#### Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

# Engineering of silicon surfaces at the micro- and nanoscales for cell adhesion and migration control

Vicente Torres-Costa<sup>1</sup> Gonzalo Martínez-Muñoz<sup>2</sup> Vanessa Sánchez-Vaguero<sup>3</sup> Álvaro Muñoz-Noval<sup>1</sup> Laura González-Méndez<sup>3</sup> Esther Punzón-Quijorna<sup>1,4</sup> Darío Gallach-Pérez<sup>1</sup> Miguel Manso-Silván<sup>1</sup> Aurelio Climent-Font<sup>1,4</sup> Josefa P García-Ruiz<sup>3</sup> Raúl | Martín-Palma<sup>1</sup>

Department of Applied Physics, <sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science, <sup>3</sup>Department of Molecular Biology, <sup>4</sup>Centre for Micro Analysis of Materials, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Correspondence: Raúl J Martín-Palma Departamento de Física Aplicada, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain Tel +34 91 497 4028 Fax +34 91 497 3969 Email rauljose.martin@uam.es

Abstract: The engineering of surface patterns is a powerful tool for analyzing cellular communication factors involved in the processes of adhesion, migration, and expansion, which can have a notable impact on therapeutic applications including tissue engineering. In this regard, the main objective of this research was to fabricate patterned and textured surfaces at micron- and nanoscale levels, respectively, with very different chemical and topographic characteristics to control cell-substrate interactions. For this task, one-dimensional (1-D) and two-dimensional (2-D) patterns combining silicon and nanostructured porous silicon were engineered by ion beam irradiation and subsequent electrochemical etch. The experimental results show that under the influence of chemical and morphological stimuli, human mesenchymal stem cells polarize and move directionally toward or away from the particular stimulus. Furthermore, a computational model was developed aiming at understanding cell behavior by reproducing the surface distribution and migration of human mesenchymal stem cells observed experimentally.

Keywords: surface patterns, silicon, hMSCs, ion-beam patterning

## Introduction

Human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) are increasingly used in therapeutic applications for bone, cartilage, and fat transplantation and repair.<sup>1</sup> MSC fates have been demonstrated to be controlled by oxygen, growth factors, substrate stiffness, geometry, micro-/nanostructure, and surface chemistry.<sup>2-4</sup> Thus, in any biomaterial applications for bone tissue therapies, precise control of MSC adhesion and migration is a key factor. In this regard, surface micropatterns have been demonstrated to be a useful tool for the control of cell behavior.<sup>5,6</sup> Additionally, surface nanotopography has been shown to exert influence over cell adhesion, morphology, proliferation, migration, differentiation, alignment, cytoskeleton organization, and gene expression in many cell types, including hMSCs.<sup>7,8</sup> Cell migration on surfaces is a complicated process based on myriad cell-surface interactions, and plays a critical role in a variety of physiological and pathological phenomena.<sup>9,10</sup> Cells respond to synthetic topographic surfaces in a wide variety of ways, which depend upon many factors including cell type, feature size and geometry, and the physicochemical properties of the substrate material.<sup>11–13</sup>

Although both micronscale and nanoscale surface topography have been known to be important in understanding cell-material interactions,14 typically only simple patterns (eg, parallel lines or aligned posts) have been used in studying cell morphology, migration, and behavior. This restriction has limited the understanding of the multidirectional aspects of cell-surface responses.15 Within this context, the main objective of this work is to fabricate patterned and textured surfaces at microscale and nanoscale levels, respec-

For personal use only

© 2012 Torres-Costa et al, publisher and licensee Dove Medical Press Ltd. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

Dovepress

tively, to control cell–substrate interactions. As such, here we present a method for the fabrication of surface patterns of different dimensionality (1-D and 2-D), aimed at studying cell adhesion and migration. The influence of surface morphology and chemistry on the adhesion and migration properties of hMSCs is studied. Although the basic mechanisms of random cell movement are well characterized, no single model explains the complex regulation of directional migration.<sup>5</sup> As such, here we propose a model to explain the surface migration of hMSCs in response to surface morphology and chemical state. For this task, computer simulations were performed with the aim of reproducing the experimental cell adhesion and migration characteristics of hMSCs.

# Methods and materials Fabrication and characterization of surface micropatterns textured at nanoscale level

Surface patterns were engineered by defining areas of monocrystalline silicon (Si) and nanostructured porous silicon (nanoPS). This material can be succinctly described as a rather complex network of Si nanocrystals with a large specific surface area.<sup>16,17</sup> Additionally, its biocompatibility strongly depends on its porosity and pore size.<sup>18</sup> The surface micropatterns were fabricated as follows. Aluminum back contacts (~3000 Å) were deposited on the back side of boron-doped (p-type) monocrystalline Si wafers of <100> crystallographic orientation by electron-beam evaporation, followed by thermal annealing at 400°C for 5 minutes resulting in low-resistance ohmic electric contacts. Afterwards, the top surface of the silicon wafers was irradiated with MeV Si<sup>+</sup> ions through micromasks (Gilder, Lincolnshire, UK) of different geometries, resulting in lowered conductivity in the exposed areas of the Si wafers. The MeV Si<sup>+</sup> ion beam was supplied by the 5 MV electrostatic accelerator of the Centre for Micro Analysis of Materials (CMAM, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid). Lowered electrical conductivity in irradiated areas might have its origin in damage to the Si crystal structure and/or B dopant deactivation.<sup>19,20</sup> The 1-D and 2-D patterns with well-defined areas of different conductivities are used to selectively grow nanoPS regions on the surface of the Si wafers. Accordingly, after mask removal the silicon wafers were galvanostatically etched in HF:ethanol electrolytes under illumination following a standard nanoPS fabrication process.<sup>16,21</sup> The resulting surface patterns reproduce the geometry of the masks on the substrate; ie, nanoPS is selectively grown in non-irradiated areas while monocrystalline Si remains in irradiated regions.

Scanning-electron microscopy morphological characterization of the Si/nanoPS surface micropatterns was performed using a Hitachi S-3000N (Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a conventional thermionic filament. The operation voltage was set at 20 KeV.

# Cell culture and immunofluorescence

Two to four milliliters of human bone marrow samples from healthy donors were provided by the Hospital Universitario La Princesa (Madrid, Spain). Cells were collected by centrifugation on 70% Percoll gradient and seeded at 200,000/cm<sup>2</sup> in Dulbecco's modified eagle's medium-low glucose (DMEM-LG, Gibco, Paisley, UK) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) (Sigma). The medium was replaced twice per week. To perform the cell culture, the surface micropatterns were exposed to ultraviolet light for 10 minutes, thoroughly washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), placed on a 24-multiwell plate (Falcon, Franklin Lakes, NJ) and seeded with 15,000 cells. The cells were then incubated for 72 hours with Dulbecco's modified eagle's medium-low glucose adjusted to 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After being washed twice with PBS the cells were fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS for 30 minutes at room temperature (RT). Cells were permeated with 0.5% Triton X-100 in C Buffer (100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Pipes pH 6.8, 3 mM MgCl2, 3 mM EGTA and 0.3 M sucrose) for 30 minutes at RT. Samples were blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS for 1 hour at RT. Surfaces were incubated in dark conditions for 1 hour with Alexa 488 phalloidin (1/100) and Dapi (1/5000) (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). Cells were visualized by a fluorescence vertical microscope Olympus IX81 coupled to a CCD color camera.

# **Results and discussion**

Following the process described in the previous section, oneand two-dimensional surface micropatterns were fabricated using the appropriate mask for each case.

# One-dimensional surface patterns

Figure 1A shows a characteristic 1-D Si/nanoPS surface pattern. Previous studies of surface morphology have demonstrated that the surface of nanoPS shows larger root mean square (rms) roughness than Si.<sup>22</sup> For scanning areas of  $2 \times 2 \mu m^2$ , the surface rms roughness of nanoPS areas was found to be 1.1 nm, much larger than that of the silicon areas (0.2 nm). Additionally, the different surface wettability of Si and nanoPS is of key importance since it will contribute to determining the cell adhesion and migration characteristics.



Figure I Perspective scanning-electron microscopy images from a cross-section performed in micropatterns showing (A) alternating Si and nanostructured porous silicon stripes, (B) and Si/nanostructured porous silicon square grids. (C) Characteristic fluorescence from nanostructured porous silicon areas from a top view of a 2-D square pattern.

In a previous work<sup>23</sup> the wettability of both surfaces was studied in biological buffer conditions; it was found that the water contact angle decreases drastically from a superhydrophobic behavior (water contact angle =  $126^{\circ}$ ) in the case of Si, to a neat hydrophilic character (water contact angle =  $10^{\circ}$ ) for nanoPS. Moreover, cytotoxicity assays in human mesenchymal stem cells confirmed that porous silicon-based microand nanoparticles do not induce apoptosis.<sup>24</sup> Accordingly, the definition of Si/nanoPS surface patterns at microscale level leads to simultaneous chemical and nanotopographic contrasts, which will be subsequently used for the control of cell adhesion and migration.

One-dimensional Si/nanoPS micropatterns consisting of alternating Si and nanoPS strips of different widths were fabricated, and the adhesion and migration of hMSCs studied after 72 hours of culture.<sup>22</sup> The experimental results indicated that in the case of 1-D surface patterns consisting of 100 µm-wide Si stripes and 25 µm-wide nanoPS stripes, hMSCs are preferentially found on Si areas as inferred from the location of the actin cytoskeleton and nuclei. For 1-D patterns consisting of alternating 50 µm-wide Si and 25 µm-wide nanoPS stripes, the cells appear predominantly on Si but occasionally also on the surface of nanoPS. By further reducing the width of the Si stripes to 35 µm while keeping the width of the nanoPS stripes (25 µm), the surface distribution of hMSCs was found to dramatically change. In this case, the actin skeleton is preferentially concentrated on Si areas while the nuclear environment, with notably reduced actin fiber intensity, is preferentially located on nanoPS areas.22 The absence of hMSCs localized in nanoPS areas for large Si stripes and the inhibition of focal adhesion formation on nanoPS for any pattern suggest that the surface of nanoPS behaves as an antifouling platform given its surface roughness and particular chemistry as discussed above.

#### Two-dimensional surface patterns

In order to confirm the previous results and to further advance our understanding of the migration behavior of hMSCs, 2-D patterns consisting of Si squares of  $100 \times 100 \,\mu\text{m}$  and 25  $\mu$ m-wide nanoPS stripes were fabricated. Figure 1B shows a top view of a typical 2-D Si/nanoPS surface micropattern textured at nanoscale level. Fluorescence microscopy (Figure 1C) shows a typical 2-D Si/nanoPS surface pattern, in which red photoemission indicates the location of nanoPS areas on the Si wafer. Fluorescence in the visible wavelength regime from nanoPS is a manifestation of quantum size effects given the nanometric size of the Si grains which compose nanoPS.<sup>21</sup> The experimental results show again that hMSCs respond to the particular structure of the 2-D patterns, as shown in Figure 2. In this case, the distribution of the cytoskeleton is not evident but observation of the nuclei clearly indicates that cells are preferentially found at the intersection of the nanoPS stripes, as shown in Figure 2A and B. With the aim of extracting a behavioral trend, a bar diagram of nuclei categorized as belonging to the Si square areas, to nanoPS stripes, or to nanoPS "intersections" has been represented (Figure 2C; see the schematic inset related to the different areas). It can be deduced from the area-normalized results that hMSCs migrate along the nanoPS stripes until they reach an intersection and nuclei are forced to assemble conformably. In cases when cells find themselves without neighboring competitors, they can adhere to and extend the cytoskeleton quasi-symmetrically at the intersection of two nanoPS stripes (Figure 2B). Finally, it is worth noting that the polarized structure of the actin cytoskeleton and the preservation of an internalized nucleus indicate that hMSCs cultured on the porous silicon-based micropatterns are far from an apoptotic stage.

#### Computer simulations

In order to get a deeper insight into cell surface distribution on 1-D and 2-D patterned substrates, cell surface migration was modeled and simulated. The basic idea behind our approach was to reproduce cell migration and final distribution on the patterned and textured substrates by the use of a simple mathematical model. In our model, cells are considered circular (with radius equal to 50  $\mu$ m) and rigid, although they are allowed to partially overlap. With these assumptions, computer simulations follow two basic criteria, (1) cells tend to balance their adherence to the substrate and (2) cells tend to avoid overlapping with other cells.

According to criterion (1), cells tend to be attached to the Si substrate by distributing their adhesion surface equally around their center. This configuration is exemplified in Figure 2A where most cells show their actin fibers evenly distributed around the nuclei mainly on the surface of Si. In addition, the density of actin fibers increases with distance from the nuclei. Following these observations we have modeled how cell adherence is balanced around the nucleus by a vector – that we will name "center of adherence" – which is proportional to the center of "mass" of the cell, where only regions of the cell onto the Si areas are considered to have perfect antifouling properties and hence no cell parts on the nanoPS will exert any adherence force. In order to be balanced, cells tend to move to positions where



Figure 2 (A) Fluorescence microscopy images of hMSCs on 100 μm Si/25 μm nanostructured porous silicon square micropatterns. Actin is stained green and nuclei are stained blue. (B) Detailed image at an intersection, and (C) histogram of hMSC population from image (A) with absolute % and area normalized population (left and right columns respectively).

the modulus of the center of adherence is zero. As such, cells perform a gradient descent on the center of adherence modulus. Figure 3A-D shows the adherence vector modulus for cells located on a line perpendicular to the 1-D stripe patterns for 100 µm-wide Si/25 µm-wide nanoPS alternating stripes (Figure 3A), 50 µm-wide Si/25 µm-wide nanoPS stripes (Figure 3B), 35 µm-wide Si/25 µm-wide nanoPS stripes (Figure 3C), and 2-D grid patterns consisting of 100 µm-wide Si and 25 µm-wide nanoPS stripes (Figure 3D). The coordinate origin has been placed in the center of the nanoPS stripes. The position of the nanoPS stripes has been marked with a black rectangle at the bottom of the plot (Figure 3A-C). In the case of 2-D patterns (Figure 3D), the black line indicates the location of the frontier between the Si surface and the nanoPS stripes. As such, the inner part corresponds to the Si surface whereas the outer part corresponds to the nanoPS stripes. Areas where a single cell is in equilibrium correspond to zero modulus areas. These areas correspond in the grid pattern to the center region of the Si area, the center region along the nanoPS side, stripes and to the intersections of nanoPS stripes. For the stripe patterns, the equilibrium regions for a single cell are along the center between two nanoPS stripes and on the nanoPS stripes.

Regarding criterion (2) it is considered that cells deform themselves to adapt to their neighboring cells to avoid overlapping, as experimentally observed. This behavior has not been explicitly modeled, but in contrast cells, being considered circular, are allowed to partially overlap. However, cells tend to move in order to reduce the area that overlaps with other cells. For the simulation, forces coming from criteria (1) and (2) have to be of the same order of magnitude. If too much weight is placed in (1) the simulation would finish with several cells at the same nanoPS intersections. On the other hand, if too much strength is given to (2) then all cells will finish packed independently of the substrate.

Migration of a cell located at position  $r_j^{r}$  can be described as the gradient descent with respect to  $r_j^{r}$  of the function

$$f(r_j^{\mathrm{r}}) = \left|\sum_{k}^{*} c_k^{\mathrm{r}} A d_k(r_j^{\mathrm{r}})\right| + K \sum_{\substack{i \neq j \\ |r_i - r_j| < 50 \, \mu\mathrm{m}}} Ov(r_i^{\mathrm{r}}, r_j^{\mathrm{r}})$$

The first term of the summation corresponds to the modulus of the center of adherence and runs over all k parts of the cell that lie on the Si substrate,  $c_k^r$  being the center of adherence and  $Ad(r_j^r)$  the adherence surface of part k. The second term of the summation corresponds to the interaction with other cells and runs over all cells being at a distance of less than 50 µm from the cell of interest. Here  $Ov(r_j^r, r_j)$  is the overlapping surface between cells at positions  $r_j^r$  and  $r_j^r$ , and K is a constant used to balance the relative strengths of both components.

Computer simulations were performed for the four surface patterns discussed above (ie, alternating Si/nanoPS stripes of different widths and 2-D square grid). All simulations are initialized with 150 cells randomly located in an area of  $1000 \times 1000 \,\mu\text{m}$  square. One hundred simulations are carried out for each pattern. Figure 3E and F shows screen captures



Figure 3 (Continued)



Figure 3 Adherence vector modulus for cells located on a line perpendicular to the 1-D stripe patterns for (A) 100  $\mu$ m-wide Si/25  $\mu$ m-wide nanostructured porous silicon (nanoPS) alternating stripes, (B) 50  $\mu$ m-wide Si/25  $\mu$ m-wide nanoPS stripes, (C) 35  $\mu$ m-wide Si/25  $\mu$ m-wide nanoPS stripes, and (D) one 2-D grid pattern of 100  $\mu$ m-wide Si and 25  $\mu$ m-wide nanoPS stripes. Screen capture of the program developed to perform the simulations and (E) t = 0, and (F) t = 400 seconds.

of the program developed to perform the simulations at two different times (t = 0 seconds and t = 400 seconds). These two times correspond to the initial and equilibrium (final) states of the system, corresponding to the previous migration experiments, although the time scales are different. The position of

 
 Table I Surface distribution of the cells for the different Si/ nanostructured porous silicon micropatterns

Area	Initial distribution	Final distribution
	(%)	(%)
100 μm Si/25 μm nano	PS stripes	
Si	80.0%	54.9%
NanoPS	20.0%	45.1%
50 μm Si/25 μm nanoF	<b>'S</b> stripes	
Si	67.9%	47.6%
NanoPS	32.1%	52.4%
35 μm Si/25 μm nanoF	<b>'S</b> stripes	
Si	57.3%	26.7%
NanoPS	42.7%	73.3%
100 μm Si/25 μm nano	PS 2-D square grid	
Si	63.5	27.2
NanoPS (stripe)	32.5	52.7
NanoPS (intersection)	4.0	20.1

Abbreviation: nanoPS, nanostructured porous silicon.

the hMSCs on a single square grid is shown. Table 1 shows the distribution of the cells for each pattern. Columns 2 and 3 indicate the initial and final percent distribution of hMSCs on the different substrates respectively. The final distributions are obtained after the system is in equilibrium.

The simulation results are in good agreement with the experimental behavior observed experimentally. In the case of 1-D patterns, reduction of the width of the Si stripes results in increased cell surface coverage of the nanoPS areas. In the particular case of 1-D patterns with 35  $\mu$ m-wide Si stripes, hMSCs are forced to locate preferentially on the surface of an antifouling surface (nanoPS) even though the percentage of Si surface is larger than that of nanoPS. In the case of 2-D patterns, the simulations reproduce the counterintuitive preference of hMSCs for the intersections of nanoPS stripes.

#### Conclusion

One- and two-dimensional micropatterns of silicon and nanostructured porous silicon were engineered by ion beam irradiation and subsequent electrochemical etch. These chemically and morphologically patterned surfaces have been exploited to control the surface distribution and shape of human skeletal progenitor cells and, at the same time, to study cell adhesion and migration characteristics. It was found that these cells are sensitive to surface patterns and that migration can be controlled, so that cells arrange in response to the particular surface topography and chemistry. As such the extra-cellular matrix impacts the mode and efficiency of cell migration. Finally, a mathematical model was developed and implemented, and allowed us to further understand surface cell distribution as a function of the dimensionality and size of the particular surface pattern. The proposed model is based on rather simple assumptions and parameterized through a center of adherence and the tendency of the cells to avoid overlapping. We have found that it constitutes a reasonable approach for the description of cell behavior on 1-D and 2-D surface micropatterns textured at nanoscale level.

## **Acknowledgments**

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from MICINN under research project MAT2008-06858-C02-01/ NAN, and grants from Fundación Domingo Martínez, and Comunidad de Madrid (Spain) under Project Microseres.

## Disclosure

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare in this work.

## References

- Luo W, Jones SR, Yousaf MN. Geometric control of stem cell differentiation rate on surfaces. *Langmuir*. 2008;24(21):12129–12133.
- Sarugaser R, Hanoun L, Keating A, Stanford WL, Davies JE. Human mesenchymal stem cells self-renew and differentiate according to a deterministic hierarchy. *PLoS One*. 2009;4(8):e6498.
- D'Ippolito G, Diabira S, Howard GA, Roos BA, Schiller PC. Low oxygen tension inhibits osteogenic differentiation and enhances stemness of human MIAMI cells. *Bone*. 2006;39(3):513–522.
- Ball SG, Shuttleworth CA, Kielty CM. Mesenchymal stem cells and neovascularization: role of platelet-derived growth factor receptors. *J Cell Mol Med*. 2007;11(5):1012–1030.
- Petrie RJ, Doyle AD, Yamada KM. Random versus directionally persistent cell migration. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2009;10(8): 538–549.

- Doyle AD, Wang FW, Matsumoto K, Yamada KM. One-dimensional topography underlies three-dimensional fibrillar cell migration. *J Cell Biol.* 2009;184(4):481–490.
- You MH, Kwak MK, Kim DH, et al. Synergistically enhanced osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells by culture on nanostructured surfaces with induction media. *Biomacromolecules*. 2010;11(7):1856–1862.
- Bettinger CJ, Langer R, Borenstein JT. Engineering substrate topography at the micro- and nanoscale to control cell function. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 2009;48(30):5406–5415.
- DiMilla PA, Barbee K, Lauffenburger DA. Mathematical model for the effects of adhesion and mechanics on cell migration speed. *Biophys J*. 1991;60(1):15–37.
- Ngalim SH, Magenau A, Le Saux G, Gooding JJ, Gaus K. How do cells make decisions: engineering micro- and nanoenvironments for cell migration. *J Oncol.* 2010;2010:363106.
- Yang CY, Huang LY, Shen TL, Yeh JA. Cell adhesion, morphology and biochemistry on nano-topographic oxidized silicon surfaces. *Eur Cell Mater*. 2010;20:415–430.
- Lawrence BJ, Madihally SV. Cell colonization in degradable 3D porous matrices. Cell Adh Migr. 2008;2(1):9–16.
- Ranella A, Barberoglou M, Bakogianni S, Fotakis C, Stratakis E. Tuning cell adhesion by controlling the roughness and wettability of 3D micro/ nano silicon structures. *Acta Biomater*. 2010;6(7):2711–2720.
- Li H, Kochhar JS, Pan J, Chan SY, Kang L. Nano/microscale technologies for drug delivery. J Mech Med Biol. 2011;11(2):337–367.
- Jeon H, Hidai H, Hwang DJ, Healy KE, Grigoropoulos CP.The effect of micronscale anisotropic cross patterns on fibroblast migration. *Biomaterials*. 2010 May;31(15):4286–4295.
- 16. Lehmann V. Electrochemistry of Silicon. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH; 2002.
- Martín-Palma RJ, Pascual L, Landa A, Herrero P, Martínez-Duart JM. High resolution transmission electron microscopic analysis of porous silicon/silicon interface. *App Phys Lett.* 2004;85:2517–2519.
- Martín-Palma RJ, Manso-Silván M, Torres-Costa V. Review of biomedical applications of nanostructured porous silicon. *J Nanophotonics*. 2010;4:042502-42501-20.
- Polesello P, Manfredotti C, Fizzotti F, et al. Micromachining of silicon with a proton microbeam. *Nucl Instrum Meth B*. 1999;158:173–178.
- Breese MB, Champeaux FJT, Teo EJ, Bettiol AA, Blackwood DJ. Hole transport through proton-irradiated p-type silicon wafers during electrochemical anodization. *Phys Rev B*. 2006;73:id035428.
- Canham LT. Silicon quantum wire array fabrication by electrochemical and chemical dissolution of wafers. *Appl Phys Lett.* 1990;57:1046–1048.
- 22. Muñoz-Noval A, Sánchez-Vaquero V, Punzón-Quijorna E, et al. Aging of porous silicon in physiological conditions; antifouling surfaces and scalable cell adhesion. *J Biomed Mater Res A*. Submitted.
- Gallach D, Recio-Sánchez G, Muñoz-Noval A, et al. Functionality of porous silicon particles: Surface modification for biomedical applications. *Mater Sci Eng B*. 2010;169:123–127.
- Muñoz-Noval A, Sánchez-Vaquero V, Torres-Costa V, et al. Hybrid luminescent/magnetic nanostructured porous silicon particles for biomedical applications. *J Biomed Opt.* 2011;16:025002.

#### International Journal of Nanomedicine

#### Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Nanomedicine is an international, peerreviewed journal focusing on the application of nanotechnology in diagnostics, therapeutics, and drug delivery systems throughout the biomedical field. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine, CAS, SciSearch®, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine,

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-nanomedicine-journal

#### **Dove**press

Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, EMBase, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.