards of the ethics committee of the Cancer Hospital of China Medical University.

#### Funding

This study was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Liaoning Province, China (grant no. 20180550769).

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest in this work.

# References

- 1. Ku G, Tan IB, Yau T, et al. Management of colon cancer: resource-stratified guidelines from the Asian Oncology Summit 2012. *Lancet Oncol.* 2012;13:e470–e481. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(12)70424-2
- Center MM, Jemal A, Smith RA, et al. Worldwide variations in colorectal cancer. CA Cancer J Clin. 2009;59:366–378. doi:10.33 22/caac.20038
- Ting WC, Chen LM, Pao JB, et al. Common genetic variants in Wnt signaling pathway genes as potential prognostic biomarkers for colorectal cancer. *PLoS One.* 2013;8:e56196. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0056196
- Burke HB. Outcome prediction and the future of the TNM staging system. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2004;96:1408–1409. doi:10.1093/jnci/ djh293
- Ulitsky I, Bartel DP. lincRNAs: genomics, evolution, and mechanisms. *Cell*. 2013;154:26–46. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.06.020
- Mercer TR, Mattick JS. Structure and function of long noncoding RNAs in epigenetic regulation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2013;20:300–307. doi:10.1038/nsmb.2480
- 7. Saeinasab M, Bahrami AR, Gonzalez J, et al. SNHG15 is a bifunctional MYC-regulated noncoding locus encoding a lncRNA that promotes cell proliferation, invasion and drug resistance in colorectal cancer by interacting with AIF. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* 2019;38:172. doi:10.1186/s13046-019-1169-0
- Shan Z, An N, Qin J, et al. Long non-coding RNA Lie Versuppresses cell proliferation and metastasis in colorectal can be via acting on miR-942 and Wnt/beta-catenin signaling. *Biotech Pharmacother.* 2018;101:769–776. doi:10.1016/jj.2018.02.00
- 9. Wang J, Liu X, Yan C, et al. LEF1-AS1, a fig-nonching RN promotes malignancy in glioblastoma Onco T sets The 2017;10:4251–4260. doi:10.2147/OTT.\$1303
- Zhang C, Bao C, Zhang X, et al. Knowdown of RNA LEF1-AS1 inhibited the progression of oral commous cell common (OSCC) via Hippo signaling pathway *Campr Biol Theo* 2019:1–10. doi:10.1080/15384047.2019.1599671.
- Yang J, Lin X, Jiang Wu cal. lncRNA LE: AS1 promotes malignancy in non-small-onc lung cancer by modulating the miR-489/ SOX4 axis. DNc Cell Bit 2019;38:1013–1021. doi:10.1089/ dna.2019.4717
- 12. Liu DC, Song M, Lian, et al. Long noncoding RNA LEF1-AS1 silencing appress of the interformed development of prostate cancer by acting as a molecular spon, and miR-330-5p via LEF1 repression. *J Cen. Physiol.* 112727–12744. doi:10.1002/jcp.27893
- Chen Y, Yu Y, et al. Identification of dysregulated lncRNAs profiling al. metastasis-associated lncRNAs in colorectal cancer by genome-wide lysis. *Cancer Med.* 2017;6(10):2321–2330. doi:10. 1002/cam4.1168
- 14. Shi Q, He Y, Zhang X, et al. Two novel long noncoding RNAs -RP11-296E3.2 and LEF1-AS1can - Separately serve as diagnostic and prognostic bio-markers of metastasis in colorectal cancer. *Med Sci Monit.* 2019;25:7042–7051. doi:10.12659/MSM.916314
- Kadaja M, Keyes BE, Lin M, et al. SOX9: a stem cell transcriptional regulator of secreted niche signaling factors. *Genes Dev.* 2014;28:328–341. doi:10.1101/gad.233247.113
- Wagner T, Wirth J, Meyer J, et al. Autosomal sex reversal and campomelic dysplasia are caused by mutations in and around the SRY-related gene SOX9. *Cell.* 1994;79:1111–1120. doi:10.1016/ 0092-8674(94)90041-8

- Gordon CT, Tan TY, Benko S, et al. Long-range regulation at the SOX9 locus in development and disease. J Med Genet. 2009;46:649–656. doi:10.1136/jmg.2009.068361
- Formeister EJ, Sionas AL, Lorance DK, et al. Distinct SOX9 levels differentially mark stem/progenitor populations and enteroendocrine cells of the small intestine epithelium. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol.* 2009;296(5):G1108–G1118. doi:10.1152/ajpgi.00004.2009
- Thomsen MK, Ambroisine L, Wynn S, et al. SOX9 elevation in the prostate promotes proliferation and cooperates with PTEN loss to drive tumor formation. *Cancer Res.* 2010;70:979–987. doi:10.1158/ 0008-5472.CAN-09-2370
- Wang H, Leav I, Ibaragi S, et al. SOX9 is expressed in human fetal prostate epithelium and enhances prostate cancer invasion. *Cancer Res.* 2008;68:1625–1630. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-5915
- 21. Kopp JL, von Figura G, Mayes and al. Identification of Sox9-dependent acinar-to-ductal performance as the principal mechanism for initiation of encreatic duct adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Cell*. 2012;22:737–750. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.212.10.025
- 22. Carrasco-Garcia E, Lopen L, Alda, et al. S. K9-regulated cell plasticity in colorected netastasis is a quater by rapamycin. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:32350 poi:10.1030 rep32350
- 23. Wan W, Wan W, Loh, W, et al. MiR-25-3p promotes malignant phenotypes of retionolastom, in regulation of TEN/Akt pathway. *Biomed Pharmaccons*, 2019;118:10, 11. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2019.109111
- 24. Wang J. Yang L. Chen T, etc. A novel lncRNA MCM3AP-AS1 promotes the south of hepatocellular carcinoma by targeting pr P 194-5p/FOXAC axis. *Mol Cancer*. 2019;18:28. doi:10.1186/s12943-019-0957-7
- Paraskevoporlou MD, Vlachos IS, Karagkouni D, et al. DIANA-LncBase v2 indexing microRNA targets on non-coding transcripts. *Nucleic Acid Res.* 2016;44:D231–238. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1270
- biomedia and JM, Li M, et al. Long non-coding RNAs: new biomedia for prognosis and diagnosis of colon cancer. *Tumour Biol.* 2017;39:1010428317706332. doi:10.1177/1010428317706332
- 27. King Q, Wang X, Tang C, et al. H19 promotes the migration and invasion of colon cancer by sponging miR-138 to upregulate the expression of HMGA1. *Int J Oncol.* 2017;50:1801–1809. doi:10.3892/ijo.2017.3941
- 28. Han P, Li JW, Zhang BM, et al. The lncRNA CRNDE promotes colorectal cancer cell proliferation and chemoresistance via miR-181a-5p-mediated regulation of Wnt/beta-catenin signaling. *Mol Cancer*. 2017;16:9. doi:10.1186/s12943-017-0583-1
- Tsai KW, Lo YH, Liu H, et al. Linc00659, a long noncoding RNA, acts as novel oncogene in regulating cancer cell growth in colorectal cancer. *Mol Cancer*. 2018;17:72. doi:10.1186/s12943-018-0821-1
- 30. Salmena L, Poliseno L, Tay Y, et al. A ceRNA hypothesis: the rosetta stone of a hidden RNA language? *Cell*. 2011;146(3):353–358. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.07.014
- Tay Y, Rinn J, Pandolfi PP. The multilayered complexity of ceRNA crosstalk and competition. *Nature*. 2014;505:344–352. doi:10.1038/ nature12986
- Cesana M, Cacchiarelli D, Legnini I, et al. A long noncoding RNA controls muscle differentiation by functioning as a competing endogenous RNA. *Cell*. 2011;147:358–369. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.09.028
- 33. Zhao JJ, Lin J, Zhu D, et al. miR-30-5p functions as a tumor suppressor and novel therapeutic tool by targeting the oncogenic Wnt/ beta-catenin/BCL9 pathway. *Cancer Res.* 2014;74:1801–1813. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-3311-T
- Kao CJ, Martiniez A, Shi XB, et al. miR-30 as a tumor suppressor connects EGF/Src signal to ERG and EMT. Oncogene. 2014;33:2495–2503. doi:10.1038/onc.2013.200
- 35. Saleh AD, Cheng H, Martin SE, et al. Integrated genomic and functional microRNA analysis identifies miR-30-5p as a tumor suppressor and potential therapeutic nanomedicine in head and neck cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2019;25:2860–2873. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-0716

- Matheu A, Collado M, Wise C, et al. Oncogenicity of the developmental transcription factor Sox9. *Cancer Res.* 2012;72:1301–1315. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-3660
- 37. Lu B, Fang Y, Xu J, et al. Analysis of SOX9 expression in colorectal cancer. Am J Clin Pathol. 2008;130:897–904. doi:10.1309/ AJCPW1W8GJBQGCNI
- Jay P, Berta P, Blache P. Expression of the carcinoembryonic antigen gene is inhibited by SOX9 in human colon carcinoma cells. *Cancer Res.* 2005;65:2193–2198. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-1484
- 39. Abdel-Samad R, Zalzali H, Rammah C, et al. MiniSOX9, a dominant-negative variant in colon cancer cells. *Oncogene*. 2011;30:2493–2503. doi:10.1038/onc.2010.621
- 40. Shi Z, Chiang CI, Mistretta TA, et al. SOX9 directly regulates IGFBP-4 in the intestinal epithelium. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol*. 2013;305:G74–83. doi:10.1152/ajpgi.00086.2013

- Cancer Genome Atlas N. Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. *Nature*. 2012;487:330–337. doi:10.1038/nature11252
- 42. Javier BM, Yaeger R, Wang L, et al. Recurrent, truncating SOX9 mutations are associated with SOX9 overexpression, KRAS mutation, and TP53 wild type status in colorectal carcinoma. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7:50875–50882. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.9682
- 43. Cerami E, Gao J, Dogrusoz U, et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discov.* 2012;2:401–404. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-12-0095
- 44. Lu B, Xu J, Lai M, et al. A transcriptome anatomy of human colorectal cancers. BMC Cancer. 2006;6:40. doi:10.1186/1471-2407-6-40
- 45. Huang MY, Chen HC, Yang IP, et al. Tumorigenesis and tumor progression related gene expression profiles in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Biomark*. 2013;13:269–279. doi:10.2000/GBM-130350

**OncoTargets and Therapy** 

**Dove**press

Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal

agents and protocols on patient perspectives such as quality of life,

adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/

testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.