

LETTER

Factors That Enhance and Hinder the Retention and Transfer of Online Pre-Clinical Skills Training to Facilitate Blended Learning [Letter]

Nabil Ansari*, Ali Yalcinkaya 6, Akalya Ganeshamoorthy*

Faculty of Life Sciences and Medicine, King's College London, London, UK

Correspondence: Nabil Ansari, Faculty of Life Sciences and Medicine, King's College London, Great Maze Pond, London, SEI IUL, United Kingdom, Tel +44 7846175853, Email Nabil.ansari@kcl.ac.uk

Dear editor

We read with great interest this study by Enoch et al, 1 exploring factors affecting the transfer of online pre-clinical skills training to facilitate blended learning. As UK-based medical students who have experienced the emphasis placed on virtual teaching as a result of COVID-19, we would like to share our perspectives on this study.

Although we greatly appreciate the efforts taken to involve a number of medical students and tutors, sampling bias introduced in the questionnaires poses a limitation. The findings from Instruments A and B reflect features of the single institution and cohort included.

The potential for prior competency among 3rd year medical students in the domains taught produces selection bias when analysing findings from Instrument A. Ratnapalan et al describes technical, cognitive, and communicative competence underpinning medical student success.² Students over time excel or struggle to varying degrees within these domains, whether this is due to psychoeducational attributes, behavioural attributes, social support, financial difficulties or other.² Piloting 1st year medical students leaves little time for students to develop medical competence, minimising the development of prior competency as a confounding variable when concluding that the FC approach augments transfer of learning.

Additionally, institutional characteristics provide compounding selection bias when determining the efficacy of the flipped classroom (FC) approach. Studies on the differences between UK medical schools alone find contrast in the average spend on students, student-staff ratios, educational performance measures, average entry grades, and student satisfaction all with a reliability coefficient exceeding 0.8.3 The aforementioned variables would influence the delivery and reception of the FC approach between institutions. Although we agree that the FC approach augments transfer of knowledge to the clinical skills laboratory (CSL) in this setting, these findings may not be reproduced if the study was held at another institution.

Another limitation we noted was the use of a 6-point Likert scale to gather quantitative data. Having an even number of points leaves no room for a neutral or undecided response. Chyung et al develop evidence-based recommendations on deciding when to include a midpoint.⁴ They establish that a mid-point enables participants familiar with a topic to express a truly indifferent opinion as opposed to collecting forced and biased responses. The main issue with mid-point implementation are fears over the neutral option being used as a "dumping ground" where topics are not understood. In the study of Enoch et al, respondents are familiar with the survey topic as they evaluate their experience of the FC approach - mid-point inclusion is appropriate. We propose in addition to a 7-point Likert scale that an option for "I don't know" be added to prevent misuse of the midpoint.

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work

Ansari et al **Dove**press

In summary, we agree that the FC approach augments transfer of knowledge to the CSL, but encourage multi-centre study in the future, involving 1st year medical students and with modifications to the Likert-scale. It would also be extremely beneficial to trial the FC approach with an objective measure such as an OSCE exam to demonstrate efficacy further.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

- 1. Enoch LC, Abraham RM, Singaram VS. Factors that enhance and hinder the retention and transfer of online pre-clinical skills training to facilitate blended learning. Adv Med Educ Pract. 2023;14:919-936. doi:10.2147/AMEP.S398376
- 2. Ratnapalan S, Jarvis A. How to identify medical students at risk of academic failure and help them succeed? An interview with a medical educator. Med Sci Educ. 2020;30(2):989-994. doi:10.1007/s40670-020-00940-1
- 3. McManus IC, Harborne AC, Horsfall HL, et al. Exploring UK medical school differences: the MedDifs study of selection, teaching, student and F1 perceptions, postgraduate outcomes and fitness to practise. BMC Med. 2020;18(1):136. doi:10.1186/s12916-020-01572-3
- 4. Chyung S, Roberts K, Swanson I, Hankinson A. Evidence-based survey design: the use of a midpoint on the likert scale. Perform Improv Advis. 2017;56:15-23. doi:10.1002/pfi.21727

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The contentTxt of the Advances in Medical Education and Practice 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Advances in Medical Education and Practice editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the contentTxt of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the contentTxt of any letter, nor is it responsible for the contentTxt and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Advances in Medical Education and Practice

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

DovePress

Advances in Medical Education and Practice is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal that aims to present and publish research on Medical Education covering medical, dental, nursing and allied health care professional education. The journal covers undergraduate education, postgraduate training and continuing medical education including emerging trends and innovative models linking education, research, and health care services. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/advances-in-medical-education-and-practice-journal