

In Reference to Effects of Preoperative Anxiety on Postoperative Delirium in Elderly Patients [Response to Letter]

Aolin Ren^{1,*}Na Zhang^{1,*}He Zhu¹Kang Zhou¹Yuan Cao¹Jindong Liu^{1,2}

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Affiliated Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China; ²Jiangsu Province Key Laboratory of Anesthesiology, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Dear editor

We have received and gladly read the letter related to our recently published study. We would like to thank Dr. Li and colleagues for their interest in our paper and for taking the time to express their concerns.

First of all, the results of traditional univariate analysis such as the chi-square test are almost consistent with those of univariate logistic regression analysis. Compared to traditional univariate analysis, univariate logistic regression analysis provides more information including unadjusted OR and 95% CI. It seems a bit redundant to use the two methods meanwhile to carry out two rounds of variable selection. We accept that the second method is more prevalent. However, it's not uncommon to use traditional univariate analysis to make a variable selection in some studies.^{1,2} After determining the variables with $P < 0.05$ in univariate analysis, VIF was used to diagnose multicollinearity. As we did not find the existence of multicollinearity and thus the number of covariates was unchanged, we did not report the results of multicollinearity. We are sorry for causing any misunderstanding regarding this issue.

Second, the cut-off p-value for entry of variables into the multivariable model is often not fixed, and 0.05 is also very common. In addition, considering the limitation of the number of covariates in the logistic regression model, we used a strict level of 0.05 as a cut-off significance value. Although it means that some potential factors may be ignored, possibly resulting in inaccurate model results, the goodness of fit test of the final model enhances the robustness and reliability of our results.

Third, risk factors for postoperative delirium are numerous. The variables were collected from evidence-based and consensus-based guideline³ and the previously published studies exploring the relationship between preoperative anxiety and POD.⁴ And postoperative complications are not routinely reported especially in non-cardiac surgery. Some factors may be unmeasured in our study, as what is mentioned in the limitation part, we still believe that most of the significant variables associated with POD have been collected.

Finally, we provided patients with adequate postoperative analgesia including regional nerve block and patient-controlled intravenous analgesia. Although we did not collect the VAS pain score after surgery, we think the difference between POD and No POD groups is minimal as we have observed in the postoperative follow-up.

Correspondence: Jindong Liu
Department of Anesthesiology, Affiliated Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University, Huaihai West Road #99, Xuzhou, Jiangsu, 221000, People's Republic of China
Email liujindong1818@163.com

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Laufenberg-Feldmann R, Muller M, Ferner M, Engelhard K, Kappis B. Is 'anxiety sensitivity' predictive of postoperative nausea and vomiting?: a prospective observational study. *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2019;36(5):369–374. doi:10.1097/EJA.0000000000000979
2. Dai J, Yu X, Huang S, et al. Relationship between sagittal spinal alignment and the incidence of vertebral fracture in menopausal women with osteoporosis: a multicenter longitudinal follow-up study. *Eur Spine J.* 2015;24(4):737–743. doi:10.1007/s00586-014-3637-8
3. Aldecoa C, Bettelli G, Bilotta F, et al. European Society of Anaesthesiology evidence-based and consensus-based guideline on postoperative delirium. *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2017;34(4):192–214.
4. Wada S, Inoguchi H, Sadahiro R, et al. Preoperative anxiety as a predictor of delirium in cancer patients: a Prospective Observational Cohort Study. *World J Surg.* 2019;43(1):134–142. doi:10.1007/s00268-018-4761-0

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Interventions in Aging 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Interventions in Aging editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Interventions in Aging

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Interventions in Aging is an international, peer-reviewed journal focusing on evidence-based reports on the value or lack thereof of treatments intended to prevent or delay the onset of maladaptive correlates of aging in human beings. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine, CAS, Scopus and the Elsevier

Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-interventions-in-aging-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S319574>