#### REVIEW

# Review of Tolerability of Fremanezumab for Episodic and Chronic Migraine

Shane Root<sup>1-3</sup>, Kevin Ahn<sup>3</sup>, Jack Kirsch<sup>3</sup>, Justin L Hoskin<sup>1-3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, Barrow Neurological Institute, Phoenix, AZ, USA; <sup>2</sup>University of Arizona School of Medicine, Phoenix, AZ, USA; <sup>3</sup>Creighton University School of Medicine, Omaha, NE, USA

Correspondence: Shane Root, Department of Neurology, Barrow Neurological Institute, 240 West Thomas Road Suite 400, Phoenix, AZ, 85013, USA, Tel +1 602 406 6262, Email shane.root@commonspirit.org

**Abstract:** Calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) were the first class of medication specifically developed for the prevention of migraine. Fremanezumab is one of four CGRP mAbs currently available and is approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the preventative treatment of episodic and chronic migraines. This narrative review summarizes the history of fremanezumab development, the trials that led to its approval, and the later studies published evaluating its tolerability and efficacy. Evidence of fremanezumab for clinically significant efficacy and tolerability in patients with chronic migraine is especially important when considering the high level of disability, lower quality of life scores, and higher levels of health-care utilization associated with this condition. Multiple clinical trials demonstrated superiority of fremanezumab over placebo in terms of efficacy while demonstrating good tolerability. Treatment-related adverse reactions did not differ significantly compared to placebo and dropout rates were minimal. The most commonly observed treatment-related adverse reaction was mild-to-moderate injection site reaction, described as erythema, pain, induration, or swelling at the injection site.

Keywords: calcitonin gene related peptide, CGRP, monoclonal antibodies, mAbs, chronic migraine

#### Introduction

Migraine is a common disease affecting 36 million people in the United States.<sup>1</sup> Due to loss of productivity as well as health-care costs, it is the second highest cause of years lived with disability.<sup>2</sup> Despite migraine severity ranging considerably on an individual basis, 87% of patients with migraine feel that their headaches negatively affect their lives.<sup>3</sup> An estimated 11–15% of the US adult population meet criteria for migraine, approximately 18% of adult females and 6% of males.<sup>4</sup> Migraines are not only more common in women than in men but tend to be more severe as women report higher intensity pain, more frequent associated symptoms, and higher rates of headache-related disability.<sup>5</sup>

#### Migraine Classification

The International Headache Society has published the International Classification of Headache Disorders, version 3 (ICHD-3), which describes the diagnostic criteria of migraine (Table 1).<sup>6</sup> Migraine is a recurrent headache lasting 4–72 h per episode and has at least two of the following four characteristics: unilateral location of pain, pulsating quality, moderate-to-severe intensity, aggravated by routine physical activity. It must also be associated with nausea and/or photophobia and phonophobia.

Migraine can be further characterized and divided into episodic migraine or chronic migraine based on frequency. Chronic migraine pertains to patients who have experienced at least 15 days of headache per month for the prior threemonth period. Of the 15 days with headache, at least 8 days must meet the criteria for migraine (Table 1). It is estimated that approximately 8% of migraine patients suffer from chronic migraine.<sup>8</sup> Several risk factors have been identified for the "progression" of migraine from episodic to chronic, such as headache day frequency, depression, and acute medication use.<sup>9</sup> It is estimated that 2.5% to 3% of people with EM transition to CM every year.<sup>5</sup>

© 2023 Root et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial use of the work are permitted without any further permission form Dove Medical Press Limited. Provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). **Table I** Original Table Adapted from the IHS Classification International Classification ofHeadache Disorders (ICHD) Diagnostic Criteria for Migraine Without Aura andDiagnostic Criteria for Chronic Migraine

ICHD-3 Migraine Without Aura
A. At least five attacks fulfilling criteria B-D
B. Headache attacks lasting 4–72 hours
<ul> <li>C. Headache has at least two of the following four characteristics:</li> <li>Unilateral</li> <li>Pulsating</li> <li>Moderate or severe pain</li> <li>Aggravation by or causing avoidance of routine physical activity</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>D. During headache at least one of the following:</li> <li>Nausea and/or vomiting</li> <li>Photophobia and phonophobia</li> </ul>
E. Not better accounted for by another diagnosis
ICHD-3 Chronic Migraine
<ul> <li>A. Headache (migraine or tension-type) at least 15 days per month for at least 3 months</li> <li>At least 8 of the 15 headaches per month meet criteria for migraine with or without aura</li> </ul>
otes: Adapted from IHS Classification ICHD-3. Available from: <u>https://ichd-3.org/1-migraine/1-2-migraine-wit</u> ra/1-2-2-migraine-with-brainstem-aura/. <sup>7</sup>

#### Migraine and Quality of Life

The disease typically begins in adolescence and carries significant functional impairment, impaired quality of life (QOL), and comorbid medical and psychiatric conditions, with the most common associated conditions being insomnia, depression, and anxiety.<sup>10,11</sup> The associated functional impairment affects occupational, academic, social, leisure, and family aspects of life. Patients with migraine experience loss of productivity equivalent to approximately 4 days per year.<sup>12</sup>

The degree of disability tends to be higher in chronic migraine compared to episodic migraine.<sup>13</sup> Chronic migraine patients have increased headache-related disability, lower socioeconomic status, lower QOL, increased rate of comorbid medical and psychiatric disease, higher levels of health-care utilization, and higher treatment costs than patients with episodic migraine.

### **Migraine Pathophysiology**

The science and understanding behind the pathophysiology of migraine is changing and rapidly advancing. There exist several risk factors for the development of migraine, including genetics, hormones, and a person's environment.<sup>14,15</sup> Family history is considered to be a predisposing factor for the development of migraine, though outside of some of the hemiplegic migraine syndromes, most migraine patients with a positive family history are unlikely to develop migraine based on the involvement of a single gene.<sup>15</sup> Other data has shown a relative deficiency of serotonin levels in migraine patients, which may increase the propensity to develop the disease.<sup>16,17</sup> This has been supported by various neuroimaging studies.<sup>18,19</sup> Finally, an individual's environment is an influential factor in developing the disease, especially socio-economic status.<sup>20</sup> Evidence has demonstrated that migraine prevalence increases as household income decreases.<sup>21</sup> This has been explained by the "social causation" hypothesis.<sup>22</sup>

Various anatomical locations within the central nervous system are involved in the physiology of migraine, including the brainstem, hypothalamus, thalamus, and cortex. Migraine development may also be related to abnormal alterations of sensory processing, connectivity, or excitability. Activation and sensitization of the trigeminovascular system is of particular importance in the physiology of migraine. Conversely, a purely vascular origin of migraine headache has been debated over the years as several experiments have demonstrated conflicting data regarding whether sufficient or significant vasodilation occurs with spontaneous or evoked migraine episodes.<sup>23,24</sup> Despite the lack of consistent evidence, many studies demonstrate data supporting the theory that vasodilation is involved in the underlying physiology of migraine.<sup>25–27</sup>

#### Phases of Migraine Attacks

Migraine attacks can be divided into four phases based on the occurrence of symptoms surrounding the headache itself, namely the premonitory, aura, headache, and postdrome phases. These phases can all differ in length and severity and may overlap with one another.<sup>14</sup> The premonitory phase can be accompanied by mood changes, irritability, and light sensitivity; these symptoms seem to be correlated with changes in the hypothalamus, occipital cortex, and brainstem.<sup>28</sup> The hypothalamus, in particular, appears to have a major role in the premonitory phase symptoms of change of mood, energy, and appetite. Imaging studies demonstrating increased blood flow to this region before and after a migraine attack support this hypothesis.<sup>29</sup> Electrophysiological studies have also shown activation and involvement of thalamic and thalamo-cortical circuits that play a key role in the sensory processing within a migraine attack.<sup>30</sup>

Approximately 30% of patients with migraine experience a migraine aura. This is defined as a focal neurological disturbance (eg visual, sensory, or motor) that typically precedes migraine pain, though the aura may occur concurrently with other aspects of a migraine attack.<sup>31,32</sup> The most common type of aura is visual.<sup>27</sup> Cortical spreading depression is thought to be the mechanism by which a migraine aura develops and progresses during a migraine.<sup>33</sup> This was first postulated in 1940s and has remained the theory behind migraine aura. It is characterized by spreading "waves" of excitation followed by inhibition of neural and glial activity across the cortex. Although we are currently lacking definitive evidence of its causative relationship to migraine aura, the circumstantial evidence is supportive.

The headache phase of migraine appears to have strong involvement with the trigeminovascular system, including the meningeal vasculature and sensory innervations from the trigeminal ganglia.<sup>34</sup> Pain sensory inputs from the trigeminal nerve as well as nerves from C1, C2, and C3 converge at the trigeminal nucleus caudalis in the medulla and cervical spinal cord.<sup>35</sup> Afferent signals subsequently travel to regions such as the periaqueductal gray, the dorsolateral pons, the rostral ventromedial medulla, the thalamus, and the parietal cortex.<sup>9</sup> Several pharmacological targets have been identified and investigated for the treatment of migraine, including serotonin, calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP), pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating peptide, and prostaglandins.<sup>15</sup> CGRP is of particular interest considering the approval of several migraine-specific treatment options for the prevention and, more recently, acute treatment of migraine.

Finally, the postdrome period often follows the resolution of a migraine headache. Common symptoms include fatigue, brain fog, weakness, euphoria, depression, residual head pain, and nausea.<sup>36</sup> Functional imaging studies indicate that physiological changes that were present during the earlier phases of migraine may persist following the resolution of headache.<sup>37</sup> Activation of various locations including the dorsolateral pons, midbrain, and hypothalamus have all been demonstrated in migraine patients following the resolution of a migraine headache.<sup>29,38,39</sup>

# Calcitonin Gene-Related Peptide (CGRP)

CGRP is a neuropeptide involved in regulating the cardiovascular system, mediating neurogenic inflammation, and modulating nociceptive input.<sup>40,41</sup> CGRP is a 37 amino acid neuropeptide, present in both alpha and beta forms.<sup>42,43</sup> The alpha form of CGRP is distributed in both the central and peripheral nervous systems. Conversely, the beta subtype is found in enteric nerves and the pituitary gland. The neuropeptide CGRP likely has several physiological roles including the mediation of migraine and pain, and contributing to vasodilation, particularly following a period of vasoconstriction.<sup>44</sup>

As the alpha CGRP is localized in the central and peripheral nervous system, it is considered a more relevant subtype in regard to migraine. It is also predominantly expressed in the trigeminal ganglia and is known to be a mediator in arterial dilatation.<sup>45,46</sup> The peripheral nervous system CGRP receptors may prove to be significant in the treatment of migraine considering how CGRP monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) are not thought to be able to cross the blood–brain barrier due to their relatively large size.<sup>47</sup>

CGRP is released during acute migraine attacks with activation of dural C fiber nociceptors.<sup>48</sup> This leads to sensitizing the nociceptors and activating adjacent A? pain fibers. Experimental models also demonstrated its release with trigeminal ganglion stimulation.<sup>49</sup> Along with the release of CGRP in the setting of pain, CGRP levels have been demonstrated to be elevated during a migraine attack in external jugular vein blood. This has not been consistently reproducible.<sup>50</sup> While not completely reproducible, patients with chronic migraine have elevated CGRP between migraine attacks relative to patients with episodic migraine and individuals without migraine.<sup>51,52</sup> A decrease in CGRP levels has been observed after migraine episodes in patients who are treated with a triptan medication.<sup>51,53,54</sup> Further evidence of the role of CGRP in migraine physiology has been demonstrated in experimental studies involving the induction of a migraine episode by iatrogenically infusing CGRP.<sup>55</sup>

### **CGRP** Monoclonal Antibodies

Given its widespread effects, CGRP gained traction as a therapeutic target for migraine in the late 1990s.<sup>40</sup> A Phase II proof-of-concept trial established the clinical validity of CGRP as a therapeutic target in the treatment of acute migraine attacks in 2004.<sup>56</sup> As a result, several different CGRP receptor antagonists were studied. While the first trials evaluating small-molecule CGRP receptor antagonists demonstrated symptomatic improvement in migraine, some patients developed elevated liver transaminases suggestive of liver toxicity.<sup>40,57</sup> This unfortunate side effect led to the development of the use of CGRP Monoclonal Antibodies (mAbs) as biological drugs directed against the CGRP ligand or its receptor.

CGRP mAbs are relatively large molecules, approximately 150 kilodaltons in size.<sup>47</sup> This makes them unlikely to cross the blood-brain barrier in significant quantities, reducing the risk of central nervous system side effects. As with most mAbs, they carry a high target specificity for their receptor. The half-life of CGRP mAbs (3–6 weeks) is significantly longer than that of the CGRP small-molecule antagonists. Their long half-life makes them ideal for a monthly, or even quarterly, dosing schedule.

Several studies demonstrated favorable tolerability and safety amongst CGRP mAbs.<sup>58,59</sup> Of the currently available mAbs, the most common side effect observed in the trials of fremanezumab, erenumab, and galcanezumab was injection site irritation. Erenumab, the only one of the current four CGRP mAb directed against the CGRP receptor, also carries a risk of constipation and a warning of hypertension.<sup>60</sup>

#### Fremanezumab: Development

Fremanezumab (TEV 48125) is a humanized IgG2a monoclonal antibody that binds to the CGRP ligand.<sup>61</sup> Initial in vitro evaluation found that fremanezumab produced an effective and selective blockade of the vasomotor responses to CGRP.<sup>62</sup> Pharmacokinetic evaluation showed plasma concentrations were reached within 5 to 7 days of subcutaneous administration, while the half-life ranged from 31 days to 38 days depending on the dosage given.<sup>63</sup> A Phase 1 study by Bigal et al administered single doses to 94 subjects with the most common treatment-related adverse event being injection-site reactions, which was similar between placebo and fremanezumab dosages.<sup>64</sup>

A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled phase II study for high-frequency episodic migraine prevention evaluated the safety and tolerability of subcutaneously administered fremanezumab (two dosing regimens of monthly and quarterly) versus placebo.<sup>65</sup> Once again, the most common treatment-related adverse event was injection site pain or erythema and was found to occur at a similar rate among treatment and placebo groups.<sup>65</sup> Patients reported a reduction of -3.46, -6.27, and -6.09 migraine days in the placebo, monthly and quarterly groups, respectively – consistent with a significant reduction (P < 0.0001) in the number of migraine days for fremanezumab versus placebo.<sup>65</sup>

A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, double dummy, placebo-controlled, phase IIb trial for the preventive treatment of chronic migraine was also performed by Bigal et al.<sup>66</sup> At baseline, subjects had a mean of 162 headache hours, 16.8 migraine days, and 21.1 headache days of any duration per month. In addition to placebo dosing, two doses of fremanezumab (675/225 and 900 mg) were used. In weeks 9–12, patients reported a reduction of -37.10, -59.84, and -67.51 headache hours in the placebo, 675/225-mg, and 900-mg groups, respectively, and decreases in the number of moderate or severe headache days of -4.20, -6.04, and -6.16 for each of the three groups, respectively.<sup>66</sup>

A Phase III randomized clinical trial on fremanezumab efficacy for prevention of episodic migraine and chronic migraine was then completed. For prevention of episodic migraine, subjects were assigned to receive fremanezumab

225 mg (monthly regimen), 675 mg (quarterly regimen), or placebo. The primary endpoint of reduction in mean number of migraine days per month from baseline was achieved with reduction of the three respective groups being -3.7, -3.4, and -2.2 (P = 0.001).<sup>67</sup> In the phase III randomized clinical trial for the prevention of chronic migraine, two different dose regimens of fremanezumab or matching placebo were administered to patients (675 mg quarterly or 225 mg monthly).<sup>68</sup> The primary endpoint of the study was a reduction in the mean number of headache days per month. A reduction of at least 50% of headache days per month was seen in 38%, 41%, and 18% of patients treated quarterly, monthly, and placebo, respectively.

The Food and Drug Administration approved fremanezumab in the United States on September 1, 2018, for the treatment of episodic and chronic migraine in adults. It was later approved by the European Union on March 28, 2019, for the prophylaxis of migraine in adults experiencing at least four migraine days per month.<sup>34</sup> It is delivered via a self-administered subcutaneous injection on a monthly or quarterly basis. The monthly dosing is 225 mg, whereas the quarterly dosing is 675 mg. An auto injector pen is available, but patients have access to a prefilled syringe, as well. Quarterly and monthly dosing regimens were evaluated for the treatment of chronic migraine (HALO CM), episodic migraine (HALO EM), and patients with difficult-to-treat chronic or episodic migraine (FOCUS).<sup>67–69</sup> Finally, a 12-month trial was performed to evaluate the long-term effects of the therapy (HALO LTS).<sup>59</sup> All studies demonstrated statistically significant efficacy in reduction of monthly migraine days compared to placebo. Improvements were also observed in headache-related disability scores.

### Fremanezumab: Safety and Tolerability

In addition to significant efficacy in migraine reduction, tolerability of fremanezumab is favorable. Four major trials assessed the tolerability of fremanezumab (HALO EM, HALO CM, FOCUS, and HALO LTS).<sup>59,67–69</sup> The most common adverse effects seen with administration of fremanezumab are presented, as it was described in the original manuscripts in Tables 2, 3, and 4.

	n	Dosing	Monthly Migraine Days	50% Responder Rate	Injection Site Reaction Rate*
HALO-EM	875	Monthly	-4.2	47.7%	43%
		Quarterly	-4.0	44.4%	45%
		Placebo	-2.7	27.9%	38%
HALO-CM	1130	Monthly	-4.6	40.8%	43%
		Quarterly	-4.3	37.6%	45%
		Placebo	-2.5	18.1%	38%
FOCUS	838	Monthly	- <b>4</b> .I	34%	14%
		Quarterly	-3.7	34%	15%
		Placebo	-0.6	9%	12%
HALO-LTS	1890	Monthly	EM: -5.1	EM: 68%	23%
			CM: -8.0	CM: 57%	32%
		Quarterly	EM: -5.2	EM: 66%	18%
			CM: -7.2	CM: 53%	19%

 Table 2 Summary of Significant Study Endpoints and Rate of Most Common Adverse Reaction

 in Pivotal Trials and Studies on fremanezumab<sup>59,67–69</sup>

 Table 3 Most Common Side Effects to Fremanezumab Seen During Development

	HALO-E Monthly Dose (n=290)	HALO-E Higher Dose (n=291)	HALO-C Monthly Dose (n=379)	HALO-C Quarterly Dose (n=379)	FOCUS Monthly Dose (n=285)	FOCUS Quarterly Dose (n=285)
General Adverse Event						
<ul> <li>At least 1 adverse event</li> </ul>	192	193	270	265	129	151
<ul> <li>At least 1 severe event</li> </ul>	3	3	5	3	4	2
<ul> <li>Study discontinuation</li> </ul>	5	5	7	5	4	I
• Death	0	I	0	0	NA	NA
Dermatologic						
<ul> <li>Injection site pain</li> </ul>	87	86	99	114	9	11
Injection site induration	71	57	90	75	13	12
Injection site erythema	52	55	75	80	16	19
<ul> <li>Injection site hemorrhage</li> </ul>	3	9	8	7	NA	NA
Injection site pruritus	NA	NA	NA	NA	2	5
Endocrine and metabolic						
Hepatic enzyme increase	2	2	5	5	NA	NA
Gastrointestinal						
<ul> <li>Nausea</li> </ul>	2	7	6	4	2	4
• Diarrhea	NA	NA	NA	NA	2	7
Constipation	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	7
General						
• Fatigue	2	6	NA	NA	NA	NA
• Weight Gait	NA	NA	NA	NA	3	4
Immunogenicity						
• Fremanezumab antibody response	4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Infectious						
Upper respiratory tract infection	16	11	16	18	NA	NA
<ul> <li>Nasopharyngitis</li> </ul>	11	11	15	19	7	13
Urinary tract infection	7	10	NA	NA	3	3
Bronchitis	6	4	NA	NA	NA	NA
Sinusitis	4	2	4	10	NA	NA
• Influenza	NA	NA	NA	NA	6	2
Neuropsychiatric						
Dizziness	NA	NA	11	9	4	5
Anxiety	NA	NA	NA	NA	2	3

**Notes**: Numbers listed represent the number of patients (n) who reported these symptoms. Data are presented as they were initially described in the reported literature. "NA" is used when no data is available. Less commonly seen adverse events (1% or less) may not have been included in this table and a complete list of all adverse effects reported can be found in the original manuscripts.<sup>67-69</sup>

The most common drug-related adverse reaction noted in these trials was injection site reaction (Table 2).<sup>59,67–69</sup> Patients described injection site reactions as the development of pain, induration, erythema, and/or hemorrhage at the injection site. In the HALO LTS trial, injection site reaction was observed with 23% of chronic migraine patients receiving the monthly injection and 19% of chronic migraine patients receiving the quarterly injections.<sup>59</sup> Very similar rates of injection site reaction were observed in the episodic migraine population. The FOCUS phase 3B clinical trial demonstrated a 14% injection site reaction rate in the monthly injection scheduling arm and a 15% injection site reaction rate in the quarterly injection site reactions at a rate of 12%.

	HALO-LTS CM Monthly (n=559)	HALO-LTS CM Quarterly (n=551)	HALO-LTS EM Monthly (n=386)	HALO-LTS EM Quarterly (n=394)
General Adverse Event				
• At least I adverse event	498	461	323	330
• At least I severe event	35	38	21	21
<ul> <li>Study discontinuation</li> </ul>	18	20	18	20
• Death	0	0	0	0
Dermatologic				
<ul> <li>Injection site pain</li> </ul>	182	157	123	118
<ul> <li>Injection site induration</li> </ul>	196	165	145	113
<ul> <li>Injection site erythema</li> </ul>	171	138	103	85
<ul> <li>Injection site hemorrhage</li> </ul>	44	42	28	17
<ul> <li>Injection site pruritus</li> </ul>	39	26	35	15
Infectious				
• Upper respiratory tract infection	72	77	45	59
<ul> <li>Nasopharyngitis</li> </ul>	61	64	51	41
<ul> <li>Urinary tract infection</li> </ul>	28	39	24	22
• Bronchitis	25	23	14	21
• Sinusitis	39	40	18	19
• Influenza	30	22	11	11

 Table 4 Most Common Side Effects to Fremanezumab Seen During the Long-Term Safety,

 Tolerability, and Efficacy Study (HALO LTS)

Most injection site reactions were rated as mild to moderate. The discontinuation rate during the FOCUS phase 3B trial was less than 1% due to adverse events. No severe hypersensitivity events occurred during HALO LTS.<sup>59</sup>

CGRP is involved in several different physiologic functions outside of migraine, including vasodilation, nervous system maturation or repair, utero-placental function and vascular adaptations, and neuro-immune access regulation. For this reason, several areas of potential or theoretical concern exist with the use of CGRP monoclonal antibodies for clinical use. CGRP is a potent vasodilator, but there has been no evidence demonstrating clinically significant vasocon-striction after the administration of CGRP monoclonal antibodies.<sup>70,71</sup> Other areas of potential concern include patients with compromised blood–brain barrier, cardiovascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, bone disease, and immunodeficiency. Use of CGRP monoclonal antibodies should be used with caution in these populations.

Little data exist pertaining to the safety of CGRP antagonism during pregnancy. Experimental rat models demonstrated a dose-dependent relationship between CGRP administration and the outcomes of systolic blood pressure, fetal growth retardation, and fetal mortality.<sup>72</sup> Several safety reports of human patients exposed to CGRP monoclonal antibodies, including, fremanezumab, have been collected with no significantly increased reporting for maternal toxicity, spontaneous, abortion, or major birth defects.<sup>73</sup> Still, the use of CGRP monoclonal antibodies in the setting of pregnancy and breastfeeding is recommended against at this time until more data is gathered and published. Current recommendations exist for the cessation of CGRP monoclonal antibodies at least 6 months prior to conception, considering their long half-lives.

At the time of this writing, clinical trials for the use of CGRP monoclonal antibodies in pediatric and adolescent populations have not been published. A retrospective multi-center study did find similar efficacy and side effects of CGRP monoclonal antibodies in adolescence when compared to adults.<sup>74</sup> The Pediatric and Adolescent Headache Special Interest Group of the American Headache Society published a manuscript for guidelines for the use of CGRP

**Notes:** Patients broken into four categories: chronic migraine (CM) with monthly and quarterly dosing and episodic migraine (EM) with monthly and quarterly dosing. Numbers listed represent the number of patients (n) who reported these symptoms. Data are presented as they were initially described in the reported literature.<sup>59</sup>

mAbs in pediatric and adolescent populations.<sup>75</sup> It is recommended to consider the use of CGRP mAbs for younger populations who either experience frequent migraine episodes or experience significant disability related to migraine with cautious monitoring. The use of these medications should be considered only after prior pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic treatments have been attempted.

Interactions with concomitant medications are thought to be unlikely, as the metabolism of fremanezumab is not performed by cytochrome P450 enzymes. This is in contrast to the CGRP small-molecule antagonists, which should either be avoided or their dosing altered if used in patients who are taking cytochrome P450 enzyme inhibitors.

#### **Future Directions**

Limited evidence exists at this time comparing fremanezumab directly to other migraine treatment options, but the studies that have been performed appear promising. Yang et al performed a meta-analysis comparing the efficacy of the four different CGRP mAbs to topiramate and onabotulinumtoxin A and found fremanezumab had the best overall response rate compared to the other treatments, though the dosing schedule used was not FDA approved (675mg in the first month, 225mg in the second and third months).<sup>76</sup> Another meta-analysis compared the efficacy of topiramate, onabotulinumtoxinA, and CGRP mAbs against placebo and found all three categories to be significantly effective.<sup>77</sup> The CGRP mAbs were more likely to lead to a 50% reduction in monthly migraine days when compared to onabotulinumtoxinA and had a lower dropout rate than topiramate. More comparative evidence regarding efficacy and safety is needed to better understand where fremanezumab stands in the current landscape of preventive migraine treatment.

Another area for future research needed is the effect of fremanezumab when used in combination with onabotulinumtoxin A in patients with chronic migraine. Onabotulinumtoxin A is an FDA-approved treatment for chronic migraine that has been available for this population since 2010.<sup>78</sup> OnabotulinumtoxinA's mechanism of action in the treatment of migraine is thought to be by way of cleaving SNAP-25, which prevents the release of CGRP and other neuropeptides from the C-fiber nerve terminal.<sup>79</sup> Thus, a synergistic effect is suspected to exist when a CGRP mAb is used concomitantly with onabotulinumtoxinA. A retrospective chart review consisting of 257 patients demonstrated a significant reduction in monthly headache days when treated with a CGRP mAb in combination with onabotulinumtoxin A compared to patients treated with onabotulinumtoxin A monotherapy.<sup>80</sup> The majority of patients using a CGRP mAb in this patient population were prescribed erenumab, with only 6% of patients prescribed fremanezumab. Further evidence demonstrating efficacy of CGRP mAbs used concomitantly with onabotulinumtoxinA will undoubtedly act as support in obtaining insurance authorization for both treatments simultaneously in the future.

Pediatric episodic and chronic migraine is an area that is currently lacking evidence in regard to the use of CGRP mAbs. Several areas of potential concern exist in this growing and developing population due to the presence of CGRP and its receptors localized throughout multiple organ systems. Safety and tolerability outcomes will be of paramount importance when these studies are created. An investigation is currently recruiting pediatric patients with episodic and chronic migraine to evaluate the efficacy of erenumab in this population.

### Conclusion

FDA approval of CGRP monoclonal antibodies, including fremanezumab, has led to a substantial addition to preventive treatment options for patients with episodic and chronic migraine. Both the efficacy and tolerability of CGRP monoclonal antibodies appear to be favorable. Prior to the FDA approval of fremanezumab and the other CGRP monoclonal antibodies, the "mainstay" of migraine prevention relied on antidepressants, antihypertensives, and antiepileptic medications, which can frequently cause systemic side effects. The dosing schedule of the CGRP mAbs is favorable, as well, with monthly dosing options and fremanezumab's quarterly 675mg dosing schedule.

Fremanezumab has demonstrated efficacy in patient populations that are often challenging to manage, specifically, chronic migraine patients with several prior medication trial failures in its HALO CM trial and FOCUS trial, respectively. When compared to episodic migraine, chronic migraine is associated with a higher degree of disability, lower quality of life, higher levels of health-care utilization, and overall higher cost. The FOCUS trial, specifically, demonstrated significant reductions in

monthly migraine days compared to placebo in patients who had previously failed two to four classes of migraine preventives. The majority of the patient population in the FOCUS trial (61%) carried a diagnosis of chronic migraine. This further supports fremanezumab's efficacy in the prevention of migraine in chronic migraine patients.

Beyond efficacy, tolerability remains a significant consideration for both prescribers and for patients when choosing a preventive treatment for migraine. As previously mentioned, prior to the approval of CGRP mAbs, patients had to rely on daily, oral medications that were developed for medical conditions other than migraine, such as hypertension, epilepsy, and depression. These medications, although often effective for many migraine patients, carry the potential for a variety of systemic side effects. The side effect profile of fremanezumab appears to be very favorable at this time, with injection site reaction being the most consistent and frequently occurring adverse reaction. Typically, if experienced, the injection site reaction is mild to moderate and resolves over a period of a few days. It is important to note that fremanezumab was FDA approved in 2018, so long-term side effects may not yet be completely realized. In addition, CGRP has actions on several different physiologic functions and in multiple organ systems, so there may be more to learn about its long-term effects in the future. As of now, fremanezumab appears to be an effective and a tolerable treatment option in both episodic, but perhaps, more importantly, chronic migraine.

## Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

# References

- 1. Lipton RB, Silberstein SD. Episodic and chronic migraine headache: breaking down barriers to optimal treatment and prevention. *Headache*. 2015;55(Suppl 2):103–122; quiz 123–126. doi:10.1111/head.12505\_2
- Steiner TJ, Stovner LJ, Jensen R, Uluduz D, Katsarava Z. Lifting the burden: the global campaign against headache. Migraine remains second among the world's causes of disability, and first among young women: findings from GBD2019. J Headache Pain. 2020;21(1):137. doi:10.1186/ s10194-020-01208-0
- 3. Martelletti P, Schwedt TJ, Lanteri-Minet M, et al. My migraine voice survey: a global study of disease burden among individuals with migraine for whom preventive treatments have failed. *J Headache Pain*. 2018;19(1):115. doi:10.1186/s10194-018-0946-z
- 4. Urits I, Clark G, An D, et al. An evidence-based review of fremanezumab for the treatment of migraine. Pain Ther. 2020;9(1):195-215. doi:10.1007/s40122-020-00159-3
- 5. Goadsby PJ, Holland PR. An update: pathophysiology of migraine. Neurol Clin. 2019;37(4):651-671. doi:10.1016/j.ncl.2019.07.008
- 6. Arnold M. Headache classification committee of the International Headache Society (IHS) the international classification of headache disorders, 3rd edition. *Cephalalgia*. 2018;38(1):1–211 IHS Classification ICHD-3. Available from:https://ichd-3.org/1-migraine/.
- 7. IHS Classification ICHD-3. Available from: https://ichd-3.org/1-migraine/1-2-migraine-with-aura/1-2-2-migraine-with-brainstem-aura/
- 8. Buse DC, Manack AN, Fanning KM, et al. Chronic migraine prevalence, disability, and sociodemographic factors: results from the American Migraine Prevalence and Prevention Study. *Headache*. 2012;52(10):1456–1470. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.2012.02223.x
- Buse DC, Greisman JD, Baigi K, Lipton RB. Migraine progression: a systematic review. *Headache*. 2019;59(3):306–338. doi:10.1111/head.13459
   Eidlitz-Markus T, Haimi-Cohen Y, Zeharia A. Association of age at onset of migraine with family history of migraine in children attending a pediatric headache clinic: a retrospective cohort study. *Cephalalgia*. 2015;35(8):722–727. doi:10.1177/0333102414554114
- 11. Buse DC, Reed ML, Fanning KM, et al. Comorbid and co-occurring conditions in migraine and associated risk of increasing headache pain intensity and headache frequency: results of the migraine in America symptoms and treatment (MAST) study. *J Headache Pain*. 2020;21(1):23. doi:10.1186/s10194-020-1084-v
- 12. Lofland JH, Frick KD. Workplace absenteeism and aspects of access to health care for individuals with migraine headache. *Headache*. 2006;46 (4):563–576. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.2006.00404.x
- 13. Buse D, Manack A, Serrano D, et al. Headache impact of chronic and episodic migraine: results from the American Migraine Prevalence and Prevention study. *Headache*. 2012;52(1):3–17. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.2011.02046.x
- 14. Peters GL. Migraine overview and summary of current and emerging treatment options. Am J Manag Care. 2019;25(2 Suppl):S23-S34.
- 15. Michel Ferrari AC. Headache mechanisms. In: Oxford Textbook of Headache Syndromes. Oxford University Press; 2020:34-40.
- 16. Ferrari MD, Saxena PR. On serotonin and migraine: a clinical and pharmacological review. *Cephalalgia*. 1993;13(3):151–165. doi:10.1046/j.1468-2982.1993.1303151.x
- 17. Hamel E, Currents H. Serotonin and migraine: biology and clinical implications. *Cephalalgia*. 2007;27(11):1293–1300. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2982.2007.01476.x
- 18. Schuh-Hofer S, Richter M, Geworski L, et al. Increased serotonin transporter availability in the brainstem of migraineurs. J Neurol. 2007;254 (6):789–796. doi:10.1007/s00415-006-0444-0
- 19. Lothe A, Merlet I, Demarquay G, Costes N, Ryvlin P, Mauguière F. Interictal brain 5-HT1A receptors binding in migraine without aura: a 18F-MPPF-PET study. *Cephalalgia*. 2008;28(12):1282–1291. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2982.2008.01677.x
- 20. Lipton RB, Bigal ME, Diamond M, et al. Migraine prevalence, disease burden, and the need for preventive therapy. *Neurology*. 2007;68 (5):343–349. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000252808.97649.21
- 21. Bigal ME, Lipton RB, Winner P, et al. Migraine in adolescents: association with socioeconomic status and family history. *Neurology*. 2007;69 (1):16–25. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000265212.90735.64

- 22. Stewart WF, Roy J, Lipton RB. Migraine prevalence, socioeconomic status, and social causation. *Neurology*. 2013;81(11):948–955. doi:10.1212/ WNL.0b013e3182a43b32
- 23. Schoonman GG, van der Grond J, Kortmann C, van der Geest RJ, Terwindt GM, Ferrari MD. Migraine headache is not associated with cerebral or meningeal vasodilatation--a 3T magnetic resonance angiography study. *Brain*. 2008;131(Pt 8):2192–2200. doi:10.1093/brain/awn094
- 24. Amin FM, Asghar MS, Hougaard A, et al. Magnetic resonance angiography of intracranial and extracranial arteries in patients with spontaneous migraine without aura: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Neurol.* 2013;12(5):454–461. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70067-X
- 25. Pellesi L, Al-Karagholi MAM, De Icco R, et al. Effect of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide on development of migraine headaches: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021;4(8):e2118543. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.18543
- 26. Asghar MS, Hansen AE, Amin FM, et al. Evidence for a vascular factor in migraine. Ann Neurol. 2011;69(4):635-645. doi:10.1002/ana.22292
- 27. Ashina M, Ropper AH. Migraine. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(19):1866-1876. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1915327
- 28. Maniyar FH, Sprenger T, Monteith T, Schankin C, Goadsby PJ. Brain activations in the premonitory phase of nitroglycerin-triggered migraine attacks. *Brain*. 2014;137(Pt 1):232–241. doi:10.1093/brain/awt320
- 29. Denuelle M, Fabre N, Payoux P, Chollet F, Geraud G. Hypothalamic activation in spontaneous migraine attacks. *Headache*. 2007;47 (10):1418–1426. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.2007.00776.x
- 30. Coppola G, Di Renzo A, Tinelli E, et al. Thalamo-cortical network activity during spontaneous migraine attacks. *Neurology*. 2016;87 (20):2154–2160. doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000003327
- Lipton RB, Serrano D, Nicholson RA, Buse DC, Runken MC, Reed ML. Impact of NSAID and Triptan use on developing chronic migraine: results from the American Migraine Prevalence and Prevention (AMPP) study. *Headache*. 2013;53(10):1548–1563. doi:10.1111/head.12201
- 32. Hansen JM, Lipton RB, Dodick DW, et al. Migraine headache is present in the aura phase: a prospective study. *Neurology*. 2012;79(20):2044–2049. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182749eed
- 33. Leao AAP. Spreading depression of activity in the cerebral cortex. J Neurophysiol. 1944;7(6):359-390. doi:10.1152/jn.1944.7.6.359
- 34. Lionetto L, Cipolla F, Guglielmetti M, Martelletti P. Fremanezumab for the prevention of chronic and episodic migraine. *Drugs Today.* 2019;55 (4):265–276. doi:10.1358/dot.2019.55.4.2970909
- 35. Johnston MM, Jordan SE, Charles AC. Pain referral patterns of the C1 to C3 nerves: implications for headache disorders. *Ann Neurol*. 2013;74 (1):145–148. doi:10.1002/ana.23869
- 36. Quintela E, Castillo J, Muñoz P, Pascual J. Premonitory and resolution symptoms in migraine: a prospective study in 100 unselected patients. *Cephalalgia*. 2006;26(9):1051–1060. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2982.2006.01157.x
- 37. Denuelle M, Fabre N, Payoux P, Chollet F, Geraud G. Posterior cerebral hypoperfusion in migraine without aura. *Cephalalgia*. 2008;28 (8):856–862. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2982.2008.01623.x
- 38. Afridi SK, Matharu MS, Lee L, et al. A PET study exploring the laterality of brainstem activation in migraine using glyceryl trinitrate. *Brain*. 2005;128(Pt 4):932–939. doi:10.1093/brain/awh416
- Boulloche N, Denuelle M, Payoux P, Fabre N, Trotter Y, Géraud G. Photophobia in migraine: an interictal PET study of cortical hyperexcitability and its modulation by pain. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2010;81(9):978–984. doi:10.1136/jnnp.2009.190223
- 40. Russo AF. Calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP): a new target for migraine. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2015;55:533-552. doi:10.1146/ annurev-pharmtox-010814-124701
- 41. van Rossum D, Hanisch UK, Quirion R. Neuroanatomical localization, pharmacological characterization and functions of CGRP, related peptides and their receptors. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 1997;21(5):649–678.
- 42. Rosenfeld MG, Mermod JJ, Amara SG, et al. Production of a novel neuropeptide encoded by the calcitonin gene via tissue-specific RNA processing. *Nature*. 1983;304(5922):129–135.
- 43. Sternini C. Enteric and visceral afferent CGRP neurons. Targets of innervation and differential expression patterns. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1992;657:170–186. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1992.tb22766.x
- 44. McCulloch J, Uddman R, Kingman TA, Edvinsson L. Calcitonin gene-related peptide: functional role in cerebrovascular regulation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1986;83(15):5731–5735. doi:10.1073/pnas.83.15.5731
- 45. Amara SG, Arriza JL, Leff SE, Swanson LW, Evans RM, Rosenfeld MG. Expression in brain of a messenger RNA encoding a novel neuropeptide homologous to calcitonin gene-related peptide. *Science*. 1985;229(4718):1094–1097. doi:10.1126/science.2994212
- 46. Jansen-Olesen I, Mortensen A, Edvinsson L. Calcitonin gene-related peptide is released from capsaicin-sensitive nerve fibres and induces vasodilatation of human cerebral arteries concomitant with activation of adenylyl cyclase. *Cephalalgia*. 1996;16(5):310–316. doi:10.1046/j.1468-2982.1996.1605310.x
- 47. Dodick DW. CGRP ligand and receptor monoclonal antibodies for migraine prevention: evidence review and clinical implications. *Cephalalgia*. 2019;39(3):445–458. doi:10.1177/0333102418821662
- 48. Russell FA, King R, Smillie SJ, Kodji X, Brain SD. Calcitonin gene-related peptide: physiology and pathophysiology. *Physiol Rev.* 2014;94 (4):1099–1142. doi:10.1152/physrev.00034.2013
- 49. Goadsby PJ, Edvinsson L, Ekman R. Release of vasoactive peptides in the extracerebral circulation of humans and the cat during activation of the trigeminovascular system. *Ann Neurol.* 1988;23(2):193–196. doi:10.1002/ana.410230214
- 50. Pellesi L, Al-Karagholi MAM, De Icco R, et al. Plasma levels of CGRP during a 2-h infusion of VIP in healthy volunteers and patients with migraine: an exploratory study. *Front Neurol.* 2022;13:871176. doi:10.3389/fneur.2022.871176
- Cernuda-Morollón E, Larrosa D, Ramón C, Vega J, Martínez-Camblor P, Pascual J. Interictal increase of CGRP levels in peripheral blood as a biomarker for chronic migraine. *Neurology*. 2013;81(14):1191–1196. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182a6cb72
- 52. Lee MJ, Lee SY, Cho S, Kang ES, Chung CS. Feasibility of serum CGRP measurement as a biomarker of chronic migraine: a critical reappraisal. *J Headache Pain*. 2018;19(1):53. doi:10.1186/s10194-018-0883-x
- Goadsby PJ, Edvinsson L, Ekman R. Vasoactive peptide release in the extracerebral circulation of humans during migraine headache. *Ann Neurol.* 1990;28(2):183–187. doi:10.1002/ana.410280213
- 54. Juhasz G, Zsombok T, Jakab B, Nemeth J, Szolcsanyi J, Bagdy G. Sumatriptan causes parallel decrease in plasma calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) concentration and migraine headache during nitroglycerin induced migraine attack. *Cephalalgia*. 2005;25(3):179–183. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2982.2005.00836.x

- 55. Lassen LH, Haderslev PA, Jacobsen VB, Iversen HK, Sperling B, Olesen J. CGRP may play a causative role in migraine. *Cephalalgia*. 2002;22 (1):54–61. doi:10.1046/j.1468-2982.2002.00310.x
- 56. Olesen J, Diener HC, Husstedt IW, et al. Calcitonin gene-related peptide receptor antagonist BIBN 4096 BS for the acute treatment of migraine. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;350(11):1104–1110. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa030505
- Ho TW, Connor KM, Zhang Y, et al. Randomized controlled trial of the CGRP receptor antagonist telcagepant for migraine prevention. *Neurology*. 2014;83(11):958–966. doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000000771
- 58. Alex A, Vaughn C, Rayhill M. Safety and tolerability of 3 CGRP monoclonal antibodies in practice: a retrospective cohort study. *Headache*. 2020;60(10):2454–2462. doi:10.1111/head.13956
- 59. Goadsby PJ, Silberstein SD, Yeung PP, et al. Long-term safety, tolerability, and efficacy of fremanezumab in migraine. *Neurology*. 2020;95(18): e2487–e2499. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000010600
- 60. Saely S, Croteau D, Jawidzik L, Brinker A, Kortepeter C. Hypertension: a new safety risk for patients treated with erenumab. *Headache*. 2021;61 (1):202–208. doi:10.1111/head.14051
- 61. Friedman DI, Cohen JM. Fremanezumab: a disease-specific option for the preventive treatment of migraine, including difficult-to-treat migraine. *Emerg Top Life Sci.* 2020;4(2):179–190. doi:10.1042/ETLS20200018
- Ohlsson L, Kronvall E, Stratton J, Edvinsson L. Fremanezumab blocks CGRP induced dilatation in human cerebral, middle meningeal and abdominal arteries. J Headache Pain. 2018;19(1):66. doi:10.1186/s10194-018-0905-8
- 63. Cohen-Barak O, Weiss S, Rasamoelisolo M, et al. A phase 1 study to assess the pharmacokinetics, safety, and tolerability of fremanezumab doses (225 mg, 675 mg and 900 mg) in Japanese and Caucasian healthy subjects. *Cephalalgia*. 2018;38(13):1960–1971. doi:10.1177/0333102418771376
- 64. Bigal ME, Escandon R, Bronson M, et al. Safety and tolerability of LBR-101, a humanized monoclonal antibody that blocks the binding of CGRP to its receptor: results of the phase 1 program. *Cephalalgia*. 2014;34(7):483–492. doi:10.1177/0333102413517775
- 65. Bigal ME, Walter S, Bronson M, Alibhoy A, Escandon R. Cardiovascular and hemodynamic parameters in women following prolonged CGRP inhibition using LBR-101, a monoclonal antibody against CGRP. *Cephalalgia*. 2014;34(12):968–976. doi:10.1177/0333102414527646
- 66. Bigal ME, Edvinsson L, Rapoport AM, et al. Safety, tolerability, and efficacy of TEV-48125 for preventive treatment of chronic migraine: a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2b study. *Lancet Neurol.* 2015;14(11):1091–1100. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(15)00245-8
- 67. Dodick DW, Silberstein SD, Bigal ME, et al. Effect of fremanezumab compared with placebo for prevention of episodic migraine: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2018;319(19):1999–2008. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.4853
- 68. Silberstein SD, Dodick DW, Bigal ME, et al. Fremanezumab for the preventive treatment of chronic migraine. N Engl J Med. 2017;377 (22):2113–2122. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1709038
- 69. Ferrari MD, Diener HC, Ning X, et al. Fremanezumab versus placebo for migraine prevention in patients with documented failure to up to four migraine preventive medication classes (FOCUS): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3b trial. *Lancet.* 2019;394 (10203):1030–1040. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31946-4
- 70. Edvinsson L. CGRP receptor antagonists and antibodies against CGRP and its receptor in migraine treatment. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2015;80 (2):193–199. doi:10.1111/bcp.12618
- 71. Kimberly B, Nitin B. Migraines and CGRP monoclonal antibodies: a review of cardiovascular side effects and safety profile. *Int J Neurol Neurother*. 2020;7(2). doi:10.23937/2378-3001/1410101
- 72. Gangula PRR, Dong YL, Wimalawansa SJ, Yallampalli C. Infusion of pregnant rats with calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP)(8-37), a CGRP receptor antagonist, increases blood pressure and fetal mortality and decreases fetal growth. *Biol Reprod.* 2002;67(2):624–629. doi:10.1095/biolreprod67.2.624
- 73. Noseda R, Bedussi F, Gobbi C, Zecca C, Ceschi A. Safety profile of erenumab, galcanezumab and fremanezumab in pregnancy and lactation: analysis of the WHO pharmacovigilance database. *Cephalalgia*. 2021;41(7):789–798. doi:10.1177/0333102420983292
- 74. Greene KA, Gentile CP, Szperka CL, et al. Calcitonin gene-related peptide monoclonal antibody use for the preventive treatment of refractory headache disorders in adolescents. *Pediatr Neurol.* 2021;114:62–67. doi:10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2020.09.014
- Szperka CL, VanderPluym J, Orr SL, et al. Recommendations on the use of anti-CGRP monoclonal antibodies in children and adolescents. *Headache*. 2018;58(10):1658–1669. doi:10.1111/head.13414
- 76. Yang CP, Zeng BY, Chang CM, et al. Comparative effectiveness and tolerability of the pharmacology of monoclonal antibodies targeting the calcitonin gene-related peptide and its receptor for the prevention of chronic migraine: a network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Neurotherapeutics*. 2021;18(4):2639–2650. doi:10.1007/s13311-021-01128-0
- 77. Frank F, Ulmer H, Sidoroff V, Broessner G. CGRP-antibodies, topiramate and botulinum toxin type A in episodic and chronic migraine: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cephalalgia*. 2021;41(11–12):1222–1239. doi:10.1177/03331024211018137
- Dodick DW, Silberstein SD, Lipton RB, DeGryse RE, Adams AM, Diener HC. Early onset of effect of onabotulinumtoxinA for chronic migraine treatment: analysis of PREEMPT data. *Cephalalgia*. 2019;39(8):945–956. doi:10.1177/0333102418825382
- 79. Becker WJ. Botulinum toxin in the treatment of headache. Toxins. 2020;12(12):803. doi:10.3390/toxins12120803
- Blumenfeld AM, Frishberg BM, Schim JD, et al. Real-world evidence for control of chronic migraine patients receiving CGRP monoclonal antibody therapy added to onabotulinumtoxinA: a retrospective chart review. *Pain Ther.* 2021;10(2):809–826. doi:10.1007/s40122-021-00264-x

#### Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment



**Dove**Press

#### Publish your work in this journal

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment is an international, peer-reviewed journal of clinical therapeutics and pharmacology focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical or pre-clinical studies on a range of neuropsychiatric and neurological disorders. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, the 'PsycINFO' database and CAS, and is the official journal of The International Neuropsychiatric Association (INA). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/neuropsychiatric-disease-and-treatment-journal

🖬 🔰 in 🗖

40 I