ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Antibacterial Activity of Chitosan Nanoparticles Against Pathogenic N. gonorrhoea

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: International Journal of Nanomedicine

Fulwah Alqahtani ^{1*} Fadilah Aleanizy ^{1*} Eram El Tahir ¹ Hiba Alhabib¹ Raghad Alsaif¹ Gamal Shazly^{1,2} Hajar AlQahtani ³ Ibrahim Alsarra ¹ Jafar Mahdavi⁴

¹Department of Pharmaceutics, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; ²Department of Industrial Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt; ³Department of Pharmacy Services, King Abdul-Aziz Medical City, Ministry of National Guard, Health Affairs, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; ⁴School of Life Sciences, Centre for Biomolecular Sciences, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, UK

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Fulwah Alqahtani Department of Pharmaceutics, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, P.O. Box: 22452, Riyadh 11495, Saudi Arabia Tel +966-11-8051478 Email fyalqahtani@ksu.edu.sa



Purpose: The emergence of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* strains that are resistant to the most commonly used antibiotics represents a great concern for global public health. This challenges the effectiveness of clinical treatment regimens and demands the development of alternative antigonococcal agent. In this regard, chitosan nanoparticles (CNPs) are known to have antimicrobial activity against a wide range of pathogens. Thus, they have become a potential candidate for combatting this era of multi-drug resistance. This study aims to formulate CNPs, characterize their physicochemical properties, and examine their antimicrobial activity against gonococcus.

Materials and Methods: The ionic gelation method was used to prepare CNPs of different concentrations. Characterization for their particle size (PZ), polydispersity index (PDI), and zeta potential (ZP) was performed. The anti-microbial activity of CNPs was investigated against 13 WHO *N. gonorrhoeae* reference strains, using the broth dilution method. Cytotoxicity of CNPs and their effect on bacterial adhesion to HeLa cells were investigated. **Results:** The average PZ and ZP of the prepared NPs were increased when the concentration of chitosan was increased from 1 to 5 mg/mL and found to be in the range of 193 nm \pm 1.9 to 530 nm \pm 13.3, and 14 mV \pm 0.5 to 20 mV \pm 1, respectively. Transmission electron microscopes (TEM) images revealed spherical NPs, and the NPs had a low PDI value of \leq 0.27. The formed CNPs produced antibacterial activity against all tested strains, including those resistant to multiple antibiotics, with a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC₉₀) of 0.16 to 0.31 mg/mL and a minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of 0.31 to 0.61 mg/mL. Of note, at all MIC₉₀ and MBC, the CNPs had no significant cytotoxic effect on HeLa cells and reduced bacterial adhesion to these cells at MBC doses.

Conclusion: The present work findings suggest the potential of the CNPs for the treatment of gonorrhoea.

Keywords: N. gonorrhoeae, chitosan nanoparticles, antimicrobial, adhesion

Introduction

N. gonorrhoeae, the gonococcus (GC), is a Gram-negative bacterium which strictly infects humans. *N. gonorrhoeae* causes gonorrhea which is considered one of the most common sexually transmitted infections (STI), with an estimated number of 106 million new infections annually worldwide.¹ It colonizes the mucosal tissues of the endocervix, pharynx, urethra, rectum, and conjunctiva. It can be transferred to the upper genital tract, leading to pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) in women.² As a complication of PID, in rare cases, the gonococcal infection can cause sterility in men and infertility in women.² Approximately 80% of gonococcal infections in women are asymptomatic³ which is seen to a lesser extent in men.^{3,4} For the past 70 to 80 years,

International Journal of Nanomedicine 2020:15 7877-7887

7877

© 2020 Alqahtani et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/ terms.php and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/license/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

gonorrhea has been treated successfully through the use of antimicrobials. However, the high prevalence of resistant gonococcal strains, to both the majority of previously used antimicrobials and current treatments such as sulfonamides, penicillins, earlier cephalosporins, tetracyclines, macrolides, and fluoroquinolones was detected internationally.⁵⁻⁸ The emergence of gonococcal strains that exhibit a high level of antibiotic resistance has raised great concern globally, leading to the development of global, regional, and national action/response plans9-12 and an increased number of publications.^{8,9,13–15} In the United States,¹⁶ the United Kingdom,¹⁷ and all over Europe,¹⁸ recommendations to use dual-antimicrobial therapy, ie mainly ceftriaxone and azithromycin, to overcome the development of resistance against monotherapy have been introduced.¹⁹ However, rather alarmingly, initial reports have identified strains that are resistant to both ceftriaxone and azithromycin.²⁰ This rapid increase in antibiotic resistance emphasises the necessity of identifying new antimicrobials that work against N. gonorrhoeae.

Chitosan (Cs) has demonstrated a wide spectrum of antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria.^{21,22} Chitosan is a linear polysaccharide polymer composed of β -(1–4)-linked *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine.²³ It is derived from partial or total deacetylation of chitin.^{21,23} The US Food and Drug Administration has recognized shrimpderived chitosan as being generally safe²⁴ for general use in foods.²⁵ Chitosan has also been approved as a food additive in Japan and South Korea since 1983 and 1995, respectively.²⁶

The antimicrobial activity of chitosan has been explained by different theories; however, the exact mechanisms are still unknown.^{21,22,27} Intracellular leakage, in which the positively charged chitosan binds to negatively charged bacterial surfaces such as lipopolysaccharides (LPS), is among these theories.^{21,22,27} This binding has led to the alteration of the bacterial membrane permeability, causing leakage of intracellular constituents and cell death.^{21,22,27} Chitosan nanoparticles (CNPs) produced higher antimicrobial activity against a wide range of fungi, Gram-positive, and Gram-negative bacteria when compared with chitosan.²⁸⁻³¹ This suggests that there is potential for CNPs to act as an antimicrobial against pathogens such as gonococcus. Therefore, this study aims to formulate chitosan nanoparticles (CNPs), characterise their physicochemical properties, and examine their antimicrobial activity against N. gonorrhoeae.

Table I Gonococcal Isolates Used in the Current Study

N. gonorrhoea Strains	Name	Description	References	
NCTC 13,477	WHO F	Sensitive to azithromycin, ceftriaxone, and ciprofloxacin	[34]	
NCTC 13,478	WHO G	Sensitive to azithromycin and ceftriaxone Low-level resistant to ciprofloxacin	[34]	
NCTC 13,479	WHO K	Sensitive to azithromycin and ceftriaxone High-level resistant to ciprofloxacin	[34]	
NCTC 13,480	WHO L	Intermediate resistant to azithromycin Low-level resistant to ceftriaxone High-level resistant to ciprofloxacin	[34]	
NCTC 13,482	WHO N	Sensitive to azithromycin and ceftriaxone Resistant to ciprofloxacin	[34]	
NCTC 13,483	who o	Sensitive to azithromycin and ceftriaxone Resistant to ciprofloxacin	[34]	
NCTC 13,484	WHO P	Sensitive to ceftriaxone and ciprofloxacin Resistant to azithromycin	[34]	
NCTC 14,208	WHO Q	High-level resistant to azithromycin, ceftriaxone and ciprofloxacin	[20]	
NCTC 13,817	WHO U	Sensitive to ceftriaxone and ciprofloxacin Resistant to azithromycin	[34]	

(Continued)

Table I (Continued).

N. gonorrhoea Strains	Name	Description	References
NCTC13818	WHO V	Sensitive to ceftriaxone High-level azithromycin and ciprofloxacin- resistant	[34]
NCTC13820	WHO X	Intermediate susceptibility to azithromycin High-level ceftriaxone and ciprofloxacin resistant	[34]
NCTC 13,822	WHO Z	Resistant to azithromycin Low-level resistant to ceftriaxone High-level resistant to ciprofloxacin	[34]
NCTC 12,700	Standard strain	Sensitive to azithromycin, ceftriaxone and ciprofloxacin	ATCC 49,226 reference strains

Materials and Methods

Materials

Low molecular weight chitosan (LMW, 50–190 kDa, Degree of deacetylation; DD = 75–85%), tripolyphosphate (TPP), and acetic acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, USA). Gonococcus medium (GC) agar, supplemented with 1% IsoVitale X and gonococcus broth medium (GCP) were obtained from (Difco). All the other solvents and chemicals were of analytical grade. Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM), fetal bovine serum (FBS), and antibiotic-antimycotic solution were obtained from Gibco (NY, USA).

Preparation of the CNPs

The CNPs were prepared using the ionic gelation method as described previously, with minor modifications.^{32,33} Solutions of LMW chitosan were prepared at concentrations of 1, 2.5 and 5 mg/mL by dissolving 0.01, 0.025 and 0.05 g, respectively, of chitosan in 10 mL of 1% v/v acetic acid. A volume of 1 mL of 0.1% w/v TPP solution was

added to 4 mL of chitosan solution under continuous magnetic stirring at 800 rpm for 1 hr and the nanoparticles were formed spontaneously. Then, the nanoparticle solution was centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 20 min and the retrieved nanoparticles were suspended in distilled water and subsequently centrifuged to wash twice. Eventually, the CNPs were reconstituted in deionized distilled water for further characterization and experiments and stored at 4° C.

Characterization of Particle Size

The particle size, polydispersity index (PDI), and zeta potential were measured using dynamic light scattering with a Zeta sizer (Particle Sizing Systems, Port Richey, FL, USA). All measurements were performed in triplicate and reported as the means \pm standard deviation (SD). The NPs morphology was examined using the Tecnai transmission electron microscope (TEM) (OR, USA).

Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions

A control strain of *N. gonorrhoeae* NCTC 12,700 and 12 WHO *N. gonorrhoeae* reference strains described in Table 1, were obtained from Public Health England (PHE) and grown



Figure I Particle size, polydispersity index, and zeta potential of formulated CNPs. Particle size (**A**), polydispersity index and zeta potential (**B**) Values are means \pm SD of at least three experiments (n=3). *Significant different (P < 0.05) between group of each concentration.

overnight in GC agar or GCB broth at 37° C in 5% CO₂ and subsequently used in the antimicrobial testing.

Antimicrobial Assay

Broth microdilution assays were used to determine the minimal inhibitory concentration of 90 (MIC₉₀), and the minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) of the CNPs. The CNPs were briefly diluted in GCB broth medium in which the pH of the broth was adjusted to <6 in standard Bioscreen C 100 well microtiter plates (Labsystems Oy, Helsinki, Finland). Microtiter plates were prepared using serial twofold dilutions of the CNPs (concentration ranging from 2.5mg/mL to 0.016 mg/mL with a total volume of 100 µL) in the respective media. To prepare the inoculum, all of the bacterial cell suspensions were adjusted to McFarland 0.5 $(1-2 \times 10^8 \text{ cfu}/$ mL). The suspension was further diluted, to provide a final inoculum density of 510⁵ cfu/mL in the wells of the microdilution panels. The plates were incubated for 16 hours at 37°C and 5% CO2. After 18 hours of incubation, the optical density (OD) of the bacterial growth was recorded and the MIC₉₀ was calculated. Sub-culturing of the incubated bacteria on the GC agar was performed to calculate MBC. The OD reading of each concentration of tested NPs and GCB medium alone were subtracted from OD of the wells inoculated with bacteria to eliminate the background. The MIC₉₀ was defined as the minimal inhibitory concentration of the CNPs that reduces bacterial growth by 90%, and the MBC was defined as the lowest concentration of the CNPs that inhibited bacterial growth.

Cell Viability Analysis

The cytotoxicity of the CNPs was investigated using Alamar Blue as previously described.³⁴ Briefly, HeLa (human cervical cancer epithelial cells) were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC CCL-2) and seeded in 24 wells in DMEM media supplemented with 10% FBS, and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic overnight, until 70% to 90% confluency had been reached. Then, the cells were treated with the CNPs (2.5–0.016 mg/mL, for 24 and 48 hours). After experimental treatment, the cell media was replaced and Alamar blue was added to the cells at 10% for each well and the cells were incubated for 2–4 hours at 37° C, 5% CO₂. Then, fluorescence was recorded at 550 excitations and 590 emission wavelengths using SpectraMax M5 (California, United States). The viability of the cells was expressed in comparison to non-treated cells as follows:

Cell Viability(%)
=
$$\frac{\text{Fluorescence of cells treated with CNPs}}{\text{Fluorescence of cells non treated}} x100$$

Adhesion Assay

The adhesion assay was carried out using non-confluent (40%) HELA cells as reported from the previous study.³⁵ Previously tested gonococcal strains were resuspended in DMEM and added to the cells at a multiplicity of infection (M.O.I.) of 100. Then, the bacteria were allowed to adhere for 2 hr at 37°C and 5% CO2. The cells were later washed three times with PBS and treated with 1% saponin for 5 minutes and subsequently serially diluted, spread on GC plates and incubated overnight at 37°C and 5% CO2. The next day, any colony-forming units were counted.

Statistical Analysis

The data were presented as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Analysis of the data was performed using (GraphPad Prism version 8.0.0 for macOS Software, Inc) with two-tailed Student's *t*-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) where appropriate. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Characterization and Morphology of the Prepared CNPs

In the current study, the ionic gelation method was used to prepare various CNP formulations using LMW chitosan in different concentrations to investigate their antimicrobial activity against N. gonorrhoea. As shown in Figure 1, the average particle size and zeta potential of the prepared NPs increased significantly when chitosan the concentration increased from 1 to 5 mg/mL (p < 0.0036). The Nanoparticles prepared from Cs at concentration 1 mg/mL (CNPs_{1 mg/mL}) exhibited the smallest particle size (192.8 $nm \pm 1.86$) and zeta potential (13.6 mV ± 0.52). When the Cs concentration was increased to 2 mg/mL, the particle size and zeta potential of the prepared CNPs_{2.5 mg/mL} increased to 276.2 nm \pm 9.14 and 17.9 mV \pm 1.14, respectively. The CNPs prepared from 5 mg/mL Cs concentration CNPs5 mg/mL produced the largest particle size (530 nm \pm 13.13) and the highest zeta potential (20.6 mV \pm 1.04). All of the NPs displayed a narrow size distribution



Figure 2 TEM images and particle size distribution of formulated CNPs. Images represent CNPs1 mg/mL (A), CNPs2.5 mg/mL (B), and CNPs5 mg/mL (C).

with low PDI values (≤ 0.27) revealing the high homogeneity (Figure 1). The graphs for the particle size distribution of these NPs are demonstrated in Figure 2. TEM

images of the CNPs are presented in Figure 2 reveals a spherical shape, smooth surface, and size range of approximately 193 to 530 nm.



Figure 3 Growth of N. gonorrhoeae NCTC 12,700 at different pH. The pH of the GCB broth was adjusted using HCl and AA to 5.5.

The Anti-Gonococcal Activity of the CNPs

We know that the antimicrobial activity of CNPs requires a pH of less than 6. Thus, the growth of control strain *N. gonorrhoeae* at GCB adjusted to pH 5.5 using hydrochloric acid (HCl) and acetic acid (AA) was investigated and compared with bacterial growth at pH 7 (Figure 3). A similar growth pattern was observed in pH 5.5 adjusted by HCl and pH 7. Thus, HCl was utilized for modification of GCB pH for all subsequent experiments.

The antibacterial activity of the prepared CNPs was tested against both WHO reference and standard gonococcal

strains using the broth dilution method using GCP medium at pH 5.5. As shown in Table 2, the MIC₉₀ and MBC of CNPs_{5 mg/mL} were 0.16 mg/mL and 0.31 mg/mL, respectively, against WHO U, V, F, and O. Using the same nanoparticles, the concentration of MIC₉₀ and MBC was increased to 0.31 mg/mL and 0.63 mg/mL, respectively, when tested against WHO X, Z, G, K, L, N, P, Q, and standard strain. While with CNPs_{2.5 mg/mL} the MIC₉₀ and MBC were equal to 0.16 mg/mL and 0.31 mg/mL, respectively, for the majority of strains except WHO Q and standard strain, in which the MIC₉₀ and MBC were increased to 0.31 mg/mL and 0.63 mg/mL correspondingly (Table 2).

Table 2 MICs and MBCs of Formulated CNPs Against *N. gonorrhoeae* Strains. MIC₉₀ and MBC (mg/mL) of CNPs Prepared at Different C Concentrations Including 5, 2.5, and I mg/mL Was Determined, MIC₉₀ is Defined as the Lowest Concentration of CNPs That Reduced Bacterial Growth by More Than 90%. MBC is Defined as the Lowest Concentration of CNPs That Prevented the Growth of Bacteria

N. gonorrhoea Strains	CNPs _{5 mg/mL}		CNPs _{2.5 mg/mL}		CNPs1 mg/mL		Azithromycin (mg/mL)
	MIC ₉₀	МВС	MIC ₉₀	мвс	MIC ₉₀	МВС	MIC ₉₀
WHO F	0.16	0.31	0.16	0.31	0.25	0.5	l
WHO G	0.31	0.63	0.16	0.31	0.5	ND	1
WHO K	0.31	0.63	0.16	0.31	0.5	ND	1
WHO L	0.31	0.63	0.16	0.31	0.25	0.5	1
WHO N	0.31	0.63	0.16	0.31	0.25	0.5	1
WHO O	0.16	0.31	0.16	0.31	0.25	0.5	1
WHO P	0.31	0.61	0.16	0.31	0.5	ND	1
WHO Q	0.31	0.63	0.31	0.63	0.5	ND	1
WHO U	0.16	0.31	0.16	0.31	0.5	ND	1
WHO V	0.16	0.31	0.16	0.31	0.25	0.5	1
WHO X	0.31	0.63	0.16	0.31	0.25	0.5	1
WHO Z	0.31	0.63	0.16	0.31	0.5	ND	1
Standard strain	0.31	0.63	0.31	0.63	0.5	ND	1



Figure 4 The effect of pH on the MICs and MBC of CNPs against *N. gonorrhoeae* NCTC 12,700. The pH of the GCB broth was adjusted using HCl to pH 5.5 or kept at pH 7.2. MIC₉₀ and MBC of CNPs were determined against standard strain.



Figure 5 Growth curve of N. gonorrhoeae standard strain, WHO Q, and WHO V in the presence of CNPs. Gonococcal standard strain (A), WHO Q (B), and WHO V (C) was grown in GCB medium containing different concentrations of CNPs₅ mg/mL, CNPs_{2.5} mg/mL, and CNPs₁ mg/mL.

Other nanoparticles were prepared from C concentrations of 1 mg/mL, $CNPs_{1 mg/mL}$, exhibited MIC₉₀ of 5 mg/mL against six of the tested strains and the MBC was not detected. Strains including WHO V, X, F, L, N, and O were more sensitive to $CNPs_{1 mg/mL}$ and exhibited MIC₉₀ of 0.25 mg/mL and MBC of 0.5 mg/mL (Table 2). It is to be noted that azithromycin was used in the experiment as a positive control. As shown in Table 2, isolates resistant to azithromycin,

including WHO Z, U, and P (MIC 1 and 4 μ g/mL) displayed 1000- and 250-fold higher MICs at pH 5.5. While isolates WHO V and Q which are highly resistant to azithromycin (MIC > 256 μ g/mL) exhibited a 4-fold increase in their MIC at pH 5.5. The MICs of sensitive isolates (WHO F, G, K, N, O, and control strain) and isolates with intermediate susceptibility to azithromycin (WHO X and L) increased from 0.25 to 0.5 μ g/mL at neutral pH to 1 mg/mL at pH 5.5 (Table 2).



Figure 6 Effects of CNPs on HeLa cells. Cells were left untreated or treated with different concentrations of CNPs₅ $_{mg/mL}$ (**A**), CNPs_{2.5} $_{mg/mL}$ (**B**) and CNPs₁ $_{mg/mL}$ (**C**). Cell viability was assessed using Alamar Blue assay. The data is presented as the mean \pm SD of triplicate experiments. **P* < 0.05 in Students *t*-test relative to control untreated cells.

When the pH of the GCB media was not modified and kept at pH 7.2, the MIC₉₀ of CNPs_{5 mg/mL} and CNPs_{2.5 mg/mL} against a standard strain of *N. gonorrhoeae* increased to 1.25 and 0.625 mg/mL, respectively. Similarly, the MBC of both formula against standard strain at neutral pH increased to 2.5 and 1.25 mg/mL, respectively (Figure 4). Notably, neutral pH abolished the anti-gonococcal activity of CNPs_{1 mg/mL} (Figure 4). This effect was also confirmed through the bacterial growth curve that was in the presence of CNPs at pH 7.2 (data not shown). As expected, the azithromycin MIC was 0.25 µg/mL at pH 7.2 (data not shown).

Growth Inhibition of *N. gonorrhoeae* Strains Treated with CNPs

The growth of gonococcal strains in the presence of CNPs was studied and the results were presented in Figure 5 and

S1. Four strains, including WHO F, O, U and V were more sensitive to CNPs5 mg/mL, in which 90% of their growth was inhibited at 0.16 mg/mL and prevented at 0.31 mg/mL of these NPs. While in other strains, 0.31 mg/mL of CNPs5 mg/mL decreased bacterial growth by 90% and inhibited growth at 0.63 mg/mL (Figure 5). In gonococcal strains treated with CNPs_{2.5 mg/mL}, the growth of all strains except standard strain and WHO Q declined by 90% at 0.16 mg/mL concentration and inhibited at 0.31 mg/mL. The CNPs1 mg/mL at a concentration of 0.25 mg/mL reduced gonococcal growth by 90% of WHO F, L, N, O, V and X and inhibited their growth at 0.5 mg/mL. The growth of N. gonorrhoeae strains involving G, K, P, Q, U, Z, and standard strain require a higher concentration of CNPs1 mg/mL equal to 0.5 mg/mL to reduce their growth by 90% and their growth inhibition was not detected by this formula (Figure 5 and S1). The data confirmed MIC₉₀ and MBC illustrated in Table 2.

Cytotoxicity Assay of CNPs

The cytotoxicity of prepared CNPs on HELA cells was evaluated. The CNPs_{5 mg/mL} and CNPs_{2.5 mg/mL} decreased HELA cells viability significantly (p < 0.05) at 2.5 mg/mL and 1.25 mg/mL concentrations only, respectively, as shown in Figure 6A and B, while CNPs_{1mg/mL} at all used concentrations did not cause any significant cytotoxicity on HeLa cells (Figure 6C). Notably, all formulated NPs were not toxic at any of the MIC and MBC concentrations used.

The Effects of CNPs on Gonococcal Adhesion to HELA Cells

Adhesion of gonococcal St. strain and WHO Q to HeLa cells in the presence of CNPs at MBCs were studied and the results are presented in Figure 7. The number of *N. gonorrhoea* NCTC 12,700 adhered to HeLa cells was reduced significantly in the presence of CNPs_{5 mg/mL} and CNPs_{2.5 mg/mL} at a minimum bactericidal concentration (0.63 mg/mL) when compared with untreated control cells (Figure 7A). Although bacterial adhesion appears to be reduced in cells pre-treated with CNPs_{1 mg/mL}, it was not found to be statically significant. Furthermore, the incubation of HeLa cells with MBC of all the CNPs prepared has shown significantly reduced bacterial adhesion (p < 0.05) (Figure 7B).

Discussion

The rise in the antimicrobial resistance of *N. gonorrhoeae* has limited successful treatment and highlights the



Figure 7 Gonococcal adhesion to HeLa cells in presence of CNPs. Cells were left untreated (control supp) or treated with 0.63 mg/mL of CNPs_{5 mg/mL} and CNPs_{2.5 mg/mL}, and 0.5 mg/mL of CNPs_{1 mg/mL}, then St strain (A) or WHO Q (B) were added to the cells for 2 hours. Bacterial cell adhesion was assessed using colony-forming units. The data is presented as the mean \pm SD of triplicate experiments. *p< 0.05 in Students *t*-test relative to bacterial adhesion to control untreated cells.

necessity for the development of a new antimicrobial. In our current study, we found that the CNPs exhibited antimicrobial activity against *N. gonorrhoeae*, including those resistant to multiple antibiotics. The CNPs exhibited higher antimicrobial activity than chitosan against a wide spectrum of pathogens including fungi and both Grampositive and Gram-negative bacteria.^{28–31} To the best of our knowledge, no previous study to date has investigated the antimicrobial efficacy of CNPs against gonococci.

In agreement with previous reports,^{28,33,36} the current study showed us that the particle size and zeta potential of formulated CNPs increased significantly when the concentration of chitosan was increased. The MIC₉₀ and MBC of CNP_{5 mg/mL} and CNP_{2.5} mg/mL were found to have a range of 0.16 to 0.31 mg/mL and 0.31 to 0.63 mg/mL, respectively, against gonococcal isolates used. While in case of using CNPs prepared from 1 mg/mL concentration of Cs solution, CNP₁ mg/mL, the MIC₉₀ ranged from 0.25 to 0.5 mg/mL, and MBC was 0.5 mg/mL for only six of the strains tested. Among gonococcal strains tested, WHO Q is a strain that is highly resistant to azithromycin, ceftriaxone, and ciprofloxacin.²⁰ Interestingly, both st. strain and WHO Q showed similar MIC₉₀ and MBC values for all prepared

CNPs tested. Other isolates were more sensitive to $CNP_5 \text{ mg/mL}$, $CNP2_{.5 \text{ mg/mL}}$, $and CNP_1 \text{ mg/mL}$. This antibacterial effect of CNPs are consistent with previous studies reported the antibacterial activity of CNPs against a wide range of Gram-negative bacteria.^{28,30,33} Such effect is proposed to be mediated by the polycationic nature of CNPs in acidic medium.^{28,30}

The antibacterial screening tests performed in our present work were conducted using a medium with a pH of 5.5 as it has been experimentally demonstrated by previous reports to enhance the antimicrobial activity of CNPs.^{28,33} This was confirmed when we found that the MIC₉₀ and MBC values of CNP_{5 mg/mL} and CNP_{2.5} mg/ mL increased four-fold and two-fold, respectively, when the pH of GCM medium increased to 7.2. In this regard, neutral pH also abolished the antibacterial effect of CNP1 mg/mL against gonococcus. The observed increase in the MIC₉₀ and MBC values as well as the absence of antibacterial activity of CNPs at neutral pH was due to the positive charge on the surface of CNPs that decreased at neutral pH. This occurs because once the pH of the medium is under the pKa of the chitosan, which is 6.5, amine groups in the chitosan backbone become protonated into

positive ions, enhancing chitosan interaction with negatively charged bacteria. In contrast, when the pH of the medium is close to neutral or alkaline, the protonation of primary amines is decreased; thus, the binding between CNPs and a negatively charged bacterial surface will decrease.^{28,37} Although at neutral pH, CNPs still produce anti-gonococcal effects, a higher concentration of Cs is needed. These results revealed the inverse relationship between pH and antimicrobial activity of CNPs, which corroborates the findings of other studies.^{37–39}

In a prior study conducted by Mallegol et al,⁴⁰ the effect of pH on the activity of azithromycin against *N. gonorrhoeae* at pH 5 was investigated. The study was carried out at an acidic pH to cover the conditions that the antibiotic could be exposed to during gonococcal infection, intracellularly, and in the extracellular milieu.⁴⁰ Their study showed that azithromycin was less stable at an acidic pH level, leading to a loss of cladinose sugar resulting in the increase in the azithromycin MICs values against gonococcal isolates tested.⁴⁰ Their findings explained the increased MIC of azithromycin observed in our study when the experiment was performed at a pH of 5.5.

In our current study, the cytotoxicity of CNPs in HELA cells was analysed and the results revealed that only higher concentrations of $CNP_5 \text{ mg/mL}$ and $CNP_{2.5} \text{ mg/mL}$ were cytotoxic. This is due to the high zeta potential at those concentrations as observed in previous studies.⁴¹ It is noteworthy that all prepared CNPs were not cytotoxic at the MIC₉₀ and MBC concentrations after 24 hours, indicating the cytocompatibility of CNPs.

The bacterial adhesion studies conducted in our present work showed that CNPs reduced adhesion of the st strain and multidrug-resistant strain (WHO Q) to HELA cells at MBC dose relative to the cells not exposed to NPs. These findings indicate the anti-gonococcal activity of CNPs and their capability to interfere with bacterial attachment to host cells.

Conclusion

Together, the data of this study show that the formulated CNPs exhibited anti-gonococcal activity against the tested strains. The anti-gonococcal activity took place at specific concentrations, at which NPs were cytocompatible and reduced bacterial adhesion to cells. Thus, the current work highlights the therapeutic potential of CNPs as an antimicrobial against *N. gonorrhoeae*.

Acknowledgments

This research project was supported by a grant from the "Research Centre of the Female Scientific and Medical Colleges", Deanship of Scientific Research, King Saud University.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. WHO. Global Action Plan to Control the Spread and Impact of Antimicrobial Resistance in Neisseria Gonorrhoeae. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012.
- Burnett AM, Anderson CP, Zwank MD. Laboratory-confirmed gonorrhea and/or chlamydia rates in clinically diagnosed pelvic inflammatory disease and cervicitis. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2012;30(7):1114–1117. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2011.07.014
- WHO. Prevalence and Incidence of Selected Sexually Transmitted Infections, Chlamydia Trachomatis, Neisseria Gonorrhoeae, Syphilis and Trichomonas Vaginalis: Methods and Results Used by WHO to Generate 2005 Estimates. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2011:38.
- Farley TA, Cohen DA, Elkins W. Asymptomatic sexually transmitted diseases: the case for screening. *Prev Med.* 2003;36(4):502–509. doi:10.1016/S0091-7435(02)00058-0
- Camara J, Serra J, Ayats J, et al. Molecular characterization of two high-level ceftriaxone-resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae isolates detected in Catalonia, Spain. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012;67 (8):1858–1860.
- Ohnishi M, Golparian D, Shimuta K, et al. Is Neisseria gonorrhoeae initiating a future era of untreatable gonorrhea?: detailed characterization of the first strain with high-level resistance to ceftriaxone. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(7):3538–3545. doi:10.1128/ AAC.00325-11
- Unemo M, Golparian D, Nicholas R, Ohnishi M, Gallay A, Sednaoui P. High-level cefixime- and ceftriaxone-resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae in France: novel penA mosaic allele in a successful international clone causes treatment failure. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56(3):1273–1280. doi:10.1128/AAC.05760-11
- Unemo M, Nicholas RA. Emergence of multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and untreatable gonorrhea. *Future Microbiol*. 2012;7(12):1401–1422. doi:10.2217/fmb.12.117
- 9. (CDC) CfDCaP. Cephalosporin-Resistant Neisseria Gonorrhoeae Public Health Response Plan. Atlanta, GA; 2012.
- (ECDC) ECfDPaC. Response Plan to Control and Manage the Threat of Multidrug-Resistant Gonorrhoea in Europe. Stockholm, Sweden; 2012.
- 11. F L-nm N, Unemo M. The serious threat of multidrug-resistant and untreatable gonorrhoea: the pressing need for global action to control the spread of antimicrobial resistance, and mitigate the impact on sexual and reproductive health. *Sex Transm Infect.* 2012;88:317–318. doi:10.1136/sextrans-2012-050674
- 12. WHO. Global Action Plan to Control the Spread and Impact of Antimicrobial Resistance in Neisseria Gonorrhoeae. Department of Reproductive Health and Research; 2012.
- Bolan GA, Sparling PF, Wasserheit JN. The emerging threat of untreatable gonococcal infection. N Engl J Med. 2012;366 (6):485–487. doi:10.1056/NEJMp1112456
- 14. Ison CA. Antimicrobial resistance in sexually transmitted infections in the developed world: implications for rational treatment. *Curr Opin Infect Dis.* 2012;25(1):73–78. doi:10.1097/QCO.0b013e32 834e9a6a

- Whiley DM, Goire N, Lahra MM, et al. The ticking time bomb: escalating antibiotic resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae is a public health disaster in waiting. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012;67 (9):2059–2061. doi:10.1093/jac/dks188
- (CDC) CfDCaP. Update to CDC's sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2010: oral cephalosporins no longer a recommended treatment for gonococcal infections. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2012;61:590–594.
- Bignell C, Fitzgerald M. UK national guideline for the management of gonorrhoea in adults, 2011. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2011;22(10):541–547. doi:10.1258/ijsa.2011.011267
- Bignell C, Unemo M, Radcliffe K. 2012 European guideline on the diagnosis and treatment of gonorrhoea in adults. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2013;24(2):85–92. doi:10.1177/0956462412472837
- Unemo M, Shafer WM. Antimicrobial resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae in the 21st century: past, evolution, and future. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2014;27(3):587–613.
- 20. Eyre DW, Sanderson ND, Lord E, et al. Gonorrhoea treatment failure caused by a Neisseria gonorrhoeae strain with combined ceftriaxone and high-level azithromycin resistance, England, February 2018. *Euro Surveill*. 2018;23(27):1800323.
- Kong M, Chen XG, Xing K, Park HJ. Antimicrobial properties of chitosan and mode of action: a state of the art review. *Int J Food Microbiol.* 2010;144(1):51–63. doi:10.1016/j. ijfoodmicro.2010.09.012
- Rabea EI, Badawy ME, Stevens CV, Smagghe G, Steurbaut W. Chitosan as antimicrobial agent: applications and mode of action. *Biomacromolecules*. 2003;4(6):1457–1465. doi:10.1021/bm034130m
- Tikhonova VE, Stepnovaa EA, Babaka VG, et al. Bactericidal and antifungal activities of a low molecular weight chitosan and its N-/ 2(3)-(dodec-2-enyl)succinoyl/-derivatives. *Carbohydr Polym*. 2006;64(1):66–72. doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2005.10.021
- Hjerde RJN, Varum KM, Grasdalen H, Tokura S, Smidsrød O. Chemical composition of O-(carboxymethyl)-chitins in relation to lysozyme degradation rates. *Carbohydr Polym.* 1997;34 (3):131–139. doi:10.1016/S0144-8617(97)00113-6
- Administration UFaD. Shrimp-derived chitosan GRAS notification. 2012. Available from: www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/fcn/gras_ notices/GRN000443pdf. Accessed October 2, 2020.
- Mahae N, Chalat C, Muhamud P. Antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of chitosan-sugar complex. *Int Food Res J.* 2011;18 (4):1543–1551.
- Goy RC, de Britto DD, Assis OBG OBG. A review of the antimicrobial activity of chitosan. *Ciência e Tecnologia*. 2009;19 (3):241–247. doi:10.1590/S0104-14282009000300013
- Qi L, Xu Z, Jiang X, Hu C, Zou X. Preparation and antibacterial activity of chitosan nanoparticles. *Carbohydr Res.* 2004;339 (16):2693–2700.
- 29. de Campos AM, Diebold Y, Carvalho EL, Sanchez A, Alonso MJ. Chitosan nanoparticles as new ocular drug delivery systems: in vitro stability, in vivo fate, and cellular toxicity. *Pharm Res.* 2004;21 (5):803–810. doi:10.1023/B:PHAM.0000026432.75781.cb

- Divya K, Vijayan S, George TK, Jisha MS. Antimicrobial properties of chitosan nanoparticles: mode of action and factors affecting activity. *Fibers Polym.* 2017;18(2):221–230. doi:10.1007/s12221-017-6690-1
- Wardani G, Mahmiah SSA. In vitro antibacterial activity of chitosan nanoparticles against mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Pharmacogn J*. 2018;10(1):162–166. doi:10.5530/pj.2018.1.27
- 32. Calvo P, Remunan-Lopez C, Vila-Jato JL, Alonso MJ. Chitosan and chitosan/ethylene oxide-propylene oxide block copolymer nanoparticles as novel carriers for proteins and vaccines. *Pharm Res.* 1997;14 (10):1431–1436. doi:10.1023/A:1012128907225
- 33. Aleanizy FS, Alqahtani FY, Shazly G, et al. Measurement and evaluation of the effects of pH gradients on the antimicrobial and antivirulence activities of chitosan nanoparticles in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Saudi Pharm J.* 2018;26(1):79–83. doi:10.1016/j. jsps.2017.10.009
- 34. AbuMousa RA, Baig U, Gondal MA, et al. Photo-catalytic killing of HeLa cancer cells using facile synthesized pure and Ag loaded WO3 nanoparticles. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8(1):15224. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-33434-7
- Jones A, Jonsson AB, Aro H. Neisseria gonorrhoeae infection causes a G1 arrest in human epithelial cells. *FASEB J.* 2007;21(2):345–355. doi:10.1096/fj.06-6675com
- Ing LY, Zin NM, Sarwar A, Katas H. Antifungal activity of chitosan nanoparticles and correlation with their physical properties. *Int J Biomater.* 2012;2012:632698.
- Kravanja G, Primozic M, Knez Z, Chitosan-based LM. (Nano)materials for novel biomedical applications. *Molecules*. 2019;24(10):1960. doi:10.3390/molecules24101960
- Gomes LP, Andrade CT, Del Aguila EM, Alexander C, Paschoalin VMF. Assessing the antimicrobial activity of chitosan nanoparticles by fluorescence-labeling. *Int J Biotechnol Bioeng*. 2018;12(4):112–117.
- 39. Jeon SJ, Oh M, Yeo W-S, Galvao KN, Jeong KC, Virolle M-J. Underlying mechanism of antimicrobial activity of chitosan microparticles and implications for the treatment of infectious diseases. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(3):e92723. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092723
- 40. Mallegol J, Fernandes P, Seah C, Guyard C, Melano RG. Determination of in vitro activities of solithromycin at different pHs and its intracellular activity against clinical isolates of Neisseria gonorrhoeae from a laboratory collection. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2013;57(9):4322–4328.
- 41. Omar Zaki SS, Ibrahim MN, Katas H. Particle size affects concentration-dependent cytotoxicity of chitosan nanoparticles towards mouse hematopoietic stem cells. *J Nanotechnol.* 2015;2015:919658. doi:10.1155/2015/919658

International Journal of Nanomedicine

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Nanomedicine is an international, peerreviewed journal focusing on the application of nanotechnology in diagnostics, therapeutics, and drug delivery systems throughout the biomedical field. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine, CAS, SciSearch[®], Current Contents[®]/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, EMBase, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-nanomedicine-journal

Dovepress