

Risk Assessment of Falls Among Older Adults Based on Probe Reaction Time During Water-Carrying Walking [Letter]

Vernando Yanry Lameky 

Department of Nursing, Universitas Kristen Indonesia Maluku, Ambon, Maluku, Indonesia

Correspondence: Vernando Yanry Lameky, Department of Nursing, Universitas Kristen Indonesia Maluku, Jl. Ot pattimaipauw, Ambon, Maluku, Indonesia, Email vernandoyanrylameky@gmail.com

Dear editor

I have read a research article entitled “Risk Assessment of Falls Among Older Adults Based on Probe Reaction Time During Water-Carrying Walking” by Liu et al.¹ I congratulate the authors on this successful article and make some contributions. There are three strengths of this study: 1) Use of Water Carrying Walking Probe Reaction Time (WCWP-RT) as a fast and convenient assessment method that can effectively predict the risk of falls in older adults in the community. This method is advantageous because it incorporates dual-task scenarios that more closely reflect real-life situations, where cognitive and motor tasks are often performed simultaneously. 2) Practical application of the findings of this research, which can be converted into intervention measures to improve the ability of older people to handle dual tasks, thereby potentially preventing cognitive decline and reducing the occurrence of falls through dual-task training. 3) The research methodology, which involved testing in an outdoor community environment to simulate daily walking activities, ensured that the data and conclusions were closer to real-life situations, thereby increasing the ecological validity of the findings. This approach is beneficial because it assesses fall risk using data obtained in realistic settings, indicating that the more realistic the environment, the better an older person’s ability to handle the multiple tasks of daily life. This is very important because this research provides clinically meaningful information for the care and rehabilitation of older adults.

However, I identified two limitations of this study that need to be addressed in future research: 1) Measuring response time involves a degree of subjectivity because the researcher must independently choose the time difference between the stimulus sound and the subject’s response. This can cause deviations in time measurements. To reduce subjectivity, future research could use automated timing systems that trigger and record response times electronically, thereby minimizing human error and increasing measurement precision.^{2,3} 2) This study did not assess gait changes during walking, which is an important aspect of fall risk. Incorporating gait analysis into future research may provide a more comprehensive understanding of fall risk. This may involve the use of motion capture technology or wearable sensors to measure gait parameters objectively.^{4,5}

Disclosure

The author reports no conflicts of interest in this communication. The author alone is responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

References

1. Liu F, Yu H, Xu Q, Gong J, Huo M, Huang F. Risk assessment of falls among older adults based on probe reaction time during water-carrying walking. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2024;19:21–29. doi:10.2147/CIA.S438904

2. Hashidate H, Shimada H, Shiomi T, Sasamoto N. Usefulness of the subjective risk rating of specific tasks for falls in frail elderly people. *J Phys Ther Sci*. 2011;23(3):519–524. doi:10.1589/jpts.23.519
3. Cattalani L, Palumbo P, Palmerini L, et al. FRAT-up, a web-based fall-risk assessment tool for elderly people living in the community. *J Med Internet Res*. 2015;17(2):e41. doi:10.2196/jmir.4064
4. Amundsen T, Rossman M, Ahmad I, Clark A, Huber M. Fall risk assessment and visualization through gait analysis. *Smart Health*. 2022;25:100284. doi:10.1016/j.smhl.2022.100284
5. Kasparbauer A, Reisner V, Schenk C, et al. *Sensor Devices, the Source of Innovative Therapy and Prevention*. Cham: Springer; 2022:207–226. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-99838-7_11

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Interventions in Aging 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Interventions in Aging editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Interventions in Aging

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Interventions in Aging is an international, peer-reviewed journal focusing on evidence-based reports on the value or lack thereof of treatments intended to prevent or delay the onset of maladaptive correlates of aging in human beings. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine, CAS, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-interventions-in-aging-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S458532>