

# Regarding “Enhancing Predictive Accuracy for Acute Herpetic Neuralgia Treatment: A Fresh Perspective on Pulsed Radiofrequency Therapy Research” [Response to Letter]

Chengcheng Zhao<sup>1,2</sup>, Ziwei Lu<sup>1,2</sup>, Bohan Hua<sup>1,2</sup>, Jiayu Yue<sup>2</sup>, Qinru Yang<sup>2</sup>, Huadong Ni<sup>2</sup>, Ming Yao<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Jiaxing University Master's Degree Cultivation Base, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Jiaxing City, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China;

<sup>2</sup>Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Research Center, the Affiliated Hospital of Jiaxing University, Jiaxing City, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Ming Yao, Email [jxyaoming@zjxu.edu.cn](mailto:jxyaoming@zjxu.edu.cn)

## Dear editor

In response to a recently published article, I am representing all authors to address the constructive comments and suggestions provided by Hong et al.<sup>1,2</sup>

Firstly, we acknowledge the variations in pain intensity and characteristics associated with shingles in different anatomical locations. Thus, it is more appropriate to categorize herpes zoster based on different sites. However, we have considered the significant differences in Current Perception Threshold (CPT) across sites, noting the prevalence of herpes zoster on the thoracic dorsal trunk. To mitigate heterogeneity, our initial exploration included only patients with thoracic dorsal herpes, as detailed in the methods section. Subsequently, we have factored in the site and nature of pain in our larger-scale studies, aligning with the recommendation by Hong et al.

Secondly, we recognize the validity of Hong et al's concerns regarding variable covariance. We also accounted for this when screening the variables. We applied stepwise regression to minimize the effect of multiple covariances between variables, as described in the Results section. Of course, the suggestion by Hong et al to employ the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) for assessing covariance between variables significantly contributed to our results. Therefore, we supplemented the VIF of multivariate logistic regression variables and the results show that no covariance problems were found among them (VIF<5). (Table 1)

**Table 1** Variance Inflation Factor of Multivariate Logistic Regression Variables

Variable	VIF
Age ≥65	1.016
NRS ≥6	1.020
5Hz CPT ratio	1.018
2000 Hz CPT ratio	1.385

**Abbreviation:** VIF, Variance Inflation Factor.

Furthermore, we fully support Hong et al's perspective on the collaborative multidisciplinary approach to managing patients with herpes zoster. Managing herpetic neuralgia poses a complex challenge that has long perplexed healthcare professionals. Particularly, managing patients with postherpetic neuralgia (PHN) presents unique difficulties. Therefore, the collaboration of pain physicians, dermatologists, neurologists, and psychologists is crucial to enhancing patient outcomes by leveraging their respective expertise. The proposal to establish a multidisciplinary management team is not only reasonable but also urgently required.

In conclusion, we express our gratitude to our readers for recognizing our research findings and offering valuable suggestions. We appreciate the opportunity to address and refine our study to ensure the accuracy of our results. By contributing to clinical decision-making for patients with herpes zoster neuralgia, we aim to facilitate more precise and personalized treatment approaches.

## Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

## References

1. Zhao C, Lu Z, Hua B, et al. Predictive value of current perception threshold for prognosis of pulsed radiofrequency in patients with acute herpetic neuralgia. *J Pain Res.* 2024;17:3241–3253. doi:10.2147/JPR.S472535
2. Hong C, Ma Y, Yan C. Enhancing predictive accuracy for acute herpetic neuralgia treatment: a fresh perspective on pulsed radiofrequency therapy research [Letter]. *J Pain Res.* 2024;17:3841–3842. doi:10.2147/JPR.S500107

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Journal of Pain Research 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Pain Research editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

**Journal of Pain Research**

**Publish your work in this journal**

The Journal of Pain Research is an international, peer reviewed, open access, online journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of pain research and the prevention and management of pain. Original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-pain-research-journal>

**Dovepress**  
Taylor & Francis Group