REVIEW Delivery of miRNAs Using Nanoparticles for the Treatment of Osteosarcoma

Chengran Wang¹,*, Yihong Zhang¹,*, Weihui Kong², Xin'ao Rong¹, Ziming Zhong¹, Lei Jiang³, Shuhan Chen¹, Chuang Li¹, Fugiang Zhang¹, Jinlan Jiang¹

Department of Scientific Research Center, China–Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin Province, People's Republic of China; ²Department of Stomatology, the First Hospital of Iilin University, Changchun, Iilin Province, People's Republic of China; ³Department of Geriatric Medicine, Changchun Central Hospital, Changchun, Jilin Province, People's Republic of China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Fuqiang Zhang; Jinlan Jiang, Department of Scientific Research Center, China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin Province, People's Republic of China, Email zfqzhang@jlu.edu.cn; jiangjinlan@jlu.edu.cn

Abstract: Osteosarcoma is the predominant primary malignant bone tumor that poses a significant global health challenge. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) that regulate gene expression are associated with osteosarcoma pathogenesis. Thus, miRNAs are potential therapeutic targets for osteosarcoma. Nanoparticles, widely used for targeted drug delivery, facilitate miRNA-based osteosarcoma treatment. Numerous studies have focused on miRNA delivery using nanoparticles to inhibit the progress of osteosarcoma. Polymerbased, lipid-based, inorganic-based nanoparticles and extracellular vesicles were used to deliver miRNAs for the treatment of osteosarcoma. They can be modified to enhance drug loading and delivery capabilities. Also, miRNA delivery was combined with traditional therapies, for example chemotherapy, to treat osteosarcoma. Consequently, miRNA delivery offers promising therapeutic avenues for osteosarcoma, providing renewed hope for patients. This review emphasizes the studies utilizing nanoparticles for miRNA delivery in osteosarcoma treatment, then introduced and summarized the nanoparticles in detail. And it also discusses the prospects for clinical applications.

Keywords: osteosarcoma, MicroRNAs, nanoparticle delivery, molecular targeted therapy

Introduction

Osteosarcoma originates from primitive mesenchymal cells and is the predominant malignant primary bone tumor in children and adolescents aged 0-24 years.¹ Most osteosarcoma cases manifest in the lower long bones.² Osteosarcoma can be classified into the osteoblastic, chondroblastic, and fibroblastic subtypes.³ A defining feature of osteosarcoma is the osteoid extracellular matrix, which is predominantly composed of collagen I.⁴ Osteosarcoma is characterized by notable inter- and intra-tumoral heterogeneity.⁵ The development of osteosarcoma is linked to intricate genetic mutations, notably chromothripsis, chromoplexies, and kataegis mutations.⁶ The most frequently mutated genes involved in osteosarcoma pathogenesis are tumor suppressor p53 (TP53) and the retinoblastoma susceptibility gene (RB1). Additionally, approximately 90% of osteosarcomas exhibit mutations in breast cancer (BRCA)-related genes.⁶ The recurrence and metastasis of osteosarcoma significantly affect patients' survival.⁷ Consequently, novel osteosarcoma treatments with substantial research potential are urgently needed to target mutated genes.

Currently, the standard treatment for osteosarcoma is neoadjuvant chemotherapy supplemented by a combination of surgical and adjuvant therapies.⁸ Osteosarcoma metastasis, influenced by the bone microenvironment, poses considerable challenges for both surgical and chemotherapeutic interventions.⁹ Immunotherapy and targeted chemotherapy are emerging strategies for osteosarcoma treatment, encompassing the innovation of novel drug delivery systems.¹⁰

MiRNAs are single-stranded endogenous RNA, approximately 22 nucleotides in length.¹¹ Lee et al¹² first identified miRNAs in Caenorhabditis elegans in 1993. Within the nucleus, RNA polymerase II transcribes miRNA genes into pri-miRNAs, which

Graphical Abstract



are subsequently cleaved into pre-miRNAs by Drosha and its cofactor DGCR8/Pasha.¹³ Subsequently, Exportin 5 transports premiRNAs to the cytoplasm, where Dicer cleaves them into small double-stranded RNAs termed miRNA duplexes.¹⁴ The miRNA duplex is incorporated into the guide strand channel of an argonaute protein, resulting in the formation of an RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC).¹⁵ The RISC complex then facilitates the recognition of the targeted mRNA, leading to either mRNA destabilization or translational repression (Figure 1).¹⁶

MiRNAs, functioning in association with argonaute and the 182 kDa glycine-tryptophan protein, engage with the mRNA 3'-untranslated region (UTR). This interaction leads to translational repression, deadenylation, and degradation, culminating in specific biological effects.¹⁷ MiRNAs play pivotal roles in modulating diverse physiological processes, including proliferation, differentiation, and immunity.¹⁸ Concurrently, miRNAs participate in the orchestration of cancer-associated pathological processes, including cell cycle regulation, proliferation, apoptosis, invasion, migration, and angiogenesis.^{19,20} Therapies based on the gene-regulating functions of miRNAs have been used for tumor treatment.²¹

MiRNA delivery involves safeguarding and stabilizing endogenous or exogenous miRNA structures via diverse techniques. This process ensures targeted delivery to disease sites, facilitates gene regulation, and aids in disease



Figure I MiRNA Biogenesis: Typically, RNA polymerase II (Pol II) transcribes a miRNA gene into the primary miRNA (pri-miRNA). In the nucleus, the RNase III endonuclease Drosha, along with the double-stranded RNA-binding domain (dsRBD) protein DGCR8/Pasha, processes the pri-miRNA. This results in a 2-nt 3'overhang that encompasses the ~70-nt precursor miRNA (pre-miRNA). Exportin-5 transports the pre-miRNA into the cytoplasm. Here, another RNase III endonuclease, Dicer, in conjunction with the dsRBD protein TRBP/Loquacious, cleaves it. This action yields a 2-nt 3' overhang that holds a ~21-nt miRNA* duplex. The miRNA strand integrates into an Argonaute-containing RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), through which it modulates mRNA translation.

treatment.²² These miRNAs include tumor suppressors (TS miRNAs), oncogenes (oncomiRs), miRNA mimics, and molecules specifically targeting miRNAs (anti-miRs).²³ TS miRNAs, which are typically downregulated in cancer, and oncomiRs, which are often overexpressed in cancer, are endogenous.²⁴ Utilizing exogenous miRNAs to modulate the expression of endogenous miRNAs offers a therapeutic approach for cancer.

Encapsulation of miRNAs into nanocarriers can improve delivery efficiency and become a promising strategy for targeting therapy of cancer.²⁵ Currently, many types of vectors are used for delivery of miRNAs.²⁶ Polymer-based carriers are capable of adsorbing or encapsulating miRNAs and can also be modified with groups or molecules to increase performance.^{27,28} Liposomes are highly biocompatible and have been widely used to deliver miRNAs.²⁰ Exosomes, secreted by cells, are capable of carrying various secretions and have homing properties to target lesions.³⁰

Inorganic nanoparticle can be used in conjunction with a variety of methods, such as magnetic fields and photothermal therapy, to enhance the effect of miRNA delivery.³¹

Strategies centered on miRNA-targeted delivery for tumor treatment have demonstrated efficacy and have been transitioned into clinical trials, revealing significant application potential.³² This advancement also kindles optimism for miRNA-based gene-targeted therapies for osteosarcoma. In this context, our study delves into the recent discoveries related to osteosarcoma treatment via miRNA delivery, summarizes the vectors used and explores the potentials for clinical applications.

Roles of miRNAs in Tumors

In 2002, Carlin et al³³ discovered that both miR-15 and miR-16 were either deleted or downregulated in 68% of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia, marking the inaugural identification of the association between miRNAs and tumors. Subsequently, researchers have progressively delved deeper into the relationship between miRNAs and tumors.^{34,35} The dysregulation of expression of miRNAs in cancer is intricately linked to tumor pathogenesis.³⁶ Therefore, targeting and modulating miRNA expression may be a novel therapeutic approach for cancer treatment.³⁷ Deregulated miRNAs in pathological conditions can be addressed through miRNA replacement therapies using miRNA mimics or by inhibiting the function of miRNAs using anti-miRs, both of which hold therapeutic promise.²³ In 2013, MRX34, a synthetic double-stranded miR-34a mimic, was introduced clinically for the first time in tumor treatment.³⁸ A deeper exploration of the role of miRNAs in tumorigenic mechanisms could expedite their therapeutic application in tumor treatments.³⁹

Multiple miRNAs play pivotal roles in the evolution and progression of breast and prostate cancers.⁴⁰ Xu et al⁴¹ identified that miRNA-135 curbed the onset of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in breast cancer. This was achieved by targeting and downregulating zinc finger protein 217 (ZNF217) and subsequently preventing Nanog homeobox (NANOG) upregulation by reducing N6-methyladenosine levels via methyltransferase-like 13 (METTL13). Gan et al⁴² observed that elevated levels of miR-375 in patients with castration-resistant PCa could expedite prostate cancer progression and resistance to enzalutamide. This was achieved by disrupting the expression of phosphatase nonreceptor type 4, which subsequently stabilized phosphorylated signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3). Khan et al⁴³ determined that miR-1 directly targeted the 3'-UTR of CXC chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4), which hindered Forkhead box M1 (FOXM1) from binding to the Ribonucleotide reductase M2 (RRM2) promoter, thereby inhibiting the growth and metastasis of small cell lung cancer. Additionally, researches indicated that tumor-derived exosomes significantly influenced cancer development by promoting cancer proliferation, invasion, metastasis, EMT, and immune evasion.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ Oiu et al⁴⁷ discovered that exo-miR-519a-3p derived from gastric cancer triggered M2 polarization in intrahepatic macrophages, leading to the establishment of premetastatic niches rich in angiogenesis. Zeng et al⁴⁸ discovered that exosomal miR-25-3p, originating from colorectal cancer cells, elevated the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR2), zonula occludens-1 (ZO-1), occludin, and Claudin5 in endothelial cells by targeting Krüppel-like factor 2 (KLF2) and Krüppel-like factor 4 (KLF4). This action enhanced vascular permeability and angiogenesis, thereby promoting colorectal cancer metastasis. Consequently, miRNAs are intricately linked to the biological behaviors of tumors and are promising targets for tumor therapy.⁴⁹

Effects of miRNAs in the Occurrence and Development of Osteosarcoma

Numerous studies have explored the role of miRNAs in promoting osteosarcoma development, highlighting their potential therapeutic targets (Table 1).

Cell Proliferation

Cell proliferation is a defining characteristic of the cancer cells. Many studies explored the mechanisms by which miRNAs promote the proliferation of osteosarcoma cells.⁶⁹ The phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) can curtail osteosarcoma proliferation by negatively modulating the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/protein kinase B/mammalian target of rapamycin (PI3K/AKT/mTOR) pathway.⁷⁰ A research indicated that miR-93 could target PTEN, leading to the inhibition of osteosarcoma proliferation.⁵⁰ In osteosarcoma cells, while miR-let-7a expression diminished, its target gene E2F Transcription Factor 2 (E2F2) was upregulated. Overexpression of let-7a in these cells markedly reduced tumor

MiRNA	Effect on osteosarcoma	In vivo/in vitro trial	Proposed mechanism	References
MiR-93	Proliferation↑	In vivo and in vitro	PTEN↓	[50]
Let-7a	Proliferation↓	In vivo and in vitro	E2F2↑	[51]
	Metastasis↑	In vivo and in vitro	CI5orf4I↓	[52]
MiR-221	Proliferation↑	In vitro	FBXWII↓	[53]
	Apoptosis↓			
MiR-1281	Apoptosis↑	In vivo and in vitro	USP39↓	[54]
MiR-663a	Apoptosis↑	In vivo and in vitro	vivo and in vitro ZBTB7A↓	
MiR-382	Metastasis↓	In vivo and in vitro	YB-I↓	[56]
MiR-101	Metastasis↓	In vivo and in vitro	BCL6↓	[57]
MiR-487b-3 _P	Metastasis↓	In vivo and in vitro	ALDH1A3↓	[58]
MiR-199a-5p	Angiogenesis↓	In vivo and in vitro	VEGFA↓	[59]
MiR-134	Angiogenesis↓	In vivo and in vitro	VEGFA↓, VEGFRI↓	[60]
MiR-381	Angiogenesis↓	In vivo and in vitro	VEGFA↓	[61]
MiR-CT3	Angiogenesis↓	In vitro	VEGFA↓	[62]
MiR-424-5p	Angiogenesis↓	In vitro	VEGFA↓	[63]
MiR-17/20a	Immune regulation (macrophages↓)	In vivo and in vitro	CsfI↓	[64]
MiR-499a	Drug resistance↓	In vivo and in vitro	SHKBPI↓	[65]
MiR-29b-1	Drug resistance↓	In vitro	(uncertified)	[66]
MiR-140	Drug resistance↑	In vitro	(uncertified)	[67]
MiR-215	Drug resistance↑	In vitro	DTL↓	[84]

Table I MiRNAs in Osteosarcoma

growth in vivo.⁵¹ Additionally, Zhang et al⁵³ discovered that overexpression of miR-221 in osteosarcoma cells bolstered osteosarcoma proliferation and induced apoptosis. This effect was attributed to the downregulation of F-box and WD repeat domain containing 11 (FBXW11), which amplified Wnt signaling activity.

Cell Apoptosis

Apoptosis, a structured and coordinated cell death process, is prevalent in both physiological and pathological states but is notably reduced in cancer.⁷¹ Endoplasmic reticulum stress (ERS) arises from the accumulation of misfolded proteins within the ER, culminating in apoptosis of osteosarcoma cells.⁷² Jiang et al⁵⁴ observed that during ERS, p53 upregulates miR-1281, which subsequently targeted and suppressed ubiquitin-specific protease 39 (USP39), thereby inducing apoptosis in osteosarcoma cells. Zhang et al⁵⁵ identified that in osteosarcoma cells subjected to tunicamycin or thapsigargin-induced ERS, miR-663a directly bound to ZBTB7A 3'-UTR, reducing its expression. This action negated ZBTB7A transcriptional repression of lncRNA GAS5, amplifying ERS-induced apoptosis.

Cell Metastasis

Metastasis involves the proliferation of cancer cells at sites distant from their origin and encompasses processes such as dissemination, dormancy, and colonization.⁷³ MiRNAs interact with multiple signaling pathways that regulate

osteosarcoma metastasis, presenting potential targets for metastasis inhibition and osteosarcoma treatment.⁷⁴ Osteosarcoma cells can lose polarity and initiate invasion and migration via EMT, a process modulated by miRNAs.⁷⁵ Xu et al⁵⁶ observed the downregulation of miR-382 in osteosarcoma cells. However, elevating miR-382 levels curtailed EMT and osteosarcoma cell metastasis by targeting Y box-binding protein 1. Zhang et al⁵⁷ observed that miR-101 expression was markedly reduced in metastatic osteosarcoma cells compared with non-metastatic cells. However, amplifying miR-101 expression hindered osteosarcoma cell invasion and migration by suppressing the expression of B-cell lymphoma 6 (BCL6), an osteosarcoma tumor suppressor. Cheng et al⁵⁸ discovered that elevated miR-487b-3p levels suppressed osteosarcoma cell migration by targeting aldehyde dehydrogenase family 1 member 3 (ALDH1A3).

Angiogenesis

Angiogenesis is the process through which new capillaries emerge from an existing vascular network.⁷⁶ The vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) plays a pivotal role in regulating angiogenesis.⁷⁷ VEGF facilitates the detachment of pericytes from the basement membrane, weakens the extracellular matrix via proteolytic degradation, and subsequently drives the migration and proliferation of the endothelial cells lining the inner walls of blood vessels.⁷⁸ VEGF promotes the proliferation and survival of endothelial cells and contributes to tumor angiogenesis.⁷⁹ Thus, targeting VEGF could be a strategy for curtailing tumor growth.

Emerging evidence indicates that miRNAs play a pivotal role in regulating tumor angiogenesis.⁸⁰ Numerous studies have demonstrated that miRNAs modulate osteosarcoma angiogenesis by targeting VEGF-A. Zhang et al⁵⁹ discovered that exosomal miR-199a-5p derived from osteosarcoma cells translocated to human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs), targeting and suppressing VEGFA expression, thereby inhibiting osteosarcoma growth and angiogenesis. Zhang et al⁶⁰ observed that miR-134 expression was reduced in osteosarcoma cells. However, when overexpressed, miR-134 targeted and suppressed VEGFA and VEGFR1, thereby inhibiting osteosarcoma angiogenesis and proliferation. Tsai et al⁶¹ discovered that Wnt-induced signaling protein 1 (WISP-1) enhanced VEGFA expression and angiogenesis by diminishing miR-381 expression, thereby advancing osteosarcoma progression. Raimondi et al⁶² identified that miR-CT3 curtailed tumor angiogenesis by targeting VEGFA. Moreover, anti-angiogenic medications can suppress VEGFA synthesis through miRNAs, consequently inhibiting angiogenesis in osteosarcoma. Vimalraj et al⁶³ revealed that melatonin elevated miR-424-5p expression in osteosarcoma, leading to VEGFA inhibition.

Immune Regulation

The tumor microenvironment (TME) comprises a network of immune cells that secrete numerous cytokines, regulating immune responses and influencing tumor behavior.⁸¹ Macrophages are pivotal components of the TME. Nirala et al⁶⁴ determined that hyperactivation of myelocytomatosis oncogene (MYC) resulted in macrophage colony-stimulating factor 1 (CSF1) downregulation due to elevated miR-17/20a expression, leading to reduced macrophage presence in the osteosarcoma TME. Yan et al⁵² observed the upregulation of let-7a levels in exosomes derived from tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs). This upregulation targeted the 3'-UTR of C15orf41, leading to increased invasion and migration in osteosarcoma.

Drug Resistance

Chemotherapy resistance poses a significant challenge to the treatment of osteosarcoma. However, strategies targeting the genes responsible for this resistance are promising.⁸² MiRNAs can target signaling pathways associated with chemoresistance genes in osteosarcoma, thereby enhancing the sensitivity of cells to chemotherapy.⁸³ Wang et al⁶⁵ discovered that transforming growth factor- β (TGF β)-induced EMT reduced miR-499a expression via Snail1/Zeb1 binding directly to the miR-499a promoter. This subsequently elevated SH3KBP1-binding protein 1 (SHKBP1) expression, a target of miR-499a, thereby increasing erlotinib resistance in osteosarcoma cells. Di Fiore et al⁶⁶ observed the downregulation of miR-29b-1 in human osteosarcoma cells. However, miR-29b-1 upregulation suppressed stemness and increased chemosensitivity in the 3AB-osteosarcoma cells by targeting histone deacetylase 4. Song et al⁶⁷ revealed that miR-140 enhanced chemore-sistance in osteosarcoma cells E3 ubiquitin protein ligase homolog (DTL) expression, thereby reducing cell proliferation. This resulted in the increased resistance of osteosarcoma cells to methotrexate and Tomudex.

MiRNA Delivery

MiRNAs that are intricately linked to the signaling pathways involved in the onset and progression of osteosarcoma can be modulated to hinder osteosarcoma progression.⁶⁸ While miRNA-based therapies hold significant promise for tumor treatment, the direct introduction of miRNAs as drugs into the body can substantially reduce their efficacy.⁸⁵ The direct introduction of exogenous miRNA mimics and anti-miRs into the body poses challenges, including degradation by RNases, potential absorption by tissues and organs, and possible harm to the organism.⁸⁶ Consequently, drug delivery systems that safeguard miRNAs, ensure targeted delivery, enhance their efficacy, and accelerate their clinical application, are needed.

Currently, vectors for miRNA delivery for tumor treatment are categorized into viral and nonviral types.^{87,88} While viral vectors have a high delivery efficiency, they pose significant safety concerns. In contrast, nonviral vectors, despite their lower delivery efficiency, offer greater safety and hold substantial promise for clinical use.⁸⁹ Consequently, recent clinical studies on miRNA delivery vectors have predominantly focused on nonviral vectors.⁹⁰

Treatment of Osteosarcoma by miRNA Delivery

Using nanoparticles to deliver miRNAs for cancer treatment has proven to be feasible.⁹¹ Moreover, miRNA delivery can be used to treat osteosarcomas. In this section, we discuss studies on the use of nanomaterials for miRNA delivery in osteosarcoma treatment (Figure 2 and Table 2).



Figure 2 Nanoparticles for miRNA Delivery: Historical studies have categorized nanoparticles used for miRNA delivery in osteosarcoma treatment into four main types. The first category encompasses polymer-based nanoparticles, which include dextran, dPG-NH₂, pBAE, L64-PEI, TC, and PGEA. The second category includes lipid-based nanoparticle, containing PEGylated Staramine nanoparticle. The third category involves extracellular vesicles, notably exosomes. The fourth category comprises inorganic-based nanoparticles, including PDI, Fe₂O₃@PDA-FA, and FePS@PPF.

Nanoparticle type	Composition	MiRNA element	Drug co- delivery	In vivo/in vitro trial	Size [nm]	Reference
Polymer-based	Dextran	MiR-199a-3p, let-7a	-	In vitro	351.6±2.5	[92]
	Dendritic polyglycerolamine (dPG-NH ₂)	miR-34a Mimics, miR-93 mimics, miR-200c mimics	-	In vivo and in vitro	80.32±23.79	[93]
	Poly-beta-amino-esters (pBAE)	MiR-29b mimics	Doxorubicin	In vivo and in vitro	151±2	[94]
	Pluronic [®] L64- polyethyleneimine (L64-PEI)	MiR-145	-	In vitro	≈100-250	[95]
	TGIC-CA (TC)	MiR-22	Volasertib	In vivo and in vitro	≈200	[96]
	Ethanolamine (EA)-modified poly (glycidyl methacrylate) (PGEA)	MiR-223	-	In vivo and in vitro	≈180	[97]
Lipid-based	PEGylated Staramine nanoparticles	Anti-miR-20a oligonucleotides	-	In vivo and in vitro	-	[98]
Extracellular vesicles	BMSCs-derived exosomes	MiR-206 mimic	-	In vivo and in vitro	≈100	[99]
	AD-MSCs-derived exosomes	The lentiviral particles encoding miR-101	-	In vivo and in vitro	-	[57]
	MSCs-derived exosomes	MiR-22 mimics	-	In vitro	-	[100]
	BMSCs-derived exosomes	MiR-143	-	In vitro	60–180	[101]
	H143B-derived exosomes	The lentiviral particles encoding miR-144-3p	-	In vivo and in vitro	131.8	[102]
Inorganic-based	Poly(ethylenimine)-dextran- iron oxide nanoparticles (PDIs)	MiR-302b plasmids	-	In vivo and in vitro	148.67 ± 1.52	[103]
	Polydopamine (PDA) -coated, folate (FA) -modified iron oxide (Fe ₂ O ₃ @PDA-FA)	NH2-miR-520a-3p	-	In vivo and in vitro	89 ± 2.08	[104]
	FePS ₃ and poly-L-lysine-PEG- folic acid (FePS@PPF)	Anti-miR-19a	-	In vivo and in vitro	206.1	[196]

Table 2 Nanoparticles for miRNA Delivery

Abbreviations: miRNAs, microRNAs; TP53, tumor suppressor p53; RB1, retinoblastoma susceptibility gene; BRCA, breast cancer; RISC, RNA-induced silencing complex; UTR, untranslated region; TS, tumor suppressors; EMT, epithelial-mesenchymal transition; ZNF217, zinc finger protein 217; NANOG, Nanog homeobox; METTL13, methyltransferase-like 13; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; CXCR4, CXC chemokine receptor type 4; FOXM1, Forkhead box M1; RRM2, Ribonucleotide reductase M2; VEGFR2, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2; ZO-1, zonula occludens-1; KLF2, Krüppel-like factor 2; KLF4, Krüppel-like factor 4; PTEN, phosphatase and tensin homolog; PI3K/AKT/mTOR, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/protein kinase B/mammalian target of rapamycin; E2F2, E2F Transcription Factor 2; FBXW11, F-box and WD repeat domain containing 11; ERS, endoplasmic reticulum stress; USP39, ubiquitin-specific protease 39; BCL6, B-cell lymphoma 6; ALDH1A3, aldehyde dehydrogenase family 1 member 3; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; HUVECs, human umbilical vein endothelial cells; WISP-1, Wnt-induced signaling protein 1; TME, tumor microenvironment; MYC, myelocytomatosis oncogene; CSF1, colony-stimulating factor 1; TAMs, tumor-associated macrophages; TGFβ, transforming growth factor-β; SHKBP1, SH3KBP1-binding protein 1; CSC, cancer stem cell; DTL, denticleless E3 ubiquitin protein ligase homolog; PEG, polyethylene glycol; dPG-NH₂, dendritic polyglycerolamine; pBAE, poly-beta-amino esters; HA, hyaluronic acid; CD44, cluster of differentiation-44; Dox, doxorubicin; PEI, polyethyleneimine; TGIC, 1,3,5-triglycidyl isocyanurate; CA, cystamine; PGEA, ethanolamine-modified poly (glycidyl methacrylate); MPS, mononuclear phagocyte system; MSCs, mesenchymal stem cell; SVS, extracellular vesicles; hBMSC, human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell; TRA2B, transformer 2 protein homolog beta; AD-MSC, adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cell; MNPs, magnetic nanoparticles; PDIs, poly (ethylenimine), dextran, and iron oxide in

MiRNA Delivery via Polymer-Based Nanoparticles

Dextran is a water-soluble, biocompatible, and biodegradable substance that is non-toxic and non-immunogenic. Its properties can be enhanced by structural modifications, making it a popular drug delivery system.¹⁰⁶ Zhang et al⁹² used lipid-modified dextran-based polymeric nanoparticles to introduce miR-199a-3p and let-7a into osteosarcoma cells,

inhibiting proliferation and growth of osteosarcoma cells. The lipid-modified dextran is amphiphilic dextran copolymers, which can self-assemble in an aqueous environment by hydrophobic interactions, forming spherical nanoparticles known as micelles.¹⁰⁷ The drug can then be encapsulated in a hydrophobic environment inside the carrier, increasing stability of the drug.¹⁰⁸ Also, the polyethylene glycol (PEG) on its surface reduces the clearance of the carrier by the reticuloen-dothelial system, thereby increasing the retention time of the drug in the circulation.¹⁰⁹ Zhang et al was the first to use polymers to encapsulate miRNAs to inhibit osteosarcoma. The effectivity and targetability of lipid-modified dextranbased polymeric nanoparticles to the osteosarcoma within organisms are uncertain because Zhang et al did not conduct vivo experiments in osteosarcoma. In addition, the safety of the lipid-modified dextranbased polymeric nanoparticles on organisms is still needed to verify by more experiments.

Dendritic polyglycerolamine (dPG-NH₂) is a nanocarrier derived from polyglycerol. dPG-NH₂ possesses 175 amines per mole of polymer, enabling it to bind negatively charged miRNAs.⁹³ Tiram et al⁹³ successfully delivered miR-93,⁵⁰ miR-200e,¹¹⁰ and miR-34a¹¹¹ into human osteosarcoma cells both in vitro and in vivo by dPG-NH₂. These miRNAs induced tumor dormancy which extending time window of treatment.⁹³ Therefore, miRNA delivery not only inhibits osteosarcoma growth, but also brings hopes for the applications of other treatments of osteosarcoma. And it inspires the idea that we could combine other treatments, such as chemotherapy and surgery, with miRNA delivery to increase the therapeutic effect. Additionally, the enhanced permeability and retention effect allows nanoparticles to penetrate and accumulate more effectively in tumor cells, thus enhancing the therapeutic impact of drugs encapsulated within the nanoparticles.^{112,113} Tiram et al⁹³ demonstrated the accumulation of miRNAs in tumor cells through in vivo experiments, which suggested that dPG-NH₂ successfully delivered miRNAs into the tumor tissue. But we do not know the distribution of miRNAs in other tissues and organs in the body compared to tumor tissues, which is related to the vector's tropism to tumors. One study highlighted that cationic nanoparticles disrupted cell membrane integrity more significantly than anionic nanoparticles, posing a potential biological risk.¹¹⁴ Hence, experiments are also needed to explore the biotoxicity of dPG-NH₂.

Poly-beta-amino esters (pBAE) are cationic polymers synthesized through the Michael addition of acrylates to amines.¹¹⁵ pBAE has been extensively used in drug delivery research because of its biocompatibility, biodegradability.¹¹⁶ Freeman et al⁹⁴ used pBAE combined with hyaluronic acid (HA) to deliver miR-29b for osteosar-coma treatment. As the HA underwent swelling and degradation, it gradually released pBAE to deliver miRNAs progressively,⁹⁴ which contributed to reduce the number of administrations and increase patient compliance in clinical therapy. In addition, the receptor for HA, cluster of differentiation-44 (CD44), which is upregulated in OS cell lines,¹¹⁷ is overexpressed in various cancers and is used for CD44-mediated tumor targeting.¹¹⁸ Therefore, the aggregation of nanoparticles and miRNAs within osteosarcoma cells under HA targeted delivery in vivo can be further investigated in the future. Additionally, Freeman et al⁹⁴ combined systemic doxorubicin (Dox) administration with the targeted delivery of miR-29b. They demonstrated that miR-29b offered benefits in terms of reduced osteolysis which resulted from Dox.⁹⁴ Thus, delivery of miRNAs not only increases the efficacy of chemotherapeutic drugs, but also alleviates the suffering of body caused by chemotherapy. Furthermore, the above studies demonstrated that miRNA-targeted delivery combined with chemotherapeutic agents was effective and feasible.

Magalhães et al⁹⁵ employed a micellar nanosystem composed of the amphiphilic copolymer Pluronic[®] L64 and the cationic polymer polyethyleneimine (PEI) for the delivery of therapeutic miRNA-145 to osteosarcoma cells. PEI is a cationic polymer with several positive charges.¹¹⁹ Conversely, RNA is a biomolecule with a prominent negative charge.¹²⁰ It can bind to PEI, facilitating its passage through negatively charged cell membranes.¹²¹ Pluronic[®] L64, a neutral amphiphilic triblock copolymer, has a hydrophobic core and a hydrophilic shell, which can interact with phospholipid pairs in cell membranes and alter the membrane structure and function.¹²² Chen et al¹²² discovered that in a cellular internalization assay of PEI/pDNA, L64 enhanced the permeability of endosomal/lysosomal membranes, facilitating the escape of PEI/pDNA from endosomal/lysosomal catabolism. So Pluronic[®] L64 serves as a guardian, increasing the retention time of miRNAs in the circulation to serve as an effective vector for miRNA delivery. miR-145, which is diminished in osteosarcoma tissues and cell lines, inhibits osteosarcoma cell proliferation and invasion by targeting and silencing Rho-associated protein kinase 1.¹²³ It also targets VEGF to inhibit osteosarcoma cell invasion and angiogenesis.¹²⁴ Additionally, miR-145 suppresses EMT in osteosarcoma by targeting Snail, a potent repressor of

E-cadherin transcription.¹²⁵ In an in vitro study using the osteosarcoma cell line MG-63, Magalhães et al⁹⁵ demonstrated that the L64-PEI/miR-145 drug formulation effectively transported and released miR-145 into the cell cytoplasm which led to apoptosis and hindered cell migration. But PEI, due to its numerous amine groups, has evident cytotoxicity to cells.^{126,127} However, as mentioned earlier, PEI readily binds to RNA and serves to act as a transporter or bridge to other substances and it is an excellent carrier for RNA delivery. Therefore, we can modify PEI to reduce its toxicity.^{128,129} Pluronic[®] L64 has been studied for the use of intramuscular delivery of genes.^{130,131} But the study on Pluronic[®] L64 in tumor therapy is not sufficient. Thus, its toxicity in living organisms still needs to be further investigated.

Chen et al⁹⁶ employed TGIC-CA (TC), a hydroxyl-rich, reduction-responsive cationic polymeric nanoparticle. It was synthesized via a one-step epoxy ring-opening reaction between 1,3,5-triglycidyl isocyanurate (TGIC) and cystamine (CA) to deliver miRNA-22 for osteosarcoma treatment.⁹⁶ Owing to its abundant hydroxyl groups, this polyhydroxy cationic polymer, synthesized using reagents with multiple amino or epoxy groups through a direct ring-opening reaction, exhibited higher transfection efficiency and lower cytotoxicity than PEI.¹²⁶ Additionally, Volasertib, a potent cell cycle kinase inhibitor, was delivered using TC along with miR-22.⁹⁶ Research has indicated that both miR-22 and Volasertib inhibit the PI3K/Akt pathway.^{132,133} The combined therapeutic effect of TC/miR-22 and Volasertib was proved to be better than that of TC/miR-22 alone.⁹⁶ Hence, combining miRNA-targeted delivery of polymer with chemotherapeutic agents holds significant promise for osteosarcoma treatment.

Ethanolamine-modified poly (glycidyl methacrylate) (PGEA) is a cation carrier enriched with hydroxyl groups. It has low toxicity and high transfection efficiency, making it a popular choice in recent gene therapy studies.^{134,135} Research has indicated that miR-223 plays a role in hindering the progression of osteosarcoma.^{136,137} Chen et al⁹⁷ used PGEA to deliver miR-223 in vivo, which effectively inhibited the proliferation, invasion, and migration of osteosarcoma cells without causing notable toxicity. Additionally, the adjacent nonionic hydrophilic hydroxyl groups boost the affinity of the cationic polymer for the anionic gene, enhancing transfection efficiency and also shielding the potentially harmful cationic charge of the carrier.^{138,139} The presence of hydroxyl groups diminishes the interaction between PGEA and serum proteins, thereby promoting its stability in the bloodstream.^{140–143} Hence, the introduction of hydroxyl group modifications significantly enhances cationic polymer carriers' affinity for miRNAs and reduces the probability of being disturbed by substances in the blood circulation.

The in vivo metabolism, accumulation, and side effects of polymer vectors also need to be considered if polymer vectors are to be used in the clinic for delivery of miRNAs for the treatment of osteosarcoma.^{144–146}

MiRNA Delivery via Lipid-Based Nanoparticles

Liposomes have been widely studied for in vivo drug delivery.¹⁴⁷ PEGylation shields nanocapsules from plasma protein adsorption and mononuclear phagocyte system (MPS) detection, thereby facilitating their circulation in the bloodstream and subsequent drug release.¹⁴⁸ Liposomes with a particle size of 20–100 nm were reported to exhibit uniform drug encapsulation, stable drug release, and longer circulation times.¹⁴⁹ And the particle size of PEGylated Staramine was about 80–100 nm according to a previous research.¹⁵⁰ Yang et al⁹⁸ used PEGylated Staramine to deliver anti-miR-20a oligonucleotides targeting Fas to suppress lung metastatic osteosarcoma by intravenous injection. Therefore, to address the early metastatic nature of osteosarcoma, systemic administration, for example, intravenous injection, may plays a more effective role in inhibiting potential osteosarcoma metastases.¹⁵¹ However, research has indicated that PEGylated lipid nanocarriers possess immunogenic properties, potentially diminishing carrier absorption and posing safety concerns.¹⁵² However, subsequent research showed that polyethylene glycosylation did not increase cell death rates.¹⁵³ And perhaps the formulation of different kinds of lipids affects the cytotoxicity of lipid nanoparticles.^{153,154} In addition, application of liposomes with excessive diameter should be avoided as it may lead to fat embolization.^{155,156} And whether the immune evasion of liposomes for a long period of time will have adverse effects on the organism still needs to be further investigated.

MiRNA Delivery via Extracellular Vesicles

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are pluripotent cells with the ability to differentiate into diverse cell types and exhibit immunomodulatory and tumor-homing properties.¹⁵⁷ Given their low immunogenicity and tumor-homing capabilities,

MSCs can be engineered to produce antitumorigenic miRNAs.¹⁵⁸ Exosomes are extracellular vesicles (EVs) measuring 40–160 nm in diameter that carry substances from their originating cells. They are secreted and play a role in intercellular signaling.¹⁵⁹ Mesenchymal stem cell-extracellular vesicles (MSC-EVs) exhibit low immunogenicity and possess tumor-targeting capabilities, making them suitable for the delivery of miRNAs in tumor treatment.¹⁶⁰ Numerous studies have explored miRNA delivery using MSC-EVs.¹⁶¹

MSC-EVs significantly influence the onset, progression, and treatment of osteosarcoma.¹⁶² However, exosomes from original MSCs may facilitate progression of cancer.¹⁶³ That is because primitive MSCs are able to secrete exosomes carrying miRNAs which can promote proliferation of osteosarcoma.^{164–166} Thus, engineered exosomes from MSCs are ideal miRNA carriers for targeted tumor therapy.¹⁶⁷ Given that certain MSC-EVs can enhance tumor growth, employing exosomes from MSCs with tumor-suppressive traits can be an effective strategy for cancer therapy.¹⁶⁸

Numerous studies have focused on engineered MSC exosomes carrying miRNAs targeting osteosarcoma and their specific mechanism. Zhang et al⁹⁹ introduced miR-206 mimics into human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell (hBMSC). This miR-206 was then transferred to the human osteosarcoma cell line 143 B via hBMSC-derived exosomes, which subsequently inhibited osteosarcoma growth and spread by targeting transformer 2 protein homolog beta (TRA2B). Zhang et al⁵⁷ employed a lentivirus to make adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cell (AD-MSC) express miR-101, using exosomes for targeted delivery, which inhibited the lung metastasis of osteosarcoma. MSC-EVs loaded with miR-22 also inhibited osteosarcoma by targeting the Twist1/CADM1 axis.¹⁰⁰ Thus, engineering MSC-EVs can turn them into excellent carriers for miRNA delivery for the treatment of osteosarcoma.^{169,170} However, it remains to be explored whether the other contents of the exosomes may cause a negative effect on the body, and whether miRNAs within exosomes will pair spontaneously reducing efficacy.^{171,172} Preparation procedures of exosomes are cumbersome and can only be stored at low temperatures, resulting in high costs, which may limit their widespread use.^{173,174} Therefore, more safety validation and clinical trials are needed in order to use engineered MSC exosomes for the clinical treatment of osteosarcoma.

Exosomes derived from tumor cells preferentially target tumors because of their homotypic characteristics.¹⁷⁷ In addition, exosomes derived from osteosarcoma cells can serve as miRNA delivery vectors. Shimbo et al¹⁰¹ introduced miR-143 into human osteosarcoma cell line 143 B. The resulting 143B-derived exosomes then conveyed miR-143 back to 143 B cells, inhibiting their migration. Recently, induction of ferroptosis in tumor cells has become a new direction in tumor therapy.^{178,179} Engineering exosomes to induce ferroptosis in tumor cells is a promising therapy strategy of tumor.¹⁸⁰ Jiang et al¹⁰² enhanced the expression of miR-144-3p in the human osteosarcoma cell line, 143 B. miR-144-3p then promoted ferroptosis, curbing osteosarcoma growth and spread by modulating ZEB1 expression. However, exosomes originating from tumors contain elements that can promote cancer progression.⁴⁴ Drug resistance of osteosarcoma cells can be transmitted via miRNAs.¹⁸¹ Therefore, the use of tumor-derived exosomes as miRNA carriers in cancer therapy poses potential risks. Future research should explore the viability of tumor-derived exosomes as carriers for cancer treatment.

MiRNA Delivery via Inorganic-Based Nanoparticles

Magnetofection is an delivery strategy that combines magnetic drug targeting and gene delivery.¹⁸² The kinds of magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs), coating molecules on the surface that can affect their size and charge, external magnetic field, method of administration will influence their biodistribution.^{183,184} Surface functionalization of MNPs enhances their ability to adsorb miRNAs and prevents biodegradation.¹⁸⁵ The use of ammonium terminal groups on the nanoparticle surface allows the electrostatic interactions between nanoparticles and miRNAs.¹⁸⁶ So enhancing magnetic nanoparticles with specific substances, such as the cationic polymer PEI, can boost their transfection efficiencies.¹⁸³ While iron oxide nanoparticles show significant promise in biomedicine, they have a drawback in terms of their hydrophobic surfaces.¹⁸⁷ The modification of iron oxide with dextran can counteract this limitation.¹⁰⁶ Gong et al¹⁰³ used a magnetic gene carrier composed of PEI, dextran, and iron oxide nanoparticles (PDIs) to deliver miR-302b, targeting YOD1 deubiquitinase (YOD1) and suppressing osteosarcoma. In their cytotoxicity tests, the toxicity of PDI rose with increasing concentrations of low molecular weight (≤2000) PEI. However, at low concentrations, its toxicity was minimal, especially when compared to that of PEI with a molecular weight of 25 kDa.¹⁰³ Lin et al¹⁸⁸ created

a chitosan-PEI crosslinked polymer (Chi-xPEI) by crosslinking low-molecular-weight PEI with chitosan. This significantly reduced the cytotoxicity of PEI. Additionally, NP-Chi-xPEI, produced by combining iron oxide nanoparticles with Chi-xPEI, demonstrated superior drug-loading capabilities that were reliant on PEI.¹⁸⁸ Thus, modifying PEI cuts down requirement for miRNAs, offers lower cytotoxicity, and enhances the drug-loading efficiency of the inorganic nanoparticles. This approach capitalizes on strengths while mitigating weaknesses. Gong et al¹⁰³ highlighted the limitations in drug release and intratumoral distribution of PDI. Studies have suggested that surface functionalization can address these issues.^{189,190}

Photothermal therapy (PTT) involves irradiation of a photosensitizer with a near-infrared laser to produce high temperatures that kill cancer cells. This method has been extensively studied for the treatment of bone cancer.¹⁹¹ Li et al¹⁰⁴ combined miR-520a-3p with polydopamine (PDA)-coated, folate-modified iron oxide (Fe₂O₃@PDA-FA) for osteosarcoma PTT, which effectively inhibited its growth. PDA modification enhances the biocompatibility and hydrophilicity of iron oxide and offers robust photothermal conversion capabilities suitable for PTT.¹⁹² The folate receptor is overexpressed in numerous tumor cells, allowing folate-modified nanocarriers to specifically target them.^{193–195} Similarly, Luo et al¹⁹⁶ employed a multifunctional nanoplatform crafted from FePS₃ and poly-L-lysine-PEG-folic acid (PPF) (FePS@PPF), to convey anti-miR-19a, suppressing osteosarcoma growth. Li et al¹⁰⁴ utilized an 808 nm near-infrared (NIR) laser for irradiation, whereas Luo et al¹⁹⁶ employed a 1064 nm NIR-II laser. The chosen irradiation wavelength depends on the photothermal conversion efficiency and the depth of lesion.¹⁹⁷ And for osteosarcomas that are deep in the tissue, long wavelength, such as the NIR-II window (1000–1700 nm), is required for effective treatment.¹⁰⁵ Moreover, the control of the duration, temperature level and uniformity of photothermal therapy at the lesion site also affects its clinical translatability.¹⁹⁸ Except from cancer, Fe₂O₃@PDA-FA and FePS@PPF mainly aggregated in the spleen, and their metabolic patterns and effects on the body still needed to be further clarified.^{104,196} In conclusion, merging the photothermal therapy with miRNA genes targeting, especially when utilizing inorganic nanocarriers, holds great promise.

Directions and Challenges in the Future

MiRNAs, which are key players in epigenetic regulation, have demonstrated potent regulatory and therapeutic effects on tumors with aberrant gene expression. MiRNAs are promising diagnostic biomarkers of osteosarcoma.¹⁹⁹ And then numerous miRNAs have been linked to the onset and progression of osteosarcoma, positioning them as potential therapeutic targets.²⁰⁰ By analyzing expression profiles of miRNAs across various osteosarcoma types, specific miRNAs can be identified for targeted treatment.

MiRNAs are susceptible to be cleared by RNase in vivo, so they need to be protected and delivered by vectors.^{32,201} Targeted miRNA delivery for tumor therapy is a burgeoning research area with immense potential. Safety remains paramount when selecting delivery vectors. While viral vectors offer robust transfection capabilities, they pose safety concerns.²⁰² In contrast, nonviral vectors may have limited drug-loading capacity but are generally safer. Current researches on miRNA delivery for osteosarcoma treatment employed nanocarriers, such as polymers, liposomes, exosomes, and inorganic particles. Cationic polymers can be cytotoxic because of their abundant amino groups.²⁰³ Intravenous injection of large liposomes has a risk of causing embolism.^{155,156} Exosomes excel in drug loading and biocompatibility; however, some studies have suggested that they may inadvertently promote cancer growth.^{164–166} The exact metabolism of inorganic-based nanoparticles in the body still needs to be explored.^{104,196} Fortunately, with proper engineering, these challenges associated with nanocarriers can be addressed.

Modifications are crucial for enhancing the nanocarrier performance. While abundant surface amino groups of PEI contribute to its cytotoxicity, modifications, such as ethylenediamine, can mitigate this effect.¹³⁹ Using low molecular weight (≤ 2000) PEI to modify nanocarriers can reduce positive charge cytotoxicity while retaining its advantages.¹⁰³ Additionally, suitable modifications can enhance the properties of polymeric supports. For instance, HA and folate modifications can enhance the tumor-targeting ability of nanocarriers.^{117,118} PEGylation extends the blood retention time of nanocarriers, thereby facilitating drug release.¹⁰⁹ Choosing engineered exosomes from MSCs to inhibit osteosarcoma may address the issue of safety.¹⁶⁷ These solutions have significant potential for safety.

Given that osteosarcoma tends to metastasize early, the mode of administration is worth being considered. In the review, miRNA delivery modalities used to treat osteosarcoma are categorized into local and systemic applications. Chen et al⁹⁶ successfully inhibited osteosarcoma metastasis by local application of miRNAs. However, osteosarcoma tends to metastasize early and often has been metastatic by the time it is diagnosed.^{204,205} Zhang et al⁵⁷ shrank pulmonary metastasis of osteosarcoma by tail vein injection of miR-101 encapsulated in exosomes from adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells. Therefore, systemic delivery might be suitable for osteosarcoma, especially for metastasis. More experiments are needed to explore the difference in efficacy between systemic and topical drug administration.

Combining miRNA delivery with other therapies offers promising potential for osteosarcoma treatment. When combined with chemotherapeutic drugs, miRNAs enhance the chemosensitivity of osteosarcoma, significantly improving the efficacy of chemotherapy.⁹⁴ The integration of PTT with miRNA delivery holds considerable promise.^{104,196}

Several miRNA-based therapies have been tested in clinical trials.^{22,206,207} However, no miRNA delivery therapy for osteosarcoma has entered clinical trials. Osteosarcoma development is characterized by intricate molecular mechanisms and alterations in the expression of several miRNAs.⁶⁸ Gaining insight into the regulatory networks and associated signaling pathways of miRNAs in osteosarcoma could pave the way for initiating clinical trials of miRNA delivery.

Recently, plant-derived nanoparticles have become a hot research topic.²⁰⁸ Plant-derived exosome-like nanoparticles are widely available which relatively saves the cost, and are capable of delivering miRNAs.²⁰⁹ Thus, the feasibility of using plant-derived exosome-like nanoparticles to deliver miRNAs for the treatment of osteosarcoma could be explored in the future.

In summary, when modified with specific substances, the capabilities of nanocarriers can be optimized, making them highly effective for miRNA delivery in osteosarcoma treatment. Compared to exosomes whose contents are uncertain, polymers, liposomes, and inorganic-based nanoparticles have relatively more defined influence on the organism and thus have advantages in clinical applications. Furthermore, integrating miRNA delivery with therapies such as chemotherapy and PTT presents vast potentials, warranting additional researches. In conclusion, multiple kinds of carriers and miRNAs can be combined into a lot of delivery systems, which generates various research orientations in the future.

Conclusion

Overall, miRNA delivery holds significant promise for osteosarcoma treatment, particularly when combined with other therapies. However, the application of nanocarriers for miRNA delivery in osteosarcoma treatment remains in the early stages of development. The in vivo metabolism mechanism, toxicity, mode of administration, and dosage of miRNA delivery vectors still need to be clarified through further studies to accelerate clinical translation. In conclusion, however, miRNA delivery holds great promise for the treatment of osteosarcoma.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Not applicable. Ethical approval was not required as this umbrella review is a synthesis and analysis of existing studies.

Consent for Publication

All authors declare full consent for publication.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all researchers who contributed to the advancement of science.

Funding

This work was supported by Science and Technology Development Plan Project of Changchun (21ZGY29); Science and Technology Development Plan Project of Jilin Province (20220505032ZP); College Students' Innovative Entrepreneurial Training Plan Program (202310183310).

https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S471900 8653 DovePress

Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- 1. Mirabello L, Troisi RJ, Savage SA. Osteosarcoma incidence and survival rates from 1973 to 2004: Data from the surveillance, epidemiology, and end results program. *Cancer*. 2009;115(7):1531–1543. doi:10.1002/cncr.24121
- Cole S, Gianferante DM, Zhu B, Mirabello L. Osteosarcoma: a Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results program-based analysis from 1975 to 2017. *Cancer*. 2022;128(11):2107–2118. doi:10.1002/cncr.34163
- 3. Choi JH, Ro JY. The 2020 WHO classification of tumors of bone: An updated review. Adv Anat Pathol. 2021;28(3):119-138. doi:10.1097/ PAP.00000000000293
- Rozeman LB, Cleton-Jansen AM, Hogendoorn PCW. Pathology of primary malignant bone and cartilage tumours. Int Orthop. 2006;30 (6):437–444. doi:10.1007/s00264-006-0212-x
- Whelan JS, Osteosarcoma DLE. Chondrosarcoma, and Chordoma. J Clin Oncol off J Am Soc Clin Oncol. 2018;36(2):188–193. doi:10.1200/ JCO.2017.75.1743
- 6. B D. Pathogenesis and genetics of osteosarcoma: Current concepts and developments. Pathol. 2018;39(2). doi:10.1007/s00292-017-0365-y
- 7. Meltzer PS, Helman LJ. New horizons in the treatment of osteosarcoma. N Engl J Med. 2021;385(22):2066–2076. doi:10.1056/ NEJMra2103423
- Isakoff MS, Bielack SS, Meltzer P, Gorlick R. Osteosarcoma: Current treatment and a collaborative pathway to success. J Clin Oncol off J Am Soc Clin Oncol. 2015;33(27):3029–3035. doi:10.1200/JCO.2014.59.4895
- 9. Yang C, Tian Y, Zhao F, et al. Bone microenvironment and osteosarcoma metastasis. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(19):6985. doi:10.3390/ ijms21196985
- 10. Misaghi A, Goldin A, Awad M, Kulidjian AA. Osteosarcoma: A comprehensive review. SICOT-J. 2018;4:12. doi:10.1051/sicotj/2017028
- 11. Kim VN. MicroRNA biogenesis: coordinated cropping and dicing. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2005;6(5):376–385. doi:10.1038/nrm1644
- Lee RC, Feinbaum RL, Ambros V. The C. elegans heterochronic gene lin-4 encodes small RNAs with antisense complementarity to lin-14. *Cell*. 1993;75(5):843–854. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(93)90529-y
- 13. Ha M, Kim VN. Regulation of microRNA biogenesis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2014;15(8):509-524. doi:10.1038/nrm3838
- Saliminejad K, Khorram Khorshid HR, Soleymani Fard S, Ghaffari SH. An overview of microRNAs: Biology, functions, therapeutics, and analysis methods. J Cell Physiol. 2019;234(5):5451–5465. doi:10.1002/jcp.27486
- 15. Bartel DP. Metazoan MicroRNAs. Cell. 2018;173(1):20-51. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.006
- 16. Treiber T, Treiber N, Meister G. Regulation of microRNA biogenesis and its crosstalk with other cellular pathways. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2019;20(1):5–20. doi:10.1038/s41580-018-0059-1
- Krol J, Loedige I, Filipowicz W. The widespread regulation of microRNA biogenesis, function and decay. Nat Rev Genet. 2010;11(9):597–610. doi:10.1038/nrg2843
- Dong H, Lei J, Ding L, Wen Y, Ju H, Zhang X. MicroRNA: function, detection, and bioanalysis. Chem Rev. 2013;113(8):6207–6233. doi:10.1021/cr300362f
- 19. Jansson MD, Lund AH. MicroRNA and cancer. Mol Oncol. 2012;6(6):590-610. doi:10.1016/j.molonc.2012.09.006
- 20. Lee YS, Dutta A. MicroRNAs in cancer. Annu Rev Pathol. 2009;4:199–227. doi:10.1146/annurev.pathol.4.110807.092222
- Iorio MV, Croce CM. MicroRNA dysregulation in cancer: diagnostics, monitoring and therapeutics. A comprehensive review. *EMBO Mol Med*. 2012;4(3):143–159. doi:10.1002/emmm.201100209
- Gupta A, Andresen JL, Manan RS, Langer R. Nucleic acid delivery for therapeutic applications. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2021;178:113834. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2021.113834
- 23. Rupaimoole R, Slack FJ. MicroRNA therapeutics: towards a new era for the management of cancer and other diseases. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2017;16(3):203–222. doi:10.1038/nrd.2016.246
- Otmani K, Rouas R, Lewalle P. OncomiRs as noncoding RNAs having functions in cancer: Their role in immune suppression and clinical implications. *Front Immunol.* 2022;13:913951. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2022.913951
- 25. Zare M, Pemmada R, Madhavan M, et al. Encapsulation of miRNA and siRNA into nanomaterials for cancer therapeutics. *Pharmaceutics*. 2022;14(8):1620. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics14081620
- Yadav K, Sahu KK, Null S, et al. Biomedical applications of nanomaterials in the advancement of nucleic acid therapy: Mechanistic challenges, delivery strategies, and therapeutic applications. Int J Biol Macromol. 2023;241:124582. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2023.124582
- 27. Höbel S, Aigner A. Polyethylenimines for siRNA and miRNA delivery in vivo. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Nanomed Nanobiotech*. 2013;5 (5):484–501. doi:10.1002/wnan.1228
- Bober Z, Bartusik-Aebisher D, Aebisher D. Application of dendrimers in anticancer diagnostics and therapy. Mol. 2022;27(10):3237. doi:10.3390/molecules27103237
- Zhang X, Hai L, Gao Y, Yu G, Sun Y. Lipid nanomaterials-based RNA therapy and cancer treatment. Acta Pharm Sin B. 2023;13(3):903–915. doi:10.1016/j.apsb.2022.10.004
- 30. Muskan M, Abeysinghe P, Cecchin R, Branscome H, Morris KV, Kashanchi F. Therapeutic potential of RNA-enriched extracellular vesicles: the next generation in RNA delivery via biogenic nanoparticles. *Mol Ther J Am Soc Gene Ther.* 2024;S1525-0016(24). doi:10.1016/j. ymthe.2024.02.025
- Amaldoss MJN, Yang JL, Koshy P, Unnikrishnan A, Sorrell CC. Inorganic nanoparticle-based advanced cancer therapies: promising combination strategies. Drug Discov Today. 2022;27(12):103386. doi:10.1016/j.drudis.2022.103386
- 32. Kara G, Calin GA, Ozpolat B. RNAi-based therapeutics and tumor targeted delivery in cancer. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2022;182:114113. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2022.114113

- Calin GA, Dumitru CD, Shimizu M, et al. Frequent deletions and down-regulation of micro- RNA genes miR15 and miR16 at 13q14 in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002;99(24):15524–15529. doi:10.1073/pnas.242606799
- 34. Zhang Z, Huang Q, Yu L, et al. The role of miRNA in tumor immune escape and miRNA-based therapeutic strategies. *Front Immunol*. 2021;12:807895. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.807895
- Kousar K, Ahmad T, Abduh MS, et al. miRNAs in regulation of tumor microenvironment, chemotherapy resistance, immunotherapy modulation and miRNA therapeutics in cancer. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(22):13822. doi:10.3390/ijms232213822
- Hill M, Tran N. miRNA interplay: mechanisms and consequences in cancer. Dis Model Mech. 2021;14(4):dmm047662. doi:10.1242/ dmm.047662
- Ali Syeda Z, Langden SSS, Munkhzul C, Lee M, Song SJ. Regulatory mechanism of MicroRNA expression in cancer. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21 (5):1723. doi:10.3390/ijms21051723
- 38. Bouchie A. First microRNA mimic enters clinic. Nat Biotechnol. 2013;31(7):577. doi:10.1038/nbt0713-577
- Mishra S, Yadav T, Rani V. Exploring miRNA based approaches in cancer diagnostics and therapeutics. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2016;98:12–23. doi:10.1016/j.critrevonc.2015.10.003
- Sidorova EA, Zhernov YV, Antsupova MA, et al. The role of different types of microRNA in the pathogenesis of breast and prostate cancer. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(3):1980. doi:10.3390/ijms24031980
- Xu LM, Zhang J, Ma Y, et al. MicroRNA-135 inhibits initiation of epithelial-mesenchymal transition in breast cancer by targeting ZNF217 and promoting m6A modification of NANOG. *Oncogene*. 2022;41(12):1742–1751. doi:10.1038/s41388-022-02211-2
- Gan J, Liu S, Zhang Y, et al. MicroRNA-375 is a therapeutic target for castration-resistant prostate cancer through the PTPN4/STAT3 axis. *Exp* Mol Med. 2022;54(8):1290–1305. doi:10.1038/s12276-022-00837-6
- Khan P, Siddiqui JA, Kshirsagar PG, et al. MicroRNA-1 attenuates the growth and metastasis of small cell lung cancer through CXCR4/ FOXM1/RRM2 axis. *Mol Cancer*. 2023;22(1):1. doi:10.1186/s12943-022-01695-6
- Kok VC, Yu CC. Cancer-Derived Exosomes: Their role in cancer biology and biomarker development. Int J Nanomed. 2020;15:8019–8036. doi:10.2147/IJN.S272378
- 45. Dai J, Su Y, Zhong S, et al. Exosomes: key players in cancer and potential therapeutic strategy. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2020;5(1):145. doi:10.1038/s41392-020-00261-0
- 46. Yi M, Xu L, Jiao Y, Luo S, Li A, Wu K. The role of cancer-derived microRNAs in cancer immune escape. J Hematol Oncol. 2020;13(1):25. doi:10.1186/s13045-020-00848-8
- 47. Qiu S, Xie L, Lu C, et al. Gastric cancer-derived exosomal miR-519a-3p promotes liver metastasis by inducing intrahepatic M2-like macrophage-mediated angiogenesis. J Exp Clin Cancer Res CR. 2022;41(1):296. doi:10.1186/s13046-022-02499-8
- Zeng Z, Li Y, Pan Y, et al. Cancer-derived exosomal miR-25-3p promotes pre-metastatic niche formation by inducing vascular permeability and angiogenesis. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):5395. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07810-w
- 49. Kim T, Croce CM. MicroRNA: trends in clinical trials of cancer diagnosis and therapy strategies. *Exp Mol Med*. 2023;55(7):1314–1321. doi:10.1038/s12276-023-01050-9
- Kawano M, Tanaka K, Itonaga I, Ikeda S, Iwasaki T, Tsumura H. microRNA-93 promotes cell proliferation via targeting of PTEN in Osteosarcoma cells. J Exp Clin Cancer Res CR. 2015;34(1):76. doi:10.1186/s13046-015-0192-z
- Iwasaki T, Tanaka K, Kawano M, Itonaga I, Tsumura H. Tumor-suppressive microRNA-let-7a inhibits cell proliferation via targeting of E2F2 in osteosarcoma cells. Int J Oncol. 2015;46(4):1543–1550. doi:10.3892/ijo.2015.2867
- Yan CF, Xia J, Qun WS, et al. Tumor-associated macrophages-derived exo-let-7a promotes osteosarcoma metastasis via targeting C15orf41 in osteosarcoma. *Environ Toxicol*. 2023;38(6):1318–1331. doi:10.1002/tox.23766
- Zhang Q, Yin X, Zhang Y. MicroRNA-221 promotes cell proliferation and inhibits apoptosis in osteosarcoma cells by directly tARGeting FBXW11 and regulating wnt signaling. Arch Med Res. 2021;52(2):191–199. doi:10.1016/j.arcmed.2020.10.017
- Jiang J, Ma B, Li X, et al. MiR-1281, a p53-responsive microRNA, impairs the survival of human osteosarcoma cells upon ER stress via targeting USP39. Am J Cancer Res. 2018;8(9):1764–1774.
- Zhang L, Wang Y, Zhang L, et al. ZBTB7A, a miR-663a target gene, protects osteosarcoma from endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced apoptosis by suppressing LncRNA GAS5 expression. *Cancer Lett.* 2019;448:105–116. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2019.01.046
- Xu M, Jin H, Xu CX, et al. miR-382 inhibits osteosarcoma metastasis and relapse by targeting Y box-binding protein 1. Mol Ther J Am Soc Gene Ther. 2015;23(1):89–98. doi:10.1038/mt.2014.197
- 57. Zhang K, Dong C, Chen M, et al. Extracellular vesicle-mediated delivery of miR-101 inhibits lung metastasis in osteosarcoma. *Theranostics*. 2020;10(1):411–425. doi:10.7150/thno.33482
- Cheng M, Duan PG, Gao ZZ, Dai M. MicroRNA-487b-3p inhibits osteosarcoma chemoresistance and metastasis by targeting ALDH1A3. Oncol Rep. 2020;44(6):2691–2700. doi:10.3892/or.2020.7814
- Zhang L, Cao H, Gu G, et al. Exosomal MiR-199a-5p Inhibits Tumorigenesis and Angiogenesis by Targeting VEGFA in Osteosarcoma. Front Oncol. 2022;12:884559. doi:10.3389/fonc.2022.884559
- 60. Zhang L, Lv Z, Xu J, et al. MicroRNA-134 inhibits osteosarcoma angiogenesis and proliferation by targeting the VEGFA/VEGFR1 pathway. *FEBS J*. 2018;285(7):1359–1371. doi:10.1111/febs.14416
- Tsai HC, Tzeng HE, Huang CY, et al. WISP-1 positively regulates angiogenesis by controlling VEGF-A expression in human osteosarcoma. Cell Death Dis. 2017;8(4):e2750. doi:10.1038/cddis.2016.421
- Raimondi L, Gallo A, Cuscino N, et al. Potential anti-metastatic role of the novel miR-CT3 in tumor angiogenesis and osteosarcoma invasion. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(2):705. doi:10.3390/ijms23020705
- 63. Vimalraj S, Saravanan S, Raghunandhakumar S, Anuradha D. Melatonin regulates tumor angiogenesis via miR-424-5p/VEGFA signaling pathway in osteosarcoma. *Life Sci.* 2020;256:118011. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2020.118011
- 64. Nirala BK, Patel TD, Kurenbekova L, et al. MYC regulates CSF1 expression via microRNA 17/20a to modulate tumor-associated macrophages in osteosarcoma. *JCI Insight*. 2023;8(13):e164947. doi:10.1172/jci.insight.164947
- 65. Wang T, Wang D, Zhang L, et al. The TGFβ-miR-499a-SHKBP1 pathway induces resistance to EGFR inhibitors in osteosarcoma cancer stem cell-like cells. J Exp Clin Cancer Res CR. 2019;38(1):226. doi:10.1186/s13046-019-1195-y

- 66. Di Fiore R, Drago-Ferrante R, Pentimalli F, et al. MicroRNA-29b-1 impairs in vitro cell proliferation, self-renewal and chemoresistance of human osteosarcoma 3AB-OS cancer stem cells. Int J Oncol. 2014;45(5):2013–2023. doi:10.3892/ijo.2014.2618
- 67. Song B, Wang Y, Xi Y, et al. Mechanism of chemoresistance mediated by miR-140 in human osteosarcoma and colon cancer cells. *Oncogene*. 2009;28(46):4065–4074. doi:10.1038/onc.2009.274
- Todosenko N, Khlusov I, Yurova K, Khaziakhmatova O, Litvinova L. Signal Pathways and microRNAs in Osteosarcoma Growth and the Dual Role of Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Oncogenesis. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(10):8993. doi:10.3390/ijms24108993
- Scuderi SA, Calabrese G, Paterniti I, et al. The biological function of MicroRNAs in bone tumors. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(4):2348. doi:10.3390/ ijms23042348
- Zheng C, Tang F, Min L, Hornicek F, Duan Z, Tu C. PTEN in osteosarcoma: recent advances and the therapeutic potential. *Biochim Biophys* Acta Rev Cancer. 2020;1874(2):188405. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2020.188405
- 71. Wong RSY. Apoptosis in cancer: from pathogenesis to treatment. J Exp Clin Cancer Res CR. 2011;30(1):87. doi:10.1186/1756-9966-30-87
- Zhu P, Li T, Li Q, et al. Mechanism and Role of Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress in Osteosarcoma. *Biomolecules*. 2022;12(12):1882. doi:10.3390/ biom12121882
- 73. Gerstberger S, Jiang Q, Ganesh K. Metastasis. Cell. 2023;186(8):1564-1579. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2023.03.003
- Soghli N, Ferns GA, Sadeghsoltani F, Qujeq D, Yousefi T, Vaghari-Tabari M. MicroRNAs and osteosarcoma: potential targets for inhibiting metastasis and increasing chemosensitivity. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2022;201:115094. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2022.115094
- Chong ZX, Yeap SK, Ho WY. Unraveling the roles of miRNAs in regulating epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in osteosarcoma. *Pharmacol Res.* 2021;172:105818. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2021.105818
- Adams RH, Alitalo K. Molecular regulation of angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2007;8(6):464–478. doi:10.1038/ nrm2183
- 77. Ferrara N, Adamis AP. Ten years of anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2016;15(6):385-403. doi:10.1038/ nrd.2015.17
- Viallard C, Larrivée B. Tumor angiogenesis and vascular normalization: alternative therapeutic targets. Angiogenesis. 2017;20(4):409–426. doi:10.1007/s10456-017-9562-9
- Apte RS, Chen DS, Ferrara N. VEGF in Signaling and Disease: beyond Discovery and Development. Cell. 2019;176(6):1248–1264. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.01.021
- Wang Y, Wang L, Chen C, Chu X. New insights into the regulatory role of microRNA in tumor angiogenesis and clinical implications. *Mol Cancer*. 2018;17(1):22. doi:10.1186/s12943-018-0766-4
- Chen C, Xie L, Ren T, Huang Y, Xu J, Guo W. Immunotherapy for osteosarcoma: fundamental mechanism, rationale, and recent breakthroughs. Cancer Lett. 2021;500:1–10. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2020.12.024
- Lilienthal I, Herold N. Targeting Molecular Mechanisms Underlying Treatment Efficacy and Resistance in Osteosarcoma: a Review of Current and Future Strategies. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(18):6885. doi:10.3390/ijms21186885
- Lin Z, Xie X, Lu S, Liu T. Noncoding RNAs in osteosarcoma: implications for drug resistance. Cancer Lett. 2021;504:91–103. doi:10.1016/j. canlet.2021.02.007
- Song B, Wang Y, Titmus MA, et al. Molecular mechanism of chemoresistance by miR-215 in osteosarcoma and colon cancer cells. *Mol Cancer*. 2010;9:96. doi:10.1186/1476-4598-9-96
- Sayed N, Allawadhi P, Khurana A, et al. Gene therapy: comprehensive overview and therapeutic applications. Life Sci. 2022;294:120375. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2022.120375
- 86. PTB H, Clark IM, LTT L. MicroRNA-Based Diagnosis and Therapy. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(13):7167. doi:10.3390/ijms23137167
- Santana-Armas ML, Tros de Ilarduya C. Strategies for cancer gene-delivery improvement by non-viral vectors. Int J Pharm. 2021;596:120291. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2021.120291
- 88. Li X, Le Y, Zhang Z, Nian X, Liu B, Yang X. Viral Vector-Based Gene Therapy. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(9):7736. doi:10.3390/ijms24097736
- Holjencin C, Jakymiw A. MicroRNAs and Their Big Therapeutic Impacts: delivery Strategies for Cancer Intervention. Cells. 2022;11(15):2332. doi:10.3390/cells11152332
- Menon A, Abd-Aziz N, Khalid K, Poh CL, Naidu R. miRNA: a Promising Therapeutic Target in Cancer. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(19):11502. doi:10.3390/ijms231911502
- Ferdows BE, Patel DN, Chen W, Huang X, Kong N, Tao W. RNA cancer nanomedicine: nanotechnology-mediated RNA therapy. Nanoscale. 2022;14(12):4448–4455. doi:10.1039/d1nr06991h
- Zhang L, Lyer AK, Yang X, et al. Polymeric nanoparticle-based delivery of microRNA-199a-3p inhibits proliferation and growth of osteosarcoma cells. Int J Nanomed. 2015;10:2913–2924. doi:10.2147/IJN.S79143
- Tiram G, Segal E, Krivitsky A, et al. Identification of Dormancy-Associated MicroRNAs for the Design of Osteosarcoma-Targeted Dendritic Polyglycerol Nanopolyplexes. ACS Nano. 2016;10(2):2028–2045. doi:10.1021/acsnano.5b06189
- 94. Freeman FE, Dosta P, Shanley LC, et al. Localized Nanoparticle-Mediated Delivery of miR-29b Normalizes the Dysregulation of Bone Homeostasis Caused by Osteosarcoma whilst Simultaneously Inhibiting Tumor Growth. Adv Mater Deerfield Beach Fla. 2023;35(23): e2207877. doi:10.1002/adma.202207877
- 95. Magalhães M, Almeida M, Tavares-da-silva E, et al. miR-145-loaded micelleplexes as a novel therapeutic strategy to inhibit proliferation and migration of osteosarcoma cells. *Eur J Pharm Sci off J Eur Fed Pharm Sci.* 2018;123:28–42. doi:10.1016/j.ejps.2018.07.021
- 96. Chen D, Lei C, Liu W, et al. Reduction-responsive nucleic acid nanocarrier-mediated miR-22 inhibition of PI3K/AKT pathway for the treatment of patient-derived tumor xenograft osteosarcoma. *Bioact Mater*. 2023;28:376–385. doi:10.1016/j.bioactmat.2023.05.012
- 97. Chen DF, Zhang BW, Cao J, et al. Preparation of polycation with hydroxyls for enhanced delivery of miRNA in osteosarcoma therapy. *Biomater Sci.* 2022;10(11):2844–2856. doi:10.1039/d2bm00253a
- 98. Yang Y, Huang G, Zhou Z, Fewell JG, Kleinerman ES. miR-20a Regulates FAS Expression in Osteosarcoma Cells by Modulating FAS Promoter Activity and Can be Therapeutically Targeted to Inhibit Lung Metastases. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2018;17(1):130–139. doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-17-0042
- Zhang H, Wang J, Ren T, et al. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomal miR-206 inhibits osteosarcoma progression by targeting TRA2B. *Cancer Lett.* 2020;490:54–65. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2020.07.008

- Ruan Q, Wang C, Wu Y, Zhu Q. Exosome microRNA-22 inhibiting proliferation, migration and invasion through regulating Twist1/CADM1 axis in osteosarcoma. Sci Rep. 2024;14(1):761. doi:10.1038/s41598-023-50612-4
- Shimbo K, Miyaki S, Ishitobi H, et al. Exosome-formed synthetic microRNA-143 is transferred to osteosarcoma cells and inhibits their migration. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2014;445(2):381–387. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.02.007
- 102. Jiang M, Jike Y, Liu K, et al. Exosome-mediated miR-144-3p promotes ferroptosis to inhibit osteosarcoma proliferation, migration, and invasion through regulating ZEB1. *Mol Cancer*. 2023;22(1):113. doi:10.1186/s12943-023-01804-z
- Gong M, Liu H, Sun N, Xie Y, Yan F, Cai L. Polyethylenimine-dextran-coated magnetic nanoparticles loaded with miR-302b suppress osteosarcoma in vitro and in vivo. *Nanomed.* 2020;15(7):711–723. doi:10.2217/nnm-2019-0218
- 104. Li X, Wang S, Gao Q, et al. MiRNA-520a-3p combined with folic acid conjugated Fe2O3@PDA multifunctional nanoagents for MR imagine and antitumor gene-photothermal therapy. *Nanotechnology*. 2023;34(37). doi:10.1088/1361-6528/acd5d9
- 105. Xie M, Gong T, Wang Y, et al. Advancements in Photothermal Therapy Using Near-Infrared Light for Bone Tumors. Int J Mol Sci. 2024;25 (8):4139. doi:10.3390/ijms25084139
- 106. Huang G, Huang H. Application of dextran as nanoscale drug carriers. Nanomed. 2018;13(24):3149-3158. doi:10.2217/nnm-2018-0331
- 107. Abeylath SC, Amiji M. "Click" Synthesis of Dextran Macrostructures for Combinatorial-Designed Self-Assembled Nanoparticles Encapsulating Diverse Anticancer Therapeutics. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2011;19(21):6167–6173. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2011.09.024
- Hu Q, Lu Y, Luo Y. Recent advances in dextran-based drug delivery systems: from fabrication strategies to applications. Carbohydr Polym. 2021;264:117999. doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2021.117999
- Lukyanov AN, Torchilin VP. Micelles from lipid derivatives of water-soluble polymers as delivery systems for poorly soluble drugs. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2004;56(9):1273–1289. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2003.12.004
- 110. Liu Y, Zhu ST, Wang X, et al. MiR-200c regulates tumor growth and chemosensitivity to cisplatin in osteosarcoma by targeting AKT2. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):13598. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-14088-3
- 111. Yang Z, Liu T, Ren X, Yang M, Tu C, Li Z. Mir-34a: a regulatory hub with versatile functions that controls osteosarcoma networks. Cell Cycle Georget Tex. 2022;21(20):2121–2131. doi:10.1080/15384101.2022.2087755
- 112. Shi Y, van der Meel R, Chen X, Lammers T. The EPR effect and beyond: strategies to improve tumor targeting and cancer nanomedicine treatment efficacy. *Theranostics*. 2020;10(17):7921–7924. doi:10.7150/thno.49577
- 113. Maeda H. Toward a full understanding of the EPR effect in primary and metastatic tumors as well as issues related to its heterogeneity. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2015;91:3–6. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2015.01.002
- 114. Fröhlich E. The role of surface charge in cellular uptake and cytotoxicity of medical nanoparticles. Int J Nanomed. 2012;7:5577–5591. doi:10.2147/IJN.S36111
- 115. Iqbal S, Zhao Z. Poly (β amino esters) copolymers: novel potential vectors for delivery of genes and related therapeutics. Int J Pharm. 2022;611:121289. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2021.121289
- 116. Wei J, Zhu L, Lu Q, et al. Recent progress and applications of poly(beta amino esters)-based biomaterials. J Control Release off J Control Release Soc. 2023;354:337–353. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2023.01.002
- 117. Kong L, Ji H, Gan X, Cao S, Li Z, Jin Y. Knockdown of CD44 inhibits proliferation, migration and invasion of osteosarcoma cells accompanied by downregulation of cathepsin S. J Orthop Surg. 2022;17(1):154. doi:10.1186/s13018-022-03048-x
- 118. Luo Z, Dai Y, Gao H. Development and application of hyaluronic acid in tumor targeting drug delivery. Acta Pharm Sin B. 2019;9 (6):1099–1112. doi:10.1016/j.apsb.2019.06.004
- 119. Chen Z, Lv Z, Sun Y, Chi Z, Qing G. Recent advancements in polyethyleneimine-based materials and their biomedical, biotechnology, and biomaterial applications. J Mater Chem B. 2020;8(15):2951–2973. doi:10.1039/c9tb02271f
- 120. Li X, Bhullar AS, Binzel DW, Guo P. The dynamic, motile and deformative properties of RNA nanoparticles facilitate the third milestone of drug development. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2022;186:114316. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2022.114316
- 121. Wang C, Pan C, Yong H, et al. Emerging non-viral vectors for gene delivery. J Nanobiotech. 2023;21(1):272. doi:10.1186/s12951-023-02044-5
- 122. Chen J, Luo J, Zhao Y, et al. Increase in transgene expression by pluronic L64-mediated endosomal/lysosomal escape through its membrane-disturbing action. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2015;7(13):7282–7293. doi:10.1021/acsami.5b00486
- 123. Li E, Zhang J, Yuan T, Ma B. MiR-145 inhibits osteosarcoma cells proliferation and invasion by targeting ROCK1. Tumour Biol J Int Soc Oncodevelopl Biol Med. 2014;35(8):7645–7650. doi:10.1007/s13277-014-2031-9
- 124. Fan L, Wu Q, Xing X, Wei Y, Shao Z. MicroRNA-145 targets vascular endothelial growth factor and inhibits invasion and metastasis of osteosarcoma cells. *Acta Biochim Biophys Sin*. 2012;44(5):407–414. doi:10.1093/abbs/gms019
- Zhang Z, Zhang M, Chen Q, Zhang Q. Downregulation of microRNA-145 promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition via regulating Snail in osteosarcoma. *Cancer Gene Ther.* 2017;24(2):83–88. doi:10.1038/cgt.2017.1
- 126. Duan S, Yu B, Gao C, Yuan W, Ma J, Xu FJ. A Facile Strategy to Prepare Hyperbranched Hydroxyl-Rich Polycations for Effective Gene Therapy. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2016;8(43):29334–29342. doi:10.1021/acsami.6b11029
- 127. Casper J, Schenk SH, Parhizkar E, Detampel P, Dehshari A, Huwyler J. Polyethylenimine (PEI) in gene therapy: current status and clinical applications. J Control Release off J Control Release Soc. 2023;362(23):667–691. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2023.09.001
- 128. Xue L, Yan Y, Kos P, Chen X, Siegwart DJ. PEI fluorination reduces toxicity and promotes liver-targeted siRNA delivery. *Drug Deliv Transl Res.* 2021;11(1):255–260. doi:10.1007/s13346-020-00790-9
- 129. Xin J, Lu X, Cao J, et al. Fluorinated Organic Polymers for Cancer Drug Delivery. Adv Mater Deerfield Beach Fla. 2024. doi:10.1002/ adma.202404645
- 130. Song H, Liu S, Li C, Geng Y, Wang G, Gu Z. Pluronic L64-mediated stable HIF-1α expression in muscle for therapeutic angiogenesis in mouse hindlimb ischemia. Int J Nanomed. 2014;9:3439–3452. doi:10.2147/IJN.865353
- 131. He Y, Liu Y, Sun Z, et al. The proper strategy to compress and protect plasmid DNA in the Pluronic L64-electropulse system for enhanced intramuscular gene delivery. *Regen Biomater*. 2019;6(5):289–298. doi:10.1093/rb/rby028
- 132. Meng CY, Zhao ZQ, Bai R, et al. MicroRNA-22 mediates the cisplatin resistance of osteosarcoma cells by inhibiting autophagy via the PI3K/ Akt/mTOR pathway. Oncol Rep. 2020;43(4):1169–1186. doi:10.3892/or.2020.7492
- 133. Choi EJ, Koo BK, Hur EH, et al. Inhibition of DNMT3B and PI3K/AKT/mTOR and ERK Pathways as a Novel Mechanism of Volasertib on Hypomethylating Agent-Resistant Cells. *Biomol Ther.* 2023;31(3):319–329. doi:10.4062/biomolther.2022.117

- 134. Li Y, Nie JJ, Yang Y, et al. Redox-Unlockable Nanoparticle-Based MST1 Delivery System to Attenuate Hepatic Steatosis via the AMPK/ SREBP-1c Signaling Axis. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2022;14(30):34328–34341. doi:10.1021/acsami.2c05889
- 135. Nie JJ, Liu Y, Qi Y, et al. Charge-reversal nanocomolexes-based CRISPR/Cas9 delivery system for loss-of-function oncogene editing in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Control Release off J Control Release Soc. 2021;333:362–373. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2021.03.030
- 136. Xu J, Yao Q, Hou Y, et al. MiR-223/Ect2/p21 signaling regulates osteosarcoma cell cycle progression and proliferation. *Biomed Pharm Biomed Pharm*. 2013;67(5):381–386. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2013.03.013
- 137. Tang Q, Yuan Q, Li H, et al. miR-223/Hsp70/JNK/JUN/miR-223 feedback loop modulates the chemoresistance of osteosarcoma to cisplatin. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2018;497(3):827–834. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.02.091
- MHJr A, Green MD, Getaneh HK, Miller KM, Long TE. Tailoring Charge Density and Hydrogen Bonding of Imidazolium Copolymers for Efficient Gene Delivery. *Biomacromolecules*. 2011;12(6):2243–2250. doi:10.1021/bm2003303
- Xu FJ, Zhu Y, Chai MY, Liu FS. Comparison of ethanolamine/ethylenediamine-functionalized poly(glycidyl methacrylate) for efficient gene delivery. Acta Biomater. 2011;7(8):3131–3140. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2011.04.023
- 140. Xu FJ, Chai MY, Li WB, et al. Well-Defined Poly(2-hydroxyl-3-(2-hydroxyethylamino)propyl methacrylate) Vectors with Low Toxicity and High Gene Transfection Efficiency. *Biomacromolecules*. 2010;11(6):1437–1442. doi:10.1021/bm100309y
- 141. hua LX, wei HF, yong QS, et al. A strategy to improve serum-tolerant transfection activity of polycation vectors by surface hydroxylation. *Biomaterials*. 2011;32(36):9925–9939. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.09.011
- 142. Li RQ, Wu Y, Zhi Y, et al. PGMA-Based Star-Like Polycations with Plentiful Hydroxyl Groups Act as Highly Efficient miRNA Delivery Nanovectors for Effective Applications in Heart Diseases. *Adv Mater*. 2016;28(33):7204–7212. doi:10.1002/adma.201602319
- 143. Li L, Tian H, He J, Zhang M, Li Z, Ni P. Fabrication of aminated poly(glycidyl methacrylate)-based polymers for co-delivery of anticancer drugs and the p53 gene. J Mater Chem B. 2020;8(41):9555–9565. doi:10.1039/d0tb01811b
- 144. Sadaquat H, Akhtar M, Nazir M, Ahmad R, Alvi Z, Akhtar N. Biodegradable and biocompatible polymeric nanoparticles for enhanced solubility and safe oral delivery of docetaxel: in vivo toxicity evaluation. Int J Pharm. 2021;598:120363. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2021.120363
- 145. Asl FD, Mousazadeh M, Taji S, et al. Nano drug-delivery systems for management of AIDS: liposomes, dendrimers, gold and silver nanoparticles. *Nanomed*. 2023;18(3):279–302. doi:10.2217/nnm-2022-0248
- 146. Wang X, Zhang M, Li Y, Cong H, Yu B, Shen Y. Research status of dendrimer micelles in tumor therapy for drug delivery. Small Weinh Bergstr Ger. 2023;19(50):e2304006. doi:10.1002/smll.202304006
- 147. Liu Y, Castro Bravo KM, Liu J. Targeted liposomal drug delivery: a nanoscience and biophysical perspective. Nanoscale Horiz. 2021;6 (2):78–94. doi:10.1039/d0nh00605j
- 148. Suk JS, Xu Q, Kim N, Hanes J, Ensign LM. PEGylation as a strategy for improving nanoparticle-based drug and gene delivery. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2016;99(Pt A):28–51. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2015.09.012
- 149. Large DE, Abdelmessih RG, Fink EA, Auguste DT. Liposome composition in drug delivery design, synthesis, characterization, and clinical application. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2021;176:113851. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2021.113851
- Sparks J, Slobodkin G, Matar M, et al. Versatile cationic lipids for siRNA delivery. J Control Release off J Control Release Soc. 2012;158 (2):269–276. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2011.11.006
- 151. Beird HC, Bielack SS, Flanagan AM, et al. Osteosarcoma. Nat Rev Dis Primer. 2022;8(1):77. doi:10.1038/s41572-022-00409-y
- 152. Tenchov R, Sasso JM, Zhou QA. PEGylated Lipid Nanoparticle Formulations: immunological Safety and Efficiency Perspective. *Bioconjug Chem.* 2023;34(6):941–960. doi:10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.3c00174
- Barzegari Firouzabadi F, Oryan SH, Sheikhha MH, Kalantar SM, Javed A. Preparation and evaluation of a novel liposomal nano-formulation in metastatic cancer treatment studies. Cell J. 2019;21(2):135–142. doi:10.22074/cellj.2019.6008
- 154. Soliman B, Wen MM, Kandil E, El-Agamy B, Gamal-Eldeen AM, ElHefnawi M. Preparation and Optimization of MiR-375 nano-vector using two novel chitosan-coated nano-structured lipid carriers as gene therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Pharmaceutics*. 2024;16(4):494. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics16040494
- 155. Godoy DA, Di Napoli M, Rabinstein AA. Cerebral Fat Embolism: recognition, Complications, and Prognosis. *Neurocrit Care*. 2018;29 (3):358–365. doi:10.1007/s12028-017-0463-y
- 156. Guimarães D, Cavaco-Paulo A, Nogueira E. Design of liposomes as drug delivery system for therapeutic applications. Int J Pharm. 2021;601:120571. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2021.120571
- 157. Lan T, Luo M, Wei X. Mesenchymal stem/stromal cells in cancer therapy. J Hematol Oncol. 2021;14(1):195. doi:10.1186/s13045-021-01208-w
- 158. Harrell CR, Volarevic A, Djonov VG, Jovicic N, Volarevic V. Mesenchymal stem cell: A friend or foe in anti-tumor immunity. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2021;22(22):12429. doi:10.3390/ijms222212429
- 159. Kalluri R, LeBleu VS. The biology, function, and biomedical applications of exosomes. *Science*. 2020;367(6478):eaau6977. doi:10.1126/ science.aau6977
- 160. Weng Z, Zhang B, Wu C, et al. Therapeutic roles of mesenchymal stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles in cancer. *J Hematol Oncol*. 2021;14 (1):136. doi:10.1186/s13045-021-01141-y
- 161. Liu H, Deng S, Han L, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells, exosomes and exosome-mimics as smart drug carriers for targeted cancer therapy. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces. 2022;209(Pt 1):112163. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2021.112163
- Sarhadi VK, Daddali R, Seppänen-Kaijansinkko R. Mesenchymal Stem Cells and Extracellular Vesicles in Osteosarcoma Pathogenesis and Therapy. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(20):11035. doi:10.3390/ijms222011035
- Vakhshiteh F, Atyabi F, Ostad SN. Mesenchymal stem cell exosomes: a two-edged sword in cancer therapy. Int J Nanomed. 2019;14:2847–2859. doi:10.2147/IJN.S200036
- Qin F, Tang H, Zhang Y, Zhang Z, Huang P, Zhu J. Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomal microRNA-208a promotes osteosarcoma cell proliferation, migration, and invasion. J Cell Physiol. 2020;235(5):4734–4745. doi:10.1002/jcp.29351
- 165. Liu W, Wang B, Duan A, et al. Exosomal transfer of miR-769-5p promotes osteosarcoma proliferation and metastasis by targeting DUSP16. *Cancer Cell Int.* 2021;21(1):541. doi:10.1186/s12935-021-02257-4
- 166. Qi J, Zhang R, Wang Y. Exosomal miR-21-5p derived from bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells promote osteosarcoma cell proliferation and invasion by targeting PIK3R1. J Cell Mol Med. 2021;25(23):11016–11030. doi:10.1111/jcmm.17024

- 167. Zhang M, Hu S, Liu L, et al. Engineered exosomes from different sources for cancer-targeted therapy. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2023;8 (1):124. doi:10.1038/s41392-023-01382-y
- Zhang F, Guo J, Zhang Z, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosome: a tumor regulator and carrier for targeted tumor therapy. *Cancer Lett.* 2022;526:29–40. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2021.11.015
- 169. Shams F, Pourjabbar B, Hashemi N, et al. Current progress in engineered and nano-engineered mesenchymal stem cells for cancer: from mechanisms to therapy. *Biomed Pharm Biomed Pharm*. 2023;167:115505. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2023.115505
- 170. Deng M, Wu S, Huang P, Liu Y, Li C, Zheng J. Engineered exosomes-based theranostic strategy for tumor metastasis and recurrence. Asian J Pharm Sci. 2023;18(6):100870. doi:10.1016/j.ajps.2023.100870
- 171. Fabian MR, Sonenberg N, Filipowicz W. Regulation of mRNA translation and stability by microRNAs. Annu Rev Biochem. 2010;79:351–379. doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-060308-103103
- 172. Jeppesen DK, Fenix AM, Franklin JL, et al. Reassessment of Exosome Composition. Cell. 2019;177(2):428-445.e18. doi:10.1016/j. cell.2019.02.029
- 173. Zhang Y, Bi J, Huang J, Tang Y, Du S, Li P. Exosome: a Review of Its Classification, Isolation Techniques, Storage, Diagnostic and Targeted Therapy Applications. Int J Nanomed. 2020;15:6917–6934. doi:10.2147/IJN.S264498
- 174. Tian J, Han Z, Song D, et al. Engineered exosome for drug delivery: recent development and clinical applications. Int J Nanomed. 2023;18:7923-7940. doi:10.2147/IJN.S444582
- 175. Zhang M, Wan L, Li R, Li X, Zhu T, Lu H. Engineered exosomes for tissue regeneration: from biouptake, functionalization and biosafety to applications. *Biomater Sci.* 2023;11(22):7247–7267. doi:10.1039/d3bm01169k
- 176. Al-Madhagi H. The landscape of exosomes biogenesis to clinical applications. Int J Nanomed. 2024;19:3657-3675. doi:10.2147/IJN.S463296
- 177. Shao J, Zaro J, Shen Y. Advances in exosome-based drug delivery and tumor targeting: from tissue distribution to intracellular Fate. Int J Nanomed. 2020;15:9355–9371. doi:10.2147/IJN.S281890
- 178. Tang R, Xu J, Zhang B, et al. Ferroptosis, necroptosis, and pyroptosis in anticancer immunity. *J Hematol Oncol*. 2020;13(1):110. doi:10.1186/s13045-020-00946-7
- Gao W, Wang X, Zhou Y, Wang X, Yu Y. Autophagy, ferroptosis, pyroptosis, and necroptosis in tumor immunotherapy. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2022;7(1):196. doi:10.1038/s41392-022-01046-3
- Chen A, Zhang W, Jiang C, Jiang Z, Tang D. The engineered exosomes targeting ferroptosis: a novel approach to reverse immune checkpoint inhibitors resistance. *Int J Cancer*. 2024;155(1):7–18. doi:10.1002/ijc.34934
- 181. Meng C, Yang Y, Feng W, Ma P, Bai R. Exosomal miR-331-3p derived from chemoresistant osteosarcoma cells induces chemoresistance through autophagy. J Orthop Surg. 2023;18(1):892. doi:10.1186/s13018-023-04338-8
- Sizikov AA, Kharlamova MV, Nikitin MP, Nikitin PI, Kolychev EL. Nonviral Locally Injected Magnetic Vectors for In Vivo Gene Delivery: a Review of Studies on Magnetofection. *Nanomater Basel Switz*. 2021;11(5):1078. doi:10.3390/nano11051078
- Sizikov AA, Nikitin PI, Nikitin MP. Magnetofection in vivo by nanomagnetic carriers systemically administered into the bloodstream. *Pharmaceutics*. 2021;13(11):1927. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics13111927
- 184. Nowak-Jary J, Machnicka B. In vivo biodistribution and clearance of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for medical applications. Int J Nanomed. 2023;18:4067–4100. doi:10.2147/IJN.S415063
- Rezaei B, Yari P, Sanders SM, et al. Magnetic nanoparticles: A review on synthesis, characterization, functionalization, and biomedical applications. Small Weinh Bergstr Ger. 2024;20(5):e2304848. doi:10.1002/smll.202304848
- 186. Hoang J, Patil SL, Srinoi P, et al. Transfection of Unmodified MicroRNA Using Monolayer-Coated Au Nanoparticles as Gene-Delivery Vehicles. ACS Appl Bio Mater. 2024;7(1):230–237. doi:10.1021/acsabm.3c00837
- 187. Ali A, Zafar H, Zia M, et al. Synthesis, characterization, applications, and challenges of iron oxide nanoparticles. Nanotechnol Sci Appl. 2016;9:49–67. doi:10.2147/NSA.S99986
- Lin G, Huang J, Zhang M, Chen S, Zhang M. Chitosan-Crosslinked Low Molecular Weight PEI-Conjugated Iron Oxide Nanoparticle for Safe and Effective DNA Delivery to Breast Cancer Cells. *Nanomater Basel Switz*. 2022;12(4):584. doi:10.3390/nano12040584
- Vangijzegem T, Stanicki D, Laurent S. Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for drug delivery: applications and characteristics. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv.* 2019;16(1):69–78. doi:10.1080/17425247.2019.1554647
- Stanicki D, Vangijzegem T, Ternad I, Laurent S. An update on the applications and characteristics of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for drug delivery. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv*. 2022;19(3):321–335. doi:10.1080/17425247.2022.2047020
- 191. Sun J, Xing F, Braun J, et al. Progress of phototherapy applications in the treatment of bone cancer. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(21):11354. doi:10.3390/ijms222111354
- 192. Jin A, Wang Y, Lin K, Jiang L. Nanoparticles modified by polydopamine: working as "drug" carriers. *Bioact Mater*. 2020;5(3):522-541. doi:10.1016/j.bioactmat.2020.04.003
- 193. Mi X, Hu M, Dong M, et al. Folic acid decorated zeolitic imidazolate framework (ZIF-8) Loaded with Baicalin as a Nano-Drug Delivery System for Breast Cancer Therapy. Int J Nanomed. 2021;16:8337–8352. doi:10.2147/IJN.S340764
- 194. Chen C, Du S, Zhong W, et al. Accurate delivery of pristimerin and paclitaxel by folic acid-linked nano-micelles for enhancing chemosensitivity in cancer therapy. *Nano Converg.* 2022;9(1):52. doi:10.1186/s40580-022-00343-5
- 195. Parvathaneni V, Shukla SK, Gupta V. Development and Characterization of Folic Acid-Conjugated Amodiaquine-Loaded Nanoparticles-Efficacy in Cancer Treatment. *Pharmaceutics*. 2023;15(3):1001. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics15031001
- 196. Luo T, Jiang M, Cheng Z, et al. Biodegradable FePS3 nanoplatform for efficient treatment of osteosarcoma by combination of gene and NIR-II photothermal therapy. J Nanobiotechnology. 2023;21(1):224. doi:10.1186/s12951-023-01961-9
- 197. Li X, Lovell JF, Yoon J, Chen X. Clinical development and potential of photothermal and photodynamic therapies for cancer. *Nat Rev Clin* Oncol. 2020;17(11):657–674. doi:10.1038/s41571-020-0410-2
- Bravo M, Fortuni B, Mulvaney P, et al. Nanoparticle-mediated thermal Cancer therapies: Strategies to improve clinical translatability. J Control Release off J Control Release Soc. 2024;372:751–777. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2024.06.055
- Huber J, Longaker MT, Quarto N. Circulating and extracellular vesicle-derived microRNAs as biomarkers in bone-related diseases. Front Endocrinol. 2023;14:1168898. doi:10.3389/fendo.2023.1168898

- Celik B, Cicek K, Leal AF, Tomatsu S. Regulation of molecular targets in osteosarcoma treatment. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(20):12583. doi:10.3390/ijms232012583
- 201. Soutschek J, Akinc A, Bramlage B, et al. Therapeutic silencing of an endogenous gene by systemic administration of modified siRNAs. *Nature*. 2004;432(7014):173–178. doi:10.1038/nature03121
- 202. Bulcha JT, Wang Y, Ma H, Tai PWL, Gao G. Viral vector platforms within the gene therapy landscape. *Signal Transduct Target Ther*. 2021;6 (1):53. doi:10.1038/s41392-021-00487-6
- Lv H, Zhang S, Wang B, Cui S, Yan J. Toxicity of cationic lipids and cationic polymers in gene delivery. J Control Release off J Control Release Soc. 2006;114(1):100–109. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2006.04.014
- Harris MA, Hawkins CJ. Recent and ongoing research into metastatic osteosarcoma treatments. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(7):3817. doi:10.3390/ ijms23073817
- 205. Jin J, Cong J, Lei S, et al. Cracking the code: deciphering the role of the tumor microenvironment in osteosarcoma metastasis. Int Immunopharmacol. 2023;121:110422. doi:10.1016/j.intimp.2023.110422
- Raue R, Frank AC, Syed SN, Brüne B. Therapeutic Targeting of MicroRNAs in the Tumor Microenvironment. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(4):2210. doi:10.3390/ijms22042210
- 207. Llobat L, Gourbault O. Role of MicroRNAs in human osteosarcoma: future perspectives. *Biomedicines*. 2021;9(5):463. doi:10.3390/biomedicines9050463
- Bravo-Vázquez LA, Méndez-García A, Rodríguez AL, et al. Applications of nanotechnologies for miRNA-based cancer therapeutics: current advances and future perspectives. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol.* 2023;11:1208547. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2023.1208547
- 209. Yi C, Lu L, Li Z, et al. Plant-derived exosome-like nanoparticles for microRNA delivery in cancer treatment. *Drug Deliv Transl Res.* 2024. doi:10.1007/s13346-024-01621-x

International Journal of Nanomedicine

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Nanomedicine is an international, peer-reviewed journal focusing on the application of nanotechnology in diagnostics, therapeutics, and drug delivery systems throughout the biomedical field. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine, CAS, SciSearch[®], Current Contents[®]/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, EMBase, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http:// www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-nanomedicine-journal