ORIGINAL RESEARCH **Differential Metabolic Alterations and Biomarkers** Between Gastric Cancer and Colorectal Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: OncoTargets and Theraby

Jingshen Tian,^{1,*} Weinan Xue,^{2,*} Huihui Yin,¹ Nannan Zhang, Junde Zhou,³ Zhiping Long, (D¹ Chengwei Wu,³ Zhengzi Liang,⁴ Kun Xie, D^I Shuo Li, Liangliang Li, ¹ Zhen Wu, ¹ Volontovich Daria, 🕞 Yashuang Zhao, 🝺¹ Fan Wang, 🝺¹ Maoqing Wang⁵

¹Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Harbin Medical University, Harbin, Heilongjiang Province, People's Republic of China; ²Department of Colorectal Surgery, The Tumor Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Harbin, Heilongjiang Province, People's Republic of China; ³Department of Colorectal Surgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University. Harbin, Heilongjiang Province, People's Republic of China; ⁴Department of Colorectal Surgery, The Affiliated Hospital of Guizhou Medical University, Guiyang, Guizhou Province, People's Republic of China; ⁵National Key Disciplines of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, Department of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, School of Public Health, Harbin Medical University, Harbin, Heilongjiang Province, People's Republic of China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Fan Wang Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Harbin Medical University, 157 Baojian Road, Nangang District, Harbin, Heilongjiang Province, People's Republic of China Tel + 0086-(0)451-87502960 Fax + 0086-(0)451-87502885 Email yifan.701@163.com

Maoqing Wang

National Key Disciplines of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, Department of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, School of Public Health, Harbin Medical University, Harbin, Heilongjiang Province, People's Republic of China Tel + 0086-(0)451-87502876

Fax + 0086-(0)451-87502885 Email wang_maoqing@126.com



Purpose: Numerous metabolomics studies have been conducted to detect the metabolic mechanisms and biomarkers related to gastric cancer and colorectal cancer. Because of the common metabolic features between gastric cancer and colorectal cancer, a differential diagnosis is difficult. Here, we performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to identify differential metabolic biomarkers between these two types of cancers.

Materials and Methods: PubMed, Embase, and ScienceDirect were searched to identify all metabolomics studies of gastric cancer and colorectal cancer published up to September 2018. Differential metabolites or altered pathways were extracted. The intersections and differences for these metabolites and pathways between gastric cancer and colorectal cancer were compared. Candidate biomarker sets for diagnosis were proposed from biofluid or feces by comparing them with tumor tissues.

Results: Totally, 24 and 65 studies were included in gastric cancer and colorectal cancer, and 223 and 472 differential metabolites were extracted, respectively. Eight pathways were reproducibly enriched in blood, tissue and urine in gastric cancer, while, 11 pathways were reproducibly enriched in blood, urine, feces and tissue in colorectal cancer. Candidate metabolic biomarker sets in blood, urine, or feces for these two cancers were proposed. We found 27 pathways (categorized into eight classifications) common to both cancers, five pathways involving 35 metabolites enriched only in gastric cancer, and eight pathways involving 54 metabolites enriched only in colorectal cancer.

Conclusion: The altered metabolic pathways showed signatures of abnormal metabolism in gastric cancer and colorectal cancer; the potential metabolic biomarkers proposed in this study have important implications for the prospective validation of gastric cancer and colorectal cancer.

Keywords: metabolomics study, colorectal cancer, gastric cancer, metabolic biomarker

Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) and colorectal cancer (CRC) are the most common malignancies worldwide. The American Cancer Society estimates that the number of new cases of CRC in males and females in the US is 75,610 and 64,640 in 2018,¹ with the number of deaths estimated at 27,390 and 23,240, respectively. This puts CRC as the third highest cancer among both men and women. Meanwhile, the estimated number of new cases of GC is 16,520 and 9,720, and those of deaths are 6,510 and 4,290 for males and females, respectively. Despite a downtrend in GC morbidity and mortality over the past decade,² population growth and aging still have led to

CC 0 S C2020 Tian et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

a large and rising number of new cases. It is noteworthy that the incidence of GC is particularly high amongst Asians and Pacific Islanders.³

Because GC and CRC are both tumors of the digestive tract, they share certain characteristics. Cancer develops slowly, often over decades, progressing from normal epithelial cells of the gastric or intestinal mucosal cells through the polyp stage to the tumor stage. Most patients are diagnosed at an advanced stage, thus missing the optimal stage for treatment. According to the TNM classification of colon cancer, the 5-year stage-specific relative survival rate decreases from 97.1% for T1/T2, N0 of Stage I to 27.1% for T4, N2 of Stage IIIC.⁴ Comparatively, the overall 5-year relative survival rate for people with stomach cancer in the United States is approximately 31%.⁵

Clinically, endoscopy has long been considered the gold standard for a diagnosis of GC and CRC.^{6,7} However, its invasiveness and expense mean that it is not widely adopted by patients or for screening high-risk populations. Alternatively, blood biomarkers, such as carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), carbohydrate antigen (CA) 19–9, and CA-125 are commonly detected but their sensitivity and specificity are unsatisfactory and are unable to differentiate between the two types of tumors.⁸ Therefore, there is a pressing need to identify new biomarkers for the non-invasive screening and early diagnosis of these two cancers.

Metabolomics is the endpoint of the "-omics cascade" and reflects perturbations in metabolites within all biological processes.⁹ Because of its high sensitivity, metabolomics offers notable advantages in identifying perturbations at an early stage of disease. Numerous small-molecule metabolites involved in various biological pathways have been identified through high-throughput analytical technology. Much effort has been made to monitor the qualitative and quantitative changes in small molecules specific to GC and CRC,^{10,11} which can help to understand the mechanisms underlying tumor formation and potentially uncover diagnostic markers. Evidence has shown that changes in metabolites are sufficiently pronounced to be detected as early indicators of diseases, and advances in global metabolomics could be used to identify individuals who may be at risk for progression from premalignant lesions to cancer.¹² However, to date, hundreds of metabolic biomarkers for GC and CRC have been reported, which brought hardship on prospective validation and clinic application. Moreover, metabolomics cannot detect cancer per se like pathological sectioning, but rather detects metabolic perturbations in physiological processes. Consequently, because of the common metabolic features between GC and CRC, a differential diagnosis is difficult.

Here, we systematically reviewed all metabolomics studies with a focus on the identification of metabolic biomarkers in GC and CRC. We collected data on metabolite perturbations in tumor tissues and body fluids. We sought to elaborate the pathogenetic mechanisms shared by these two cancers and identify those metabolites or mechanisms that were particular to either cancer type. We further sorted different metabolites among the different specimens and provided recommended pools of metabolic biomarkers specific to GC or CRC for future prospective validation in the early detection and differential diagnosis of these two cancers.

Materials and Methods Literature Search Strategy

According to PRISMA guidelines, we conducted this metaanalysis (Details of PRISMA checklist for this study were summarized in <u>Table S1</u>). We searched PubMed, Embase, and ScienceDirect to identify all metabolomics studies of GC and CRC published from 1998 through to September 2018. We used various combinations of keywords including "metabolomics", "metabonomics", "colorectal/gastric", "neoplasm/carcinoma/tumor", "NMR", "GC-MS", and "LC-MS". Additionally, a reference list of selected studies was manually examined to avoid missing relevant studies. Two reviewers (JST and NNZ) independently assessed articles for eligibility. Disagreements were resolved by the third reviewer (FW).

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies aiming to find metabolomics characteristics and candidate metabolic biomarkers for diagnosis in GC and CRC were included. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) original studies published in English and available as full texts; 2) studies with a case-control design, nested case-control design, or self-control design (studies detecting tumor tissue using autologous non-tumorous adjacent tissue specimens as matched controls); 3) studies investigating either human tissues, serum, or body fluids; 4) the use of a detection platform including NMR, GC-MS, LC-MS, UPLC-MS/MS, HPLC-GC/MS-MS, or multiple platforms; 5) the names of differential metabolites (variable importance in the projection>1 and P<0.05) available for extraction. When duplicated reports were published from

the same population, the most recent or most complete publication was included. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) review articles; 2) abstracts only; 3) animal or cell lines studies; 4) non-English papers; 5) genomics and proteomics research; 6) incomplete data.

Quality Assessment

We applied QUADOMICS¹³ an adaptation of the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy assessment (QUADAS), to evaluate the methodological quality of the selected studies. We surmised that use of a cut-off value to assess "omics"-based studies may be inappropriate because of the complexities of new "omics"-based methods. By summarizing the percentage of applied criteria scored positively, QUADOMICS can assess the quality of diagnostic studies in this highly dynamic field. This reduces the challenge of sieving through a huge amount of recently produced data. Two reviewers (JST and NNZ) independently assessed the quality of the included studies. Disagreements were resolved by the third reviewer (FW) or a group meeting.

Data Extraction and Analysis

The following information was extracted from each study: 1) first author's name and publication year; 2) type of study design; 3) sample size; 4) matching condition; 5) sample source; 6) specimen type; 7) detection platform; 8) altered metabolites (comparing to appropriate control group). Two researchers (JST and NNZ) extracted the information independently. Any disagreement was discussed with the third researcher (FW).

Pathway Enrichment Analysis and Visualization of Specific Pathways

MetaboAnalyst 3.0¹⁴ incorporated with database sources including KEGG (<u>http://www.genome.jp/kegg/</u>) and HMDB (<u>http://www.hmdb.ca/</u>) was used for pathway enrichment analysis. IPath (interactive Pathways Explorer v3), an online analysis tool that can visualize metabolic pathways (<u>http://pathways.embl.de/iPath3.cgi#</u>), was used to clarify pathways enriched in these two cancers.

Results

Literature Searching

The selection process of the eligible studies is presented in <u>Figure S1A</u> (GC) and <u>Figure S1B</u> (CRC). A total of 89 studies (24 articles of GC and 65 articles of CRC) were included in this analysis.

Study Characteristics

Figure S2A–C) depicts an overview of the studies included in this meta-analysis from three aspects: publication year, epidemiological design, and regions of subjects. There was a similarity in the distribution of study types between GC and CRC. For GC, most studies were case-control studies (n = 17; 70.83%), with only six (25%) self-control studies and one (4.17%) nested case-control study. Similarly, most of the CRC studies were case-control studies (n = 40;61.54%), with half as many self-control studies (n = 20;30.77%) and few nested case-control studies (n = 5;7.69%). Subjects in these studies were from Asia, Europe and North America, with most subjects from Asia.

The specimens and detection platforms for GC and CRC studies are depicted in Figure S2D and S2E. There is some diversity in the mode of selection of biological samples and in the chosen detection platforms between the two cancers. Urine and tissue samples were analyzed most in GC studies (Table S2), whereas tissue samples were analyzed most in CRC studies (Table S3). Combinations of multiple platforms were more commonly applied in CRC studies. Among the 24 GC-based studies, 18 studies employed mass spectrometry (MS)-based analytical methods and four studies used NMR. Among the 18 studies, seven used LC-MS, nine used GC-MS and two used CE-MS. Among the 65 CRC-based studies, 40 studies employed MS-based analytical methods (13 LC-MS, 21 GC-MS, 4 CE-MS, 1 FIA-MS and 1 DI-MS), 15 used NMR, and 10 employed multiple platforms.

Quality Assessment

Most of the studies included in our analysis sufficiently described the details of the clinical and physiological factors pertaining to the cancers (22/24, 91.67% in GC; 55/65, 84.62% in CRC). More than half of the studies (20/24, 83.33% in GC; 43/65, 66.15% in CRC) described adequate details in terms of the diagnostic and treatment procedures. All of the studies described the selection criteria clearly and specimen types. Most of the studies (21/24, 87.5% in GC; 58/65, 89.23% in CRC) failed to avoid overfitting in the lack of validation sets. Detailed information about questioning items and methodological quality assessment according to QUADOMICS is shown in Table S4 and Table S5.

Differential Metabolites of GC and CRC in Previous Metabolomics Studies

We extracted 223 altered metabolites in GC patients. Seven amino acids (valine, tyrosine, isoleucine, alanine, tryptophan, methionine and leucine) were reported more than five times, and a further 34 metabolites were reported three to five times (Table S6).

Because more studies have been conducted in CRC than GC, a higher number of differential metabolites were reported for CRC. As shown in <u>Table S7</u>, 472 metabolites were altered in CRC patients. Twenty-six differential metabolites (such as glycine, L-valine, L-lactic acid, L-alanine, L-phenylalanine, among others) were reported more than 10 times, 31 metabolites more than five times, and 66 metabolites three to five times. Comparing between the two cancer types, 136 metabolites were shared.

Altered Pathways Enriched by Differential Metabolites Extracted from Single Type of Sample (Blood, Urine, Tissue or Feces)

We conducted pathway enrichment analyses using metabolites extracted from blood, urine, tissue, or feces (if available), respectively. For GC, there were 27, 14, and 18 pathways enriched by the differential metabolites extracted from tissue, blood, and urine (Table S8). Meanwhile, there were 24, 19, 24, and 18 pathways enriched by the differential metabolites extracted from tissue, blood, urine, and feces, respectively, in CRC (Table S9). As shown in Table 1 and Figure 1, metabolites from tissue covered most of the pathways in both GC and CRC. The number of pathways enriched by urine metabolites was more than that by blood metabolites. Among the GC-related pathways, eight pathways were shared across blood, tissue, and urine (Figure 1A); among the CRCrelated pathways, 11 pathways were shared across blood, urine, feces, and tissue samples (Figure 1C).

Potential Metabolomic Biomarkers Selection Based on Pathways Shared Across Different Sample Types in GC and CRC

Among the eight pathways shared across blood, tissue, and urine in GC, 60 differential metabolites have been reported in previous studies (eg, tyrosine, taurine, alanine; Table 2). As shown in Figure 1B, 20 metabolites were reproducibly detected in blood and tissue (GC-blood-candidate biomarkers), and 24 metabolites in urine and tissue (GC-urinecandidate biomarkers). Moreover, 12 metabolites were detected in all three specimen types (tissue, blood, and urine), and were thus considered as the best candidate metabolic biomarkers of GC.

For CRC, among the 11 pathways across the different specimen types, 69 differential metabolites were detected (eg, serine, alanine, urea; Table 2). Twenty-three metabolites were reproducibly detected in urine and tissue (CRC-urine-candidate biomarkers), 39 in blood and tissue (CRC-blood-candidate biomarkers), and 19 in feces and tissue (CRC-feces-candidate biomarkers) (Figure 1D). Thirteen metabolites were shared across all four specimen types, and these were considered as the best candidate metabolic biomarkers of CRC.

Similarity and Dissimilitude of Pathways Between GC and CRC

We also compared the pathways enriched in multiple specimen types between GC and CRC. Twenty-seven pathways (categorized into eight classifications) were shared between these two cancers, including amino acid metabolism (such as alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism, glycine, serine and threonine metabolism, arginine and proline metabolism), carbohydrate metabolism (such as glycolysis or gluconeogenesis, pyruvate metabolism, propanoate metabolism), energy metabolism (nitrogen metabolism, methane metabolism), genetic information processing, lipid metabolism, nucleotide metabolism, metabolism of cofactors and vitamins, and metabolism of other amino acids. A venn diagram shows the common and different pathways between these two cancers (Figure 2).

Strikingly, based on our results, five pathways (nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism, purine metabolism, D-arginine and D-ornithine metabolism, tryptophan metabolism, and galactose metabolism) were enriched only in GC, whereas eight pathways (tyrosine metabolism, histidine metabolism, pentose and glucuronate interconversions. glycerophospholipid metabolism, fatty acid biosynthesis, primary bile acid biosynthesis, ascorbate and aldarate metabolism, and linoleic acid metabolism) were enriched only in CRC. The typical pathways of GC and CRC are outlined in Figure S3. These metabolic pathways are generally interlaced but specificities can also be observed. In addition, 35 metabolites were included in the five GC pathways, most of which were extracted from blood. Meanwhile, 54 metabolites were included in the eight CRC pathways, of which most were also extracted from blood (Table 3). All these metabolites can be considered as the potential biomarkers for differential diagnosis of these two cancers.

Table I Pathway Enrichment Analyses Based on the Differential Metabolites of Gastric Cancer and Colorectal Cancer

Pathway Name	Tissue	Blood	Urine	Pathway Name	Tissue	Blood	Urine	Feces
Gastric cancer				Colorectal cancer				
Alanine, aspartate and glutamate	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Alanine, aspartate and glutamate	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
metabolism				metabolism				
Aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Arginine and proline metabolism	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Arginine and proline metabolism	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Nitrogen metabolism	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Cyanoamino acid metabolism	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Phenylalanine metabolism	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Cysteine and methionine	\checkmark			\checkmark
				metabolism				
Propanoate metabolism	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Glycine, serine and threonine	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
				metabolism				
Taurine and hypotaurine metabolism	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Nitrogen metabolism	\checkmark			\checkmark
Valine, leucine and isoleucine		V	V	Pantothenate and CoA biosynthesis				
biosynthesis								
D-Glutamine and D-glutamate	\checkmark	\checkmark		Propanoate metabolism		\checkmark		\checkmark
metabolism								
Nicotinate and nicotinamide	\checkmark			Taurine and hypotaurine metabolism	\checkmark			\checkmark
metabolism		· ·		·				
D-Arginine and D-ornithine		\checkmark		Valine leucine and isoleucine		\checkmark		V
metabolism		`		hiosynthesis			,	`
Phenylalanine tyrosine and		V		Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)				
tryptophan biosynthesis		`					,	
Tryptophan metabolism		V		Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate				
		Ì		metabolism	,	`	,	
Valine, leucine and isoleucine		1		Phenylalanine metabolism		N	2	
degradation		,				Ň	Ŷ	
Beta-Alanine metabolism	1		1	heta-Alanine metabolism	N		2	1
Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)	1		J.	Butanosto motabolism	1		1	N
Citrate Cycle (TCA Cycle)	N		N	Glutathione metabolism	N		N N	N
Glycine serine and threenine	N		N.	Sulfur metabolism	J		1	N
motabolism	,		,	Sului metabolism	,		Ŷ	Ŷ
Giverylate and dicarboxylate	1		1	D-Glutamine and D-glutamate			2	1
	v		Ň				v	Ň
Metabolism Mathana matabalism	2		2	Veline Jourine and isolousine			2	2
	v		v	degradation			v	v
Pantothonato and CoA biosynthesis	2		2	Burimiding metabolism			2	
			1		v			
Pyruvato metabolism	2		N	Phonylalaning tyrosing and			N	
i yi uvate metabolism	v		Ň	tryptophan biosynthesis			v	
Purine metabolism			2				2	
Butaposto matabolism	2		Ň	Assorbate and alderate metabolism		2	v	
Cystoine and methionine	2			Glycorophospholipid motoholism		N		
motobalism	v			Giverophospholipid metabolism		v		
	2			Linclois acid metabolism		2		
Guiactose metabolism	N					N		
Giutatnione metadolism	N			rentose and giucuronate		N		
Chashais an Chusana annsis								
Burtons a hore bot	N			Churchasia an Chu		N		
Fentose prosphate pathway	N			Givening and his same	N			v
Sultur metabolism	N			ratty acid biosynthesis	N			
synthesis and degradation of ketone	N			rietnane metabolism	Ň			
Dodies								

(Continued)

Table I (Continued).

Pathway Name	Tissue	Blood	Urine	Pathway Name	Tissue	Blood	Urine	Feces
				Pentose phosphate pathway Purine metabolism Pyruvate metabolism Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies	$\sqrt{1}$ $\sqrt{1}$ $\sqrt{1}$			

Discussion

Metabolic profiles provide a top-down "systems level" detail of an organism under a particular physiological status, which fluctuates according to pathological demands.^{15,16} Numerous studies have sought to identify

changes in metabolite levels, and this has resulted in the rapid increase in biomarker discovery, particularly for GC and CRC.

Some criteria for developing and assessing biomarkers are necessary, such as good repeatability of high



Figure I Pathway and metabolite distributions among different types of specimens. (A) Pathway enrichment analyses of GC based on the extracted metabolites from different types of specimens. (B) Metabolites (enriched in eight common pathways) in different types of specimens in GC. (C) Pathway enrichment analyses of CRC based on the extracted metabolites from different types of specimens. (D) Metabolites (enriched in the 11 common pathways) in different types of specimens.

lable Z Differential Metabo	olites in Gastric Cand	cer and Colo	rectal Cance	er Published	in Previous Metabolomics St	udies				
Metabolites	НМDВ	Blood	Urine	Tissue	Metabolites	НМDВ	Blood	Urine	Tissue	Feces
Gastric cancer					Colorectal cancer					
^a Tyrosine	HMDB0000158	~	Ż	~	^d Glutamic acid	HMDB0000148	7	~	~	~
^a Phenylalanine	HMDB0000159	7	~	7	^d Phenylalanine	HMDB0000159	\mathbf{i}	~	~	\mathbf{r}
^a Alanine	HMDB0000161	7	~	7	dAlanine	HMDB0000161	\mathbf{i}	~	~	\mathbf{r}
^a Threonine	HMDB0000167	~	Ż	~	^d L-isoleucine	HMDB0000172	~	~	~	~
^a lsoleucine	HMDB0000172	~	Ż	7	^d Histidine	HMDB0000177	~	~	~	~
^a Histidine	HMDB0000177	~	Ż	7	dSerine	HMDB0000187	7	~	7	~
aTaurine	HMDB0000251	~	Ż	~	^d Lactic acid	HMDB0000190	7	~	~	~
^a Arginine	HMDB0000517	7	Ż	7	^d Aspartic acid	HMDB0000191	7	~	~	~
^a Leucine	HMDB000687	~	Ż	7	^d Succinate	HMDB0000254	7	~	7	~
^a Methionine	HMDB000696	~	Ż	~	^d Cysteine	HMDB0000574	~	~	7	~
^a Valine	HMDB0000883	~	~	7	^d Glutamine	HMDB0000641	~	~	$\overline{}$	~
^a Tryptophan	HMDB0000929	7	Ż	7	^d Leucine	HMDB0000687	7	~	~	~
^b Fumarate	HMDB0000134	~		~	^d Valine	HMDB0000883	~	~	~	~
^b Asparagine	HMDB0000168	7		7	^{ef} Choline	HMDB0000097	~	~	~	
^b Lysine	HMDB0000182	7		7	^{ef} Fumaric acid	HMDB0000134	~	~	~	
^b Propanoic acid	HMDB0000237	~		~	^{ef} Tyrosine	HMDB0000158	~	~	~	
^b Pyruvic acid	HMDB0000243	7		7	^{ef} asparagine	HMDB0000168	\mathbf{i}	~	~	
^b Glutamine	HMDB0000641	~		~	^{ef} Pyruvic acid	HMDB0000243	\mathbf{i}	~	7	
^b Citrulline	HMDB0000904	~		~	^{ef} Arginine	HMDB0000517	~	~	~	
^b Spermidine	HMDB0001257	7		7	^{ef} Methionine	HMDB0000696	\mathbf{i}	~	~	
^c Acetate	HMDB000042		~	7	^{ef} Tryptophan	HMDB0000929	\mathbf{i}	~	~	
^c β-Alanine	HMDB0000056		Ż	7	^e Acetoacetate	HMDB0000060	7		~	
^c Glycine	HMDB0000123		~	7	^e Uracil	HMDB0000300	~		~	
^c Formate	HMDB0000142		$\overline{}$	~	^{fg} Acetate	HMDB0000042		~	7	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$
^c Proline	HMDB0000162		~	7	f ^g Glycine	HMDB0000123		~	~	\mathbf{r}
^c Serine	HMDB0000187		~	7	^{fg} L-proline	HMDB0000162		~	~	\mathbf{r}
^c Lactate	HMDB0000190		~	7	^{fg} Threonine	HMDB0000167		~	~	\mathbf{r}
^c Aspartate	HMDB0000191		~	~	^{fg} Lysine	HMDB0000182		~	~	~
^c 2-Oxoglutarate	HMDB0000208		~	~	^{fg} Pantothenate	HMDB0000210		~	7	7
^c Succinate	HMDB0000254		~	~	^f 2-Hydroxybutyric acid	HMDB000008		~	7	
^c Putrescine	HMDB0001414		~	~	fBetaine	HMDB0000043		~	7	
^c Acetone	HMDB0001659		~	7	fAMP	HMDB0000045		~	$\overline{}$	
3-Hydroxypropionic acid	HMDB0000700	~			^f Beta-Alanine	HMDB0000056		~	$\overline{}$	
Anthranilic acid	HMDB0001123	~			fCreatine	HMDB000064		~	7	
N-Acetylornithine	HMDB0003357	7			^f cystathionine	HMDB0000099		7	~	
									(Co	ntinued)

OrnithineHMDB0000214SarcosineHMDB0000271SarcosineHMDB0000472tryptophanHMDB0000652tryptophanHMDB00000682-HydroxybutyrateHMDB00000033-Hydroxybutyric acidHMDB00000024-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000002040.reaHMDB000002043-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000002044-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000002041-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000002041-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000002041-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000002041-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000002041-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000000001-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000000001-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000000001-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000000002-OxoutyateHMDB000000002-OxoutyateHMDB000000002-OxoutyateHMDB000000000002-OxoutyateHMDB0000000002-OxoutyateHMDB000000002-OxoutyateHMDB000000002-OxoutyateHMDB0000000002-OxoutyateHMDB000000002-OxoutyateHMDB0000000002-OxoutyateHMDB000000000002-OxoutyateHMDB000000000000000000000000000000000000		~ ~		^f Glyceric acid	HMDB0000139 HMDB0000147		~	~	
SarcosineHMDB0000271tryptophanHMDB0000472tryptophanHMDB0000562CreatinineHMDB00005622-HydroxybutyrateHMDB00000083-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB00000234-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB00000294UreaHMDB00002943-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB0000294HmDB0000200HMDB0000294UreaHMDB00002943-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB0000294HmDB0000200HMDB00002943-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB00002941-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB00002602-OxobutyrateHMDB00002943-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB00002601-Hydroxybenzoic acidHMDB00002943-Hydroxybenzoic acidHMDB0000604-Hydroxybenzoic acidHMDB0000602-OxobutyrateHMDB0000602-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-Salicylic acidHMDB00008212-Salicylic acidHMDB0000602-StathionineHMDB0000602-StathionineHMDB00000602-StathionineHMDB0000000000		~ ~			HMDB000142		1		
tryptophan HMDB0000472 tryptophan HMDB0000562 2-Hydroxybutyrate HMDB0000562 2-Hydroxybutyrate HMDB000003 3-Hydroxyphenylacetate HMDB0000020 4-Hydroxyphenylacetate HMDB0000204 4-Hydroxyphenylacetate HMDB000024 4-Hydroxyphenylacetate HMDB000024 4-Hydroxyphenylacetate HMDB000024 4-Hydroxyphenylacetate HMDB000024 4-Hydroxyphenylacetate HMDB0000200000000000000000000000000000000				^T Formate			~	~	
CreatinineHMDB00005622-HydroxyburyrateHMDB0000083-Hydroxyisoburyric acidHMDB00000233-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB00000204-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000002040.reaHMDB00002043-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB00002041-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB00002041-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB00002041-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB00002041-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB00005001-Hydroxybenzoic acidHMDB000051141-Hydroxybenzoic acidHMDB00005101-Hydroxybenzoic acidHMDB00005102-CestineHMDB00008122-CestineHMDB0000602-CreatineHMDB0000602-SytachionineHMDB00000602-SytachionineHMDB00000602-SytachionineHMDB00000992-SytachionineHMDB0000060		~ ~		^f Cystine	HMDB0000192		\mathbf{r}	\sim	
2-HydroxybutyrateHMDB00000083-HydroxybutyrateHMDB00000233-Hydroxypisobutyric acidHMDB00000204-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000002040.1reaHMDB000002043-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000002041-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000002041-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000002041-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000002041-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000002041-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB00005001-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB0000812-OxobutyrateHMDB0000812-OxobutyrateHMDB0000812-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008212-OxobutyrateHMDB00008242-OxobutyrateHMDB00008242-OxobutyrateHMDB00008242-OxobutyrateHMDB00008242-OxobutyrateHMDB00008242-OxobutyrateHMDB00008242-OxobutyrateHMDB00008242-OxobutyrateHMDB00008242-O				fTaurine	HMDB0000251		~	7	
3-Hydroxyjsobutyric acid HMDB0000023 3-Hydroxypsobutyric acid HMDB0000005 4-Hydroxyphenylacetate HMDB0000204 Urea HMDB0000204 J-Hydroxyphenylacetate HMDB0000204 Urea HMDB0000204 J-Hydroxyphenylacetate HMDB0000214 Hippurate HMDB0000500 Hippurate HMDB0000511 Phenylacetylaspartate HMDB0000812 Salicylic acid HMDB0000821 Salicylic acid HMDB0000821 Creatine HMDB000060 Creatine HMDB000060 Creatine HMDB0000099 Acctoacetate HMDB0000099	~	-		^f Sarcosine	HMDB0000271		\mathbf{r}	\sim	
2-OxobutyrateHMDB0000054-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB0000204UreaHMDB0000294UreaHMDB00002943-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB00003004-Hydroxybenzoic acidHMDB00003114HippurateHMDB0000812PhenylacetylglycineHMDB0000812PhenylacetylglycineHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB0000821CreatineHMDB000060CreatineHMDB000060AcctoacetateHMDB0000064CystathionineHMDB0000099		,		^f S-adenosylhomocysteine	HMDB0000339		~	~	
4-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB000020UreaHMDB0000294UreaHMDB00002943-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB00005004-Hydroxybenzoic acidHMDB0000500HippurateHMDB0000812PhenylacetylgycineHMDB0000812Salicylic acidHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB0000821CreatineHMDB000060CreatineHMDB000060CreatineHMDB0000064Aust LumononeHMDB0000099		~		Urea	HMDB0000294	~	~		7
UreaHMDB00002943-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB00004404-Hydroxybenzoic acidHMDB0000500HippurateHMDB0000511HippurateHMDB0000812PhenylacetylglycineHMDB0000812PhenylacetylglycineHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB0000821CreatineHMDB0000664CreatineHMDB0000664CystathionineHMDB0000099		~		Creatinine	HMDB0000562	~	7		7
3-HydroxyphenylacetateHMDB00004404-Hydroxybenzoic acidHMDB0000500HippurateHMDB0000514N-acetylaspartateHMDB0000812PhenylacetylglycineHMDB0000812Salicylic acidHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB0000821N-MethylhydantoinHMDB000064AcetoacetateHMDB0000664CreatineHMDB000099AcetoacetateHMDB000099		~		Dimethylglycine	HMDB0000092		7		7
4-Hydroxybenzoic acidHMDB0000500HippurateHMDB0000714N-acetylaspartateHMDB0000812N-acetylaspartateHMDB0000812PhenylacetylglycineHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB0000821CoreatineHMDB000060CreatineHMDB0000060CystathionineHMDB0000099		~		Homovanillate	HMDB0000118	~			
HippurateHMDB0000714N-acetylaspartateHMDB0000812PhenylacetylgycineHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB0000821CoreatineHMDB000066CreatineHMDB0000099ActionineHMDB0000099		~		Guanidoacetate	HMDB0000128	~			
N-acetylaspartateHMDB0000812PhenylacetylgycineHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB00001895N-MethylhydantoinHMDB00001895AcetoacetateHMDB000060CreatineHMDB0000064CystathionineHMDB0000099		~		Putrescine	HMDB0001414	~			
PhenylacetylglycineHMDB0000821Salicylic acidHMDB0001895Salicylic acidHMDB0001895N-MethylhydantoinHMDB00003646AcetoacetateHMDB000066CreatineHMDB0000064CystathionineHMDB0000099AcetoacetateHMDB0000099		~		2-oxobutanoic acid	HMDB0000005		7		
Salicylic acidHMDB0001895N-MethylhydantoinHMDB0003646AcetoacetateHMDB000060CreatineHMDB0000064CystathionineHMDB0000099AcetoacetaceHMDB0000099		~		2-Oxoisovaleric acid	HMDB0000019		7		
N-Methylhydantoin HMDB0003646 Acetoacetate HMDB000060 Creatine HMDB0000064 Cystathionine HMDB0000099		~		Taurocholic acid	HMDB000036		7		
Acetoacetate HMDB000060 Creatine HMDB000064 Cystathionine HMDB0000099		~		Oxoglutaric acid	HMDB0000208		~		
Creatine HMDB000064 Cystathionine HMDB0000099			~	Ornithine	HMDB0000214		~		
Cystathionine HMDB000099			~	Hydroxyproline	HMDB0000725		7		
			~	2-acetyl-5-methylpyridine	HMDB0000745		~		
			7	Citrulline	HMDB0000904		\mathbf{r}		
Cysteine HMDB0000574			7	Aminolevulinate	HMDB0001149		\mathbf{r}		
Hydroxyproline HMDB0000725			7	2-Keto-glutaramic acid	HMDB0001552		~		
2-Hydroxyisobutyric acid HMDB0000729			7	lsethionic acid	HMDB0003903		\mathbf{r}		
SAM HMDB0001185			7	Threonine, allo-	HMDB0004041		\mathbf{r}		
Phosphocreatine HMDB0001511			~	4-Aminobutyrate	HMDB0000112			7	
				Glutathione	HMDB0000125			7	
				Citraconate	HMDB0000634			7	
				Homoserine	HMDB0000719			7	
				Homocysteine	HMDB0000742			7	
				Hypotaurine	HMDB0000965			7	
				SAM	HMDB0001185			7	
				Acetone	HMDB0001659			7	
				Propionic acid	HMDB0000237				7
				D-Aspartic acid	HMDB0006483				7

Table 2 (Continued).



Figure 2 Similarities and differences in metabolic pathways between gastric cancer and colorectal cancer.

sensitivity and high specificity, reproducible validation in multiple populations, and prospective evaluation in cohort population, etc. However, current identified metabolic biomarkers have several limitations, including unstandardized protocols for sample acquisition and preparation in different studies; most markers were identified in single population by a single cross-sectional comparison of case-control samples. All factors described above induce the challenge of enormous number and inconsistency of reported biomarkers, and consequently cause the difficulty in prospective validation. Moreover, one of the greatest challenges in metabolic biomarker research is to identify markers that can accurately distinguish among different cancers, particularly where there are overlapping metabolic alterations and phenotypes. This study provides a systematic review of all previously published metabolomics studies of GC and CRC. A key contribution of our work is the provision of recommend candidate metabolic biomarkers of GC and CRC for future validation in clinic diagnosis. By comparing the metabolites extracted from previous studies, it is possible that substances that are repeated in different detection platforms, different biological samples, and multiple populations to be identified. Especially, the metabolites repeatedly detected in tumor tissue and biological fluids have the potential as candidate biomarkers. Besides, the results of pathway enrichment have

implications for the further discovery of mechanisms in GC and CRC and help us to understand the disease occurrences.

Similarity of Metabolic Pathways Between GC and CRC

Different cancers can share common metabolic features, such as the deregulated uptake of glucose and amino acids, the increased demand for nitrogen, and the use of glycolysis/ TCA cycle intermediates for biosynthesis and NADPH production.¹⁷ Some studies have shown that gastrointestinal cancers display similar mechanisms.^{18,19} In this study, we observed that nearly half of the altered metabolites were shared across GC and CRC, as well as same altered mechanisms, including changes in carbohydrate, and nucleotide metabolism, nitrogen metabolism and amino acid metabolism.

In both GC and CRC, we identified alterations in pyruvic acid, malic acid, succinate, fumarate, citrate, isocitric acid, alpha-ketoglutaric acid, L-glutamine, beta-alanine and 3-aminoisobutanoic acid, which involved in carbohydrate and nucleotide metabolism. Cancer cells exhibit an increased dependence on the glycolytic pathway for ATP generation,²⁰ which is called the Warburg effect. This phenomenon is characterized by increased aerobic glycolysis, an increased production of lactic acid, and impaired DNA repair

Pathwav/Metaholites	HMDB ID		Urine	Blood	Pathwav/Metaholites	HMDB ID	Tissue	Urine	Blood	Feres
Gastric cancer					Colorectal cancer					
Purine metabolism					Tyrosine metabolism					
Adenosine	HMDB00050		~		L-Tyrosine	HMDB00158	~	~	~	
Xanthosine	HMDB00299		7		p-Hydroxyphenylacetic acid	HMDB00020		7		
Inosine	HMDB00195	7	~		Homovanillic acid	HMDB00118		~	~	
Hypoxanthine	HMDB00157	~	\sim		Pyruvic acid	HMDB00243	~	~	~	\mathbf{r}
Uric acid	HMDB00289		7	7	Fumaric acid	HMDB00134	7	7	~	
Urate-3-ribonucleoside	HMDB29920		~		Acetoacetic acid	HMDB00060	~	~		
Urea	HMDB00294		\mathbf{r}		Phenol	HMDB00228		~	\mathbf{k}	
Guanosine	HMDB00133		7		Succinic acid	HMDB00254	7	7	~	7
Galactose metabolism					Histidine metabolism					
Sucrose	HMDB00258	~	~		L-Glutamic acid	HMDB00148	1	۲	r	\checkmark
D-Glucose	HMDB00122			7	L-Histidine	HMDB00177	7	~	7	~
Glycerol	HMDB00131	~			L-Histidinol	HMDB03431		7		
Myoinositol	HMDB00211	~			L-Aspartic acid	HMDB00191	7	7	~	~
D-Arginine and D-ornith	ine metabolism				Glycerophospholipid metabolism					
L-Arginine	HMDB00517	~	~	~	Choline	HMDB00097	~	N	$^{\wedge}$	
Ornithine	HMDB00214			Ņ	Diethanolamine	HMDB04437			٧	
Tryptophan metabolism					Ethanolamine	HMDB00149			N	
L-Tryptophan	HMDB00929	~	~	~	Glycerol 3-phosphate	HMDB00126			7	
Melatonin	HMDB01389			7	O-Phosphoethanolamine	HMDB00224	7		~	
Serotonin	HMDB00259			~	Phosphatidylcholine	HMDB07874	7	7	~	\mathbf{r}
2-Aminobenzoic acid	HMDB01123	-		~	Phosphatidylethanolamine	HMDB08845			~	
L-Kynurenine	HMDB00684	7		~	LysoPC(18:1(9Z))	HMDB10408			~	
Kynurenic acid	HMDB00715			~	PA(16:0/16:0)	HMDB07849			٨	
Xanthurenic acid	HMDB00881			7	Linoleic acid metabolism					
Tryptamine	HMDB00303			7	Phosphatidylcholine	HMDB07874	7	7	~	\mathbf{r}
3-Indoleacetonitrile	HMDB06524			7	13-L-Hydroperoxylinoleic acid	HMDB03871			~	
(Indol-3-yl)acetamide	HMDB29739			~	I3-OxoODE	HMDB04668			~	
Indoleacetic acid	HMDB00197			~ .	13S-hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid	HMDB04667	~ .		~ -	
6-Hydroxymelatonin	HMDB04081			~	Linoleic acid	HMDB00673	~		٨	Ż

5-Methoxytryptamine	HMDB04095			~	Pentose and glucuronate interconversi	suo				
Indolelactic acid Tryptophanol	HMDB00671 HMDB03447		7	77	Pyruvic acid L-Arabinose	HMDB00243 HMDB00646	77	77	22	~ ~
Nicotinate and nicotinan	nide metabolism				D-Glucuronic acid	HMDB00127	7	7	7	~
L-Aspartic acid	HMDB00191	~	۲		D-Arabitol	HMDB00568		7	7	
Niacinamide	HMDB01406	~			D-Ribulose	HMDB00621			7	
Pyruvic acid	HMDB00243	7		\mathbf{r}	D-Xylitol	HMDB02917			7	
Propionic acid	HMDB00237	7		\mathbf{i}	D-Xylose	HMDB00098	~	~	7	
Fumaric acid	HMDB00134	~		~	Glycolaldehyde	HMDB03344			7	
Quinolinic acid	HMDB00232			7	Ribitol	HMDB00508	7		7	
					Primary bile acid biosynthesis					
					Chenodeoxycholic acid	HMDB00518			~	
					Chenodeoxycholic acid glycine conjugate	HMDB00637			~	
					Cholesterol	HMDB00067	~		~	7
					Cholic acid	HMDB00619			7	
					Glycine	HMDB00123	Ż		7	7
					Glycocholic acid	HMDB00138			7	
					Taurine	HMDB00251	~		7	
					Taurochenodesoxycholic acid	HMDB00951			7	
					Taurocholic acid	HMDB00036			7	
		•			Fatty acid biosynthesis					
					Myristic acid	HMDB00806	~	~	~	~
					Dodecanoic acid	HMDB00638	~		7	
					Palmitoleic acid	HMDB03229	\mathbf{k}		7	
					Capric acid	HMDB00511	~		7	
					Stearic acid	HMDB00827	7		7	
					Oleic acid	HMDB00207	7		7	7
					Palmitic acid	HMDB00220	V		1	٧
					Ascorbate and aldarate metabolism					
					Ascorbic acid	HMDB00044	7		7	
					D-Glucuronic acid	HMDB00127	~	>	7	~
					Oxoglutaric acid	HMDB00208			7	
					Pyruvic acid	HMDB00243	V	X	1	V
									0	ontinued)

Pathway/Metabolites	HMDB ID	Tissue	Urine	Blood	Pathway/Metabolites	DI 80MH	Tissue	Urine	Blood	Feces
					Threonic acid	HMDB00943		7	~	
					Myoinositol	HMDB00211	~		~	
					D-Galactarate	HMDB00639			~	
					L-Arabinose	HMDB00646	Ż	7	~	7

mechanisms.²¹ The abnormal changes in carbohydrate and nucleotide metabolism demonstrate this effect. Nucleotides are necessary for numerous cellular processes, with imbalances in nucleotide levels linked with various human diseases, including cancer.²² Early studies in yeast models showed that decreased dNTP levels lead to increased mutagenesis through an increase in genomic instability.²³

Nitric oxide is a signalling molecule in nitrogen metabolism, and its roles in cancer formation, progression, and metastasis have been extensively investigated.²⁴ The activation of nitric oxide synthase (NOS) and elevated nitric oxide levels have antitumor effects,²⁵ however, nitric oxide may also promote cancer formation and progression.²⁶ Therefore, the effect of nitric oxide on metastasis is likely associated with other factors, such as cell type, drug dosage, the affected organ, and perhaps the stage of metastasis.^{27,28} Cianchi et al²⁹ previously showed that nitric oxide stimulates COX-2 activity in CRC. Others have also linked inflammation to carcinogenesis. Gastric mucosal inflammation in response to Helicobacter pylori infection results in an upregulation of interleukin-1beta (IL-1 β) and an overproduction of mutagenic nitric oxide. These two factors induce aberrant DNA methylation, which can lead to carcinogenesis.³⁰

Methane is mainly produced by *Methanobrevibacter smithii*³¹ through the conversion of H₂ generated by gut microflora in the presence of specific microbes. Evidence shows that methane production is associated with gastrointestinal conditions, with greater methane production observed in *H. pylori*-infected patients than in noninfected patients³² *H. pylori* infection is associated with changes in the gastric microenvironment, which in turn affect the gastric microbiota composition and can trigger changes in the large intestinal microbiota.³³ There is some evidence that *H. pylori* is an essential factor during the formation of gastric cancer.³⁴ *H. pylori* infection is suggested to increase the secretion of gastrin, which may lead alterations in the mucosal cells of the colorectum.^{35,36}

Dissimilitudes of Metabolic Pathways Between GC and CRC

Eight pathways were only enriched in CRC (Figure 3). One such pathway was glycerophospholipid metabolism. Fat digestion begins in the upper intestine through the action of various enzymes and bile salts. Lipids, such as triglycerides, phospholipids, cholesterol, and glycolipids, play important roles in maintaining the normal

Table 3 (Continued)

physiological functions of cells, the integrity of cellular barriers and membrane matrices, in signalling, and as a source of energy.^{37,38} Abnormal lipid metabolism occurs in cancer,³⁹ and manifests as disruptions in cell proliferation. apoptosis, immunity, angiogenesis, and inflammation.^{38,40} During fatty acid metabolism, clostridia-part of the human flora-can accelerate the transformation of secondary bile acid, which can act as a carcinogenic substance and promote CRC.41 Others have reported reduced levels of lysophosphatidylcholine (lysoPC) in tumor cells.^{42,43} Raynor et al found the metastatic tumor cells can hydrolyse lysoPC extracellularly to glyceroPC and free fatty acids. This production of free fatty acids satisfies the tumor cell's high energy demands while maintaining membrane fluidity and aiding in the generation of pro-metastatic lipid second messengers.⁴⁴ Interactions between fatty acids, bile acids, and intestinal flora can specifically produce diacylglycerol, prostaglandins, and leukotrienes, leading to tumorigenesis by activating immune or inflammatory responses in CRC.^{45,46}

Bile acids cause DNA damage⁴⁷ and have been suggested to be promoters of colon carcinogenesis.⁴⁸ High-fat diets can promote the hepatic synthesis of bile acids and increase their delivery to the colonic lumen. After secretion to the intestinal lumen, primary bile acids are deconjugated by microbial bile salt hydrolases and most bile acids are reabsorbed by passive diffusion and active transport during small intestinal transit. The remaining bile acids enter the colon, where they undergo extensive biotransformation mediated by colonic bacteria. High-fat diets stimulate the growth and activity of 7α dehydroxylating bacteria, which convert primary bile acids into secondary bile acids, which are associated with tumorigenic activity.⁴⁹ High concentrations of secondary bile acids in the feces, blood, and bile have been linked to the pathogenesis of cholesterol gallstone disease and colon cancer.50

In this study, five pathways were found associated only with GC (Figure 3). Tryptophan is one of the most essential amino acids, which can be metabolized to kynurenic acid, picolinic acid and NAD⁺ through the kynurenine pathway, a major pathway in tryptophan metabolism.⁵¹ Studies have shown that tryptophan metabolism is involved in evading immune surveillance in cancer.⁵² Studies have also indicated that gastric tumor cells may promote immune escape via indoleamine 2, 3 dioxygenase 1 (IDO1) activity.⁵³ IDO1 is the first and rate-limiting step in the kynurenic pathway. Overexpression of IDO1

therefore leads to tryptophan deficiency, affecting the proliferation and differentiation of T cells, NK cells and other cells, which are eventually cleared because of apoptosis.

Implications for the Exploration and Application of Metabolic Biomarkers

For solid tumors, tissue samples usually provide the most complete metabolic changes that occur during tumor development and reveal the possible pathophysiological mechanisms. However, blood metabolite profiles show less diurnal variation and less inter- and intra-subject variability.⁵⁴ Urine as a biological waste material, is sterile, easy to obtain in large volumes, mostly free from interfering proteins or lipids, and chemically complex; thus, it has long been a "favoured" biofluid in various global metabolomics studies. Feces and urine have similar biological characteristics: both are involved in the elimination of waste accumulation in the body and can be completely noninvasive during sample collection. However, urine and feces contain metabolic breakdown products from a wide range of food, drink, drugs and environmental contaminants. As observed in this study, more pathways and metabolites were altered in feces and urine than in blood. Special attention should be paid to the biological significance and tumor tissue specificity of biomarkers from feces and urine, because not all markers reflect the specific metabolic characteristics of the cancer. Thus, metabolites enriched in cancer biological pathways and replicated in target tissues and organs have more tumor specificity and a higher potential to be a candidate diagnostic biomarker.

In our study, 8 pathways in GC and 11 pathways in CRC were significantly enriched in all specimens, which indicate that these pathways show the most extensive metabolic changes in GC and CRC cancer patients, and represent the typical characteristics of cancer development. Moreover, we summarized the metabolites that have been duplicated detected in blood/urine/feces and tissue, which can be seen as the pools of candidate metabolites of GC or CRC. Especially, among these pathways, there were 12 metabolites in GC and 13 metabolites in CRC detected across all specimens, indicating their potential utility as candidate diagnostic biomarkers in multiple types of sample. Other researchers may choose a combination of markers according to their actual needs and design prospective studies based on our summarization.

All the pathways shared between GC and CRC in our analysis revealed the typical metabolic alterations seen in gastrointestinal cancer. The pathways particularly enriched in GC or CRC are characteristic of the specific metabolic alterations in each cancer. Identifying specific metabolites in these discrete pathways could improve the discrimination ability of GC and CRC in diagnosis (Table 3); for example, using biomarkers in glycerophospholipid metabolism and fatty acid biosynthesis as a diagnostic for CRC.

The current study has some limitations. Although we comprehensively collected original literatures, publication bias is still hard to avoid. Most of the included studies are non-target metabolomics analysis; several factors may influence the results of untargeted metabolic profiling analyses, such as unstandardized protocols for sample acquisition and preparation, and the use of different analytical platforms with various strengths of coverage, sensitivity, and selectivity for chemical classes^{55,56} in different studies. In addition, only the names of differential metabolites could be extracted from original literatures, we cannot evaluate the diagnostic value of each candidate metabolic biomarker using ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve) analysis. Future studies in multiple populations of these two cancers, using a standardized protocol and platform-(s) with quantitative detection of metabolites are needed to confirm our conclusions in this systematic review.

Conclusions

In this review, pathways shared between or particularly enriched in GC and CRC revealed the similarities and specificities of occurrence and development in these two cancers. Metabolites that have been duplicated detected in multiple types of sample in GC and CRC indicated their potential utility as candidate diagnostic biomarkers. Moreover, identifying specific metabolites in pathways peculiar to GC and CRC could improve the discrimination ability in diagnosis. Our proposed metabolic biomarkers based on this systematic review will have important implications for prospective validation of GC and CRC.

Author Contributions

Fan Wang and Maoqing Wang contributed to the concept, design and interpretation of data. Jingshen Tian drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data. All authors took part in drafting, revising or critically reviewing the article. All authors have given final approval of the version to be published, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (81773503, 81573147), Scientific Research Foundation for the Returned Overseas Scholars of Heilongjiang Province (LC2018033), and Dr. Wu Lien-teh Science Foundation of Harbin Medical University (WLD-QN1106).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(1):7–30. doi:10.3322/caac.21442
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fedewa SA, et al. Colorectal cancer statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin. 2017;67(3):177–193. doi:10.3322/ caac.21395
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin. 2017;67(1):7–30. doi:10.3322/caac.21387
- Cunningham D, Atkin W, Lenz HJ, et al. Colorectal cancer. Lancet. 2010;375(9719):1030–1047. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60353-4
- Board PDQATE. Anal cancer treatment (PDQ(R)): health professional version. In: *PDQ Cancer Information Summaries*. Bethesda (MD): National Cancer Institute (US); 2002.
- Chan AW, Gill RS, Schiller D, Sawyer MB. Potential role of metabolomics in diagnosis and surveillance of gastric cancer. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2014;20(36):12874–12882. doi:10.3748/wjg.v20. i36.12874
- Deng K, Lin S, Zhou L, et al. Three aromatic amino acids in gastric juice as potential biomarkers for gastric malignancies. *Anal Chim Acta*. 2011;694(1–2):100–107. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2011.03.053
- Wanebo HJ, Rao B, Pinsky CM, et al. Preoperative carcinoembryonic antigen level as a prognostic indicator in colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med. 1978;299(9):448–451. doi:10.1056/NEJM197808312990904
- Ni Y, Xie G, Jia W. Metabonomics of human colorectal cancer: new approaches for early diagnosis and biomarker discovery. *J Proteome Res.* 2014;13(9):3857–3870. doi:10.1021/pr500443c
- Zhu J, Djukovic D, Deng L, et al. Colorectal cancer detection using targeted serum metabolic profiling. J Proteome Res. 2014;13 (9):4120–4130. doi:10.1021/pr500494u
- Wu H, Xue R, Tang Z, et al. Metabolomic investigation of gastric cancer tissue using gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2010;396(4):1385–1395. doi:10.1007/s00216-009-3317-4
- Spratlin JL, Serkova NJ, Eckhardt SG. Clinical applications of metabolomics in oncology: a review. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009;15 (2):431–440. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-1059
- Lumbreras B, Porta M, Marquez S, Pollan M, Parker LA, Hernandez-Aguado I. QUADOMICS: an adaptation of the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy assessment (QUADAS) for the evaluation of the methodological quality of studies on the diagnostic accuracy of 'omics'-based technologies. *Clin Biochem*. 2008;41(16–17):1316– 1325. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2008.06.018
- Xia J, Sinelnikov IV, Han B, Wishart DS. MetaboAnalyst 3.0–making metabolomics more meaningful. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2015;43(W1): W251–257. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv380
- Liesenfeld DB, Habermann N, Owen RW, Scalbert A, Ulrich CM. Review of mass spectrometry-based metabolomics in cancer research. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2013;22 (12):2182–2201. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-13-0584

- Johnson CH, Ivanisevic J, Siuzdak G. Metabolomics: beyond biomarkers and towards mechanisms. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2016;17 (7):451–459. doi:10.1038/nrm.2016.25
- Pavlova NN, Thompson CB. The emerging hallmarks of cancer metabolism. *Cell Metab.* 2016;23(1):27–47. doi:10.1016/j. cmet.2015.12.006
- Liu L, Chen F, Xiu A, Du B, Ai H, Xie W. Identification of key candidate genes and pathways in endometrial cancer by integrated bioinformatical analysis. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2018;19 (4):969–975. doi:10.22034/APJCP.2018.19.4.969
- Garrett WS. Cancer and the microbiota. Science. 2015;348 (6230):80–86. doi:10.1126/science.aaa4972
- Alfarouk KO. Tumor metabolism, cancer cell transporters, and microenvironmental resistance. *J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem.* 2016;31 (6):859–866. doi:10.3109/14756366.2016.1140753
- Vander Heiden MG, Cantley LC, Thompson CB. Understanding the Warburg effect: the metabolic requirements of cell proliferation. *Science*. 2009;324(5930):1029–1033. doi:10.1126/science.1160809
- 22. Chabosseau P, Buhagiar-Labarchede G, Onclercq-Delic R, et al. Pyrimidine pool imbalance induced by BLM helicase deficiency contributes to genetic instability in Bloom syndrome. *Nat Commun.* 2011;2:368. doi:10.1038/ncomms1363
- Holmberg C, Fleck O, Hansen HA, et al. Ddb1 controls genome stability and meiosis in fission yeast. *Genes Dev.* 2005;19 (7):853–862. doi:10.1101/gad.329905
- 24. Williams EL, Djamgoz MB. Nitric oxide and metastatic cell behaviour. *Bioessays*. 2005;27(12):1228–1238. doi:10.1002/ bies.20324
- Xie K, Dong Z, Fidler IJ. Activation of nitric oxide synthase gene for inhibition of cancer metastasis. *J Leukoc Biol.* 1996;59(6):797–803. doi:10.1002/jlb.59.6.797
- Bing RJ, Miyataka M, Rich KA, et al. Nitric oxide, prostanoids, cyclooxygenase, and angiogenesis in colon and breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2001;7(11):3385–3392.
- 27. Le X, Wei D, Huang S, Lancaster JR, Xie K. Nitric oxide synthase II suppresses the growth and metastasis of human cancer regardless of its up-regulation of protumor factors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005;102(24):8758–8763. doi:10.1073/pnas.0409581102
- 28. Ishikawa T, Yoshida N, Higashihara H, et al. Different effects of constitutive nitric oxide synthase and heme oxygenase on pulmonary or liver metastasis of colon cancer in mice. *Clin Exp Metastasis*. 2003;20(5):445–450. doi:10.1023/A:1025448403124
- Cianchi F, Cortesini C, Fantappie O, et al. Cyclooxygenase-2 activation mediates the proangiogenic effect of nitric oxide in colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2004;10(8):2694–2704. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-03-0192
- 30. Huang FY, Chan AO, Rashid A, Wong DK, Cho CH, Yuen MF. Helicobacter pylori induces promoter methylation of E-cadherin via interleukin-1beta activation of nitric oxide production in gastric cancer cells. *Cancer*. 2012;118(20):4969–4980. doi:10.1002/cncr.27519
- Triantafyllou K, Chang C, Pimentel M. Methanogens, methane and gastrointestinal motility. J Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2014;20 (1):31–40. doi:10.5056/jnm.2014.20.1.31
- Del Zompo F, Ojetti V, Feliciani D, et al. Helicobacter pylori infection is associated with high methane production during lactulose breath test. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2016;20(16):3452–3456.
- Lopetuso LR, Scaldaferri F, Franceschi F, Gasbarrini A. The gastrointestinal microbiome - functional interference between stomach and intestine. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol*. 2014;28(6):995–1002. doi:10.1016/j.bpg.2014.10.004
- 34. Huang JQ, Zheng GF, Sumanac K, Irvine EJ, Hunt RH. Metaanalysis of the relationship between cagA seropositivity and gastric cancer. *Gastroenterology*. 2003;125(6):1636–1644. doi:10.1053/j. gastro.2003.08.033

- Machida-Montani A, Sasazuki S, Inoue M, et al. Atrophic gastritis, Helicobacter pylori, and colorectal cancer risk: a case-control study. *Helicobacter*. 2007;12(4):328–332. doi:10.1111/j.1523-5378.2007.00513.x
- 36. Inoue I, Mukoubayashi C, Yoshimura N, et al. Elevated risk of colorectal adenoma with Helicobacter pylori-related chronic gastritis: a population-based case-control study. *Int J Cancer.* 2011;129 (11):2704–2711. doi:10.1002/ijc.25931
- 37. Huse M, Le Floc'h A, Liu X. From lipid second messengers to molecular motors: microtubule-organizing center reorientation in T cells. *Immunol Rev.* 2013;256(1):95–106. doi:10.1111/imr.12116
- Wang M, Wang C, Han RH, Han X. Novel advances in shotgun lipidomics for biology and medicine. *Prog Lipid Res.* 2016;61:83–108. doi:10.1016/j.plipres.2015.12.002
- Wenk MR. The emerging field of lipidomics. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2005;4(7):594–610. doi:10.1038/nrd1776
- 40. Huse M. Lipid-based patterning of the immunological synapse. Biochem Soc Trans. 2014;42(6):1506–1511. doi:10.1042/ BST20140191
- 41. Farhana L, Nangia-Makker P, Arbit E, et al. Bile acid: a potential inducer of colon cancer stem cells. *Stem Cell Res Ther.* 2016;7 (1):181. doi:10.1186/s13287-016-0439-4
- 42. Taylor LA, Arends J, Hodina AK, Unger C, Massing U. Plasma lyso-phosphatidylcholine concentration is decreased in cancer patients with weight loss and activated inflammatory status. *Lipids Health Dis.* 2007;6:17. doi:10.1186/1476-511X-6-17
- Zhao Z, Xiao Y, Elson P, et al. Plasma lysophosphatidylcholine levels: potential biomarkers for colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(19):2696–2701. doi:10.1200/JCO.2006.08.5571
- 44. Raynor A, Jantscheff P, Ross T, et al. Saturated and mono-unsaturated lysophosphatidylcholine metabolism in tumour cells: a potential therapeutic target for preventing metastases. *Lipids Health Dis.* 2015;14:69. doi:10.1186/s12944-015-0070-x
- Savari S, Vinnakota K, Zhang Y, Sjolander A. Cysteinyl leukotrienes and their receptors: bridging inflammation and colorectal cancer. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2014;20(4):968–977. doi:10.3748/wjg.v20. i4.968
- 46. Kai M, Yamamoto E, Sato A, et al. Epigenetic silencing of diacylglycerol kinase gamma in colorectal cancer. *Mol Carcinog.* 2017;56 (7):1743–1752. doi:10.1002/mc.22631
- 47. Kandell RL, Bernstein C. Bile salt/acid induction of DNA damage in bacterial and mammalian cells: implications for colon cancer. *Nutr Cancer.* 1991;16(3–4):227–238. doi:10.1080/01635589109514161
- Reddy BS, Watanabe K, Weisburger JH, Wynder EL. Promoting effect of bile acids in colon carcinogenesis in germ-free and conventional F344 rats. *Cancer Res.* 1977;37(9):3238–3242.
- 49. Ocvirk S, O'Keefe SJ. Influence of bile acids on colorectal cancer risk: potential mechanisms mediated by diet - gut microbiota interactions. *Curr Nutr Rep.* 2017;6(4):315–322. doi:10.1007/ s13668-017-0219-5
- McGarr SE, Ridlon JM, Hylemon PB. Diet, anaerobic bacterial metabolism, and colon cancer: a review of the literature. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* 2005;39(2):98–109.
- 51. Gonzalez A, Varo N, Alegre E, Diaz A, Melero I. Immunosuppression routed via the kynurenine pathway: a biochemical and pathophysiologic approach. *Adv Clin Chem.* 2008;45:155–197.
- 52. Prendergast GC, Smith C, Thomas S, et al. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase pathways of pathogenic inflammation and immune escape in cancer. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2014;63(7):721–735. doi:10.1007/s00262-014-1549-4
- 53. Zhang R, Li H, Yu J, et al. Immunoactivative role of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase in gastric cancer cells in vitro. *Mol Med Rep.* 2011;4 (1):169–173. doi:10.3892/mmr.2010.398

- 54. Davis VW, Bathe OF, Schiller DE, Slupsky CM, Sawyer MB. Metabolomics and surgical oncology: potential role for small molecule biomarkers. *J Surg Oncol.* 2011;103(5):451–459. doi:10.1002/ jso.21831
- 55. Ivanisevic J, Zhu ZJ, Plate L, et al. Toward 'omic scale metabolite profiling: a dual separation-mass spectrometry approach for coverage of lipid and central carbon metabolism. *Anal Chem.* 2013;85 (14):6876–6884. doi:10.1021/ac401140h
- Buscher JM, Czernik D, Ewald JC, Sauer U, Zamboni N. Crossplatform comparison of methods for quantitative metabolomics of primary metabolism. *Anal Chem.* 2009;81(6):2135–2143. doi:10.1021/ac8022857

OncoTargets and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal

Dovepress

agents and protocols on patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.