

Correlation Between the Number of Fiberoptic Bronchoscopies and Nosocomial Infection/Colonization of Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae

Wei-Hua Wang¹, Ying-Hong Wu², Yi-Min Wang³, Chun-Lei Wang⁴, Yun Liu¹, Peng Gao¹, Xiao-Jing Wu³, Jiao-Qian Ying¹

¹Department of Medical Affairs, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Beijing, 100029, People's Republic of China; ²Department of Nosocomial Infection Control, People's Hospital of Peking University, Beijing, 100044, People's Republic of China; ³Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Beijing, 100029, People's Republic of China; ⁴Laboratory of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Beijing, 100029, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Jiao-Qian Ying, Department of Medical Affairs, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, No. 2 of Yinghua East Street, Chaoyang District, Beijing, 100029, People's Republic of China, Tel +86 10 84205608, Fax +86 10 84222965, Email jiaoqian_ying@outlook.com

Objective: The present study aims to explore the effects of different numbers of fiberoptic bronchoscopic examinations on the nosocomial infection/colonization of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE).

Methods: The data of 129 patients admitted to the intensive care unit of a grade 3A hospital were retrospectively analyzed, and CRE nosocomial infection/colonization situations in patients with fiberoptic bronchoscope application times of 1, 2, 3, and ≥ 4 were statistically analyzed.

Results: The incidence of nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE increased significantly when the number of fiberoptic bronchoscopic examinations was ≥ 3 .

Conclusion: Nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE is highly correlated with an increased number of fiberoptic bronchoscopic examinations.

Keywords: nosocomial infection/colonization, carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae, fiberoptic bronchoscopy, number of inspections

Introduction

With the rapid development of medical technology, fiberoptic bronchoscopy (FBC) has become widely used in clinical diagnosis and treatment due to its advantages of large visual range and convenient operation; it is in a pivotal position especially in the rescuing of patients in a severe state. However, due to the fiberoptic bronchoscope's fine structure, special materials, and non-resistance to high temperature and pressure, cleaning and disinfection after use is difficult; if the cleaning and disinfection of the fiberoptic bronchoscope are not sufficient, nosocomial infection will occur.¹

Nosocomial infection, also known as hospital infection or hospital-acquired infection (HAI),² refers to an infection acquired by inpatients at a hospital, including infection acquired during hospitalization and infection acquired at the hospital after discharge; however, it does not include infections that began before admission or existed at the time of admission.

Since 2000, there have been many outbreaks of pathogen infection caused by endoscopic contamination;³ some endoscopes were even detected with multidrug-resistant bacteria, such as carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE).⁴ The in-hospital mortality rate of CRE infection was high (64.5%).⁵

This retrospective study aimed to analyze the correlation between the number of FBC examinations and the occurrence of nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE, to clarify the negative impact of the number of FBC examinations on patients.

Subjects and Methods

Subjects

This study is a retrospective study. Patients who underwent FBC in the intensive care unit (ICU) of a grade 3A hospital between January 1, 2017, and December 31, 2020, were enrolled. A pathogenic test was carried out: the specimens were bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, sputum, and pleural effusion; the results showed a presence of Enterobacteriaceae; and the drug resistance phenotype was carbapenem-resistant (Table 1). Inclusion criteria: ① Patients who underwent FBC in the intensive care unit from 2017 to 2020; ② Patients who were sent for pathogenic examination on admission; ③ Patients with CRE in the etiological results. Exclusion criteria: ① Patients who could not access the complete medical records; ② Patients who submitted non-respiratory specimens for pathogenic specimens.

Study Methods

Data Source

The present paper is a retrospective study of data obtained from the ICU of a grade 3A hospital. The information was collected from the hospital electronic medical record system, microbiological examination system, and hospital information system.

Diagnostic Criteria

The diagnosis of nosocomial infection was based on the Diagnostic Criteria for Nosocomial Infection (Trial) issued by the Ministry of Health in 2001,² and the hospital infection cases were reviewed by the hospital infection management department and clinicians.

Definition of Relevant Indicators

Hospital Stay

Hospital stay of nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE = date of first detection of CRE in a respiratory tract specimen – date of admission.

Hospital Colonization

No CRE was detected through etiology when the patient was admitted to the hospital; positive CRE was reported after hospitalization for ≥ 48 h. The doctor determined that the non-infection was colonization, and antibiotics were not administered.

Research Indicators

Indicators of nosocomial infection/colonization: The correlation between nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE and the number of FBC examinations was analyzed.

Bacterial Culture

The bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, sputum, and pleural effusion samples submitted for clinical examination were inoculated, isolated, and cultured in accordance with the requirements of the National Inspection Technical Operation Procedures. Duplicate strains from the same patient, same sample source, and same site were eliminated.

Bacterial Identification

The bacteria were identified using the Bruker MicroFlex mass spectrometer.

Drug Sensitivity Tests

The sensitivity of carbapenem antibiotics, such as imipenem and meropenem, was determined using the instrumental method (automatic bacterial identification drug sensitivity instrument VITEK 2 compact) and the Kirby–Bauer disk

Table 1 Basic Clinical Information of Analyzing Patients

	Gender		Age Group (Years Old)				Carbapenems		The Major Diagnosis at Admission Was Respiratory Disease		Fiberoptic Bronchoscopy (Times)			
	Male	Female	≤19	20–49	50–69	≥70	Used	Unused	Yes	No	1	2	3	≥4
Nosocomial infection/colonization	51	22	2	11	26	34	44	29	61	12	7	13	16	37
Non nosocomial infection/colonization	39	17	1	8	19	28	30	26	44	12	36	13	1	6
Total	90	39	3	19	45	62	74	55	105	24	43	26	17	43

diffusion method. The drug sensitivity test results were determined in accordance with the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 2017 standard.

Statistical Analysis

Microsoft Office Excel 2017 was used for data collection and summary, and Minitab 20.2 was used for statistical data analysis. The Chi-square test was used to analyze the correlation between risk factors for nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE. The data were compared using a two sample *t*-test and a probability logistic regression test. A *P* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

General Situations

In order to explore the influence of the collected indicators on the possibility of infection/colonization of patients with CRE, we first used the chi-square correlation test method to analyze the correlation between variables, and obtained the following results. Among the 129 patients included in the present study, 73 (56.6%) had nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE and 56 (43.4%) did not. The statistical analyses revealed that there was no significant difference in the number of cases of nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE among the age groups (ages ≤19, 20–49, 50–69, and ≥70) (*Pearson* = 0.240, degrees of freedom (*DF*) = 3, *P* = 0.971).

The patients comprised 90 males (69.8%) and 39 females (30.2%); there was no significant difference in the proportion of gender (*Pearson* = 0.001, *DF* = 1, *P* = 0.978). Among these patients, carbapenems were used in 74 patients (57.4%) and not used in 55 patients (42.6%); the difference in the proportion of patients who were/were not treated with carbapenems was not statistically significant (*Pearson* = 0.582, *DF* = 1, *P* = 0.445). Respiratory diseases were the main diagnoses in 105 of the patients (81.4%), and the remaining 24 patients (18.6%) had a different main diagnosis; the difference in the proportion of diagnosis of patients was not statistically significant (*Pearson* = 0.521, *DF* = 1, *P* = 0.470).

Length of Hospital Stay

2.2.1 A descriptive statistical analysis of the length of hospital stay was carried out: minimum value = 0.0, lower interquartile = 2.0, median value = 8.0, mean value = 35.9, upper interquartile = 19.5, maximum value = 1424.0, interquartile spacing = 17.5, and coefficient of variation = 386.48.

According to the analysis results, the length of hospital stay was divided into four groups, and the number of FBC examinations was compared among the different groups. The number of FBC examinations increased with the extension of the hospital stay length.

The statistical analysis results revealed that the length of hospital stay was correlated with the number of FBC examinations; the difference was statistically significant (*Pearson* = 103.872, *DF* = 9, *P* = 0.000 < 0.05; Table 2).

2.2.2 The data on nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE were compared among the length of hospital stay groups. The results revealed that the rate of nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE increased with the extension of hospital

Table 2 The Length of Hospital Stay and Number of Fiberoptic Bronchoscopic Examinations

Length of Hospital Stay Use of Fiberoptic Bronchoscope	0–2	2–19.5	19.5–35.9	≥35.9	Total
≥4	1 (12.000; 10.083)	17 (20.333; 0.546)	13 (4.667; 14.881)	12 (6.000; 6.000)	43
3	0 (4.744; 4.744)	17 (8.039; 9.990)	0 (1.845; 1.845)	0 (2.372; 2.372)	17
2	3 (7.256; 2.496)	17 (12.295; 1.801)	1 (2.822; 1.176)	5 (3.628; 0.519)	26
1	32 (12.000; 33.333)	10 (20.333; 5.251)	0 (4.667; 4.667)	1 (6.000; 4.167)	43
Total	36	61	14	18	129

Notes: Likelihood ratio = 109.527, *DF* = 9, *P* = 0.000. *Pearson* = 103.872, *DF* = 9, *P* = 0.000.

stay length. The data comparison revealed that the length of hospital stay was correlated with nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE; the difference was statistically significant ($Pearson = 60.911$, $DF = 3$, $P = 0.000 < 0.05$; Table 3).

Analysis of Risk Factors for Nosocomial Infection/Colonization of CRE

The results of the present study revealed that nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE was associated with the use of FBC; the analysis results are presented in Table 4. Additionally, the data showed that the chance of nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE was significantly higher in patients with an FBC examination number of ≥ 3 than in patients with a number of 1–2; the analysis results are presented in Table 5.

Among the patients included in the present study, the FBC examination number was: 1 time with 43 patients (33.3%); 2 times with 26 patients (20.1%); 3 times with 17 patients (13.1%); and ≥ 4 times with 43 patients (33.3%). Logistic regression method was used for this group of data. The occurrence of nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE was used as the dependent variable, and the remaining indicators were used as independent variables for regression. The results showed that the P value was 0.052 when hospitalization time was used as a risk factor, and the P value was 0.000 when FBC examination number was used as a risk factor, both of which were less than 0.1, indicating that these two variables have a greater impact on the occurrence of nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE. And the OR values were 1.062 and 2.647, both greater than 1, indicating that both factors would increase the probability of nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE (Table 6). The area under the ROC curve was 0.894, indicating that this judgment method has good diagnostic authenticity.

Table 3 The Incidence of Nosocomial Infection/Colonization of CRE and Length of Hospital Stay

Length of Hospital Stay	0–2	2–19.5	19.5–35.9	≥ 35.9	n	μ	95% CI
Non nosocomial infection/colonization	35 (15.63; 24.013)	17 (26.48; 3.392)	2 (6.08; 2.736)	2 (7.81; 4.326)	56	5.30	(–102.0; –6.0)
Nosocomial infection/colonization	1 (20.37; 18.421)	44 (34.52; 2.604)	12 (7.92; 2.099)	16 (10.19; 3.318)	73	59	

Notes: Likelihood ratio = 71.216, $DF = 3$, $P = 0.000$. Pearson = 60.911, $DF = 3$, $P = 0.000$. $t = -2.23$, $DF = 127$, $P = 0.028$ ($sp = 136.5268$).

Abbreviation: CRE, Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae.

Table 4 Correlation Between Nosocomial Infection/Colonization of CRE and the Use of Fiberoptic Bronchoscopy

Nosocomial Infection/Colonization	n	Mean Value	Standard Deviation	Mean Standard Error
No	56	1.125	0.334	0.045
Yes	73	1.726	0.449	0.053

Notes: Difference estimate: –0.6010. $T = -8.39$, $P = 0.000$, Degree of freedom = 127. 95% CI: (–0.7428, –0.4593). Combined standard deviations in patients with use of the both = 0.4032.

Abbreviation: CRE, Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae.

Table 5 Correlation Between Nosocomial Infection/Colonization of CRE and the Number of Fiberoptic Bronchoscopic Examinations

Number of Fiberoptic Bronchoscopic Examinations	1		2		3		4	
Nosocomial Infection/Colonization of CRE	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y
Count	36	7	13	13	1	16	6	37
Expected count	18.67	24.33	11.29	14.71	7.38	9.62	18.67	24.33
Contribution to Chi Square	16.095	12.347	0.260	0.199	5.515	4.231	8.595	6.594

Notes: Chi Square of likelihood ratio = 59.974, $DF = 3$, $P = 0.000$. Chi Square of Pearson = 53.837, $DF = 3$, $P = 0.000$.

Abbreviation: CRE, Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae.

Table 6 Logistic Analysis of Different Risk Factors and Nosocomial Infection/Colonization of CRE

	Coefficient	SE	Wald	P	OR	95% CI
Age group	−0.019	0.286	0.000	0.947	0.981	(0.560, 1.718)
The major diagnosis at admission was respiratory disease	−0.006	0.613	0.000	0.993	0.994	(0.299, 3.308)
Carbapenems	−0.199	0.491	0.160	0.686	0.820	(0.313, 2.147)
Fiberoptic bronchoscopy (times)	0.974	0.247	15.510	0.000	2.647	(1.631, 4.298)
Length of hospital stay	0.060	0.031	3.790	0.052	1.062	(1.000, 1.128)

Abbreviations: CRE Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae; SE, Standard error; OR, Odds ratio.

Discussion

In this study, the related factors of nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE were explored. The analysis revealed that FBC was highly correlated with nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE. Furthermore, the results revealed that the higher the number of FBC examinations, the higher the chance of nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE.

These findings in the present study suggest that bronchoscopes may pose an under-recognized potential for transmission of CRE and related multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs), warranting greater public awareness, enhanced preventive measures, and updated reprocessing guidance.⁶ Many research reports worldwide pointed out that non-standard fiberoptic bronchoscope use or cleaning and disinfection as well as equipment obstacles have caused single or mixed bacterial cross infection, such as multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*,⁷ multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*,^{8,9} carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*,⁸ *Maltophilia*,^{10,11} and even hospital acquired Infection (HAI).

Any deviation from proper reprocessing (eg, crevices associated with the elevator channel) could lead to failure to eliminate contamination, with a possibility of subsequent patient-to-patient transmission.¹² However, there is no data showing the relationship between the number of FBC examinations and the occurrence of HAI.

The use of FBC was an important risk factor for cross infection of MDROs in the ICU. Non-standard fiberoptic bronchoscope cleaning and disinfection is one of the most important factors.⁶ Therefore, the management team of the hospital should pay attention to FBC management, establish a multidisciplinary team model, and implement multi-department cooperation.

Implementing unified and standardized management, such as setting up an endoscopy center, uniformly allocating personnel, integrating resources, and promoting fiberoptic bronchoscope sharing, should be carried out to serve the clinical work with the clinical work as the center.

Hospitals should update the professional knowledge of medical personnel and continuously improve the process and layout with the introduction of new national standards. According to the workload requirement, hospitals should appropriately increase their number of endoscopes, update the cleaning and disinfection facilities, ensure endoscope cleaning and disinfection quality, and ensure the safety of patients.

Of course, our research still has certain limitations. For example, our research is a retrospective study without randomization, and the data may be biased to a certain extent. In the future, we plan to conduct a prospective randomized controlled study to expand the sample size. With a view to eliminating data gaps as much as possible.

Conclusion

The statistical data analysis in the present study revealed that the length of hospital stay was correlated with nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE. Several studies have evaluated risk factors for acquiring CRE versus carbapenem-susceptible Enterobacteriaceae, identifying antibiotic use and length of hospital stay as major players.^[13] Lastly, CRE acquisition resulted in prolonged hospitalization;⁵ therefore, nosocomial infection/colonization of CRE is highly correlated with an increased number of fiberoptic bronchoscopic examinations.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Han L, Yu RZ, Lin L, Yuan M. Exploring the effect of process re-engineering in the cleaning, disinfection, packaging and supply of fiberoptic bronchoscopes in a sterile supply center. *Zhong Guo Wei Sheng Chan Ye*. 2019;16(19):150–151. Chinese.
2. Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China. Diagnostic criteria for hospital-acquired infections (trial). Beijing; 2001.
3. Cai L, Zhang HJ, Yang YH. Research progress of prevention and control of endoscopy-related infection. *Zhong Guo Gan Ran Kong Zhi Za Zhi*. 2016;15(7):533–536. Chinese.
4. Muscarella LF. Risk of transmission of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae and related “superbugs” during gastrointestinal endoscopy. *World J Gastrointest Endosc*. 2014;6(10):457–474. PMID: 25324917; PMCID: PMC4198391. doi:10.4253/wjge.v6.i10.457
5. Chuah CH, Gani Y, Sim B, Chidambaram SK. Risk factors of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae infection and colonisation: a Malaysian tertiary care hospital based case-control study. *J R Coll Physicians Edinb*. 2021;51(1):24–30. PMID: 33877130. doi:10.4997/JRCPE.2021.107
6. Mehta AC, Muscarella LF. Bronchoscope-related “Superbug” infections. *Chest*. 2020;157(2):454–469. PMID: 31421109. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2019.08.003
7. Xia Y, Lu C, Zhao J, et al. A bronchofiberoscopy-associated outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in an intensive care unit in Beijing, China. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2012;12:335. PMID: 23198973; PMCID: PMC3562511. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-12-335
8. Galdys AL, Marsh JW, Delgado E, et al. Bronchoscope-associated clusters of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2019;40(1):40–46. PMID: 30451128. doi:10.1017/ice.2018.263
9. Alipour N, Karagoz A, Taner A, et al. Outbreak of hospital infection from biofilm-embedded pan drug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, due to a contaminated bronchoscope. *J Prev Med*. 2017;2(2):1. PMID: 29225413. doi:10.21767/2572-5483.100014
10. Guy M, Vanhems P, Dananché C, et al. Outbreak of pulmonary *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* infections related to contaminated bronchoscope suction valves, Lyon, France, 2014. *Euro Surveill*. 2016;21(28). PMID: 27458712. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2016.21.28.30286
11. Waite TD, Georgiou A, Abrishami M, Beck CR. Pseudo-outbreaks of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* on an intensive care unit in England. *J Hosp Infect*. 2016;92(4):392–396. PMID: 26876747. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2015.12.014
12. Rutala WA, Weber DJ. Outbreaks of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae infections associated with duodenoscopes: what can we do to prevent infections? *Am J Infect Control*. 2016;44(5Suppl):e47–e51. PMID: 27131135. doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2015.10.037

Infection and Drug Resistance

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Infection and Drug Resistance is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that focuses on the optimal treatment of infection (bacterial, fungal and viral) and the development and institution of preventive strategies to minimize the development and spread of resistance. The journal is specifically concerned with the epidemiology of antibiotic resistance and the mechanisms of resistance development and diffusion in both hospitals and the community. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/infection-and-drug-resistance-journal>