Impaired cognition and attention in adults: pharmacological management strategies

Hervé Allain¹ Yvette Akwa² Lucette Lacomblez³ Alain Lieury⁴ Danièle Bentué-Ferrer¹

¹Laboratoire de Pharmacologie Expérimentale et Clinique, Pôle des Neurosciences de Rennes, Faculté de Médecine, Université de Rennes I, France; ²INSERM U788, Le Kremlin Bicêtre cedex, France; ³Service de Pharmacologie, Fédération de Neurologie, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France; ⁴Laboratoire de Psychologie Expérimentale, Université de Haute Bretagne, France

Correspondence: Danièle Bentué-Ferrer Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, CS 34317, 2 avenue du Prof Léon Bernard, 35043 Rennes, France Tel +33 2 23 23 47 13 Fax +33 2 23 23 46 05 Email daniele.bentue-ferrer@univrennes1.fr **Abstract:** Cognitive psychology has provided clinicians with specific tools for analyzing the processes of cognition (memory, language) and executive functions (attention-concentration, abstract reasoning, planning). Neuropsychology, coupled with the neurosciences (including neuroimaging techniques), has authenticated the existence of early disorders affecting the "superior or intellectual" functions of the human brain. The prevalence of cognitive and attention disorders is high in adults because all the diseases implicating the central nervous system are associated with cognitive correlates of variable intensity depending on the disease process and the age of the patient. In some pathologies, cognitive impairment can be a leading symptom such as in schizophrenia, posttraumatic stress disorder or an emblematic stigmata as in dementia including Alzheimer's disease. Paradoxically, public health authorities have only recognized as medications for improving cognitive symptoms those with proven efficacy in the symptomatic treatment of patients with Alzheimer's disease; the other cognitive impairments are relegated to the orphanage of syndromes and symptoms dispossessed of medication. The purpose of this review is to promote a true "pharmacology of cognition" based on the recent knowledge in neurosciences. Data from adult human beings, mainly concerning memory, language, and attention processes, will be reported. "Drug therapeutic strategies" for improving cognition (except for memory function) are currently rather scarce, but promising perspectives for a new neurobiological approach to cognitive pharmacology will be highlighted.

Keywords: cognitive disorders, attention, memory, pharmacology, treatment, pharmacovigilance, dementia.

Introduction

In human beings, cognitive functions correspond schematically to the brain processes of acquisition and exploitation of knowledge. Research in cognitive psychology and neurosciences is devoted to unraveling these complex processes that underlie several mental functions. The focus has been put on the analysis of what used to be called "superior functions" such as language, memory or decision-making because of their important role in thought and communication between individuals. The high prevalence of cognitive complaints has prompted neuropsychologists to elaborate tools necessary for the objective measurement and understanding of cognitive alterations, thereby allowing the establishment of a diagnosis. Thus, in the clinical setting, cognitive impairment corresponds to a symptom (eg, amnesia), a combination of symptoms defining a recognized syndrome (eg, amnesic syndrome) or a specific disease (eg, Alzheimer's disease [AD]). Each nosographic entity is described by a set of criteria defining alterations in memory (Tulving 1985; De Deyn et al 2003; Lieury 2005), language (Damasio and Damasio 1992; Damasio et al 1996; Damasio 1997; Frederici 2000; Fries et al 2003), and executive functions, which also include concentration, or more precisely attention (Berger and Posner 2000; Cowan et al 2005) necessary for planning, organization, and synchronization of complex actions (Stuss et al 1995; Stuss and Alexander 2000; Royall et al 2002).

Cognitive impairment, explicitly demonstrated by altered performance in specific tasks, is of high prevalence as it is associated with advanced age (Raz 2000) and most neurological and psychiatric disorders. As a rule, the impairment affects several domains of cognition (memories, executive functions, attention, etc) simultaneously or consecutively (eg, isolated memory impairment converting into AD). It can develop progressively (neurodegenerative diseases) or occur suddenly after an acute event (stroke, head trauma).

The severity of the cognitive impairment is often in the forefront of a major clinical presentation like in dementia (Geschwind et al 2001; Pachana et al 1996), Attention-Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) (Elia et al 1999), schizophrenia (Kuperberg and Heckers 2000; Aleman et al 1999; Heinrichs and Zakzanis 1998), or Parkinson's disease (PD) (Boyle et al 2005; Fernandez et al 2005). Cognitive impairment thus occurs in very different contexts involving the central nervous system (CNS) and resulting from very different pathogenic processes (neurodegeneration, inflammation, toxic reaction, ischemia, trauma, neurochemical deficiency) (Budson and Price 2005). This has logically led to a new domain of pharmaceutical research, "cognitive pharmacology", and, with recent advances in neurobiology, the emergence of new therapeutic perspectives for improving altered cognitive functions (Table 1).

Table	L	Main	cognitive	disorders
-------	---	------	-----------	-----------

Orientation
Attention/Concentration/Distractibility
Overload-Breakdown of comprehension
Memories
Reasoning and problem solving
Organizational skills
Rate of processing
Perseverance
Motivation/initiation

The purpose of this review is to highlight potential drugs, and therefore therapeutic drug strategies for improving cognitive impairment in adults. Arguments are also put forward favoring more extensive indications for pharmacological management of a wider range of pathological conditions involving cognitive dysfunction; this will broaden the scope of patients benefiting from advances in cognitive pharmacology and neurosciences, as strongly suggested by the 2nd Canadian Conference on Antidementia Drugs (Feldman et al 2006).

The biological basis of cognition

Although the biological and neurochemical basis of human cognition is undeniable (Ichols and Newsome 1999), human thought is something more than the result of a chemical secretion of the brain. Cognition is a complex phenomenon involving multiple levels of neurobiological processes. Very schematically, studies are thus designed to search for a correlation between a given task requiring cognitive work and an observable elementary change within the CNS. Access to specific modules of cognition, particularly memory (Lynch 2002; Arshavsky 2003; Drapeau et al 2003; Nader 2003) and attention (Coull 1998; Filley 2002; Russell et al 2005), can be facilitated with animal models, mainly in rodents and primates, whereas language and linguistics are strictly limited to human research (Perani et al 1999; Pulvermuller 1999).

Functional anatomy

Functional neuroimaging using positron emission tomography (PET), functional nuclear magnetic resonance (fMRI), magnetoencephalography (MEG) or magnetic resonance spectroscopy (Ross and Sachdev 2004) has greatly contributed to our knowledge of the anatomic substrate of the principal cognitive functions. The hippocampus is involved in detecting and encoding new information and the striato-frontal circuits in decision making processes while the frontal lobe is involved the contextual retrieval and in the shift from one idea or one task to another. Long-term memory and its storage are scattered over different areas of the cerebral cortex (Woolf 1998); this notion of distributed anatomic localizations contrasts with the localized areas described by phrenology. A bilingual person, for example, has distinct and separate temporal cortical areas handling each separate language, passage from one to the other activating the Broca area and spelling and phonology activating the supramarginalis gyrus (Price et al 1999). Attentional processes also involve a substratum of complex networks regulating and maintaining alertness and orienting sensorial information and executive control (Fan and Posner 2004; Filley 2002; Fernandez-Duque and Posner 2001).

Knowledge of the functional substratum in the human brain is particularly important in neuropsychology. Zhang and Feng (1999) reported particularly illustrative work demonstrating that analysis of Chinese characters with their fundamental attributes (pictographic similarity, homophony, synonymia) involves close collaboration between the two cerebral hemispheres, probably via the corpus callosum. Histological modifications (eg, change in synaptic density) associated with cognitive performance or learning have been indirectly demonstrated with MEG in elite cello players compared to music lovers or to controls without music training (Pantev et al 1998). This very partial list offers a few arguments favoring a distributed anatomy of cognition (cross-talks between these structures).

Neurochemistry

Neurochemistry, although difficult to measure in humans, provides clear proof of the organic nature of cognition, offering attractive targets for designing new compounds. Technological advances, eg, specific ligands with PET-scan, experimental models in rodents or primates, in vivo access to neurotransmitters, cerebral metabolism etc, are crucial for targeting drugs to correct for neurochemical anomalies. All of these techniques have their specific limitations and uncertainties explaining why only a few cognitive functions (memory, orientation, attention, decision making, speed of data processing, learning) and rare neurotransmission systems (acetylcholine [ACh], dopamine [DA], GABA, glutamate) and their respective receptors are accessible in humans. Consequently, cognitive pharmacology is limited at the present time to drugs affecting the aminergic (antipsychotics, antiparkinsonians, psychostimulants, drugs such as cocaine), GABAergic (anxiolytics), and cholinergic (cholinesterase inhibitors, nicotine agonists) systems and substances activating neuronal metabolism (nootropic agents, vasodilators and brain oxygenators) (Lynch 2002; Lockhart and Lestage 2003; Higgins et al 2004; Lynch 2004; Mehlman 2004; Newhouse et al 2004). Collected pharmacological data have nevertheless greatly improved our knowledge of fundamental neurobiology and thus have provided supplementary proof in favor of the biological basis of cognitive mechanisms. ACh and DA remain for the time being the two most illustrative examples of the therapeutic approach (Muir 1997; Previc 1999; Southwick et al 2002; Higgins et al 2004) even though peptides, insulin, melatonin or estrogens offer new perspectives (Delagrange and Guardiola-Lemaître 1997; Craft et al 1999; Dubal et al 1999). Steroid hormones clearly modify CNS function as demonstrated by the analysis of the neuropsychiatric correlations observed during the perimenopause period (Feld et al 2005). Cholesterol has recently been at the forefront line of potential cognitionmodifiers, any modification of its metabolism, for instance by the statins, having an impact on membrane integrity and intraneuronal signaling and hence cognitive performance (Xiong

et al 2005). In addition, proteins (β -amyloid and tau proteins) are involved in the memory deficit of AD leading to complex changes in our vision of the biological mechanisms of memory (SantaCruz et al 2005; Lesné et al 2006) and further lengthening the list of compounds implicated in the machinery of memory (Miyashita 2004). When considering the neurobiological targets which, in the near future, could be accessible for drugs (Vakalopoulos 2006; Nichols and Newsome 1999), glutamate and its different receptors, notably NMDA, AMPA or metabotropic glutamate 5 (mGluR5) receptors, appear as excellent candidates (Robbins and Murphy 2006) more precisely in the domain of learning and retrieval (Riedel et al 2003); the role of glutamate in cytotoxicity amplifies the interest of the pharmacology of this system in the neurodegenerative diseases where cognitive impairment is at the forefront.

Neurogenetics

Although at the present time out of the scope of human therapeutics, the genetic basis of cognition and of general cognitive ability appears to be a fascinating approach (Flint 1999; Plomin 1999) for the teams involved in drug discovery. Different genes have been pointed out in different situations from AD (presenilins, apolipoprotein E), dyslexia (chromosome 6) to schizophrenia associated with a pronounced learning deficit (Sanderson et al 1999). Williams syndrome, which is a rare neurogenetic developmental disorder, is a paradigm linking a profound cognitive impairment to a deletion on chromosome band 7q11.23 (Bellugi et al 1999; Levitin 2005). According to Payton (2006) potential pharmacological advantages may be procured from the study of cognitive genetics.

Obstacles facing cognitive pharmacology

Despite the high prevalence of cognitive disorders and the disastrous consequences in terms of individual independence and social and familial relations, health authorities in almost all countries of the world have failed to officially recognize the beneficial effects of cognitive drug therapy outside the realm of symptomatic treatment for AD. Thus, from a regulatory point of view, cognitive pharmacology is limited to three cholinesterase inhibitors (donepezil, rivastigmine, galantamine) and one modulator of glutamate transmission (memantine) (Allain and Bentué-Ferrer 2003). Cognitive and concentration-attention disorders thus remain orphan symptoms even when they occur as part of an identified

condition (parkinsonism, non-AD dementia, depression, posttraumatic stress disorder, schizophrenia) or are the sole expression of an identified cerebral dysfunction (postischemia sequela, head trauma, neurogenetic disease).

One of the explanations probably lies in the methodological difficulties inherent in the development of "pro-cognitive" drugs, the main problems including: 1) transposition of a subjective complaint into an objective measurement of performance; 2) the apparent benign nature of the disorder considering the age of the individual; 3) changing classification systems and nosographic considerations (for example, the concept of Age Associated Memory Impairment (AAMI) has changed greatly over the last fifteen years evolving into Age Related Cognitive Disorder (ARCD) then later Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI), even more recently questioned by Fernandez et al (2005); 4) inadequate and insufficiently sensitive measurement tools for studying cognitive function; 5) the excessively global or composite nature of commonly used evaluation scales; 6) limited therapeutic effects which, moreover, could fade out with time; 7) current debate opposing etiological and symptomatic treatments. In addition to these problems, there is the question, perhaps more psychological than regulatory, of priorities. For example, when associated with another disease, cognitive impairment is often considered a secondary therapeutic objective (memory impairment in Parkinson's disease or fatigue in multiple sclerosis). Inversely, the risk of deleterious effects on cognition may become the unique consideration when examining certain drugs or drug classes. The example of psychotropics, particularly benzodiazepines, is particularly illustrative since this class of drugs has been associated with memory impairment. The same type of thinking leads to the idea that use of a given compound should be restricted to a given disease and not for pathological conditions occurring within different diseases (cholinesterase inhibitors for example have proven efficacy for cognitive disorders in several diseases such as AD or PD or even attention disorders in children). This type of discordance between regulatory guidelines and proven efficacy necessarily leads to prescriptions outside officially approved indications.

Faced with this dominant attitude of international regulatory authorities, the pharmaceutical industry appears to be gradually slipping away from the objective of developing products which could provide purely symptomatic improvement for the different components of cognition. The fear is that such symptomatic drugs could be sidetracked from their intended use, as has been observed with psychostimulants (ie, amphetamines), producing a negative impact on the drug development project. This fear is widespread and further exacerbated by the fact that many members of this heterogeneous group of pharmacotherapeutic agents ("cognitive stimulants", neuron metabolism activators, nootropics) are no longer reimbursed by the French health care fund or have simply been struck off the pharmacopoeia (Mehlman 2004). The current orientation in the pharmaceutical industry is to direct research towards products with more pathophysiological actions, contributing to progress in the concepts of neurocytoprotection and neuroregeneration, at least within the framework of the main neurodegenerative diseases such as AD (Akwa et al 2005) or PD.

In spite of the long-term efforts and initiative of the Nationale Institute of Heath (NIH) in the USA to develop cognitive pharmacology (Cutler et al 1985), the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) in UK, recently reappraised the cost-effectiveness ratio of antidementia drugs in a rather critical, controversial and negative way; the debate again cast some shadow over this category of compounds.

Studies in healthy volunteers

A compound's impact on human cognition is determined from laboratory tests. The procedure is well controlled and standardized: specific test batteries (Table 2) performed by the same subject, according to cross-over designs, clearly lead to the assessment of the compound's effect on the different components of cognitive functions. Several test batteries are available and commonly used in clinical pharmacology; the comparative advantages or caveats of those procedures are beyond the scope of the review; the question will be either to cover the main cognitive functions performances (Table 2) or rather to deeply analyze the different components of a specific function, such as the different types of memory. Tests are comparative using different doses, with the initial objective of determining the relative safety of the compound under study. It is important to recall that such work, which establishes the "cognitive map" of a given drug, with a detailed description of its effects on given "intellectual" functions, is conducted with healthy volunteers.

Beyond this standard approach, the current objectives of laboratory studies have been broadened to try to demonstrate the real beneficial effect of drugs on cognition. This is a new approach to clinical pharmacology with the goal of developing new treatments for a wide range of indications accompanied by cognitive disturbances: pathological brain aging, dementia (neurodegenerative disease, vascular or HIV-related

Table 2 Measures of performance in clinical pharmacology

A – Psychomotor performance	Actual car driving	
	Simulated car driving	
	Simulated car tracking	
B – Sensorimotor coordination speed	Adaptive tracking	
	Critical tracking	
	Continuous tracking	
	Visuo-motor coordination	
	Choice reaction time	
	Simple reaction time	
	Reaction time	
	Pursuit rotor	
C – CNS arousal, information processing	Critical flicker fusion	
	Digit symbol substitution task	
	Mental arithmetic	
	Letter cancellation	
	Stroop color test	
	Logical reasoning	
	Visual search task	
D – Memory	Short-term memory	
	Continuous memory task	
E – Sensory skills	Vigilance task	
	Attention task	
	Continuous attention task	
	Dynamic visual acuity	
	Simulated assembly-like task	
F – Motor ability	Finger tapping	
G – Physiology	Electroencephalography (EEG)	
	Continuous EEG	
	Multiple sleep latency test	
	Evoked potentials	
	Actigraphy	
H – Subjective ratings	Visual analogue rating scales	
	Profile of moods scale	
	Stanford sleepiness scale	

Note: From Hindmarch and Shamsi (1999).

dementia), the major psychiatric syndromes and the consequences of head trauma.

In order to ascertain the specific effects of a given drug (psychotropics, psychostimulants, anti-hypertensives, anti-histaminics) on brain processes, early phase trials must comply with standard controlled and validated methodologies. Trials must be conducted in certified laboratories using double-blind experimental protocols versus placebo and a reference product (substances with well-known effects: caffeine, benzodiazepine, amphetamine, clonidine), in young or older healthy volunteers (for certain tasks, it may be useful to have volunteers with a diminished baseline performance in order to facilitate demonstration of the pharmacological activity and avoid the floor or ceiling effects). The different evaluation criteria require experienced observers to produce valid information. Results must be interpreted with due precautions. Measurements of a given parameter, for example, depend on the degree of motivation or on changes in strategy as well as test-induced fatigue. For each compound evaluated, the risk-benefit ratio can be expressed by the formula I: NI, where I is the number of tests with a significant change in performance score and NI the number of tests with result scores not different from placebo (Hindmarch and Shamsi 1999). When pharmacokinetic studies are associated, it is possible to link the psychomotor or cognitive effect mathematically to usual kinetic parameters (search for optimal dose and pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic modeling [PK/ PD studies]). The results obtained in a small number of healthy volunteers are reliable (the disease effect is absent as are cotherapies usually observed in patients) and can be extrapolated to patients in a therapeutic situation; here the exercise will consist in comparing those laboratory studies with the results obtained, with the same drugs, either in the large scale phase III studies or in Pharmacovigilance surveys. The cognitive map thus obtained enables a surmise of more overall and behavioral effects, in patients. For clinical research, ligands specific for certain CNS receptors enable assigning a specific (or selective) role to certain receptors or neurotransmission circuits during a specific phase of data processing in the CNS. As mentioned above, this enables linking data collected from animal models to those observed in human volunteers. The example of the dopaminergic systems is instructive here, since relatively specific agonist and antagonist agents are identified for each of the five subtypes of receptors. In their work with quinpirole, a specific dopaminergic D₂ agonist, Arnsten et al (1995) demonstrated in the monkey that these receptors are implicated in higher cognitive functions such as memory tasks with delayed recall. This observation demonstrated in particular the importance of dosage. Small doses produced a deleterious effect by action on presynaptic autoreceptors altering the animals' performance while high doses on the contrary stimulated postsynaptic receptors improving the same paradigms. It was also instructive to use older monkeys which demonstrated an agerelated alteration in the dopaminergic systems with a prefrontal projection and also explained that the deleterious effect of small doses was attenuated or absent, demonstrating probably altered presynaptic structures in these older animals. Other experimental work supported the hypothesis of a role of DA in the function of the prefrontal cerebral cortex (cortical D₁ receptors for example determining the performance on a working memory task) (Nieoullon 2002). Similar results were obtained in healthy volunteers. Servan-Schreiber et al (1998a, b) provided an elegant demonstration that administration of damphetamine (0.25 mg/kg per os) improves information processing in the brain via dopaminergic transmission, and that the accuracy of complex tasks are improved (probably through improved attention mechanisms) without modifying vigilance. Similarly, recent work by Volkow et al (2000) in

healthy volunteers demonstrated a correlation between agerelated dopaminergic activity and performance on different neuropsychological tests. These results provide supplementary proof of the role of the dopaminergic system in cognitive disorders in the elderly subject. Curiously, few studies have been published on available dopaminergic agonists other than piribedil, a D_1 , D_2 , and D_3 agonist (Schück et al 2002) and apomorphine, a D_1 and D_2 agonist (Luthringer et al 1999; Manfredi et al 1998). These data demonstrate a pro-cognitive and awakening effect of these dopamine agonists (increased beta activity on the EEG, improved delayed recall). These results opened an interesting discussion concerning the attribution of narcolepsy attacks in traffic accident victims (Frucht et al 1999) to ropinirole (D₂ agonist similar to quinpirole mentioned above) and pramipexole (D₂, D₃, D₄ agonist). Several pharmacological classes have been analyzed using the cognitive mapping method mentioned above. This should contribute to better definition of their risk-benefit ratio and their potential indications: nicotine, caffeine, antihistaminics, hypnotics, anxiolytics, antipsychotics (Allain et al 2000a; Gandon and Allain 2002; Patat et al 1996; Patat 2000; Stip et al 1999). In all likelihood, the list of the drugs to be tested according to such a procedure will continue to lengthen for three reasons: 1) sudden increase in the number of drugs available in neurology, 2) overt policy to treat several orphan conditions of the CNS (most of which are dominated by cognitive disorders), 3) worries about drug safety for cognition (Cognitive Pharmacovigilance).

Drugs and memory

Drugs for Alzheimer's disease

Several cholinesterase inhibitors (donepezil, rivastigmine, galantamine) and one glutamatergic neurotransmission modulator (memantine) are officially recognized as beneficial for patients with AD. These compounds were awarded marketing approval on the basis of improved scores on global scales measuring overall cognitive decline and its impact on daily living, and not because of a positive effect on specific memory tests (Lane et al 2006). Such targeted tests would have to exhibit a clear effect before these drugs could be used for MCI (at least for amnestic-MCI, as still controversially considered to be an isolated memory disorder probably announcing AD). Recent studies of cholinesterase inhibitors in MCI have been unable to demonstrate any beneficial effects in terms of symptomatic improvement on memory tests (Allain et al 2004), nor any preventive effect on

conversion to AD (Petersen et al 2005). These negative results, although partially explainable (for instance upregulation of cholinergic systems at the early phase of the disease) continue to discredit the cholinesterase inhibitor class of drugs (Kaduszkiewicz et al 2005).

Antioxidants

At very high doses, vitamin E (tocopherol) does not have any direct effect on memory but it appears to retard by a few months progression to AD and might be helpful for the prevention of other forms of dementia in healthy subjects. Similar results have been obtained with selegiline, a MAO-B (monoamine oxidase type B) inhibitor whose impact on DA concentrations could also have a symptomatic effect by stimulating dopaminergic tone.

Antioxidants, which counteract the deleterious effect of free radicals, cannot be considered as pro-memory drugs per se. They have however opened new avenues of very active research in the field of neuroprotective agents, reflecting the fragile nature of human memory and its vulnerability to aggression (age, depression, anxiety, ischemia-hypoxia, addiction, HIV-related dementia).

Anti-aging drugs

In many countries, a variety of medications are marketed and prescribed for age-related cognitive decline. These old drugs (vasodilators, brain oxygenators, nootropics, cognitive enhancers) (Riedel and Jolles 1996) have been used for years without either formal proof of efficacy in dementia or showing a small effect-size. Aging being a normal physiological process, there is no clear indication for their use. These drugs do however have pharmacological properties quite in line with the multifactorial disseminated nature of memory disorders: membrane fluidification, activation of neuronal energy metabolism, arousal. A few old studies highlight a facilitating effect on memory which might be worth evaluating with modern methodology.

Estrogens

There is a growing body of evidence supporting the notion that estrogens have an impact on memory processes in women (Bentué-Ferrer et al 1999). Much work has demonstrated that menopausal women taking synthetic estrogens in hormone substitutive therapy exhibit better results on memory tests than those not on replacement therapy (Matthews et al 1999; Steffens et al 1999). Conversely, the recent Women's Health Initiative Memory Study which included 4532 menopausal women aged 65 years or more, found that long-term hormone replacement therapy with synthetic steroid hormones, estrogen and progesterone, increased the risk of probable dementia and did not prevent MCI (Shumaker et al 2003). The debate remains open regarding the beneficial effect of natural or synthetic oestrogens, respectively, on memory in menopausal women (Henderson et al 2003; Sherwin 2005).

Natural substances

A short list of natural substances with a recognized effect on memory performance is presented in Table 3. These compounds, often found in foodstuffs (caffeine, glucose, nicotine), cannot be proposed without the necessary precautions but can greatly contribute to targeted research on the possible impact of future promnestic drugs. This opens new perspectives for a more mechanistic approach to the cellular signaling pathways of memory, for example with the use of phosphodiesterase IV inhibitors increasing CREB phosphorylation (Nagakura et al 2002; Tully et al 2003). New drugs obtained from plant extracts have also received marketing approval, for example Paullinia cupana (Kennedy et al 2004) or more recently huperzine, extracted from a lycopod species growing in China (Lycopodium serratum) and galantamine, extracted from snowdrop (Galanthus nivalis), both indicated for AD (Zangara 2003; Brodaty et al 2005).

Over-the-counter drugs promoted as memory aids cannot be ignored. These drugs most of the time are used as automedication and should be more extensively studied either to clearly demonstrate a significant clinical benefit or to establish their safety profile.

Drug safety and memory

When looking at the pharmacovigilance data, it is important to take into account the sources of information as well as the study conditions and the manner the drug is used. For example, in the treatment of anxiety, benzodiazepines (enhancers of GABAergic neurotransmission), can greatly alter recall after an acute administration, whereas following a chronic treatment, no memory impairment seems to occur, due to a tolerance phenomena (Curran 1991, 1992). This point raises a supplementary problem since most psychiatric diseases (depression, anxiety and schizophrenia) are associated with cognitive disorders often affecting memory, even before any treatment. Psychotropics alleviate many of these disorders. But could their final effects, by possible analogy with work on beta-blockers used for the treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder (Giles 2005; Van Stegeren et al 2002), result from their

Compound	Proven effects	Comments	Reference	
	on memory			
Glucose	+	Acetylcholine synthesis	Hoyer 2003	
		Neuronal metabolism		
		Cerebral plasticity		
Nicotine	+	Effects similar to donepezil for piloting		
		performance		
		Indirect effects on glutamatergic,	Picciotto 2003	
		GABAergic, dopaminergic, nicotinic	Mumenthaler et al 2003	
		$(\alpha_6, \alpha_7, \alpha_4\beta_2 \text{ and } \beta_3)$ receptors		
		Desensitization of nicotinic receptors		
Caffeine	+	Antagonist of adenosine (A_1) receptors		
		facilitating memory and arousal	Ribeiro et al 2002	
		Role in cerebral neurotransmission		
		homeostasis		
Plant extracts: ginkgo,	+	Used in traditional medicine, they might	Ernst 2002	
echinacea, kava		treat memory disorders	Howes et al 2003	

Table 3 Natural substances for memory performance

amnesic action, helping the patient "forget" distressing memories? It is also important to recall that all compounds with anti-cholinergic effects (tricyclic antidepressants, urological drugs) perturb memory function, mimicking the scopolamine effect used for inducing experimental amnesia (Mintzer and Griffiths 2003; Parra 2003). The impact of these different agents on cognition and memory has to be re-evaluated in the early phases of the disease, in the light of new advances in neuropsychopharmacology. Schematically, new compounds designed for long-term treatments (Z compounds: zopiclone, zolpidem, zaleplon) (Terzano et al 2003; Allain et al 2005), serotonine reuptake inhibitors, antipsychotics (Brunnauer et al 2004) or anticonvulsants do not alter cognition or memory when prescribed at therapeutic doses. Though deleterious effects on memory per se are sometimes difficult to distinguish from other cognitive disorders or from negative impact on vigilance of numerous common drugs (antihistamininics, beta-blockers, drugs including cannabis and illicit 3. 4methylenedioxymethamphetamine [ecstasy]); drug registries of substances-induced memory impairment provide a rich source of information (Patat 2000; Dafters et al 2004).

Drugs and language

Historical background

The idea that drugs can be used to correct for altered language capacity is not new. As early as 1947, Linn (1947) tested sodium amytal in a woman with ischemic aphasia,

hypothesizing a reduction in the "frustration and inhibition of communication" characteristic of aphasia. Unfortunately, the effect could not be confirmed in a group of 27 patients treated by Bergman and Green (1951) in 1951. Although these early studies did initiate a new domain that could be named "pharmacolinguistics", with the development of the first psychotropic drugs in the 1960s and 1970s (meprobamate, chlordiazepoxide, methylphenidate) generally, little real progress was made. Since most cases of aphasia occur secondary to stroke, the main objective of subsequent studies was focused on stroke-related mechanisms, with little work directly devoted to language disorders themselves. Even in a most recent review by Shisler et al (2000), and despite the provocative title of "Pharmacological approaches to the treatment and prevention of aphasia", the discussion was limited to drugs affecting the brain ischemic processes (glutamate modulators, protein kinase C inhibitors, monoganglioside, calcium channel inhibitors, free radical scavengers, and thrombolytic drugs). Not surprisingly, most of the highly interesting work on language disorders (or degenerative diseases like semantic dementia) and drugs has been reported by rehabilitation specialists (Musso et al 1999).

Brain oxygenation

The effect of hyperbaric oxygen therapy has been widely studied, and certain reports have used evaluation criteria clearly belonging to the domain of language (Sarno 1969; Sarno et al 1972) including the Functional Communication Profile, the Token Test or subsets of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS). But it was rapidly recognized that this approach was devoid of any therapeutic benefit. During this period, different groups of drugs, called vasodilators or brain oxygenators, were tested, with the mechanistic hypothesis that they might help restore function in ischemic brain areas. In 1951, Smith and Turton (1951) described very clear improvement in verbal fluency, elocution and "loquacity" in a patient given 40 mg tolazoline after development of an aphasia secondary to stroke. Piracetam, a nootropic agonist of GABAergic transmission also improving oxygen brain bioavailability, given at the dose of 4.8 g/d, has also been found to significantly improve language function in several studies with acceptable methodologies (Huber et al 1997). Other brain oxygenators, such as vincamine or almitrineraubasine (Enderby et al 1994) can improve elocution and quality of language in "vascular" patients (stroke, dementia) although the linguistic items used for evaluation lacked precision and were buried among other elements in composite evaluation scales. The recent identification of neuroglobulin, a protein that transports oxygen from the blood stream to neurons much like myoglobulin in muscles, has recently given new impetus to the oxygen theory (Burmester et al 2000) and to the compounds able to improve brain oxygen availability; apparently this approach did not lead to any real progress in the field of pharmacolinguistics.

Catecholamines

Amphetamine, a noradrenergic and dopaminergic stimulant, has been studied in Broca's aphasia in a *princeps* case reported by Walker-Batson et al (1990). The positive effect obtained in this unique patient persisted for 12 months and led to further studies that provided less convincing results, particularly since amphetamine was associated with classical language rehabilitation therapy and the studies were not double-blinded (Hassid 1995; Walker-Batson et al 1995).

Likewise bromocriptine, a direct dopamine agonist, has been widely studied. In one case described by Albert et al (1988), objective improvement in the Boston Diagnostic Aphasia Examination persisted for one month even though conversational fluency did not appear to be modified. Unfortunately, except for the studies by Gupta and Mlcoch (1992) who used 10 and 30 mg/day, and by Sabe et al (1992) who used 15 and 60 mg/day, the different reports to date, which have used methodologies of more or less satisfactory quality, have been unable to provide objective evidence of any gain in linguistic performance in a variety of aphasic patients (Sabe et al 1995). This work has nevertheless rightfully raised the question of the role of DA and the subcortical structures in cognition and language (Cohen and Kegl 1999; Crosson 1999). To our knowledge, the new more specific dopaminergic agonists have not been studied in the "indication" of aphasia, although their impact on language, and even their beneficial effect on other cognitive functions, has been demonstrated.

Cholinergic drugs

According to Small (1994), drugs targeting cholinergic systems have demonstrated a disappointing effect on language and language disorders. Use of cholinesterase inhibitors in the treatment of AD has triggered new debate, but the "aphasia" element remains again just one of the symptoms observed in this degenerative disease, in addition to inaugural and predominant memory disorders. Jacobs et al (1996) have described the beneficial effect of increased brain concentrations of ACh on anomia, correlatively with Tanaka et al (1997) who worked with aphasia patients. The recent case reported by Hughes et al (2000) where adynamic aphasia was related to a subcortical (thalamus-internal capsule) lacuna is instructive: first of all, donepezil, a cholinesterase inhibitor, clearly improved linguistic performance (verbal fluency), and secondly, the benefit persisted beyond treatment withdrawal, raising the question of the role of brain neuroplasticity (Allain et al 1997; Neville and Bavelier 1998) and its cholinergic dependence in language recovery. It is quite difficult to determine the effect of cholinesterase inhibitors (tacrine, donepezil, rivastigmine, galantamine) (Bentué-Ferrer et al 2001) on language and linguistics among the results of phase III trials in dementia that contributed to their marketing approval. Regulatory guidelines were very strict (Allain et al 1998) and the "cognitive" scales (ADAS-Cog, MMS, CGI, GDS) used for assessment contained few linguistic items, despite the frequency and importance of disorders of language comprehension and expression in patients with AD (Grossman et al 1996; Grossman et al 1998).

The effect of ACh (cholinergic agonists and procholinergic drugs) on language may be direct or indirect, but involves two types of central receptors, muscarinic and nicotinic receptors, that beyond language mediate essential cognitive functions in the human brain (Levin and Simon 1998; Jones et al 1999; Paterson and Nordberg 2000; Picciotto et al 2000; Picciotto 2003). In the same line of thinking, few data are available on tolerance of drugs potentially deleterious for language and linguistics. As a whole, at the present time, no recognized drug can be clearly recommended as an activator or corrector of language in human disease.

Drugs and attention

Attention, as opposed to distraction, involves a sorting process in the planning of a response and thus controls executive functions. Attention processes extract pertinent signals from background noise. They must be distinguished from processes underlying vigilance (arousal) and to a lesser degree working memory. Attention disorders are the correlate of several neuropsychiatric diseases (Berger and Posner 2000) and are in the forefront in AD (Calderon et al 2001), generalized anxiety disease (GAD), schizophrenia, and in attention-deficithyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (Elia et al 1999). Attention disorders, irrespective of the severity, have an impact on other cognitive functions (memory and learning, for example) and most importantly potentially perturb execution of daily activities and skills. As emphasized above, anatomical correlates of these processes are known (Fernandez-Duque and Posner 2001; Filley 2002; Raz 2004; Shipp 2004). The psychological mechanisms underlying this function have been described and studied in detail (Awh and Jonides 2001; Cowan et al 2005; Hester and Garavan 2005) enabling the development of tests and procedures for analyzing attention performance in humans (Driver and Frackowiak 2001; Oberauer 2002; Oades et al 2005). These methods are used in clinical pharmacology (Stroop test, continuous performance test, critical tracking task). The neurochemical basis of these functions, mainly neurotransmitters, has also been deciphered so that ACh, amines and glutamate have become selective targets for drugs designed to improve attention and concentration (Coull 1998; Posner and Driver 1992). Paradoxically, the pharmacology of attention disorders has not been developed extensively (Allain et al 2000b) outside the field of ADHD in children where three psychostimulants with aminergic action (amphetamine, atomoxetine, methylphenidate) have been officially recognized as effective (Elia et al 1999; Leonard et al 2004). Neurochemistry has led to numerous publications in animal models (mainly with rodents) which, using specific ligands, demonstrate the action of dopaminergic D₁ (Nieoullon 2002), adrenergic α_2 (Franowicz et al 2002), and cholinergic nicotinic (nAChR) (Levin and Simon 1998; Hahn et al 2003) receptors, all involved in attention/concentration performance. These receptors could legitimately become priority targets for "pro-attention" drugs in humans. This has been corroborated in clinical pharmacology with drugs used for other indications: guanfacine, a central antihypertensive agent and agonist of adrenoceptors α_{2A} (Jakala et al 1999), bupropion, an

inhibitor of DA and noradrenaline reuptake indicated for smoking cessation (Wilens et al 2005), piribedil and pramipexole, antiparkinson D_2 and D_3 agonists (Schück et al 2002; Peretti et al 2004). The most widely studied compounds at the present time are nAChR agonists such as ABT-418 and ABT-089 (Prendergast et al 1998); due to the large number of nAChR subtypes in the CNS, a specific function and the capacity of inducing tolerance or not have to be determined for each ligand. Most likely, cholinesterase inhibitors principally act on attention/ concentration by reinforcing the cholinergic tone on the nAChR. Data from humans are however greatly dominated by the search for a deleterious effect on attention, both for psychotropics and illicit drugs (Allain et al 2000a; Patat 2000).

More generally, and beyond the notion of ADHD, attention disorders could be improved by effective drugs currently in the authorization process and awaiting pertinent clinical trials. Broader indications could be outlined, beyond military applications or situations where sustained attention is of prime importance (competition sports, civil aviation, surgery, computer work).

Perspectives-conclusion

The data from literature described here on memory, language, and attention/concentration show that it is too early to try to codify pharmacological strategies for the impairment of these cognitive functions in adult human beings. Despite the recent emergence of cognitive neurosciences (Friederici and Ungerleider 2005) and the development of specific evaluation tools for the different components of cognition in humans, cognitive pharmacology remains in the exploratory phase, with neurobiological concepts that still need to be validated. The fact that cognitive function is never proposed as a major evaluation criterion for large-scale studies or epidemiology clearly illustrates this situation. The data which are available come from pharmacovigilance studies, enabling an evidence-based choice between drugs of a given class (ie, psychotropics) as a function of observed deleterious effects on different components of cognition (for example anxiolytics and antipsychotics for the treatment of agitation in the demented subject). The only drugs with evidence-based indications are used in AD, mainly cholinesterase inhibitors, because of their proven beneficial impact on working memory and attention. Extension of their indications to cognitive disorders associated with vascular dementia (Allain et al 2003), PD (Emre et al 2004), or Lewy body dementia is legitimate. Theoretically, cognitive stimulants and nootropics which have a more global action on neuronal metabolism,

membrane fluidity, and the rate of information processing could be used for a variety of conditions involving altered cognition or attention. Unfortunately, the large number of trials conducted with these compounds has been unsuccessful in convincing the public health authorities. While waiting for products with proven etiopathogenic efficacy (Akwa et al 2005), an alternative therapeutic strategy would be to promote specific symptom relief in a complex disease such as AD, schizophrenia or Huntington's diseases.

To meet the challenges of further development of cognitive pharmacology, there are three requisites: 1) promote large-scale clinical trials centered on cognition in a variety of nosographic entities (Huntington's disease, posttraumatic stress disorder, psychoses, head trauma, stroke); 2) encourage new explorations in neurobiology and proteomics; 3) broaden the scope of pharmacological improvement of cognitive and intellectual functions (eg, choice, decision, perception of time [Vanneste and Pouthas 1999]). This pharmacological and therapeutic approach should enable improvement for a large number of adults suffering socially and professionally from cognitive impairment.

Acknowledgments

The authors declare no conflict of interest and would like to express their acknowledgments to Dr G Pope who translated the present manuscript, and D Servan-Schreiber for his final reviewing of the text.

References

- Akwa Y, Allain H, Bentué-Ferrer D, et al. 2005. Neuroprotection and neurodegeneration diseases: from biology to clinical practice. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*, 19:226–39.
- Albert ML, Bachman DL, Morgan A. 1988. Pharmacotherapy for aphasia. *Neurology*, 38:877–9.
- Aleman A, Hijman R, de Haan EHF, et al. 1999. Memory impairment in schizophrenia:a meta-analysis. Am J Psychiatry, 156:1358–66.
- Allain H, Bentué-Ferrer D, Gandon JM, et al. 1997. Drugs used in Alzheimer's disease and neuroplasticity. *Clin Ther*, 19:4–15.
- Allain H, Bentué-Ferrer D, Zekri O, et al. 1998. Experimental and clinical methods in the development of anti-Alzheimer drugs. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol*, 12:13–29.
- Allain H, Dautzenberg PHJ, Maurer K, et al. 2000a. Double blind study of tiapride versus haloperidol and placebo in agitation and aggressiveness in elderly patients with cognitive impairment. *Psychopharmacology*, 148:361–6.
- Allain H, Patat A, Schück S, et al. 2000b. Médicaments et attention. In Camus JF, Gely-Nargeot MC, Michel BF. eds. Attention la mémoire. Solal Publisher, Marseille (France), p 261–9.
- Allain H, Bentué-Ferrer D. 2003. The anti-dementia drugs:myth, hype or reality? Curr Opin Neurol, 16(Suppl 2):S23–7.
- Allain H, Bentué-Ferrer D, Tribut O, et al. 2003. Drugs and vascular dementia. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*, 16:1–6.

- Allain H, Bentué-Ferrer D, Akwa Y. 2004. Mild cognitive impairment:a treatment at last? *Lancet Neurol*, 3:643.
- Allain H, Bentué-Ferrer D, Polard E, et al. 2005. Postural instability and consequent falls and hip fractures associated with use of hypnotics in the elderly:a comparative review. *Drugs Aging*, 22:749–65.
- Arnsten AFT, Cai JX, Steere JC, et al. 1995. Dopamine D2 receptor mechanisms contribute to age-related cognitive decline:the effects of quinpirole on memory and motor performance in monkeys. J Neurosci, 15:3429–9.
- Arshavsky YI. 2003. Long-term memory:does it have a structural or clinical basis. *Trends Neurosci*, 26:465–6.
- Awh E, Jonides J. 2001. Overlapping mechanisms of attention and spatial working memory. *Trends Cogn Sci*, 5:119–26.
- Bellugi U, Lichtenberger L, Mills D, et al. 1999. Bridging cognition, the brain and molecular genetics:evidence from William syndrome. *Trends Neurosci*, 22:197–207.
- Bentué-Ferrer D, Reymann JM, Allain H. 1999. Oestrogènes et maladie d'Alzheimer. Angéiologie, 51:34–44.
- Bentué-Ferrer D, Michel BF, Reymann JM, et al. 2001. Les médicaments face à la maladie d'Alzheimer. *La Revue de Gériatrie*, 26:511–22.
- Berger A, Posner MI. 2000. Pathologies of brain attentional networks. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*, 24:3–5.
- Bergman PS, Green M. 1951. Aphasia:effect of intravenous sodium amytal. *Neurology*, 1:471–5.
- Boyle PA, Wilson RS, Aggarwal NT, et al. 2005. Parkinsonian signs in subjects with mild cognitive impairment. *Neurology*, 65:1901-6.
- Brodaty H, Corey-Bloom J, Potocnik FCV, et al. 2005. Galantamine prolonged release formulation in the treatment of mild to moderate Alzheimer's disease. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*, 20:120-32.
- Brunnauer A, Geiger E, Laux G. 2004. Antipsychotics and memory functions in schizophrenia patients (German). *Psychiatr Prax*, 31(Suppl 1):S158–60.
- Budson AE, Price BH. 2005. Memory dysfunction. N Engl J Med, 352:692–9.
- Burmester T, Weich B, Reinhardt S, et al. 2000. A vertebrate globin expressed in the brain. *Nature*, 407:520–3.
- Calderon J, Perry RJ, Erzinclioglu SW, et al. 2001. Perception, attention, and working memory are disproportionately impaired in dementia with Lewy bodies compared with Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 70:157–64.
- Cohen H, Kegl J. 1999. The contribution of subcortical structures in cognition and language. *Brain Cogn*, 40:287–88.
- Coull JT. 1998. Neural correlates of attention and arousal:insights from electrophysiology functional neuroimaging and psychopharmacology. *Prog Neurobiol*, 55:343–61.
- Cowan N, Elliott EM, Scott Saults J, et al. 2005. On the capacity of attention: its estimation and its role in working memory and cognitive aptitudes. *Cognit Psychol*, 51:42–100.
- Craft S, Asthana S, Newcomer JW, et al. 1999. Enhancement of memory in Alzheimer disease with insuline and somatostatin, but not glucose. Arch Gen Psychiatry, 56:1135–40.
- Crosson B. 1999. Subcortical mechanisms in language:lexical-semantic mechanisms and the thalamus. *Brain Cogn*, 40:414–38.
- Curran H.V. 1991. Benzodiazepines, memory and mood:a review. *Psychopharmacology*, 105:1–8.
- Curran H.V. 1992. Memory functions, alertness and mood of longterm benzodiazepine users: a preleminary investigation of the effects of a normal daily dose. *J Psychopharmacol*, 6:69–75.
- Cutler NR, Heston LL, Davies P, Haxby JV, Shapiro HB. 1985. NIH conference. Alzheimer's disease and down syndrom new insights. *Ann Inter Med*, 103:566–78.
- Dafters RI, Hoshi R, Talbot AC. 2004. Contribution of cannabis and MDMA ("Ecstasy") to cognitive changes in long term polydrug users. *Psychopharmacology*, 173:405–10.

Damasio AR, Damasio H. 1992. Brain and language. Sci Am, 267:89-95.

Damasio H, Grabowski TH, Tranel D, et al. 1996. A neural basis for lexical retrieval. *Nature*, 380:499–505.

- Damasio AR. 1997. Brain and language:what a difference a decade makes. Curr Opin Neurol, 10:177–8.
- De Deyn PP, Thiery E, D'Hooge R. 2003. Memory, basic concepts, disorders and treatment. Belgium: Acco. Leuven.
- Delagrange P, Guardiola-Lemaître B. 1997. Melatonin, its receptors and relationships with biological rhythm disorders. *Clin Neuropharmacol*, 20:482–510.
- Drapeau E, Mayo W, Aurousseau C, et al. 2003. Spatial memory performances of aged rats in the water maze predict levels of hippocampal neurogenesis. *PNAS*, 100:14385–90.
- Driver J, Frackowiak RS. 2001. Neurobiological measures of human selective attention. *Neuropsychologia*, 39:1257–62.
- Dubal DB, Wilson ME, Wise PM. 1999. Estradiol:a protective and trophic factor in the brain. *J Alzheimers Dis*, 1:265–74.
- Elia J, Ambrosini PJ, Rapoport JL. 1999. Treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. N Engl J Med, 340:780–8.
- Emre M, Aarsland D, Albanese A, et al. 2004. Rivastigmine for dementia associated with Parkinson's disease. N Engl J Med, 351:2509–18.
- Enderby P, Broeckx J, Hospers W, et al. 1994. Effect of piracetam on recovery and rehabilitation after stroke:a double-blind, placebocontrolled study. *Clin Neuropharmacol*, 17:320–31.
- Ernst E, 2002. The risk-benefit profile of commonly used herbal therapies:Ginkgo, St John's wort, Ginseng, Echinacea, Sax Palmetto and Kava. *Ann Intern Med*, 136:42–53.
- Fan J, Posner M. 2004. Human attentional network. *Psychiatr Prax*, 31(Suppl 2):S210–14.
- Feld J, Halbreich U, Karkun S. 2005. The association of perimenopausal mood disorders with other reproductive related disorders. CNS Spectr, 10:461–70.
- Feldman HH, Gauthier S, Chertkow H, for the 2nd Canadian Conference on Antidementia Guidelines et al. 2006. Progress in clinical neurosciences:canadian guidelines for the developpement of antidementia therapies:a conceptual summary. *Can J Neurol Sci*, 33:6–26.
- Fernandez–Duque D, Posner MI. 2001. Brain imaging of attentional networks in normal and pathologic states. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol, 23:74–93.
- Fernandez HH, Crucian GP, Okun MS, et al. 2005. Mild cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease:the challenge and the promise. *Neuropsy Dis Treat*, 1:37–50.
- Filley CM. 2002. The neuroanatomy of attention. Semin Speech Lang, 23:89–98.
- Flint J. 1999. The genetic basis of cognition. Brain, 122:2015-31.
- Franowicz JS, Kessler LE, Dailey Borja CM, et al. 2002. Mutation of the $\alpha_{2\Lambda}$ -adrenoceptor impairs working memory performance and annuls cognitive enhancement by guanfacine. *J Neurosci* 22:8771–7.
- Frederici AD. 2000. The developmental cognitive neuroscience of language: a new research domain. *Brain Lang*, 71:65-8.
- Friederici AD, Ungerleider LG. 2005. Cognitive neuroscience. Curr Opin Neurobiol 15:131–4.
- Fries P, Fernandez G, Jensen O. 2003. When neurons form memories. *Trends Neurosci*, 26:123-4.
- Frucht S, Rogers JD, Greene PE, et al. 1999. Falling asleep at the wheel:motor vehicle mishaps in persons taking pramipexole and ropinirole. *Neurology*, 52:1908–10.
- Gandon JM, Allain H. 2002. Lack of effect of single and repeated doses of levocetirizine, a new antihistamine drug, on cognitive and psychomotor functions in healthy volunteers. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*, 54:51–8.
- Geschwind DH, Robidoux J, Alarcon M, et al. 2001. Dementia and neurodevelopmental predisposition:cognitive dysfunction in presymptomatic subjects precedes dementia by decades in frontotemporal dementia. *Ann Neurol*, 50:741–6.

- Giles J. 2005. Beta-blockers tackle memories of horror. *Nature*, 436:448-9.
- Grossman M, D'Esposito M, Hughes E, et al. 1996. Language comprehension difficulty in Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia and fronto-temporal degeneration. *Neurology*, 47:183–9.
- Grossman M, Payer F, Onishi K, et al. 1998. Language comprehension and regional defects in fronto-temporal degeneration and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology*, 50:157-63.
- Gupta SR, Mlcoch AG. 1992. Bromocriptine treatment of nonfluent aphasia. Arch Phys Med Rehab, 73:373-6.
- Hahn B, Sharples CGV, Wonnacot S, et al. 2003. Attentional effects of nicotinic agonists in rats. *Neuropharmacology* 44:1054–67.
- Hassid EI. 1995. Neuropharmacological therapy and motor recovery after stroke. *Mil Med*, 160:223-6.
- Heinrichs W, Zakzanis KK. 1998. Neurocognitive deficit in schizophrenia:a quantitative review of the evidence. *Neuropsychology*, 12:426–45.
- Henderson VW, Guthrie JR, Dudley EC, et al. 2003. Estrogen exposures and memory at midlife:a population-based study of women. *Neurology*, 60:1369-71.
- Hester R, Garavan H. 2005. Working memory and executive function: the influence of content and load on the control of attention. *Mem Cognit*, 33:221–33.
- Higgins GA, Ballard MT, James NC, et al. 2004. Pharmacological manipulation of mGlu2 receptors influences cognitive performance in the rodent. *Neuropharmacology*, 46:907–17.
- Hindmarch I, Shamsi Z. 1999. Antihistamines:models to assess sedative properties, assessment of sedation, safety and other side-effects. *Clin Exp Allergy*, 29(Suppl 3):133–42.
- Howes MJ, Perry NS, Houghton PJ. 2003. Plants with traditional uses and activities, relevant to the management of Alzheimer's disease and other cognitive disorders. *Phytother Res*, 17:1–18.
- Hoyer S. 2003. Memory function and brain glucose metabolism. *Pharmacopsychiatry*, 36(Suppl 1):S62-7.
- Huber W, Wumes K, Poeck K, et al. 1997. Piracetam as an adjuvant to language therapy for aphasia, a randomized double-blind placebocontrolled pilot study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabilit*, 78:245–50.
- Hughes JD, Jacobs DH, Heilman KM. 2000. Neuropharmacology and linguistic neuroplasticity. *Brain Lang*, 71:96–101.
- Ichols MJ, Newsome WT. 1999. The neurobiology of cognition. *Nature*, 402(Suppl):C35-8.
- Jacobs DH, Shuren J, Gold M, et al. 1996. Physostigmine pharmacotherapy for anomia. *Neurocase*, 2:83-91.
- Jakala P, Riekkinen M, Sirvio J, et al. 1999. Guanfacine, but not clonidine, improves planning and working memory performance in humans. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 20:460–70.

Jones S, Sudweeks S, Yakel J. 1999. Nicotinic receptors in the brain: correlating physiology with function. *Trends Neurosci*, 22:555–61.

- Kaduszkiewicz H, Zimmermann T, Beck-Bornholdt HP, et al. 2005. Cholinesterase inhibitors for patients with Alzheimer's disease:systematic review of randomised clinical trials. *BMJ*, 331:321–7.
- Kennedy DO, Haskell CF, Wesnes KA, et al. 2004. Improved cognitive performance in human volunteers following administration of guarana (*Paullinia cupana*) extract:comparison and interaction with *Panax ginseng*. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*, 79:401–11.
- Kuperberg G, Heckers S. 2000. Schizophrenia and cognitive function. *Curr Opin Neurol*, 10:205–10.
- Lane RM, Potkin SG, Enz A. 2006. Targeting acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase in dementia. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol, 9:101–24.
- Leonard BE, Cartan D, White J, et al. 2004. Methylphenidate:a review of its neuropharmacological, neuropsychological and adverse clinical effects. *Hum Psychopharmacol Clin Exp*, 19:151–80.
- Lesné S, Koh MT, Kotilinek L, et al. 2006. A specific amyloid-β protein assembly in the brain impairs memory. *Nature*, 440:352–7.
- Levin ED, Simon BB. 1998. Nicotinic acetylcholine involvement in cognitive function in animals. *Psychopharmacology*, 138:217–30.

- Levitin DJ. 2005. Musical behavior in a neurogenetic developmental disorder:evidence from Williams syndrome. Ann N Y Acad Sci, 1060:325–34.
- Lieury A. 2005. Psychologie de la mémoire; histoire, théories, expériences [French]. Paris France: Dunod.
- Linn L. 1947. Sodium amytal in treatment of aphasia. Arch Neuro Psy, 58:357–8.
- Lockhart BP, Lestage PJ. 2003. Cognition enhancing or neuroprotective compounds for the treatment of cognitive disorders:why? when? which? *Exp Gerontol*, 38:119–28.
- Luthringer R, Rinaudo G, Toussaint M, et al. 1999. Electroencephalographic characterization of brain dopaminergic stimulation by apomorphine in healthy volunteers. *Neuropsychobiology*, 39:49-56.
- Lynch G. 2002. Memory enhancement: the search for mechanismbased drugs. *Nat Neurosci*, 5(Suppl):1035–8.
- Lynch G. 2004. AMPA receptor modulators as cognitive enhancers. *Curr Opin Pharmacol*, 4:4–11.
- Manfredi L, Garavaglia P, Beretta S, et al. 1998. Increased cortical inhibition induced by apomorphine in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Neurophysiol Clin*, 28:31–8.
- Matthews K, Cauley J, Yaffe K, et al. 1999. Estrogen replacement therapy and cognitive decline in older community women. *J Am Geriatr Soc*, 47:518–23.
- Mehlman MJ. 2004. Cognition enhancing drugs. Milbank Q, 82:483-506.
- Mintzer MZ, Griffiths RR. 2003. Lorazepam and scopolamine:a single– dose comparison of effects on human memory and attentional processes. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol*, 11:56–72.
- Miyashita Y. 2004. Cognitive memory:cellular and network machineries and their top-down control. *Science*, 306:435–40.
- Muir JL. 1997. Acetylcholine, aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*, 56:687–96.
- Mumenthaler MS, Yesavage JA, Taylor JL, et al. 2003. Psychoactive drugs and pilot performance:a comparison of nicotine, donepezil and alcohol effects *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 28:1366–73.
- Musso M, Weiller C, Kiebel S, et al. 1999. Training-induced brain plasticity in aphasia. *Brain*, 122:1781–90.
- Nader K. 2003. Memory traces unbound. Trends Neurosci, 26:65-72.
- Nagakura A, Niimura M, Taeko S. 2002. Effects of phosphodiesterase IV inhibitor rolipram on microsphere embolism-induced defects in memory function and cerebral cyclic AMP signal transduction system in rats. Br J Pharmacol, 135:1783–93.
- Neville HJ, Bavelier D. 1998. Neural organization and plasticity of language. Curr Opin Neurobiol, 8:254–8.
- Newhouse PA, Potter A, Singh A. 2004. Effects of nicotinic stimulation on cognitive performance. *Curr Opin Pharmacol*, 4:36–46.
- Nichols MJ, Newsome WT. 1999. The neurobiology of cognition. *Nature*, 402(suppl):C35-8.
- Nieoullon A. 2002. Dopamine and the regulation of cognition and attention. *Prog Neurobiol*, 67:53–83.
- Oades RD, Roepcke B, Henning U, et al. 2005. Neuropsychological measures of attention and memory function in schizophrenia: relationships with symptom dimensions and serum monoamine activity. *Behav Brain Funct*, 1:14 (Published online).
- Oberauer K. 2002. Access to information in working memory:exploring the focus of attention. J Exp Psychol Learn Mem Cogn, 28: 411–21.
- Pachana NA, Boone KB, Miller BL, et al. 1996. Comparison of neuropsychological functioning in Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal dementia. J Int Neuropsychol Soc, 2:505–10.
- Pantev C, Oostenveld R, Engelien A, et al. 1998. Increased auditory cortical representation in musicians. *Nature*, 392:811–14.
- Parra A. 2003. A common role for psychotropic medications memory impairment. *Med Hypotheses*, 60:133–42.
- Patat A, Cercle M, Trocherie S, et al. 1996. Lack of amphetamine-like effects after administration of mefenorex in normal young subjects. *Hum Psychopharmacol*, 11:321–35.
- Patat A. 2000. Clinical pharmacology of psychotropic drugs. *Hum Psychopharmacol Clin Exp*, 15:361–87.

- Paterson D, Nordberg A. 2000. Neuronal nicotinic receptors in the human brain. Prog Neurobiol, 61:75–111.
- Payton A. 2006. Investigating cognitive genetics and its implications for the treatment of cognitive deficit. *Genes Brain Behav*, 5:44–53.
- Perani D, Cappa SF, Schnur T, et al. 1999. The neural correlates of verb and noun processing:a PET study. *Brain*, 122:2337-44.
- Perreti CS, Gierski F, Harrois S. 2004. Cognitive skill learning in healthy older adults after 2 months of double-blind treatment with piribedil. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol*, 176:175–81.
- Petersen RC, Thomas RG, Grundman M, et al. 2005. Vitamine E and donepezil for the treatment of mild cognitive impairment. *N Engl J Med*, 352:2379–88.
- Picciotto MR, Caldarone BJ, King SL, et al. 2000. Nicotinic receptors in the brain:links between molecular biology and behavior. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 22:451–65.
- Picciotto MR. 2003. Nicotine as a modulator of behaviour:beyond the inverted U. *Trends Pharmacol Sci*, 24:493–9.
- Plomin R. 1999. Genetics and general cognitive ability. Nature, 402 (Suppl):C25-9.
- Posner MI, Driver J. 1992. The neurobiology of selective attention. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*, 2:165–9.
- Prendergast MA, Jackson WJ, Terry AVJr, et al. 1998. Central nicotinic receptor agonists ABT-418, ABT-089, and (-) nicotine reduce distractibility in adult monkeys. *Psychopharmacology(Berl)* 136:50–8.
- Previc FH. 1999. Dopamine and the origins of human intelligence. Brain Cogn, 41:299–350.
- Price CJ, Green DW, von Studnitz R. 1999. A functional imaging study of translation and language switching. *Brain*, 122(Pt 12):2221–35.
- Pulvermuller F. 1999. Words in the brain's language. *Behav Brain Sci*, 22:253–729.
- Raz N. 2000. Aging of the brain and its impact on cognitive performance:integration of structural and functional findings. 2nd ed. In Craik FIM, Salthouse TA, eds. The handbook of aging and cognition. Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Raz A. 2004. Anatomy of attentional networks. Anat Rec B New Anat, 281:21–36.
- Ribeiro JA, Sebastiao AM, De Mendoca A. 2002. Adenosine receptors in the nervous system:pathophysiological implications. *Prog Neurobiol*, 68:377–92.
- Riedel WJ, Jolles J. 1996. Cognition enhancers in age-related cognitive decline. *Drugs Aging*, 8:245–74.
- Riedel G, Platt B, Micheau J. 2003. Glutamate receptor function in learning and memory. *Behav Brain Res*, 140:1–47.
- Robbins TW, Murphy ER. 2006. Behavioural pharmacology:40 + years of progress, with a focus on glutamate receptors and cognition. *Trends Pharmacol Sci*, 27:141–8.
- Ross AJ, Sachdev PS. 2004. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy in cognitive research. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev*, 44:83–102.
- Royall DR, Lauterbach EC, Cummings JL, et al. 2002. Executive control function:a review of its promise and challenges for clinical research. A report from the Committee on Research of the American Neuropsychiatric Association. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci, 14:377–405.
- Russel VA, Sagvolden T, Johansen EB. 2005. Animal models of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Behav Brain Funct*, 1:9 (published online).
- Sabe L, Leiguarda R, Starkstin SE. 1992. An open-label trial of bromocriptine in nonfluent aphasia. *Neurology*, 42:1637–8.
- Sabe L, Salvarezza G, Cuerva AG, et al. 1995. A randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled study of bromocriptine in nonfluent aphasia. *Neurology*, 45:2272–4.
- Sanderson TL, Best JJK, Doody GA, et al. 1999. Neuroanatomy of comorbid schizophrenia and learning disability:a controlled study. *Lancet*, 354:1867–71.

- SantaCruz K, Lewis J, Spires T, et al. 2005. Tau suppression in a neurodegenerative mouse model improves memory function. *Science*, 309:476–80.
- Sarno MT. 1969. The functional communication profile:manual of directions, New-York. Institute of rehabilitation medicine, New-York University Medical Center.
- Sarno MT, Sarno JE, Diller L. 1972. The effect of hyperbaric oxygen on communication function in adults with aphasia secondary to stroke. J Speech Hear Res, 15:42–8.
- Schück S, Bentué-Ferrer D, Kleinermans D, et al. 2002. Psychomotor and cognitive effects of piribedil, a dopamine agonist, in young healthy volunteers. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol*, 16:57–65.
- Servan-Schreiber D, Bruno RM, Carter CS, et al. 1998a. Dopamine and the mechanisms of cognition:Part I. A neural network model predicting dopamine effects on selective attention. *Biol Psychiatry*, 43:713–22.
- Servan-Schreiber D, Carter CS, Bruno RM, et al. 1998b. Dopamine and the mechanisms of cognition:Part II. D-amphetamine effects in human subjects performing a selective attention task. *Biol Psychiatry*, 43:723–9.
- Sherwin BB. 2005. Estrogen and memory in women:how can we reconcile the findings? *Horm Behav*, 47:371–5.
- Shipp S. 2004. The brain circuitry of attention. *Trends Cogn Sci*, 8:223-30.
- Shisler RJ, Baylis GC, Frank EM. 2000. Pharmacological approaches to the treatment and prevention of aphasia. *Aphasiology*, 14:1163–86.
- Shumaker SA, Legault C, Rapp SR, et al. 2003. Estrogen plus progestin and the incidence of dementia and mild cognitive impairment in post-menopausal women:the women's health initiative memory study:a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*, 289:2651–62.
- Small SL. Pharmacotherapy of aphasia.1994. Stroke, 25:1282-9.
- Smith S, Turton EC. 1951. Restoration of speech in severe aphasia by intravenous and oral Priscol. BMJ, :891–2.
- Southwick SM, Davis M, Horner B, et al. 2002. Relationship of enhanced norepinephrine activity during memory consolidation to enhanced long-term memory in humans. *Am J Psychiatry*, 159:1420–2.
- Steffens DC, Norton MC, Plassman BL, et al. 1999. Enhanced cognitive performance with oestrogen use in nondemented communitydwelling older women. J Am Geriatr Soc, 47:1171–5.
- Stip E, Lussier L, Lalonde P, et al. 1999. Neuroleptiques atypiques et attention selective. *Encéphale*, XXV:260–4.
- Stuss DT, Shallice T, Alexander MP, et al. 1995. A multidisciplinary approach to anterior attentional functions. In:Grofman J, Holyoak HJ, Boller F (eds). Structure and functions of the human prefrontal cortex. *Ann NY Acad Sci*, 191–211.

- Stuss DT, Alexander MP. 2000. Executive functions and the frontal lobes: a conceptual view. *Psychol Res*, 63:289–98.
- Tanaka Y, Miyazaki M, Albert ML. 1997. Effects of increased cholinergic activity on naming in aphasia. *Lancet*, 350:116–17.
- Terzano MG, Rossi M, Palomba V, et al. 2003. New drugs for insomnia:comparative tolerability of zopiclone, zolpidem and zaleplon. *Drug Saf*, 26:261–82.
- Tully T, Bourtchouladzer R, Scott R, et al. 2003. Targeting the CREB pathway for memory enhancers. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*, 2:267–77.
- Tulving E. 1985. How many memory systems are there? *Am Psychol*, 40:385–98.
- Vakalopoulos C. 2006. Neuropharmacology of cognition and memory:a unifying theory of neuromodulator imbalance in psychiatry and amnesia. *Med Hypoth*, 66:394–431.
- Van Stegeren AH, Everaerd W, Gooren LJ. 2002. The effect of betaadrenergic blockade after encoding on memory of an emotional event. *Psychopharmacology*, 163:202–12.
- Vanneste S, Pouthas V. 1999. Timing in aging:the role of attention. Exp Aging Res, 25:49-67.
- Volkow ND, Logan J, Fowler JS, et al. 2000. Association between agerelated decline in brain dopamine activity and impairment in frontal and cingulate metabolism. *Am J Psychiatry*, 157:75–80.
- Walker–Batson D, Devous MD, Curtis S, et al. 1990. Response to amphetamine to facilitate recovery from aphasia subsequent to stroke. *Clin Aphasiol*, 20:137–44.
- Walker–Batson D, Smith P, Curtis S, et al. 1995. Amphetamine paired with physical therapy accelerates motor recovery after stoke. Further evidence. *Stroke*, 26:2254–9.
- Wilens TE, Haight BR, Horrigan JP, et al. 2005. Bupropion XL in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder:a randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Biol Psychiatry* 57:793–801.
- Woolf NJ. 1998. A structural basis for memory storage in mammals. Prog Neurobiol, 55:59–77.
- Xiong GL, Benson A, Doraiswamy PM. 2005. Statins and cognition:what can we learn from existing randomized trials? *CNS Spectr*, 10:867–74.
- Zangara A. 2003. The psychopharmacology of huperzine A:an alkaloid with cognitive enhancing and neuroprotective properties of interest in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Pharmacol, Biochem, Behav*, 75:675–86.
- Zhang W, Feng L. 1999. Interhemispheric interaction affected by identification of Chinese characters. *Brain Cogn*, 39:93–9.