

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Gram-Negative Bloodstream Infections in a Medical Intensive Care Unit: Epidemiology, Antibiotic Susceptibilities, and Risk Factors for in-Hospital Death

Guo Long^{1,2}, Peng Peng³, Yuanming Li⁴

Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, The Third Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Changsha, People's Republic of China; ²Nursing Department, The Third Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, People's Republic of China; ³Clinical Laboratory Medicine Center, The Xiangya Hospital Zhuzhou of Central South University, Zhuzhou, People's Republic of China; ⁴Department of Nephrology, The Third Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Changsha, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Yuanming Li, Department of Nephrology, The Third Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Changsha, 410013, People's Republic of China, Tel/fax +86 731 8861 8301, Email lymoy@sohu.com

Purpose: Gram-negative bloodstream infection (GNBI) poses a serious threat to critically ill patients. This retrospective study aimed to uncover drug resistance of pathogens and the GNBI effect on in-hospital death and distinguish death risk factors in a medical intensive care unit (ICU).

Patients and Methods: A retrospective study of all GNBI patients in the medical ICU of the Third Xiangya Hospital over 9 nine years was conducted. Blood samples were performed by a BACTEC 9240 system, MALDI-TOF MS, Bruker and Vitek-2 system. Logistic regression was used for analyzing risk factors for death.

Results: Seventy-five episodes of GNBI developed in 68 (1.4%) out of 4954 patients over a span of 9 years. The most frequently isolated bacterium was Klebsiella pneumoniae, with the lungs as the predominant source of GNBI. The resistance rate of Gramnegative bacteria to polymyxin B was 11.6% after excluding those intrinsically resistant non-fermentative bacteria. All Enterobacter spp. were susceptible to ceftazidime/avibactam. Thirty-three (48.5%) patients underwent inappropriate empirical antibiotic treatment and 48 (70.6%) patients died during the hospitalization. Multivariate logistic regression analysis identified that lymphocyte count at GNBI onset $\le 0.5 \times 10^9$ /L, invasive mechanical ventilation, and septic shock were related to in-hospital death. Body mass index ≥ 23 and appropriate empirical antibiotic use after GNBI were negatively associated with in-hospital death.

Conclusion: GNBI was a frequent complication among patients in the medical ICU. This study underscored the presence of diverse factors that either heightened or attenuated the risk of in-hospital death.

Keywords: gram-negative bloodstream infections, antimicrobial susceptibility, risk factors, death, medical intensive care unit

Introduction

Despite progress in antibiotic treatment and other supportive care, bloodstream infections remain a significant threat to hospitalized patients, with an annual incidence of 204 cases per 100,000 individuals and a mortality rate ranging from 15% to 60%. 1,2 A multi-center study in 162 intensive care units (ICUs) from 24 countries suggested that most episodes of bloodstream infections were caused by multi-drug-resistant (MDR) Gram-negative bacteria.³ An evaluation of Gramnegative bloodstream infections (GNBI) in Qatar highlighted that MDR GNBI was responsible for 13% of all GNBI episodes. Patients with bloodstream infections due to Gram-negative bacteria, particularly MDR strains, face limited treatment choices and a significant risk of death, complications, and longer hospital stays. A study involved 831 cases of healthcare-associated GNBI from 17 ICUs in Turkey uncovered that the all-cause mortality rate in GNBI patients exceeds 40%.6

The mortality determinants of patients with GNBI have been studied previously in different patients. ^{7–16} Kalam et al conducted a prospective cohort study in Pakistan and found MDR GNBI, multiple positive cultures and a longer stay in ICU (>48 hours) were identified as significant risk factors for 2 week-mortality. The predictors for 28 day- or 30 day-mortality included previous hospitalization and ICU stay, liver cirrhosis, luminorary infection, coronary artery disease, the incomplete remission status of underlying diseases, elevated body mass index (BMI), higher lymphocyte, lower platelet count, hypoproteinemia, immune suppression and central venous catheter use. Charlson comorbidity index, high acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II score, and higher sequential organ failure assessment score at GNBI onset lose follow-up blood cultures, hock, hock, lack as well as inappropriate antimicrobial therapy lose also risk factors for mortality.

However, in order to investigate the risk factors for late mortality in GNBI patients, Baltas et al conducted a retrospective study of 789 patients with bacteremia due to *Escherichia coli, Klebsiella* spp. or *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from the United Kingdom and revealed that factors correlated with death between one month and one year after detection of GNBI were an adverse antimicrobial resistance profile and infection with *P. aeruginosa*.¹²

For the purpose of achieving improved clinical outcomes, it is essential to understand the local epidemiology and antimicrobial resistance patterns in GNBI and explore mortality-related indicators. To our best knowledge, no previous studies focused on antibiotic susceptibility of bacteria causing GNBI and the risk factors for death among patients with GNBI in a medical ICU context. These patients often tend to be older, exhibit more comorbidities, and have compromised immune function compared to those in a general ICU setting, thus potentially facing higher morbidity and mortality rates. Therefore, in this retrospective study performed in a medical ICU setting, the objective was to identify antibiotic resistance profiles and delineate predictors related to death in a cohort of 68 GNBI patients.

Materials and Methods

Study Population

A retrospective study of all GNBI patients in the medical ICU from January 1, 2015, through March 31, 2024, at the Third Xiangya Hospital, which is affiliated to Central South University, was conducted. The patients of aged <18 years and those lost to follow-up were excluded. Among 4954 eligible patients, 68 (1.4%) adult GNBI patients from the medical ICU were analyzed.

Definitions

GNBI was defined as isolating Gram-negative bacilli from equal to or more than one blood culture, and its clinical characteristics were according to systemic inflammatory response syndrome.¹⁷ Septic shock was established as sepsis related to hyperlactatemia, persistent hypotension and organ dysfunction, requiring the use of a vasopressor despite adequate fluid resuscitation.¹⁸ The source of GNBI was considered after clinical analysis on the basis of clinical records and laboratory findings, conformed with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention protocol.¹⁹ Gram-negative bacterium was considered carbapenem-resistant when nonsusceptible to at least one carbapenem (imipenem, meropenem, or doripenem).²⁰ Appropriate antibiotic therapy was defined when antimicrobial treatment regimens included at least 1 drug displaying in vitro activity within 2 days following the collection of blood culture samples and when the route and dosage of the antibiotics given consistent with current medical criteria.¹¹

Microbiologic Studies

The blood samples were carried out for clinical bacteria culture. All patients received blood cultures when an abnormal body temperature occurred. A 10-mL blood sample was drawn, processed using culture bottles with aerobic and anaerobic medium, and cultured in the BACTEC 9240 system (Becton Dickinson, Cockeysville, MD, USA). MALDITOF MS, Bruker and Vitek-2 system (bioMérieux, Marcyl'Etoile, France) were used for the identification and resistance tests of culture-positive cases. To test the antibiotic resistant of bacteria, the minimum inhibitory concentration and Kerby-Bauer disk diffusion method were performed. The results were evaluated according to the CLSI standards.²¹

5088

Intermediate antimicrobial susceptibility was considered resistance. For multiple GNBI patients with the same bacterium, only the first GNBI episode was analyzed.

The Data Collection

From the computerized microbiology laboratory database and the patient's medical records, we retrieved demographics, comorbid conditions, antimicrobial use, laboratory and radiographic results, clinic management, and all other prespecified data possibly related to death for all GNBI patients over 9 years. All patients with GNBI were followed up during hospitalization.

Statistical Analyses

For categorical variables, data are expressed in absolute numbers (proportions); For continuous variables that are not normally distributed, data are expressed as the median (interquartile range [IQR]); For continuous variables of the normal distribution, data are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation. χ^2 or Fisher exact tests were used to identify associations between death and clinical findings when appropriate. Predictors for death were investigated using multivariate logistic regression analyses on the basis of forward stepwise selection of variables of P value less than 0.1 in the univariate analysis with an odds ratio (OR) value and a 95% confidence interval (CI). The SPSS software, version 26.0 statistical (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL), was used to perform all analyses. The significance for all statistical analyses was set at a two-tailed P-value less than 0.05.

Patient Informed Consent

The committee determined that as this study was retrospective and all included patients remain anonymous, written informed consent from patients was not required for this study.

Results

General Features and Outcomes of Patients with GNBI

From January 1, 2015, to March 31, 2024, 4954 patients were admitted to the medical ICU. Seventy-five episodes of GNBI developed in 68 patients, leading to a total infection rate of 13.7 per 1000 ICU hospitalized patients. The studied population comprised 46 males (67.6%) and 22 females (32.4%), with the mean age and median BMI of 64.6 (±17.6) years and 23.0 (IQR: 21.0–23.0), respectively. Pulmonary infection was the most common comorbidity (91.2%), followed by type 2 diabetes (41.2%), malignancy (20.6%), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (17.6%), COVID-19 infection (11.8%) and Pneumorrhagia (4.4%). The most common source of GNBI was the lungs (69.1%). Septic shock at the GNBI onset occurred in 56 (82.4%) patients. Appropriate empirical antibiotic treatment after GNBI onset was prescribed in 51.5% of all GNBI patients. A total of 57 patients required invasive mechanical ventilation support, and 18 needed blood purification therapy. The median postoperative duration of hospital and ICU stay was 14.0 (IQR: 7.0–22.8) and 9.5 (IQR: 4.0–16.8) days, respectively. Forty-eight (70.6%) patients died during hospitalization after the GNBI onset. The baseline demographic, clinical, and laboratory variables of these 68 patients with GNBI were detailed in Table 1.

Among 75 organisms isolated, the most frequently documented genus was *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (n = 31), followed by *Acinetobacter baumannii* (n = 21) (Table 2).

Drug Resistance of Pathogens

High resistance rates of isolates causing GNBI were observed to all antibiotics. The drug resistance rates of cefuroxime, levofloxacin, cefepime, ceftazidime, aztreonam, meropenem, piperacillin/tazobactam, amikacin, cefoperazone/sulbactam, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, and tigecycline ranged from high to low. Among *Enterobacter* spp., 6 (14.0%) and 21 (48.8%) of 43 bacteria were non-susceptible to polymyxin B and meropenem, respectively, and none to ceftazidime/avibactam. Among non-fermentative bacteria, 8 (25%) and 13 (40.6%) of 32 were resistant to polymyxin B and tigecycline, respectively (Table 3). Six isolates of non-fermentative bacteria, including 4 *Burkholderia cepacia* and 2

Long et al Dovepress

Table I Demographic, Laboratory, and Clinical Variables of 68 Patients with GNB in Medical ICU

Characteristics	Value
Age, mean year ± SD	64.6±17.6
Gender, no. of male (%)	46(67.6)
BMI, median (IQR), kg/m ²	23.0(21.0-23.0)
Comorbidities, n (%)	
Pulmonary infection	62(91.2)
Type 2 diabetes	28(41.2)
The others	54(79.4)
Source of GNB, n (%)	
Lungs	47(69.1)
Central line-associated	7(10.3)
Urinary tract	5(7.4)
Primary	9(13.3)
Heart rates at GNB onset, median (IQR), bpm	130.0(115.3–143.3)
Respiratory rates at GNB onset, median (IQR), bpm	26.5(24.0-35.0)
WBC count at GNB onset, median (IQR), ×10 ⁹ /L	9.6(5.0–17.0)
Lymphocyte count at GNB onset, median (IQR), ×10 ⁹ /L	0.5(0.3-0.9)
Platelet count at GNB onset, median (IQR), ×10 ⁹ /L	129.5(55.5–222.5)
Albumin level at GNB onset, median (IQR), g/L	28.7(24.5–31.9)
Immune globulin level at GNB onset, median (IQR), g/L	24.3(20.2–32.0)
Creatinine at GNB onset, median (IQR), mg/dL	1.1(0.7–2.1)
CRP at GNB onset, median (IQR), mg/L	159.0(70.2–228.5)
PCT at GNB onset, median (IQR), ng/mL	5.8(1.2–14.5)
Body temperature at GNB onset, median (IQR), °C	39(38.4–39.3)
Septic shock at GNB onset, n (%)	56(82.4)
RBC transfusion, median (IQR), units	0(0-3.375)
Immune globulin use after GNB, n (%)	9(13.2)
Use of thymosin α I, no (%)	3(4.4)
Dosage of methylprednisolone, median (IQR), mg	45.0(0-195.0)
Appropriate empirical antibiotic treatment, n (%)	35(51.5)
Invasive mechanical ventilation, n (%)	57(83.8)
Renal replacement therapy, n (%)	18(26.5)
ICU stay, median (IQR), days	9.5(4.0–16.8)
Hospitalization stay, median (IQR), days	14.0(7.0–22.8)
In-hospital mortality after GNB, n (%)	48(70.6)

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; COVID, Coronavirus disease; CRP, C reactive protein; GNB, Gram-negative bacteremia; ICU, intensive care unit; IQR, interquartile range; PCT, procalcitonin; RBC, red blood cell; SD, standard deviation; WBC, white blood cell.

Flavobacterium spp., were intrinsically resistant to polymyxin B. So the resistance rate of Gram-negative bacteria to polymyxin B was 11.6% after excluding those intrinsically resistant non-fermentative bacteria.

Risk Factors Analysis of Patients' in-Hospital Death

To reveal those potential risk factors for in-hospital death after GNBI onset, the univariate analyse was performed and the results were presented in Table 4. In the univariate analysis, the non-survival group showed a higher prevalence of several factors than that in the survival group. These factors included: Age \geq 75 years (P = 0.088), carbapenem-resistant GNBI (P = 0.002), respiratory rates at GNBI onset \geq 30 bpm (P = 0.046), white blood cell count at GNBI onset \geq 10×10⁹/L (P = 0.005), lymphocyte count at GNBI onset \leq 0.5×10⁹/L (P = 0.001), immune globulin level at GNBI onset \leq 25 g/L (P = 0.014), septic shock at GNBI onset (P = 0.001), methylprednisolone use (P = 0.002), and the requirement for invasive mechanical ventilation ($P \leq$ 0.001). Conversely, the survival group exhibited a higher ratio of patients with BMI

 Table 2 Classification and Constituent Ratio of Pathogens

Bacteria	Strain (n=75)	Constituent ratio (%)
Klebsiella pneumoniae	31	41.3
Klebsiella ozaenae	4	5.3
Escherichia coli	7	9.3
Proteus mirabilis	1	1.3
Acinetobacter baumannii	21	28.0
Acinetobacter	1	1.3
haemolyticus		
Burkholderia cepacia	4	5.3
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	4	5.3
Flavobacterium	2	2.7

Table 3 Rate of Drug-Resistance of Gram-Negative Bacteria to 13 Commonly Used Antibiotics [n(%)]

Antimicrobial	K.pneumoniae (31)	The Other Enterobacteria (12)	A. baumannii (21)	The Other Nonfermentative bacteria (II)	Total Drug Resistance Rate (%)
TZP	19(61.3)	2(16.7)	20(95.2)	4(36.4)	61.6
CXM	19(61.3)	7(58.3)	20(95.2)	10(90.9)	76.7
CAZ	19(61.3)	7(58.3)	20(95.2)	8(72.7)	74.0
CFS	19(61.3)	2(16.7)	13(61.9)	7(63.6)	56.2
FEP	20(64.5)	8(66.7)	20(95.2)	7(63.6)	75.3
ATM	20(64.5)	6(50.0)	20(95.2)	7(63.6)	72.6
MEM	19(61.3)	2(16.7)	19(90.5)	7(63.6)	64.4
AN	16(51.6)	2(16.7)	20(95.2)	6(54.5)	60.3
LVF	24(77.4)	7(58.3)	20(95.2)	4(36.4)	75.3
SXT	19(61.3)	7(58.3)	10(47.6)	3(27.3)	53.4
TIC	16(51.6)	2(16.7)	6(28.6)	7(63.6)	42.5
POL	4(12.9)	2(16.7)	2(9.5)	6(54.5)	19.2
CAZ/AVI	0(0)	0(0)	21(100)	1(9.1)	30.1

Abbreviations: AN, amikacin; ATM, Aztreonam; CAZ, ceftazidime; CAZ/AVI, ceftazidime/ avibactam; CFS, cefoperazone/sulbactam; CXM, cefuroxime; FEP, cefepime; LVF, levofloxacin; MEM, meropenem; POL, polymyxin B; TIC, tigecycline; TZP, piperacillin/tazobactam; SXT, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole.

 \geq 23 (P = 0.054) and those receiving appropriate empirical antibiotic treatment after GNBI onset (P = 0.048) than that in the non-survival group.

Finally, the multivariate analysis identified that lymphocyte count at GNBI onset $\le 0.5 \times 10^9$ /L [OR = 9.643, 95% CI: 1.685–55.177, P = 0.011], the need for invasive mechanical ventilation support [OR = 19.272, 95% CI: 1.754–211.803, P = 0.016], and septic shock at GNBI onset [OR = 17.476, 95% CI: 1.746–174.911, P = 0.015] were associated with increased odds of in-hospital death. However, BMI ≥ 23 [OR = 0.054, 95% CI: 0.006–0.455, P = 0.007] and appropriate empirical antibiotic treatment after GNBI onset [OR = 0.100, 95% CI: 0.015–0.656, P = 0.016] were related to decreased risk of in-hospital death (see Table 5).

Discussion

CHINET (China Antimicrobial Surveillance Network) and a 20-year study from 45 nations have shown that the most frequent bacteria cultured from blood in major Chinese hospitals were Gram-negative. 22,23 E.coli, Acinetobacter spp. and Klebsiella spp. were the most frequent bacteria causing GNBI. 4 In the present study, the incidence of GNBI was 1.4%, and the most commonly isolated bacteria causing GNBI were A. baumannii, K. pneumoniae, and E. coli, the same as a previous study from Southern Italy. 25

Long et al Dovepress

Table 4 Univariate Analysis of Risk Factors for in-Hospital Mortality After GNB

Variables	Non-survival (48)	Survival (20)	P*	OR (95% CI)	
Total, n (%)					
Univariate analysis					
Male sex, n (%)	34(70.8)	12(60.0)	0.384		
Age≥75 years, n (%)	20(41.7)	4(20.0)	0.088		
BMI≥23, n (%)	4(8.3)	6(30.0)	0.054		
Malignancy, n (%)	12(25.0)	2(10.0)	0.287		
COPD, n (%)	10(20.8)	2(10.0)	0.472		
Type 2 diabetes, n (%)	17(35.4)	11(55.0)	0.135		
COVID-19 infection, n (%)	8(16.7)	0(0)	0.126		
Pulmonary infection, n (%)	45(93.8)	17(85.0)	0.490		
Gastrointestinal bleeding, n (%)	13(27.1)	4(20.0)	0.539		
Pneumorrhagia, n (%)	3(6.3)	0(0)	0.550		
Carbapenem-resistant GNB, n (%)	36(75.0)	7(35.0)	0.002		
Respiratory origin, n (%)	36(75.0)	11(55.0)	0.112		
Heart rates at GNB onset≥120bpm, n (%)	35(72.9)	11(55.0)	0.150		
Respiratory rates at GNB onset≥ 30bpm, n (%)	22(45.8)	4(20.0)	0.046		
WBC count at GNB onset>10×10 ⁹ /L, n (%)	18(37.5)	15(75.0)	0.005		
Lymphocyte count at GNB onset≤ 0.5×10 ⁹ /L, n (%)	33(68.8)	5(25.0)	0.001		
Platelet count at GNB onset≤ 50×10 ⁹ /L, n (%)	11(22.9)	5(25.0)	0.854		
Albumin level at GNB onset<25g/L, n (%)	12(25.0)	6(30.0)	0.670		
Immune globulin level at GNB onset <25g/L, n (%)	30(62.5)	6(30.0)	0.014		
Creatinine at GNB onset≥2mg/dL, n (%)	14(29.2)	4(20.0)	0.435		
CRP at GNB onset≥100 mg/L, n (%)	33(68.8)	12(60.0)	0.487		
PCT at GNB onset≥10ng/mL, n (%)	20(41.7)	5(25.0)	0.194		
Body temperature at GNB onset≥ 39°C, n (%)	27(56.3)	8(40.0)	0.222		
Septic shock at GNB onset, n (%)	45(93.8)	11(55.0)	0.001		
RBC transfusion, n (%)	18(37.5)	6(30.0)	0.555		
Immune globulin use after GNB, n (%)	7(14.6)	2(10.0)	0.908		
Use of thymosin al, n (%)	2(4.2)	1(5.0)	1.000		
Use of methylprednisolone, n (%)	36(75.0)	7(35.0)	0.002		
Appropriate empirical antibiotic treatment after GNB, n (%)	21(43.8)	14(70.0)	0.048		
Invasive mechanical ventilation, n (%)	46(95.8)	11(55.5)	<0.001		
Renal replacement therapy, n (%)	15(31.3)	3(15.0)	0.166		

Note: *All significant P-values are shown in bold.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; COVID, Coronavirus disease; CRP, C reactive protein; GNB, Gramnegative bacteremia; PCT, procalcitonin; RBC, red blood cell; WBC, white blood cell.

Table 5 Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis of Risk Factors for in-Hospital Mortality After GNB

Variables	В	S.E.	Waldχ²	OR (95% CI)	P*
Septic shock at GNB onset	2.861	1.175	5.926	17.476 (1.746–174.911)	0.015
Lymphocyte count at GNB onset≤ 0.5×10 ⁹ /L	2.266	0.890	6.484	9.643 (1.685–55.177)	0.011
Invasive mechanical ventilation	2.959	1.223	5.853	19.272 (1.754–211.803)	0.016
BMI ≥23	-2.913	1.085	7.213	0.054 (0.006-0.455)	0.007
Appropriate empirical antibiotic treatment after GNB	-2.298	0.957	5.762	0.100 (0.015–0.656)	0.016

Note: *All significant P-values are shown in bold.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence intervals; GNB, Gram-negative bacteremia; OR, odds ratios.

We observed a concerning level of drug resistance among bacteria responsible for GNBI, with notable proportions of *A. baumannii* and *K. pneumoniae* exhibiting resistance to commonly used antibiotics. Specifically, 90.5% of *A. baumannii* and 61.3% of *K. pneumoniae* were confirmed as carbapenem-resistant, while 12.9% of *K. pneumoniae* demonstrated resistance to polymyxin B. These findings aligned closely with those reported by Ergönül et al in 2017 (the corresponding rates were 40.0%, 94.0%, and 6.0%, respectively) and Di Carlo et al in 2021 (the corresponding rates were 70.8%, 83.3%, and 15.9%, respectively).^{6,25}

Of note, we found an extremely high in-hospital death (70.6%), similar to a previous case-control study in patients with breakthrough GNBI when carbapenem was being prescribed in Korea with a 70.3% 30-day death rate.²⁶ The elevated death rate identified in our present study can be attributed to several factors. Primarily, our patient cohort exhibited a higher median age (64.6 years), a greater prevalence of comorbidities (91.2% with pulmonary infection, 41.2% with type 2 diabetes), and a predominance of respiratory origin cases (accounting for 69.1% of all GNBI cases). Additionally, a substantial proportion of patients presented with severe drug-resistant conditions (64.4% with carbapenem-resistant GNBI) and received inappropriate empirical antibiotic treatment (accounting for 48.5% of all patients with GNBI). Moreover, a significant majority of patients experienced severe disease manifestations, including the need for invasive mechanical ventilation (83.8%) and septic shock at GNBI onset (82.4%). Furthermore, considering the lower economic status of patients in Hunan Province, China, where our study was conducted, compared to previous studies, socioeconomic factors may have contributed to the observed mortality discrepancy. Importantly, all these aforementioned factors related to increased death rate have been well-established in previous literature among GNBI patients. ^{6,7,11,24,27–29} This present study also differs from some previous studies in that patients in this study were a homogeneous group from a medical ICU; this could have accounted for, at least partially, higher in-hospital mortality in our studied population.

The association of carbapenem-resistant GNBI or COVID-19 infection and mortality has been established.^{2,14,15,24} However, in our study, we did not observe substantial evidence to support these associations, despite carbapenem-resistant GNBI showing a relationship to in-hospital death in the univariate analysis. The disparities between the current findings and results of previous studies may be owing to variations in the characteristics of the included population and the relatively small sample size of our study cohort.

Septic shock was significantly related to mortality of GNBI patients, which was a finding consistent with the evidence from numerous studies, including our present study. 14,15,27 This underscores the severity of illness associated with septic shock, emphasizing the crucial role of early intervention. Clinicians should keep in mind that early treatment, including resuscitation with intravenous fluids and early empiric antibiotic therapy, is necessary to reduce the mortality caused by septic shock.

Sligl et al also found that immune suppression was independently related to 30-day death in patients who suffered from ICU-acquired GNBI.⁷ In this study, lower lymphocyte count at GNBI onset was found to be linked to in-hospital death. This aligns with the well-established understanding that a lower lymphocyte count reflects compromised cellular immune function. However, it is noteworthy that a higher lymphocyte count was recently identified as an independent predictor of overall survival in GNBI patients with a tumor in another Chinese study conducted by Ni et al.¹³ To date, there is no data on the association between low lymphocyte counts and mortality in patients with GNBI, and further research is needed.

Our findings are consistent with those published by other authors who observed that a higher BMI is beneficial for survival in critically ill adult patients with sepsis, a phenomenon known as the "obesity paradox". Conversely, Lizza et al concluded that a higher BMI in GNBI patients was a risk factors for both organ failure and all-cause death. Differences in the study populations between these studies may provide a partial explanation for this disparate finding.

Invasive mechanical ventilation was confirmed to increase the mortality of patients with carbapenem-resistant GNBI or bloodstream infections mainly consisting of GNBI.^{28,32} Our study also identified an association between invasive mechanical ventilation and mortality among GNBI patients.

Early and appropriate antibiotic administration is essential to the treatment of GNBI patients. Previous studies revealed that inappropriate antimicrobial therapy is a significant risk factor for 30-day death following GNBI.^{7,11} Likewise, early appropriate therapy was shown to be protective predictors of mortality among patients suffering from *A. baumannii*- associated bacteremia or carbapenem-resistant GNBI.^{27,33} Our finding aligns with the results of other

studies, indicating that appropriate empirical antibiotic treatment after GNBI onset was related to decreased in-hospital death rate. Thus, the timely use of appropriate antibiotics is critical to minimize patients' death rate.

Rapid and accurate diagnosis of GNBI is critical because inappropriate initial antibiotic treatment can adversely affect a patient's prognosis. Therefore, there is a pressing need for swift and precise diagnostic methods to facilitate early antimicrobial therapy guidance and reduce mortality associated with GNBI.³⁴ Clinical diagnosis combined with metagenomic next-generation sequencing (mNGS) was recently recommended to clarify the diagnosis of bloodstream infections and contributed to optimizing antibiotic treatment.³⁵ Zhan et al claimed that routine microbiologic testing frequently falls short of detecting all neuroinvasive pathogens and suggested that mNGS offers an alternative means of detecting nosocomial CNS infections.³⁶ MNGS is a useful complement to conventional diagnostic methods. However, whether mNGS as a diagnostic method is a suitable alternative in routine diagnostics (especially in terms of finances) need more well-designed studies.

Investigating local bacterial epidemiology and drug sensitivity is critical in empirically selecting the right antibiotic. Administration through prolonged infusion is also a pivotal management strategy for optimizing beta-lactam drugs and improving clinical outcomes in patients with GNBI.³⁷ In addition, a system of multidisciplinary management teams was considered to be protective against antibiotic failure in critically ill GNBI patients.³⁸

Similar to other reports, we found that BMI, lymphocyte count, mechanical ventilation, septic shock, and inappropriate antibiotic administration were the significant predictors of in-hospital death in GNBI patients. Therefore, the prognosis of GNBI chiefly rely on patient variables, consisting of the baseline status (normal or lower BMI, lower lymphocyte count), severity of diseases (invasive mechanical ventilation, septic shock at GNBI onset), and management factors (inappropriate antibiotic therapy, the only one that is potentially modifiable).

The potential for bias inherent in single-center observations, retrospective studies, and the small sample size of GNBI and deceased patients are limitations of this study. Nevertheless, the strength of this study lies in its inclusion of GNBI patients over a span of 9 years in a medical ICU, an area that has not been extensively studied previously. In an era characterized by an aging population, the study provided important clinical data on patients from a medical ICU where most of the patients are elders and have more comorbidities with more immunocompromised status than patients in wards or general ICU.

Independent predictors for death revealed in this study each may serve as a reminder to alert clinicians to hospitalized GNBI patients at risk for death. And the investigation of the antibiotic susceptibility profile of bacteria causing GNBI may aid in optimizing antibiotic selection in the future. Future validation through large-scale multicenter prospective studies is warranted.

Conclusions

In summary, despite the limitations mentioned above, we confirmed an increased risk of in-hospital death according to lower lymphocyte count, septic shock at GNBI onset, and the requirement for invasive mechanical ventilation support among GNBI patients, even after adjusting for other risk factors. We also identified that higher BMI and appropriate empirical antimicrobial use were significantly related to good clinical outcomes in the present study. Our findings concerning the risk factors for GNBI hold significant implications for clinical decision-making and patient management within medical ICU settings. Specifically, our findings demonstrate the need for timely identification and management of septic shock, optimizing antibiotic management, increasing nutritional support and immune function support, and robust infection control strategies. These measures are crucial given the unfavorable prognosis associated with GNBI among patients from the medical ICU. We believe that the outcomes of the current research will serve as a guiding beacon for future research endeavors in this field. However, further studies are warranted to identify the findings of the present study.

Ethics Statement

This retrospective study was conducted following approval from the ethics committee of the Third Xiangva hospital of Central South University (ethics number: 24397) under the ethical standards outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Patient Consent

All patient data were anonymized and maintained with strict confidentiality throughout the study to protect privacy.

Author Contributions

All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, or in all these areas; took part in drafting, revising or critically reviewing the article; gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Disclosure

We declare that we have no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Foglia F, Della Rocca MT, Melardo C, et al. Bloodstream infections and antibiotic resistance patterns: a six-year surveillance study from Southern Italy. *Pathog Glob Health*. 2023;117(4):381–391. doi:10.1080/20477724.2022.2129161
- Campos AF, Arantes T, Cambiais AMVB, et al. Impact of an antimicrobial stewardship program intervention associated with the rapid identification of microorganisms by MALDI-TOF and detection of resistance genes in ICU patients with gram-negative bacteremia. *Antibiotics*. 2022;11(9):1226. doi:10.3390/antibiotics11091226
- 3. Tabah A, Koulenti D, Laupland K, et al. Characteristics and determinants of outcome of hospital-acquired bloodstream infections in intensive care units: the EUROBACT international cohort Study. *Intensive Care Med.* 2012;38(12):1930–1945. doi:10.1007/s00134-012-2695-9
- Abdel Hadi H, Dargham SR, Eltayeb F, et al. Epidemiology, clinical, and microbiological characteristics of multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteremia in Qatar. Antibiotics. 2024;13(4):320. doi:10.3390/antibiotics13040320
- Perez KK, Olsen RJ, Musick WL, et al. Integrating rapid diagnostics and antimicrobial stewardship improves outcomes in patients with antibiotic-resistant gram-negative bacteremia. J Infect. 2014;69(3):216–225. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2014.05.005
- 6. Ergönül Ö, Aydin M, Azap A, et al. Healthcare-associated gram-negative bloodstream infections: antibiotic resistance and predictors of mortality. *J Hosp Infect*. 2016;94(4):381–385. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2016.08.012
- 7. Sligl WI, Dragan T, Smith SW. Nosocomial gram-negative bacteremia in intensive care: epidemiology, antimicrobial susceptibilities, and outcomes. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2015;37:129–134. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2015.06.024
- 8. Karvouniaris M, Poulakou G, Tsiakos K, et al. ICU-associated gram-negative bloodstream infection: risk factors affecting the outcome following the emergence of colistin-resistant isolates in a Regional Greek hospital. *Antibiotics*. 2022;11(3):405. doi:10.3390/antibiotics11030405
- Kalam K, Qamar F, Kumar S, Ali S, Baqi S. Risk factors for carbapenem resistant bacteraemia and mortality due to gram negative bacteraemia in a developing country. J Pak Med Assoc. 2014;64(5):530–536.
- 10. Lizza BD, Rhodes NJ, Esterly JS, Toy C, Lopez J, Scheetz MH. Impact of body mass index on clinical outcomes in patients with gram-negative bacteria bloodstream infections. *J Infect Chemother*. 2016;22(10):671–676. doi:10.1016/j.jiac.2016.07.006
- 11. Zeng Q, Xu F, Jiang S. The impact of previous hospitalization in the preceding 90 days on the outcome in critically ill patients with gram-negative bloodstream infection. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2014;80(2):136–140. doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2014.06.015
- 12. Baltas I, Stockdale T, Tausan M, et al. Long-term outcome and risk factors for late mortality in Gram-negative bacteraemia: a retrospective cohort study. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist*. 2021;25:187–192. doi:10.1016/j.jgar.2021.03.019
- 13. Ni S, Xu P, Zhang K, et al. A novel prognostic model for malignant patients with Gram-negative bacteremia based on real-world research. *Sci Rep.* 2022;12(1):11644. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-15126-5
- 14. Jian X, Du S, Zhou X, et al. Development and validation of nomograms for predicting the risk probability of carbapenem resistance and 28-day all-cause mortality in gram-negative bacteremia among patients with hematological diseases. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2023;12:969117. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2022.969117
- 15. Giannella M, Pascale R, Pancaldi L, et al. Follow-up blood cultures are associated with improved outcome of patients with gram-negative bloodstream infections: retrospective observational cohort study. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2020;26(7):897–903. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2020.01.023
- 16. Kim H, Seo H, Chung H, et al. Bedside risk prediction for positive follow-up blood culture in gram-negative bacilli bacteremia: for whom is follow-up blood culture useful? *Infection*. 2022;50(3):689–697. doi:10.1007/s15010-021-01742-2
- 17. Jeon HJ, Kang JM, Koh H, Kim MS, Ihn K. Postoperative bloodstream infection is associated with early vascular complications in pediatric liver transplant recipients with biliary atresia. *J Clin Med.* 2023;12(21):6760. doi:10.3390/jcm12216760
- 18. Liu Y, Liu Y
- 19. Horan TC, Andrus M, Dudeck MA. CDC/NHSN surveillance definition of health care associated infection and criteria for specific types of infections in the acute care setting. *Am J Infect Control*. 2008;36(5):309–332. doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2008.03.002
- 20. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012;18(3):268–281. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x
- 21. CLSI. M100 performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 34th ed. 2024. Available from: http://em100.edaptivedocs.net/dash board.aspx. Accessed November 14, 2024.
- 22. Hu F, Guo Y, Yang Y, et al. Resistance reported from China antimicrobial surveillance network (CHINET) in 2018. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2019;38(12):2275–2281. doi:10.1007/s10096-019-03673-1

Long et al **Dove**press

23. Diekema DJ, Hsueh PR, Mendes RE, et al. The microbiology of bloodstream infection: 20-year trends from the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019;63(7):e00355-19. doi:10.1128/AAC.00355-19

- 24. Tabah A, Buetti N, Staiquly Q, et al. Epidemiology and outcomes of hospital-acquired bloodstream infections in intensive care unit patients: the EUROBACT-2 international cohort study. Intensive Care Med. 2023;49(2):178-190. doi:10.1007/s00134-022-06944-2
- 25. Di Carlo P, Serra N, Lo Sauro S, et al. Epidemiology and pattern of resistance of gram-negative bacteria isolated from blood samples in hospitalized patients: a single center retrospective analysis from Southern Italy. Antibiotics. 2021;10(11):1402. doi:10.3390/antibiotics10111402
- 26. Lee JY, Kang CI, Ko JH, et al. Clinical features and risk factors for development of breakthrough gram-negative bacteremia during carbapenem therapy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2016;60(11):6673-6678. doi:10.1128/AAC.00984-16
- 27. Cogliati Dezza F, Covino S, Petrucci F, et al. Risk factors for carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii (CRAB) bloodstream infections and related mortality in critically ill patients with CRAB colonization. JAC Antimicrob Resist. 2023;5(4):dlad096. doi:10.1093/jacamr/dlad096
- 28. Cortes JA, Valderrama-Rios MC, Nocua-Báez LC, Quitián LM, Lozada FA, Buitrago G. Effect of bloodstream infection on survival in COVID-19 patients admitted to an intensive care unit in Colombia: a matched cohort analysis. Infect Prev Pract. 2023;5(2):100283. doi:10.1016/j. infpip.2023.100283
- 29. Lye DC, Earnest A, Ling ML, et al. The impact of multidrug resistance in healthcare-associated and nosocomial gram-negative bacteraemia on mortality and length of stay: cohort study. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012;18(5):502-508. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03606.x
- 30. Li S, Hu X, Xu J, et al. Increased body mass index linked to greater short- and long-term survival in sepsis patients: a retrospective analysis of a large clinical database. Int J Infect Dis. 2019;87:109-116. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2019.07.018
- 31. Pepper DJ, Demirkale CY, Sun J, et al. Does obesity protect against death in sepsis? A retrospective cohort study of 55,038 adult patients. Crit Care Med. 2019;47:643-650. doi:10.1097/CCM.000000000003692
- 32. Fatima M, Dodani SK, Babar ZU, et al. Outcome, risk factors and therapeutic strategies in carbapenem-resistant gram-negative bacteraemia from Pakistan. JAC Antimicrob Resist. 2023;5(3):dlad076. doi:10.1093/jacamr/dlad076
- 33. Qu J, Feng C, Li H, Lv X. Antibiotic strategies and clinical outcomes for patients with carbapenem-resistant gram-negative bacterial bloodstream infection. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2021;57(3):106284. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2021.106284
- 34. Zadka H, Raykhshtat E, Uralev B, Bishouty N, Weiss-Meilik A, Adler A. The implementation of rapid microbial identification via MALDI-ToF reduces mortality in gram-negative but not gram-positive bacteremia. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2019;38(11):2053-2059. doi:10.1007/ s10096-019-03640-w
- 35. Liu Q, Liu X, Hu B, et al. Diagnostic performance and clinical impact of blood metagenomic next-generation sequencing in ICU patients suspected monomicrobial and polymicrobial bloodstream infections. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2023;13:1192931. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2023.1192931
- 36. Zhan L, Lv Z, Zhang Y, et al. Use of metagenomic next-generation sequencing to identify pathogens involved in central nervous system infections. Infect Drug Resist. 2024;17:3605-3615. doi:10.2147/IDR.S474410
- 37. Tran NN, Mynatt RP, Kaye KS, Zhao JJ, Pogue JM. Clinical outcomes with extended versus intermittent infusion of anti-pseudomonal beta-lactams in patients with gram-negative bacteremia. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2023;10(4):ofad170. doi:10.1093/ofid/ofad170
- 38. Rinaldi M, Gatti M, Tonetti T, et al. Impact of a multidisciplinary management team on clinical outcome in ICU patients affected by gram-negative bloodstream infections: a pre-post quasi-experimental study. Ann Intensive Care. 2024;14(1):36. doi:10.1186/s13613-024-01271-9

Infection and Drug Resistance

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Infection and Drug Resistance is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that focuses on the optimal treatment of infection (bacterial, fungal and viral) and the development and institution of preventive strategies to minimize the development and spread of resistance. The journal is specifically concerned with the epidemiology of antibiotic resistance and the mechanisms of resistance development and diffusion in both hospitals and the community. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/infection-and-drug-resistance-journa





