

Which Balance Subcomponents Distinguish Between Fallers and Non-Fallers in People with COPD?

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:
International Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Stephanie Chauvin¹
Renata Kirkwood¹
Dina Brooks^{1,2}
Roger S Goldstein²⁻⁴
Marla K Beauchamp^{1,2,5}

¹School of Rehabilitation Science, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada; ²Department of Respiratory Medicine, West Park Healthcare Centre, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ³Department of Physical Therapy, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ⁴Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ⁵Firestone Institute for Respiratory Health, St. Joseph's Healthcare, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

Rationale: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is an increasingly prevalent lung disease linked to dysfunctional balance and an increased risk of falls. The Balance Evaluation Systems Test (BESTest) evaluates the six underlying subcomponents of functional balance. The aim of this study was to determine the specific balance subcomponents and cut-off scores that discriminate between fallers and non-fallers with COPD to guide fall risk assessment and prevention.

Methods: A secondary analysis of cross-sectional data from two prior studies in COPD was performed. Independent samples *t*-tests were used to explore the differences in the BESTest sub-system scores between fallers and non-fallers. Receiver operating characteristic curves were used to determine the optimal subcomponent cut-off scores that identified fallers, and the area under the curve (AUC) was used to assess test accuracy.

Results: Data from 72 subjects with COPD (mean age, 70.3 ± 7.4y; mean forced expiratory volume in 1 second, 38.9 ± 15.8% predicted) were analyzed. Two BESTest subcomponents, stability limits/verticality (fallers: 75.4%, non-fallers: 83.8%; *p*=0.002) and postural responses (fallers: 67.5%, non-fallers: 79.7%; *p*=0.008) distinguished between fallers and non-fallers. Stability limits/verticality had an AUC of 0.70 and optimal cut-off score of 73.8% for identifying fallers; postural responses had an AUC of 0.67 and optimal cut-off score of 69.4%.

Conclusion: The stability limits/verticality and postural responses subcomponents of the BESTest distinguished between fallers and non-fallers with COPD. The stability limits/verticality subcomponent can also be used to identify whether an individual with COPD is at risk of falling using a cut-off score of 73.8%. These findings suggest that specific subcomponents of balance could be targeted to optimize fall risk assessment and prevention in COPD.

Keywords: BESTest, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, balance, falls, rehabilitation

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is an increasingly prevalent multi-system disease^{1,2} stemming from chronic airflow limitation³ affecting between 174.5 and 384 million individuals globally.³⁻⁵ Symptoms of COPD are predominantly presumed to be respiratory-related such as dyspnea, coughing, sputum production, and wheezing,³ however, secondary symptoms also include poor lower extremity function, decreased muscle strength, and balance impairments.^{2,6-8} Balance impairments are specifically problematic as individuals with COPD are up to 55% more likely to suffer a fall compared to their non-COPD counterparts.⁹ Falls are one of the leading causes of accidental injury death worldwide,¹⁰ and can lead to devastating consequences such as injuries, decreased

Correspondence: Marla K Beauchamp
McMaster University, 1400 Main Street
West, Hamilton, ON L8S 1C7, IAHS 430
Canada
Tel +1 905 525-9140 x 21732
Email beaucml@mcmaster.ca

functioning, loss of independence, reduced quality of life, and an increased risk of morbidity and mortality.^{11,12} These risks are especially relevant in people with COPD given they have a high number of comorbidities, specifically osteoporosis,¹³ which may lead to a greater risk of complications from a fall injury.

Decreasing falls incidence in COPD can be accomplished by conducting a balance assessment to evaluate whether individuals may be at risk for falling and providing balance-specific exercises to those at risk.¹⁴ The Balance Evaluation Systems Test (BESTest) is the most comprehensive balance assessment tool available in any population and has strong construct validity in COPD.^{6,15–17} The BESTest is comprised of six subcomponents to assess various areas of balance consistent with the systems framework for postural control: 1) biomechanical constraints, 2) stability limits/verticality, 3) anticipatory postural adjustments, 4) postural responses, 5) sensory orientation, and 6) stability in gait.¹⁸ From these six subcomponents, the underlying systems leading to balance dysfunctions can be determined to guide fall prevention treatment.¹⁸

Although previous research has demonstrated that total BESTest scores are able to differentiate between individuals with COPD with and without a fall history,¹⁹ studies have not yet examined which specific subcomponents of the BESTest can best identify fallers. By identifying the balance subcomponents associated with fall risk in COPD, fall risk assessment and prevention strategies could be optimized to target the specific balance systems linked to falls in this population. The aim of this study was to determine which BESTest subcomponent scores could discriminate between fallers and non-fallers with COPD and to examine the accuracy and optimal cut-off scores for identifying fallers.

Methods

This study was a secondary analysis of data from 72 subjects who participated in either a randomized controlled trial (RCT) of balance training (n=35)²⁰ or a cross-sectional study on balance systems in COPD (n=37).⁶ Both studies were conducted at West Park Healthcare Centre in Toronto, Canada, and inclusion/exclusion criteria were similar; participants required a diagnosis of COPD,²¹ and were excluded if they were unable to communicate or had either neurological or musculoskeletal conditions that limited mobility.^{6,20} Additionally, participants in the RCT needed to have self-reported balance problems or a fall in the past five years,²⁰ and participants in the cross-sectional study had to have a smoking history of at least ten pack

years.⁶ The RCT had a total of 35 patients with 15 (42.9%) having reported a fall in the past year, and the cross-sectional study had a total of 37 patients with 19 (51.1%) having reported a fall in the past year. Fallers were defined as individuals with a history of at least one fall in the previous 12 months, with a fall being defined as an occasion where you find yourself unintentionally on a lower level.²² Written informed consent was provided by all participants in both studies, study approval was obtained by the Bridgepoint/West Park research ethics board (No. 10–001; 13–011-WP),^{6,20} and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Participants in both studies were assessed at baseline using the BESTest.^{6,20} The BESTest is a comprehensive balance assessment tool developed in 2009 to screen for balance impairments in six different postural control systems.¹⁸ There are 36 tasks to complete in the BESTest that are divided into the following subcomponents; 5 in biomechanical constraints, 7 in stability limits/verticality, 6 in anticipatory postural adjustments, 6 in postural responses, 5 in sensory orientation, and 7 in stability in gait.¹⁸ Each subcomponent of the BESTest assesses different systems of balance, which can be found in Table 1.

Individuals are scored in each task by an assessor using a 4-point Likert scale with 0 being “worst performance” and 3 being “best performance”. Scores are calculated per system as well as for the total test, and can then be converted into percentages where the higher the score,

Table 1 BESTest Subcomponent Descriptions

Subcomponent	Assessment Focus
Biomechanical constraints	Constraints affecting standing balance
Stability limits/verticality	Distance the body can move from its base of support before losing balance, and vertical posture
Anticipatory adjustments/transitions	Actively moving the body's center of mass in anticipation of body position transitions
Postural responses	In-place and compensatory stepping corrections in response to external perturbations
Sensory orientation	Body sway when performing stances with altered visual or surface somatosensory information
Stability in gait	Evaluation of balance while walking

the better the balance.¹⁸ The BESTest has strong inter-rater reliability and validity in individuals with COPD.¹⁹

Analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics version 25 (IBM Corp, Armonk, New York). Descriptive statistics were conducted in the form of means and standard deviations (SD) to summarize the sample. Variables that were explored included: age, body mass index (BMI), 6-minute walk distance, forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁), forced vital capacity (FVC), FEV₁/FVC ratio, males and females, Medical Research Council (MRC) dyspnea, and supplemental oxygen usage.

Two-tailed independent samples *t*-tests were used to examine whether the BESTest and its different subcomponents were able to discriminate between fallers and non-fallers with COPD. An α value of ≤ 0.0085 was considered significant based on a Šidák correction to prevent Type I Error for examining differences in the six subcomponents.²³ All BESTest scores and sub-scores were based on percentages out of 100. Effect sizes were calculated to understand the magnitude of differences between fallers and non-fallers based on the following equation: Cohen's $d = (M_2 - M_1) / SD_{pooled}$.²⁴

To identify the optimal cut-off score for identifying fallers for each of the BESTest subcomponent scores, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used. The datapoint closest to the left-hand corner of the curve was chosen as the cut-off that optimized both sensitivity and specificity. Based on convention, an acceptable area under the curve (AUC) was deemed as 0.7 or greater.²⁵

Results

Subject characteristics are provided in Table 2. A total of 34 (47%) participants were categorized as fallers and 38 (53%) as non-fallers. Participants were 70.3 years of age on average (SD=7.4) and had moderate to very severe COPD diagnoses (GOLD stages 2–4)³ with a mean FEV₁% predicted of

Table 2 Characteristics for Fallers (n=34) and Non-Fallers (n=38)

Variables	Fallers (n=34)	Non-Fallers (n=38)	Combined (n=72)
Age, y	71 ± 8.0	70 ± 6.0	70 ± 7.0
BMI, kg/m ²	26.5 ± 5.8	26.9 ± 8.0	26.7 ± 7.0
6MWD, m	288.1 ± 111.1	318.2 ± 98.1	304.3 ± 104.5
FEV ₁ , L	0.9 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.3
FEV ₁ , % predicted	39.5 ± 14.5	38.3 ± 17.3	38.9 ± 15.8
FVC, L	2.5 ± 0.6	2.4 ± 0.7	2.4 ± 0.6
FEV ₁ /FVC, %	42.5 ± 13.5	42.1 ± 15.9	42.3 ± 14.6
Men, No. (%)	16 (47)	15 (39)	31 (43)
MRC dyspnea	3.6 ± 1.2	3.3 ± 1.0	3.5 ± 1.1
On oxygen, No. (%)	8 (24)	18 (47)	26 (36)

Note: Data are presented as mean ± SD.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; 6MWD, 6-minute walk distance; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1-second; FVC, forced vital capacity; MRC, Medical Research Council.

38.9% (SD=15.8). Participants had an average 6-minute walk test distance of 304.3m (SD=104.5), and 26 (36%) were supplemental oxygen users.

Results comparing the BESTest sub-scores in fallers versus non-fallers are provided in Table 3. Total BESTest scores were significantly different between fallers and non-fallers with a mean difference of 7.9%; $p=0.005$. Non-fallers had higher (better) BESTest scores within each subcomponent compared to fallers; however, this difference reached statistical significance ($p=0.0085$) only for stability limits/verticality and postural responses. Within the stability limits/verticality subcomponent of the BESTest, fallers significantly differed from non-fallers by a mean difference of 8.5%; $p=0.002$. Within the postural responses subcomponent, fallers significantly differed from non-fallers by a mean difference of 12.2%; $p=0.008$.

Results of the ROC curve analysis for each of the BESTest subcomponent scores are provided in Table 4, and the ROC curves are shown in Figure 1. The only

Table 3 BESTest Scores in Fallers (n=34) Compared to Non-Fallers (n=38)

Variable	Fallers (n=34)	Non-Fallers (n=38)	Mean Difference	Effect Size	95% CI	p-value
BESTest total ^a	65.5 ± 12.7	73.39 ± 10.4	7.9	0.68	2.5 to 13.3	0.005
Biomechanical constraints	58.6 ± 17.7	63.16 ± 17.2	4.5	0.26	−3.7 to 12.8	0.276
Stability limits/verticality ^b	75.4 ± 13.3	83.83 ± 8.3	8.5	0.77	3.3 to 13.6	0.002
Anticipatory postural adjustments	63.7 ± 15.5	71.93 ± 13.6	8.2	0.56	1.4 to 15.0	0.019
Postural responses ^b	67.5 ± 22.9	79.68 ± 14.7	12.2	0.48	3.2 to 21.2	0.008
Sensory orientation	73.7 ± 16.0	81.93 ± 11.9	8.2	0.58	1.6 to 14.8	0.015
Stability in gait	54.5 ± 17.9	60.03 ± 19.5	5.5	0.30	−3.3 to 14.4	0.214

Notes: Data are presented as mean ± SD. ^aStatistically significant at 0.05 level. ^bStatistically significant at 0.0085 level.

Table 4 BESTest Total and Subcomponent AUCs and Cut-off Scores


Variable	AUC (95% CI)	Cut-off Point	Sensitivity	Specificity
BESTest total	0.69 (0.57–0.81)	70.8	0.66	0.65
Biomechanical constraints	0.57 (0.44–0.70)	56.7	0.66	0.41
Stability limits/verticality	0.70 (0.58–0.83)	73.8	0.87	0.47
Anticipatory postural adjustments	0.67 (0.55–0.80)	69.4	0.63	0.71
Postural responses	0.67 (0.54–0.80)	69.4	0.79	0.50
Sensory orientation	0.67 (0.54–0.79)	83.3	0.53	0.77
Stability in gait	0.56 (0.43–0.70)	64.3	0.45	0.71

subcomponent score with an acceptable AUC (≥ 0.7) for identifying fallers was stability limits/verticality with an AUC of 0.70 (Figure 2). The optimal cut-off for identifying fallers was 73.8% with a sensitivity and specificity of 0.87 and 0.47, respectively. The remaining subcomponent scores did not achieve an AUC of 0.7.

Discussion

Individuals with COPD have known balance dysfunctions and an increased fall risk. To our knowledge, this is the

first study to examine the specific subcomponents of the BESTest that discriminate between fallers and non-fallers with COPD. The findings of this study indicate that stability limits/verticality and postural responses were the two subcomponents that best characterized fallers with COPD. Additionally, a cut-off score of 73.8% was found to have acceptable accuracy for identifying fallers within the stability limits/verticality subcomponent. These findings have relevant implications for optimizing fall risk assessment and prevention in COPD.

**Figure 1** ROC curve for BESTest total and subcomponent scores for identifying fallers with COPD.




Figure 2 ROC curve for stability subcomponent score for identifying fallers with COPD.

Consistent with previous work, our study found that the total BESTest score discriminated between fallers and non-fallers with COPD.¹⁹ The mean difference between fallers and non-fallers' total score was 7.9% in the current study with an effect size of 0.68, compared to 10.1% in Jácome (2016) with an effect size of 0.87.¹⁹ Additionally, we showed that the stability limits/verticality and postural responses subcomponents of the BESTest were the only subcomponents that differentiate between fallers and non-fallers. Although the other subcomponents were not significantly different between fallers and non-fallers, it is important to note that the magnitude of the difference (8.2%) in anticipatory postural adjustments and sensory orientation subcomponents approached the previously reported clinically important difference on the full BESTest in COPD.²⁶ Taken together, this information may have relevance for informing fall prevention strategies in COPD by allowing therapists to target these specific underlying subsystems within fall prevention exercise programs (eg, functional reaching, perturbation training, body position changes and altering sensory stimuli).

This secondary analysis also determined that the stability limits/verticality subcomponent may be used to identify whether an individual with COPD is at risk of falling using a cut-off score of 73.8% within that subcomponent to identify fallers. The AUC for stability limits/verticality (0.70) was greater than the entire BESTest as well as all other subcomponents; and it was the only subcomponent to attain acceptable accuracy for screening. This suggests it may be possible to administer the stability limits/verticality tasks independent of the other subcomponents; instead of 36 tasks, only 7 tasks would need to be administered to gain similar information on fall risk. However, it is worth noting that the stability limits/verticality subcomponent had relatively low specificity (0.47) for identifying fallers. To our knowledge, this is the first study utilizing ROC curves to explore BESTest subcomponents to determine fall risk in individuals with COPD and thus we are unable to compare our findings to other literature. However, previous work in stroke patients has shown that four BESTest subcomponents (biomechanical constraints,

anticipatory postural adjustments, sensory orientation, and stability in gait) had acceptable accuracy in identifying fallers.²⁷ Although these findings need to be validated prospectively, our results suggest that within this COPD cohort, stability limits/verticality sub-scores may have an important role both when trying to identify fall status and implement effective balance interventions. It is worth noting that the full BESTest and three other subcomponents (anticipatory postural adjustments, postural responses, and sensory orientation) demonstrated borderline acceptable accuracy with AUCs of 0.67 to 0.69.

It is interesting to note that the stability in gait sub-component, which includes the Timed Up and Go Test (TUG), showed poor sensitivity (0.45) for identifying fallers. Although many studies have reported on use of the TUG in patients with COPD, there is very little evidence related to fall risk within this population. To our knowledge, only one prior study with a relatively small sample size has looked at the predictive validity of the TUG for falls in individuals with COPD. In this study (n=50), time to complete the TUG predicted fall recurrence with a sensitivity exceeding 0.90.²⁸ In contrast, a systematic review examining the TUG's ability to predict fall risk in community-dwelling older adults found limited predictive ability for the TUG with a pooled sensitivity of only 0.31.²⁹ The mixed evidence across populations demonstrates the need for more research exploring the best tests for fall risk prediction in people with COPD.

One interesting finding of this study was supplemental oxygen usage in fallers vs non-fallers; 47% of non-fallers were on supplemental oxygen compared to only 24% of fallers. This finding is similar to a previous prospective cohort study where individuals with COPD who used supplemental oxygen were less likely to fall compared to those who were not supplemental oxygen users.³⁰ However, this is contrary to past cross-sectional and observational studies.^{7,8} While examining the link between supplemental oxygen usage and falls was not the primary aim of the current study, the conflicting findings highlight the need for further studies to elucidate risk factors for falls in this population.

Several limitations need to be taken into account when interpreting our results. The data were cross-sectional; thus, we are unable to determine whether balance impairments preceded or succeeded fall events. Participants reported falls in the past 12 months retrospectively, potentially leading to recall bias and under-estimation of the number of fall events. Additionally, due

to being a secondary analysis of two prior studies, the inclusion criteria varied slightly and there were different assessors evaluating BESTest performances, possibly leading to measurement error. This work was retrospective and therefore hypothesis generating; as such, future work would benefit from a prospective study to confirm which BESTest subcomponents accurately identify future fallers. Finally, it is possible there was insufficient power to detect differences in other subcomponents. Based on the data collected, a sample size upwards of 300 would have been needed to detect smaller differences in some subcomponents (eg, stability in gait and biomechanical constraints). However, given the smaller effect sizes noted for these subcomponents, these differences are unlikely to be clinically important.

Conclusion

In summary, the stability limits/verticality and postural responses subcomponents of the BESTest were able to differentiate between fallers and non-fallers with COPD. Additionally, stability limits/verticality may be used to identify whether an individual with COPD is at risk of falling using a cut-off score of 73.8%. However, the specificity of stability limits/verticality was low and prospective studies are needed to draw conclusions on its validity for fall risk assessment. If confirmed prospectively, these findings suggest that the stability limits/verticality and postural responses subcomponents may play a vital role in optimizing fall risk assessment and prevention in individuals with COPD.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the subjects who participated in this research and the respiratory program staff at West Park Healthcare Centre.

Funding

M Beauchamp is supported by an Emerging Research Leaders Initiative from the Canadian Respiratory Research Network. S Chauvin is supported by a Labarge Mobility Scholarship from the McMaster Institute for Research on Aging, and a Canada Graduate Scholarship-Master's from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. D Brooks holds a National Sanitarium Chair in Respiratory Rehabilitation.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Fabbri LM, Rabe KF. From COPD to chronic systemic inflammatory syndrome? *Lancet*. 2007;370(9589):797–799. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61383-X
- Eisner MD, Blanc PD, Yelin EH, et al. COPD as a systemic disease: impact on physical functional limitations. *Am J Med*. 2008;121(9):789–796. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2008.04.030
- Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. *Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease*; 2018.
- GBD. 2015 chronic respiratory disease collaborators. Global, regional, and national deaths, prevalence, disability-adjusted life years, and years lived with disability for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2015. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2017;5(9):691–706. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(17)30293-X
- World Health Organization. *Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD)*; 2017. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/chronic-obstructive-pulmonary-disease-copd>. Accessed June 17, 2020.
- Beauchamp MK, Sibley KM, Lakhani B, et al. Impairments in systems underlying control of balance in COPD. *Chest*. 2012;141(6):1496–1503. doi:10.1378/chest.11-1708
- Beauchamp MK, Hill K, Goldstein RS, Janaudis-Ferreira T, Brooks D. Impairments in balance discriminate fallers from non-fallers in COPD. *Respir Med*. 2009;103(12):1885–1891. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2009.06.008
- Roig M, Eng JJ, MacIntyre DL, et al. Falls in people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: an observational cohort study. *Respir Med*. 2011;105(3):461–469. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2010.08.015
- Hakamy A, Bolton CE, Gibson JE, McKeever TM. Risk of fall in patients with COPD. *Thorax*. 2018;73(11):1079–1080. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2017-211008
- World Health Organization. Falls: key facts. 2018. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/falls>. Accessed June 17, 2020.
- World Health Organization. *WHO Global Report on Falls Prevention in Older Age*. World Health Organization; 2008.
- Society AG, Society G, Of AA, On Falls Prevention OSP, American Geriatrics Society, British Geriatrics Society, American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Panel on Falls Prevention. Guideline for the prevention of falls in older persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2001;49(5):664–672. doi:10.1046/j.1532-5415.2001.49115.x
- Chatila WM, Thomashow BM, Minai OA, Criner GJ, Make BJ. Comorbidities in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Proc Am Thorac Soc*. 2008;5(4):549–555. doi:10.1513/pats.200709-148ET
- Panel on Prevention of Falls in Older Persons, American Geriatrics Society and British Geriatrics Society. Summary of the updated American Geriatrics Society/British Geriatrics Society clinical practice guideline for prevention of falls in older persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2011;59(1):148–157. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.03234.x
- Oliveira CC, Lee A, Granger CL, Miller KJ, Irving LB, Denehy L. Postural control and fear of falling assessment in people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review of instruments, international classification of functioning, disability and health linkage, and measurement properties. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2013;94(9):1784–1799.e7. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2013.04.012
- Beauchamp MK. Balance assessment in people with COPD: an evidence-based guide. *Chron Respir Dis*. 2019;16:147997311882031. doi:10.1177/1479973118820311
- Sibley KM, Beauchamp MK, Van Ooteghem K, Straus SE, Jaglal SB. Using the systems framework for postural control to analyze the components of balance evaluated in standardized balance measures: A scoping review. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2015;96(1):122–132.e29. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2014.06.021
- Horak FB, Wrisley DM, Frank J. The balance evaluation systems test (BESTest) to differentiate balance deficits. *Phys Ther*. 2009;89(5):484–498. doi:10.2522/ptj.20080071
- Jacome C, Cruz J, Oliveira A, Marques A. Validity, reliability, and ability to identify fall status of the berg balance scale, BESTest, Mini-BESTest, and Brief-BESTest in patients with COPD. *Phys Ther*. 2016;96(11):1807–1815. doi:10.2522/ptj.20150391
- Beauchamp MK, Janaudis-Ferreira T, Parreira V, et al. A randomized controlled trial of balance training during pulmonary rehabilitation for individuals with COPD. *Chest*. 2013;144(6):1803–1810. doi:10.1378/chest.13-1093
- Rabe K, Hurd S, Anzueto A, et al. Global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: GOLD executive summary. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2007;176(6):532–555. doi:10.1164/rccm.200703-456SO
- Biderman A. Depression and falls among community dwelling elderly people: a search for common risk factors. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2002;56(8):631–636. doi:10.1136/jech.56.8.631
- Sidak Z. Rectangular confidence regions for the means of multivariate normal distributions. *J Am Stat Assoc*. 1967;62(318):626–633.
- Cohen J. *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*. Academic press; 2013.
- Terwee CB, Bot SDM, de Boer MR, et al. Quality criteria were proposed for measurement properties of health status questionnaires. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2007;60(1):34–42. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2006.03.012
- Beauchamp MK, Harrison SL, Goldstein RS, Brooks D. Interpretability of change scores in measures of balance in people with COPD. *Chest*. 2016;149(3):696–703. doi:10.1378/chest.15-0717
- Miyata K, Kaizu Y, Usuda S. Prediction of falling risk after discharge in ambulatory stroke or history of fracture patients using balance evaluation systems test (BESTest). *J Phys Ther Sci*. 2018;30(4):514–519. doi:10.1589/jpts.30.514
- Reynaud V, Muti D, Pereira B, et al. A TUG value longer than 11 s predicts fall risk at 6-month in individuals with COPD. *J Clin Med*. 2019;8(10):1752. doi:10.3390/jcm8101752
- Barry E, Galvin R, Keogh C, Horgan F, Fahey T. Is the Timed Up and Go test a useful predictor of risk of falls in community dwelling older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Geriatr*. 2014;14(1):14. doi:10.1186/1471-2318-14-14
- Oliveira CC, Lee AL, McGinley J, et al. Falls by individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a preliminary 12-month prospective cohort study: fall risk in COPD. *Respirology*. 2015;20(7):1096–1101. doi:10.1111/resp.12600

International Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease**Dovepress****Publish your work in this journal**

The International Journal of COPD is an international, peer-reviewed journal of therapeutics and pharmacology focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical studies and reviews in COPD. Special focus is given to the pathophysiological processes underlying the disease, intervention programs, patient focused education, and self management

protocols. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine and CAS. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-chronic-obstructive-pulmonary-disease-journal>